TR-74

ACUTE TOXICITY STUDIES OF CESIUM AND RUBIDIUM COMPOUNDS



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
Public Healt's Service
Health Services and Mental Health Administration
National Institute for Cocupational Safety and Health

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U.S. DEPARIMENT OF COMMERCE SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

REPORT DOCUMENTATION . PAGE	S. REPORT NO.	salegi productiva in in 😜 ar and	girja disenta ya ma ari	PRR 5 1 7 8 3 4 1 /AS
L TRie and Subtille Acute Toxicity Studie	es of Cesium and Rubi	dium Compounds	. 1	November 1972
			•	
7. Author(s)			e Carte	. Performing Organization Rept. No.
	y 226			(B. Project/Took/Work Unit No. 1. Contract(C) or Grant(G) No. C)
	est per la		•	
NIOSH 4676 Columbia Pa				2. Type of Report & Period Covered
· Cincinnati, Ohio	or - 45226 new nya king kacamatan kang king m			

Recent and projected industrial applications have markedly increased the usage of the hydroxides and iodides of cesium and rubidium. However, the acute toxicologic properties of cesium and lubidium have been investigated only on a very limited scale. It was deemed desirable, therefore, to assess the acute toxicity of these compounds. The single dose oral LD₅₀, primary skin irritation index, degree of eye irritation, and skin allergic sensitization potential procedures were used.

The following hydroxides and halides of cesium and rubidium were studied: cesium hydroxide (CsOH), 50% cesium hydroxide (CsOH) and 50% potassium hydroxide (KOH) mixture, potassium hydroxide (KOH), cesium iodide (CsI), rubidium hydroxide (RbOH), and rubidium iodide (RbI). All compounds except the KOH were identified as "high purity"; and all solutions were prepared on the basis of 100% purity. For purposes of compound administration by the various routes, all test materials were dissolved or suspended in deionized and distilled water. The concentrations used for the eye and primary skin irritation studies were the same as those used in industry. The concentrations used for the skin sensitization studies represented levels for obtaining potential allergic reactions without causing skin irritation.

17. Donnant Analysis a, Disselators

cesium, rubidium; dermatitis, skin-irritation, eye-irritation, cesium-hydroxide; potassium-hydroxide, potassium-hydroxide, cesium-iodide, rubidium-hydroxide, rubidium-iodide,

to Advertisions (Please Student Transc

•	CORATE	Flate/Grave	

22. Availability Statement:

AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC

19. Security Class (This Report)

Unclassified

30,

22. Price

Unclassified

ACUTE TOXICITY STUDIES OF CESIUM AND RUBIDIUM COMPOUNDS

Garland T. Johnson

Trent R. Lewis, Ph.D.

and

Vernon B. Perone

Technical Assistance Provided By:

M. D. Blanck

K. A. Busch

J. A. Clary

J. W. Glass W. B. Ushry

> National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health 1014 Broadway Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

TR-74

November 1972

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
Public Health Service
Health Services and Mental Health Administration

ACUTE TOXICITY STUDIES OF CESIUM AND RUBIDIUM COMPOUNDS

		Table of Contents	Page No.
ı.	INT	RODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY OF DATA		
	A.	LD ₅₀ Studies Rats (Oral)	1
	В.	Primary Skin Irritation Studies Rabbits	2
	c.	Eye Irritation Studies Rabbits	2
	D.	Skin Sensitization Studies Guinea Pigs	3
III.	CON	CLUSION	3
IV.	MET	HODOLOGY AND DATA	4
	A.	Range Finding Studies Rats (oral)	4
		1. Objective	
		2. Methods	
		3. Results	
	В.	LD ₅₀ Studies	4
		1. Objective	
		2. Methods	
		3. Results	
	c.	Primary Skin Irritation Study	5
		1. Objective	
		2. Methods	
		3. Observations	

		a. Intact skin	
		b. Abraded skin	
		c. Mixed reactions	
	4.	Results	
D.	Eye	Irritation Studies	7
	1.	Objective	
	2.	Methods	
	3.	Results	
E.	Ski	n Sensitization Studies	10
	1.	Objective	
	2.	Methods	
	3.	Results	

Table of Contents (Continued)

Page No.

ACUTE TOXICITY STUDIES OF CESIUM AND RUBIDIUM COMPOUNDS

I. INTRODUCTION.

Recent and projected industrial applications have markedly increased the usage of the hydroxides and iodides of cesium and rubidium. However, the acute toxicologic properties of cesium and rubidium have been investigated only on a very limited scale. It was deemed desirable, therefore, to assess the acute toxicity of these compounds. The single dose oral LD $_{50}$, primary skin irritation index, degree of eye irritation, and skin allergic sensitization potential procedures were used.

The following hydroxides and halides of cesium and rubidium were studied: cesium hydroxide (CsOH), 50% cesium hydroxide (CsOH) and 50% potassium hydroxide (KOH) mixture, potassium hydroxide (KOH), cesium iodide (CsI), rubidium hydroxide (RbOH), and rubidium iodide (RbI). All compounds except the KOH were identified as "high purity"; and all solutions were prepared on the basis of 100% purity. The KOH was labeled "85% pure"; and all KOH solutions were edjusted to 100% purity. For purposes of compound administration by the various reutes, all test materials were dissolved or suspended in deionized and distilled water. The concentrations used for the eye and primary skin irritation studies were the same as those used in industry. The concentrations used for the skin sensitization studies represented levels for obtaining potential allergic reactions without causing skin irritation.

II. SUMMARY OF DATA AND CONCLUSION.

The following is a summary of the results from the studies on the acute toxicity of the cesium and rubidium compounds:

A. LD50 Studies -- Rats (Oral)

	Compound	Estimated LD ₅₀ (mg/kg)	95% Confidence Limits for LD50	Estimated Slope (b)
1.	CsOH	1026	929 to 1133	14.8
2.	CsOH + KOH	559	510 to 613	18.7
3.	KOH	365	310 to 429	10.7
4.	CsI	2386	2310 to 2467	17.7
5.	RbOH	586	522 to 655	11.6
6.	Rb I	4708	4413 to 5026	18.2

B. Primary Skin Irritation Study -- Rabbits

	Compound	Intact skin	Abraded skin
1.	CaOH (5%)	Non-irritentsafe for human skin contact on intact skin	Mild cellular toxicant Safe for abraded skin provided skin is appropriately protected during contact
2.	CaOH (5%) and KOH (5%)	Irritantavoid all direct skin contact	Cellular toxicants avoid all direct skin contact on abraded skin
3.	KOH (5%)	Mild irritant safe for human skin contact on intact skin	Cellular toxicantsavoid all direct skin contact on abraded skin
4.	CsI (5%)	Non-irritant-safe for human skin contact on intact skin	Non-toxicsafe for human skin contact on abraded skin
5.	Rb OH (5%)	Non-irritantsafe for human skin contact on intact skin	Mild cellular toxicants Safe for abraded skin provided skin is appropriately covered during contact
6.	<u>RbI (5%)</u>	Non-irritantsafe for human skin contact on intact skin	Non-toxicsafe for human skin contact on abraded skin

C. Eye Irritation Studies-Rabbits

		Ocular Reaction		
	_	Group 1	Group 2	
	Compound	5-Minute Exposure	24-Hour Exposure	
1.	CsOH (5%)	Extremely irritant and corrosive	Extremely irritant and corrosive	
	CsOH (0.5%)	No animals exposed	Negative	
	CsOH (0.1%)	No animals exposed	Negative	

Cs and Rb Studies 3

C. Eye Irritation Studies-Rabbits (Continued)

		Ocular Re	
	Compound	Group 1 5-Minute Exposure	Group 2 24-Hour Exposure
2.	CaOR (5%) + KOH (5%)	Extremely irritant and corrosive	No animals exposed
	CsOF (12) + KOH (12)	Extremely irritant and corrosive	Strongly irritant
	CsOH (0.5%) + KOH (0.5%)	No animals exposed	Marginal
	CsOH (0.1%) + KOH (0.1%)	No animals exposed	Negative
3.	KOH (5%)	Extremely irritant and corrosive	No animals exposed
	KOH (12)	Irritant	Irritant
	KOH (0.5%)	No animals exposed	Marginal
	KOH (0.1%)	Negative	Negative
4.	<u>CsI (5%)</u>	Negative	Negative
5.	RbOH (5%)	Extremely irritant and corrosive	No animals exposed
	RbOH (12)	Marginal	Negative
6.	RbI (5%)	Negative	Negative

D. Skin Sensitization Studies -- Guinea Pigs

All six compounds are non-sensitizers.

III. CONCLUSION.

The data indicate that the hydroxides of cesium and rubidium are more toxic than the iodides. Cesium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, and rubidium hydroxide are stong alkalis and contact with the eyes or skin should be avoided.

IV. METHODOLOGY.

A. Range Finding Studies--Rats (Oral)

1. Objective

This procedure provides for a rapid preliminary screening of compounds when no previous toxicologic information is available. It permits a rough estimate of the toxic response and establishment of dosage levels for more precise LD₅₀ determinations.

2. Methods

The test materials were administered as a single dose, orally by stomach tube, to caesarean-derived rats weighing between 175 and 250 grams. Eight test groups of three animals per group (24 total) were used for each of the six test materials. The animals were fasted from food for approximately 16 hours prior to cosing. The test materials were dissolved in deionized and distilled water. Observations for morbidity and mortality were recorded at 1 and 4 hours following administration and daily thereafter for the 7-day period. Gross necropsy observations were made on all animals which died or were sacrificed at the end of the 7-day observation period.

3. Results See Table I.

B. LD₅₀ Studies

Objective

This procedure provides an appraisal of the acute toxicity of a test material and determines the magnitude of the oral dose which kills fifty percent of the animals.

2. Methods

The test materials were administered as a single dose, orally by stomach tube, to cesarean-derived rats weighing between 175 and 250 grams. The animals were fasted from food for approximately 16 hours prior to dosing. The test materials were dissolved or suspended in deionized and distilled water. The number of treatment groups and number of animals per group used for each test material was based on the slope of the dose response curve obtained from the results of the oral range-finding studies. Observations for mortality and gross toxicologic signs were recorded at 1 and 4 hours following administration and daily thereafter for the 14-day period. Gross necropsies were performed on all animals which died or were sacrificed at the

end of the 14-day observation period. The LD $_{50}$ and its 95% confidence limits were calculated by the exact probit analysis method of Finney(1); i.e., by maximum liklihood estimation of parameters of an integrated logarithmic-normal dose-response curve. Whenever the data were nonlinear on log-probit graph paper, the moving average of Thompson(2) was used.

3. Results See Table II.

C. Primary Skin Irritation Study

1. Objective

This procedure is designed to determine the primary skin irritancy of the test compounds following a single application and to compare the irritancy with that of a negative control material, distilled water, producing a rating of $\underline{0}$, and a positive control material, 50% hydrochloric acid, producing a rating of $\underline{2}$ or higher, under identical test conditions.

2. Methods

The six experimental compounds and the negative and positive controls were simultaneously tested on three groups of six albino rabbits, each group receiving two of the six compounds plus the negative and positive controls. For each test and control material, there were two test sites, one abraded and one intact. The backs of the animals were clipped free of hair. The skin on the right side of the backs was abraded at each of the test sites, while the skin on the last side remained intact. The sites were abraded by using a cross-hatch design (\$), deep enough to penetrate the epidermis without bleeding. (Abrasions were made using two No. 11 Bard-Parker scalpel blades inserted in a cork stopper approximately 2 mm apart.) The materials, 0.1 ml of each, were applied at each of the test sites. Each site was covered with a gauze patch measuring 20 mm by 20 mm. Each animal was provided with a leather harness for the initial 24-hour exposure.

3. Observations

After 24 hours of exposure, the patches were removed and the skin reactions evaluated. A second evaluation was made after 48 hours of exposure. The reactions were evaluated on the basis of the designated values in the following tables:

Reaction	Intact Skin	Abraded Skin
No irritation	0 (non-irritant)	0 (non-toxic)
Erythema (regardless of degree)	1 (mild irritant)	1 (mild cellular toxicant)
Erythema and edema confined to test area	2 (irritant)	2 (cellular toxicant)

Reaction	Intact Skin	Abraded Skin
Erythems and edema extending beyond test area	3 (strong irritant)	3 (strong cellular toxicant)
Escher	4 (corrosive)	4 (corrosive)

The rating assigned to the test materials is the average response of the six animals rated separately for intact and abraded skin. The rating system is interpreted as follows:

a. Intact skin

A material receiving a rating of less than 1 (0-0.9) is considered a non-irritant and is recommended as safe for intact human skin contact.

A rating from 1-1.9 is considered a mild irritant and may be safe for intact human skin contact; however, it is recommended that appropriate skin protective equipment be utilized during contact.

A rating of 2 or higher indicates that the material is too irritant for human skin contact, and it is recommended that such contact be avoided.

b. Abraded skin

A material receiving a rating of less than 1 (0-0.9) is considered non-toxic to the cellular components of abraded skin and is recommended as safe for human skin contact.

A rating of 1-1.9 is considered a mild cellular toxicant and may be safe for abraded human skin contact provided the precautions stated above are maintained.

A rating of 2 or higher indicates that the material is a cellular toxicant and is too irritant for abraded human skin contact, and it is recommended that such contact be avoided.

c. Mixed reactions

A material may be a con-irritant or a mild irritant on intact skin and a severe irritant on abraded skin. The following table summarizes these possibilities:

Animal Skin Rating

Interpretation

Intact skin	Abraded skin	
0 - 0.9	0 ~ 0.9	Safe for human skin contact
0 - 0.9	1 - 1.9	Safe for intact human skin; safe for abraded skin when protection is maintained.
0 -0.9	2 - 4	Safe for intact human skin; abraded skin contact should be avoided.
1 - 1.9	1 - 1.9	Safe for both intact and abraded human skin when protection is maintained.
1 - 1.9	2 - 4	Safe for intact human skin when protection is maintained; abraded skin contact to be
2 - 4	2 - 4	avoided. Unsafe and human skin contact should be avoided.

4. Results See Table III.

D. Eye Irritation Studies

1. Objective

This study is designed to evaluate the potential degree of eye irritancy to the cornea, iris and conjunctivae of the eye as produced by the six test materials.

2. Methods

Eight albino rabbits weighing between 2.0 and 3.0 kg were used for each test substance. Prior to application, the eyes were examined for corneal damage by using one drop of fluorescein sodium ophthalmic solution instilled directly onto the cornea. After a few seconds the excess stain was flushed out with distilled water and the eyes examined under ultraviolet light. Only animals without eye defects were used. The test materials were placed in one eye of each animal by gently pulling the lower lid away from the eyeball to form a cup into which the test substances were instilled. The lids were gently held together for one second and the animal released. The other eye remained untreated and served as a control. Doses of 0.1 milliliter by volume of the test materials were instilled into each test eye. The animals were divided into two groups. Group 1 animals (5) were exposed to the test material for five minutes and Group 2 animals (3) were exposed to the test material for 24 hours and then examined before washing. Eyes were washed with a gentle, continuous stream of distilled water until a volume of approximately 250 milliliters was used. The eyes were examined at 1, 24, 48, and 72 hours at 7 days. If any injury persisted, the snimals were re-examined at 14 and/or 21 days.

Grades were assigned for presence and/or degree of ulceration or opacity of the cornea and iris for redness (erythema), chemosis and ulceration or necrosis of the conjunctival mucosa according to the method of Draize described in the following table:

Grades for Ocular Reaction

Cornea	
No ulceration or opacity	0
Scattered or diffuse areas of opacity, details of iris visible	1(*)
Easily discernible translucent areas of opacity, details of iris obscured	2(*) (**)
Nacreous area of opacity, no details of iris visible, size of pupil barely discernible	3(*) (**)
Complete corneal opacity, iris not discernible	4(*) (**)
Ulceration, absence of a gross patch of corneal epitheiium	4(**)
Iris	
Normal.	0
Markedly deepened folds, congestion, swelling, moderate circumcorneal injection (any of these or combination of any thereof), iris still reacting to light (sluggish reaction is positive)	1(*)
No reaction to light, hemorrhage, gross destruction (any or all of these)	2(*)
Conjunctivae Redness (refers to palpebral and bulbar conjunctivae excluding cornea and iris)	
Vessels normal	0
Some vessels definitely injected	1
Diffuse, crimson red, individual vessels not easily discernible	2(*)
Diffuse beety red	3(*)

Chemosis

No swelling	0
Any swelling above normal (includes nictitating membrane)	1
Obvious swelling with partial eversion of lids	2(*)
Swelling with fids about half closed	3(*)
Swelling with lids more than half closed	4(*)
Ulceration or necrosis of palpebral and bulbar conjunctivae or nictitating membrane	4(**)

- (*) Grades considered positive for irritation
- (**) Grades considered positive for corrosiveness. (In addition, grade 1 opacity evident for any six or more days will be considered as corrosive.)

The method used for assessment of eye irritancy was based on that described in the Federal Register, Vol. 37, No. 83, April 28, 1972, p. 8534.

Classification

Compound	Concentration	Group No.	Rating or Classification
CsOfi 1	5%	1 2	Extremely irritant and corrosive Extremely irritant and corrosive
CaOH + KOH 2	5 z 1 z 0.5 z 0.1 z	(1) 1 2 (3) (3)	Extremely irritant and Corrosive Extremely irritant and corrosive Strongly irritant Marginal Negative
KOH 3	5% 1% 0.5% 0.1%	1 2 (1) (2)	Extremely irritant and corrosive Irritant Irritant Marginal Negative
RbOH 4	5 % 1 %	(1) 1 2	Extremely irritant and corrosive Marginal Negative
RbI 5	5%	1 2	Negative Negative
Cal 6	5 %	1 2	Negative Negative

Cs and Rb Studies

3. Results See Table V.

E. Skin Sensitization Studies

1. Objective

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the potential of the test substances to produce skin sensitization.

2. Methods

Fifteen young adult male albino guinea pigs weighing between 300 and 400 grams were used for each of the six compounds tested. Five animals served as a control group and 10 animals were assigned to the test group. The backs of the animals were clipped free of hair and the clipping repeated at various intervals during the study. The test materials, 0.1 ml, were injected intradermally to separate skin sites of the animals three times weekly for a total of nine treatments. The five control animals were treated in a manner identical to the test group but using the solvent, distilled water, as a control material. Twenty-four hours following each injection the reaction was measured for size. The animals in both the test and control groups were rested for a two-week period following the ninth injection. At the end of the two-week rest period a challenge dose of 0.1 ml was administered to both the test and control animals in the same manner as before. Test sites were examined and reactions recorded at 24, 48, and 72 hours.

3. Results

If the response to the challenge injection is greater in terms of intensity or local inflammatory response than to the sensitizing doses, or the number of animals responding is substantially greater, then the material is considered to be a skin sensitizer. On the basis of these guinea pig studies, the results listed in Table VI indicate that all of the six compounds are <u>non-sensitizers</u>.

Estimated LD₅₀: 601 mg/kg

	Levels	Table	I. Range Finding Studies	
Compounds	(mg/kg)	Deaths	Principal Behavioral Effects	Necropsy Observation
1. CsOH	129	0/3	None	None
	215	0/3	None	None
	359	0/3	None	None
	599	0/3	Weakness & listlessness from 1 hr. post-dose until sacrifice	Erythema of pyloric region of stomach; ad- hesions of abdominal region organs (stomach, spleen, pancreas, liver, small intestine)
	1000	2/3	Weakness, listlessness, & gasping from 1 hr. post-dose until death or sacrifice	Stomach and intestinal hemorrhage; adhesions of abdominal region organs (stomach, spleen, pancreas, liver, small intestine)
	1670	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
	2788	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
	4656	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
Estimated	LD ₅₀ : 100	00 mg/kg		
2. CsOH	148	0/3	None	None
(<u>50%)</u>	237	0/3	None	None
<u>кон</u> (<u>502</u>)	395	1/3	Weakness & listlessness from 1 hr. post-dose until death or sacrifice	Erythema of pyloric region of stomach; ad- hesions of abdominal region organs (stomach, spleen, pancreas, liver and small intestine)
	659	0/3	Ditto	Ditto
	1100	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
	1837	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
	3068 5124	3/3 3/3	Ditto Ditto	Ditto Ditto
	3124	3/3	DIECO	BICCO
Estimated	LD ₅₀ : 650	mg/kg		
3. KOH	153	0/3	None	None
	256	0/3	None	None
	427	0/3	None	None
	713	2/3	Weakness & listlessness from 1 hr. post-dose until death or sacrifice	Stomach and intestinal hemorrhage; bloody fluid exudate in abdominal cavity
	1200	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
	2004	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
	3347	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
	5589	3/3	Ditto	Ditto

	Levels			
Compound	(mg/kg)	Deaths	Principal Behavioral Effects	Necropsy Observation
4. CsI	180	0/3	None	None
- Constitution	301	0/3	None	None
	502	0/3	None	None
	838	0/3	None	None
	1400	0/3	None	None
	2338	2/3	Weakness & listlessness	Stomach distended with
			from 1 hr. post-dose until	fluid; apparent blockage
			death or sacrifice	at pyloric sphincter
	3904	3/3	Death immediately following	Ditto
			dosing	
	6520	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
Estimated	LD ₅₀ : 19	970 mg/kg		
5. RbOH	390	0/3	Weakness & sedation	None
J. 1002	507	0/3	Ditto	Stomach & liver adhered
	•••	0,0		together in one animal
				with large pocket of
				gas in stomach
	659	1/3	Ditto	Ditto for all animals
	857	3/3	Ditto	Intestinal hemorrhage
•	1116	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
-	1451	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
	1886	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
	2452	3/3	Ditto	Ditto
Estimated	1050: 6	94 mg/kg		
6. RbI	1563	0/3	None	None
	1954	0/3	None	None
	2463	0/3	None	None
	3079	0/3	None	None
	3464	0/3	None	None
	3849	2/3	Weakness & listlessness	Spleens appeared active
	4330	1/3	Ditto	Ditto
	4871	3/3	Ditto	Ditto

Estimated LD₅₀: 4034 mg/kg

Table II. LD₅₀ STUDIES

Compounds		Levels (mg/kg) Deaths		Principal Behavioral Effects	Necropsy Observation		
1.	CaOH	500 625	0/10 0/10	None Listlessness at 1- and 4-hour post-dose until sacrifice	None Adhesions of abdominal organs (stomach, spleen pancreas, liver and small intestine)		
		781 976	0/10 5/10	Ditto Listlessness at 1- and 4-hour post-dose. Survivors at sacrifice weak & thin	of abdominal organs (stomach, spleen, pan- creas, liver, small in- testine); large amt. of bloody fluid exudate in peritoneal cavity		
		1220 1525	8/10 10/10	Ditto Ditto	Ditto Ditto		

Estimated LD₅₀: 1026 mg/kg 95% confidence limits: 929 to 1133 mg/kg

Slope: 14.8

2.	CsOH	400	0/10	None	None
	50% +	500	1/10	Listlessness at 1- and 4-	Stomach and intestinal
	KOH 50%			hour post-dose. All sur- vivors were weak, thin, bloated at sacrifice.	hemorrhage; adhesions, abdominal organs (stomach, spleen, pancreas, liver, small intestine)
		625	8/10	Ditto	Ditto
		781	10/10	Ditto	Ditto
		976	10/10	Ditto	Ditto
		1220	10/10	Ditto	Ditto

Estimated LD₅₀: 559 mg/kg 95% confidence limits: 510 to 613 mg/kg

Slope: 18.7

₩,	CBI	O.L.U	0/2	NOILE	NOTE
		1170	0/9	None	None
		1660	0/9	None	None
		2350	3/9	Weakness & listlessness at 1- & 4-hour post-dose. Sur- vivors were weak & thin & some exhibited bloody nasal exudate & eye discharge at sacrifice	Stomach distended with fluid
		3340	9/9	Ditto	Ditto
		4750	9/9	Ditto	Ditto
		1890	0/9	None	None
		2120	0/9	None	None
		2515	3/9	Ditto	Ditto
		2680	9/9	Listlessness & weakness from immediately post-dose until death	Stomach and cecum dis- tended & filled with fluid; apparent block- age at the pyloric sphincter
		3010	9/9	Ditto	Ditto

Estimated LD₅₀: 2386 mg/kg 95% confidence limits: 2310 to 2467 mg/kg

Slope 17.7

Table II. (Cont'd)

		Levels			
Coss	pounds	(mg/kg)	Deaths	Principal Behavioral Effects	Necropsy Observation
5.	RbOH	410	0/9	None	None
		506	2/9	All survivors appeared weak & listless throughout study	Stomach & intestinal hemorrhage
		625	3/9	Ditto	Ditto
		771	9/9	Weakness & sedation immedi- ately post-dose & bloody exudate around mouth & nostrils	Massive stomach & intestinal hemorrhage; bloody fluid in the abdominal cavity
		951	9/9	Ditto	Ditto
		1174	9/9	Ditto	Ditto
	confid pe: 11.		lts: 522	to 655 mg/kg	
6.	RbI	3066	0/9	None	None
		3441	0/9	None	None
		3861	1/9	None	None
		4332	1/9	Weakness & listlessness until death or sacrifice. Labored breathing for the first 3 to 4 days post- dose	None
		4862	7/9	Ditto	None
		5457	7/9	Ditto	None
		6057	9/9	Ditto	Stomachs distended with

fluid

Estimated LD₅₀: 4708 mg/kg 95% confidence limits: 4413 to 5026 mg/kg

Slope: 18.2

Table III. Skin Reactions

<u>Test 1</u> <u>5% CsOH</u>

	Intact Skin Reactions				Ab	raded Sk	in React	
			Total	Average			Total	Average
Rabbit No.	24 hr.	48 hr.	Rating	Rating	24 hr.	48 hr.	Rating	Rating
1	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	2
2	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1.5
3	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1.5
4	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1
5	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1.5
6	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	2 2	2	4	2
Totals	0	0	0	0	11	8	19	9.5
			<u>57</u>	CsOH + 5	Z KOH			
1.	2	2	4	2	2.	2	4	2
2	1	2	3	1.5	2	4	6	3
3	1	2 2 2 3	3	1.5		4	6	3
4	2 1	2	4	2	2 2 2	3	5 6	2.5
5	1	3	4	2	2	4	6	3
6	<u>2</u>	4	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	4	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>
Totals	9	15	24	12	12	21	33	16.5
				Distil1	ed H ₂ 0			
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>
Totals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table III. (Cont'd)

50% HC1

	Intact	Skin Rea	ctions		Abraded Skin Reactions			
Rabbit No.	24 hr.	48 hr.	Total Rating	Average	2/ 1	48 hr.	Total	Average
RADDIE NO.	24 HF.	40 HI.	VHETTIN	Rating	24 hr.	40 HF.	Rating	Rating
1	1	0	1	0.5	2 2	1	3	1.5
2 3 4	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1.5
3 4	0	0	0	0 0	1	1	2	1
5	0	0	0	ŏ	2	1	3	1.5
6	$\frac{1}{2}$	00	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{0.5}{1.0}$	$\frac{2}{10}$	$\frac{2}{7}$	2 2 3 4 17	2 8.5
Totals	2	0	2	1.0	10	7	17	8.5
TEST 2				% KOH				
1	2	1	3	1.5	2	2	4	2.0
2	1	2	3 2 2 2 2 2 14	1.5	3 2 3 3 <u>2</u> 15	4	7	3.5
3 4	1 1	1	2	1.0 1.0	2	3	5	2.5 3.0
5	i	i	2	1.0	3	3 3 3 <u>3</u> 18	6	3.0
6	1 7	$\frac{1}{7}$	2	1.0	2	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u> 33	2.5
Totals	7	7	14	7.0	15	18	33	16.5
	5% CsI							
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.5
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 4	0	0	0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 1	0 0.5
5	0	Ö	Ö	0	1	0	1	0.5
5	000	000	00	<u>0</u>	1/4	00	1/4	0.5 2.0
Totals	0	ō	0	ō	4	ō	4	2.0
			Dist	111ed H ₂ 0	1			
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 4	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 .
5	ŏ	ŏ	Ö	Ö	ŏ	ő	Ö	Ö
6	0	00	00	0	0	00	00	0 <u>0</u> 0
Totals	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0
			<u>50</u>	Z HC1				
1	ō	0	0	0	1	1	2	1.0
2 3 4	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1.0
3 &	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 .3	0 1.5
	ő	ŏ	0 -	0	1 2	2	4	2.0
5 6	0	0	0	0 '	1 A.	2 2 2 8	4 3 14	1.5
Totals	ŏ	ō	Ō	0	6	8	14	7.0

TEST 3

5% RbOH

	Intact	Skin Res	ctions Total	Average	Abraded Skin Reactions Total Average			
Rabbit No.	24 hr.	48 hr.	Rating	Rating	24 hr.	48 hr.	Rating	Racing
1	1	1	2	1	2	2	4	2
2	0	0	0	0	2 2 2	2	4	2
2 3 4	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	2 2
	1	0	1	0.5	1	1	2	1
5	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	1.5
6	1 <u>0</u> 3	1 1 3	2 1 6	<u>0.5</u> 3	$\frac{1}{10}$	1 <u>2</u>	<u>3</u> 20	1.5
Totals	3	3	6	3	10	10	20	10.0
•			<u>5</u>	Z RbI				
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Ö	Ö	Ō	Ö	ŏ	Ö	Ö	ō
3	0	0	Ò	0	0	0	0	Ö
4	0	0	D	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0		0				0
6	00	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u> 0	<u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u> 0	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u>	0 <u>0</u> 0
Totals	<u></u>	ō	ō	ō	ō	ō	ō	Ծ ⋅
			Dist	111ed H ₂ 0	1			
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ō	Ō	Ō	Ō
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö
3 4 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0 <u>0</u> 0	0 <u>0</u>	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 <u>0</u> 0
6	00	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	000	<u>o</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>
Totals	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	ō
			<u>50</u>	Z HC1				
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1
2	0	ō	Ö	Ö	2	ĩ	2 3 2 1	1.5
3	0	0	Ō	Ö	1	ĩ	2	1
3 4	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.5
5	0	1	1	0.5	1	2	3	1.5
6	00	0	0 1 <u>0</u> 1	$\frac{0}{0.5}$	1 7	2 0 5	$\frac{1}{12}$	0.5
Totals	ō	ī	ī	0.5	7	3	12	6.0

Table IV. Primary Skin Indices

Test 1

5% CsOH	Intact Skin Rating	- 0 -	Non-Irritant; safe for human skin contact on intact skin
Ť	Abraded Skin Rating	- 1.6 -	Mild cellular toxicant; safe for abraded skin provided skin is appropriately

5Z CsOH and 5Z KOH	Intact Skin Rating Abraded Skin Rating	= 2 = = 2.8 =	contact Cellular toxicant; avoid all direct skin contact
Distilled H ₂ O	Intact Skin Rating Abraded Skin Rating	= 0 =	Non-irritant; safe for human skin contact Non-toxic; safe for human skin contact
50% HC1	Intact Skin Rating	= 0.2 =	Non-irritant; safe for human skin contact
	Abraded Skin Rating	= 1.4 =	Mild cellular toxicant; safe for abraded skin provided skin is appropriately protected during contact
Test 2			
52 KOH	Intact Skin Rating	= 1.2 =	Mild irritant; safe for human skin contact when skin is appropriately protected during contact
	Abraded Skin Rating	= 2.8 =	Cellular toxicant; irritant for abraded skin contact and such is to be avoided
5% CsI	Intact Skin Rating	= 0 =	Non-irritant; safe for human skin contact on intact skin
	Abraded Skin Rating	= 0.3 =	
Distilled H ₂ O	Intact Skin Rating	= 0 =	Non-irritant; safe for human skin contact on intact skin
and in	Abraded Skin Rating	= 0 =	
50% HC1	Intact Skin Rating	= 0 =	Non-irritant; safe for human skin contact on intact skin
	Abraded Skin Rating	= 1.2 =	Mild cellular toxicant; safe for abraded skin contact when skin is appropriately protected during contact
Test 3			
5% RbOH	Intact Skin Rating	= 0.5 =	Non-irritant; safe for human skin
	Abraded Skin Rating	= 1.7 =	contact on intact skin Mild cellular toxicant; safe for abraded human skin provided skin is appropriately protected during contact

57 Rb1	Intact Skin Rating Abraded Skin Rating	 Nor-irritant; safe for human skin contact on intact skin Non-toxic; safe for human skin contact on broken skin
H ₂ 0	Intact Skin Rating Abraded Skin Rating	 0 = Non-irritant, safe for human skin contact on intact skin 0 = Non-toxic; safe for human skin contact
50% HC1	Intact Skin Rating Abraded Skin Rating	 0.1 =Non-irritant; safe for human skin contact on intact skin 1.0 =Mild cellular toxicant; safe for abrade human skin provided skin is appropriately protected during contact

CsOH

INTERVAL AND REACTION

Group No.	Animal No.		our C	24 I	lour C	48 I	C C	72 I	lour C	7 <u>I</u>	Day C	14 <u>I</u>	Day C	21 <u>I</u>	Day C
1	1	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0
5 minute	3	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0
exposure	4	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
to 5% CsOH	5	+	0	Die	<u>ed</u>										
2	6	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0
24 Hour	7	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0
exposure to 5% CsOH	8	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	0

Classification 5% CsOH Group 1 Extremely irritant and corrosive Group 2 Extremely irritant and corrosive

						CsOH	+ KC	H							
<u>1</u>	1	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5 minute	2 3 4	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0 + 0 0	0 + 0	0	0	0	0	0
exposure	3	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ 0	+	+	+
to	4	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1% CsOH and 1% KOH	5	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.0
24 Hour exposure to 1% CsOH and 1% KOH	6 7 8	+ + +	0 0 0	+ + +	0 0 0	0 + +	0 0 0	0 + +	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
<u>3</u> 5 minute															
exposure	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· 0	0				
to	2	0	0	0 0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0				
0.1% CsOH and 0.1% KOH	3	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Group No.	Animal No.	1 Hou					72 1 1		7 <u>I</u>	Day C	14 Day I C	21 Day I C
<u>4</u> 5 minute exposure	1	0 0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0		
to	2	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
0.5% CsOH & 0.5% KOH	3	0 0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Classification 1% CsOH + 1% KOH Group 1 Extremely irritant and corrosive Group 2 Strongly irritant

0.5% CsOH+0.5% KOH - Marginal 0.1% CsOH+0.1% KOH - Negative

Note: Upon instillation of 0.1 ml of 5% CsOH + 5% KOH into the eye of the first rabbit, it was immediately apparent that the substance was an extremely severe and corrosive one

						K	H								
1	1	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	0
5 minute	2	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	0
exposure	3	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
to	4	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1% KOH	5	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24 Hour	6	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
exposure	7	+	0	+	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
to 1% KOH	8	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24 hour exposure 0.5% KOH	1	+	0	0	0	0 ,	0	o	0,	0	0				
24 hour				•	•										
exposure	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
0.1% KOH	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Classification 5% KOH Extremely irritant and corrosive 1% KOH Group 1 Irritant Group 2 Irritant

0.5% KOH Marginal

0.1% KOH Negative

Group No.	Animal No.	1 Ho	C		C	48 Hor		72 Ho		7 D		24 Da	•	21 I	C
1 5 minute						C	<u>sI</u>								
exposure	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
5% CsI		0	0	0	0	0 -	0	0	0	Ó	0				
	2 3	0	0	0	0	0 -	0	0	0	0	0				
	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
<u>2</u>							·								
24 Hour	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
exposure	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
5% CsI	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Class	lfication	5%	CsI	Group	1	Negati	ve								
				Group	2	Negati	ve								
						**	~ ***	÷							
5 minute						Rb	UH								
	1				0		0		0		0		n		0
exposure 5% RbOH	1	Ŧ	*	Ŧ	U	7	U	-	U	т	U	T	U	*	U
JA KDUR															
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
5 minute	2	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ				
exposure	3	ō	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ				
1% RbOR	3 4	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Õ	Ö	ŏ				
-,	5	ō	ō	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Õ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ				
<u>2</u>	-	•	_	•	_	•	•	-	•	•	•				
24 hour	6	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0				
exposure	7	ō	Ō	Ō	0	Ō	Ŏ	Õ	Õ	Õ	Ō				
1% RbOH	8	ō	Ō	ŏ	Ō	Ö	ō	Ŏ	ō	ō	Ō				
		_	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-				

Classification 5% RbOH Extremely irritant and corrosive 1% RbOH Group 1 Marginal Group 2 Negative

Table VI. Skin Sensitization Studies

		Sensitizing Doses	72-Hour Challenge Dose
Material	Animal No.	Area (mm)	Area (mm)
CsOH (Control	1	1.0 x 1.0	0.0×0.0
0.1% Group)	2	1.0 x 1.0	0.0×0.0
•	3	2.0 x 2.0	0.0×0.0
	4	1.0 x 1.0	2.0×2.0
	5	2.0×2.0	0.0×0.0

	44	Average of Sensitizing Doses	72-Hour Challenge Dose
Material	Animal No.	Area (mm)	Area (mm)
CsOH (Test	1	3.0 x 3.0	0.0×0.0
0.1% Group)	2	5.0×5.0	2.0 x 2.0
	3	5.0 x 5.0	3.0×3.0
	4	5.0 x 5.0	2.0 x 2.0
	5	4.0×4.0	2.0 x 2.0
	6	5.0×5.0	3.0 x 3.0
	7	4.0 x 4.0	2.0 x 2.0
	8	6.0 x 6.0	2.0×2.0
	9	5.0 x 5.0	3.0 x 3.0
	10	5.0 x 5.0	4.0 x 4.0
CsOH 0.1%	1	2.0 x 2.0	2.0 x 2.0
and	2	2.0×2.0	0.0 x 0.0
KOH 0.1%	3	2.0 x 2.0	2.0×2.0
(Control	4	1.0 x 1.0	2.0×2.0
Group)	5	3.0 x 3.0	2.0 x 2.0
0.1% CsOH	1	5.0 x 5.0	2.0 x 2.0
and	2	6.0 x 6.0	2.0 x 2.0
0.1% KOH	3	5.0 x 5.0	2.0 x 2.0
(Test	4	5.0 x 5.0	3.0×3.0
Group)	5	4.0 x 4.0	2.0 x 2.0
	6	5.0 x 5.0	4.0 x 4.0
	7	5.0×5.0	3.0×3.0
	8	4.0 x 4.0	2.0 x 2.0
	9	5.0 x 5.0	3.0 x 3.0
	10	5.0 x 5.0	2.0 x 2.0
0.1% KOH	1	3.0 x 3.0	3.0 x 3.0
(Control	2	3.0 x 3.0	3.0 x 3.0
Group)	3	3.0 x 3.0	3.0×3.0
	4	3.0×3.0	3.0×3.0
	5	4.0 x 4.0	3.0 x 3.0
0.1% KOH	1	5.0 x 5.0	2.0 x 2.0
(Test	2	5.0 x 5.0	4.0×4.0
Group)	3	6.0 x 6.0	3.0 x 3.0
	4	5.0 x 5.0	3.0 x 3.0
,	5	5.0 x 5.0	3.0×3.0
	6	5.0 x 5.0	3.0 x 3.0
	7	5.0 x 5.0	5.0 x 5.0
	8	5.0 x 5.0	3.0 x 3.0
	9	5.0 x 5.0	3.0×3.0
	10	5.0 x 5.0	3.0 x 3.0

Average of Sensitizing Doses 72-Hour Challenge Dose

	Animal		
Material	No.	Area (mm)	Area (mm)
0.1% CsI	1	3.0×3.0	4.0 × 4.0
(Control	2	3.0 x 3.0	3.0 x 3.0
Group)	3	4.0 x 4.0	2.0×2.0
	4	3.0 x 3.0	0.0×0.0
	5	5.0 x 5.0	4.0 x 4.0
0.1% CsI	1	4.0 x 4.0	3.0 x 3.0
(Test	2	3.0×3.0	0.0×0.0
group)	3	5.0 x 5.0	3.0×3.0
	4	5.0×5.0	0.0×0.0
	5	5.0 x 5.0	2.0×2.0
	6	5.0×5.0	2.0×2.0
	7	4.0×4.0	(Died)
	8	6.0×6.0	3.0×3.0
	9	6.0×6.0	3.0 x 3.0
	10	6.0×6.0	3.0×3.0
0.1% кьон	1	3.0 x 3.0	3.0 x 3.0
(Control	2	5.0×5.0	3.0×3.0
group)	3	3.0×3.0	3.0×3.0
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4	5.0 x 5.0	3.0×3.0
	5	3.0×3.0	(Died)
0.1% ВЬОН	1	3.0 x 3.0	3.0 x 3.0
(Test	2	5.0 x 5.0	3.0×3.0
group)	3	4.0×4.0	3.0×3.0
.	4	4.0×4.0	3.0×3.0
	5	5.0×5.0	5.0×5.0
	6	6.0×6.0	5.0×5.0
	7	5.0×5.0	5.0×5.0
	8	4.0×4.0	3.0×3.0
	9	6.0×6.0	3.0×3.0
	10	4.0 x 4.0	3.0×3.0
0.1% RbI	1	4.0 x 4.0	3.0×3.0
(Control	2	4.0 x 4.0	3.0×3.0
group)	3	5.0×5.0	3.0×3.0
• •	4	3.0×3.0	3.0×3.0
	5	6.0 x 6.0	3.0 x 3.0
0.1% RbI	1	4.0 x 4.0	3.0 x 3.0
(Test	2	3.0×3.0	3.0×3.0
group)	3	5.0 x 5.0	3.0 x 3.0
	4	(Died)	*****
*	5 6	4.0 x 4.0	0.0×0.0
	6	(Died)	
	7	3.0 x 3.0	0.0×0.0
	8	3.0×3.0	3.0 * 3.0
	9	3.0 x 3.0	3.0 x 3.0
	10	4.0 x 4.0	3.0 x 3.0

Cs and Rb Studies

References:

- Finney, D.J., "Statistical Methods in Biological Assay," New York, Hafner Publishing Company.
- Thompson, W.R., "Use of Moving Averages and Interpolation to Estimate Median Effective Dose," Bacteriological Review 11: 115-145, 1947.

À U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1979-- 759-575/1388