

PRELIMINARY SURVEY REPORT

of

CONTROL TECHNOLOGY FOR  
MICROELECTRONICS INDUSTRY  
AT  
AIRCO CORPORATION  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA

to

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

and

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL  
SAFETY AND HEALTH  
Division of Physical Sciences and Engineering  
4676 Columbia Parkway  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45226

March 1984

by

Leslie J. Ungers, C.I.H.  
PEDCo Environmental, Inc.

Russell K. Smith, P.E., C.I.H.  
Battelle Columbus Laboratories

BATTELLE  
Columbus Laboratories  
505 King Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43201

PLANT SURVEYED:

AIRCO Corporation  
1122 North 52nd Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85008

SURVEY DATE:

November 2, 1981

SURVEY CONDUCTED BY:

Ralph I. Mitchell, Battelle Columbus  
Laboratories  
Russell K. Smith, Battelle Columbus  
Laboratories  
Leslie J. Ungers, PEDCo Environmental  
James H. Jones, NIOSH

EMPLOYER REPRESENTATIVES:

Frank Dux, Corporate Safety Manager  
Richard Robbins, Laboratory Supervisor

EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATIVES:

None

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 ABSTRACT.....	1
2.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
3.0 GENERAL.....	2
4.0 PROCESS DESCRIPTION.....	2
5.0 INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE AND SAFETY PROGRAMS.....	3
5.1 Process Monitoring.....	3
5.2 Protective Equipment.....	4
6.0 CONTROL STRATEGY FOR PROCESSES OF INTEREST.....	4
7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	4

## 1.0 ABSTRACT

As part of a U.S. EPA and NIOSH assessment of control practices in the microelectronics industry, Battelle Columbus Laboratories conducted a walk-through survey of an AIRCO, Inc., Phoenix, Arizona, facility. This facility supplies high-purity, compressed gas mixtures to manufacturers of semiconductor devices. The purpose of the walk-through was to determine if the control technology used in gas bottle filling was applicable to the rest of the electronics industry.

Filling stations for AsH<sub>3</sub> and PH<sub>3</sub> gas mixtures and for other gases such as H<sub>2</sub> were observed. Control technology consists primarily of walk-in hoods in which virtually all gas transfer operations are conducted. The controls found were determined to not be applicable to the production of semiconductor devices because operations are in a sealed system which does not have particulate control problems. A detailed survey is not recommended.

## 2.0 INTRODUCTION

A walk-through survey was conducted at AIRCO, Phoenix, Arizona, on November 2, 1981, as part of a control technology assessment of the semiconductor manufacturing industry. The assessment is being performed by Battelle Columbus Laboratories under U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Contract 68-03-3026. The study is being coordinated by EPA with the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) through interagency agreement number AR 75-FO-142-0.

This facility does not produce silicon semiconductor devices. However, it supplies high purity AsH<sub>3</sub> and PH<sub>3</sub> gas mixtures to parts of the industry which do produce semiconductor devices. The walk-through survey was conducted to determine if the control technology used in filling lines for these gases could be applied to the semiconductor fabrication industry.

This facility does some gas purification which was not observed. Its major products are repackaged HCl and blends of AsH<sub>3</sub> and PH<sub>3</sub> with a balance gas of H<sub>2</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>.

### 3.0 GENERAL

This facility is a large fenced area with substantial paved area on which full and empty bottles of gases are stored. Liquid  $H_2$  is available in a tank remote from the single building on the site. The building is a concrete block structure, about 15 years old. Both offices and filling areas are adjacent to each other in the process building, but are separated by a concrete block wall. The process area is ventilated by air being pulled through walk-in hoods. The hoods are operated at a face velocity of 50 linear ft/minute during normal processing, and at 150 linear ft/minute during purge and when a leakage occurs in the hood. The air is drawn through an induced-draft fan, and exhausted to the atmosphere.

This plant is staffed by 21 employees, including truck drivers which are on-site only part of the day. Filling is conducted in a two shift operation by seven gas technicians and two foremen. Corporate offices provide scheduled medical monitoring of all employees.

### 4.0 PROCESS DESCRIPTION

The two major processes are HCl repackaging and preparation of ppm to low percent doping gases ( $AsH_3$  and  $PH_3$ ) in  $H_2$  and  $N_2$  filled containers. Process operations for HCl repackaging are done in a walk-in hood. Operations for mixing  $AsH_3$ , and  $PH_3$  doping gases in  $H_2$  and  $N_2$  are conducted in four walk-in hoods in the building.

Three bottles to be filled, one source bottle, and a balance gas bottle are all connected to a gas manifold in a hood. The bottles to be filled are pressurized with  $N_2$  and allowed to stand. Pressure decay is monitored in the manifold to determine leakage. Additionally, an aqueous soap solution is sprayed on all cylinder valves and manifold connections to insure leak tight conditions.

After pressure testing, a vacuum is drawn on all three tanks to be filled by a vacuum pump. The pump is exhausted into a purge line which leads to a  $KMnO_4$  and water scrubber.

The  $\text{AsH}_3$  and  $\text{PH}_3$  doping gas tanks are filled to a predetermined pressure from cylinders containing the pure doping gases. The balance gas ( $\text{H}_2$  or  $\text{N}_2$ ) is added to pressure from an  $\text{H}_2$  or  $\text{N}_2$  bottle also connected to the manifold system.

The manifold is then purged to a scrubber. In  $\text{AsH}_3$  and  $\text{PH}_3$  filling hoods the gases are purged by  $\text{N}_2$  through a packed tower scrubber which has  $\text{KMnO}_4$  solution flowing countercurrently to the gas flow. The spent liquor is analyzed prior to disposal and handled as a "hazardous waste" if so warranted.

The three filled cylinders are disconnected from the manifold, and plugs are inserted while the bottles are still in the hood. They are then soap-bubble tested for leakage, removed from the hood, and transported to the storage yard outside the building. The bottles are not chained to supports, but are stored in the yard in an upright position.

HCl cylinders are filled in a very similar fashion except that HCl cylinders are filled by weight because the gas condenses in the bottle as it is filled. The HCl manifold is purged to a venturi-type water scrubber.

$\text{H}_2$  cylinders are filled from  $\text{H}_2$  vapor piped into the filling area from a liquid  $\text{H}_2$  tank stored in the outside yard.  $\text{O}_2$  is also filled in the building from a liquid  $\text{O}_2$  tank. Tanks of both of these gases are filled after evacuation and  $\text{N}_2$  pressure check of the bottle. No scrubbing is performed on these exhaust gases.

## 5.0 INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE AND SAFETY PROGRAMS

Only a medical surveillance program is used at this facility. Minimal safety or evacuation training is available; this is being upgraded.

### 5.1 Process Monitoring

Draeger tube sampling for  $\text{AsH}_3$ ,  $\text{PH}_3$ , or HCl is done when process changes are made, or when an employee complaint is registered. Periodic monitoring is not performed.

No current program exists for monitoring ventilation hood flow. A flow rate monitoring program is being considered.

## 5.2 Protective Equipment

Street clothes are worn by employees. Two SCBA respirators are available in the event that rapid entry is required. An acid gas entry suit is also available in the event that an HCl leak occurs.

## 6.0 CONTROL STRATEGY FOR PROCESSES OF INTEREST

All industrial hygiene controls are oriented toward ventilation and enclosures. Each of the toxic gas hoods has a gas flow which is selectable at 50 linear ft/minute or 150 linear ft/minute. The higher flow rate is used when tanks are being vented, or when a leak develops in the hood.

## 7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on this survey, further evaluation of this facility under this study is not recommended. Neither the process nor the controls used require further study as an exemplary control strategy.

Periodic monitoring of air flow across hood faces is recommended. Dosimetry and area monitoring should also be introduced to quantify worker exposure to  $\text{AsH}_3$ .

Enclosure of the cylinders in the yards should be considered. There is a resultant potential risk of multiple cylinders being knocked over, and a resultant chain reaction of cylinders falling, and possibly rupturing. A method of securing upright should be investigated.