Principles of an Economic Analysis of Oral Rabies Vaccination Programs

Rabies, Oral Rabies Vaccination and Use of Benefit:cost Analyses

There are often no human rabies cases directly related to an epizootic of terrestrial rabies. Thus, it is often impossible to conduct a cost-effectiveness analysis—an often-recommended method for public health economics studies, in which the analytic output would be cost per averted case of human rabies (1). Thus, published studies have used benefit:cost methods, in which researchers attempt to evaluate all of the benefits and costs from ORV programs in dollar values (1,2). The general benefit:cost equation below illustrates the basic concept:

Net savings (costs) = value of reduced rabies-related costs –
costs of oral rabies vaccination (ORV) program.

Where: Value of reduced rabies–related costs = (some portion of) costs of rabies epizootic = additional pet vaccinations + additional livestock vaccinations + pet replacements + livestock replacements + additional human preexposure rabies prophylaxis + additional human postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) + costs of treating adverse side effects of additional PEPs + costs of case investigations by public health units + additional laboratory tests + quarantine of suspected rabid animals + public educational materials + potential loss of endangered mammals in areas near epizootics (3).

To date, prevention of costs of additional pet vaccinations and PEP have been the main economic impacts of rabies epizootics used to evaluate benefits of ORV programs (4–7). It is controversial to consider additional pet vaccinations as a cost of rabies epizootic (typically, before a rabies epizootic, vaccination rates in dogs in the United States are between 20% and 50% (8). Experience has shown that ORV programs cannot guarantee elimination of all rabies
risks to pets (e.g., ORV zones have been breached, bat rabies is not controlled by current ORV programs). It has been argued that it is potentially a benefit, and not a cost, if pet rabies vaccination rates increase, regardless of cause (S.A. Shwiff, unpub. data). Also, pet replacement costs have never, to our knowledge, actually been measured, and there has yet to be a valuation of a loss of endangered species due to a rabies epizootic.

**ORV-Related Program Cost**

Costs of an ORV program (often multiyear) can be defined as follows (3–5):

\[
\text{Costs of an ORV program per year} = (\text{area covered in 1 year} \times \text{vaccine-bait density per unit area} \times \text{price of individual vaccine-baits}) + \text{distribution costs} + \text{costs of injuries/accidents} + \text{costs of contingency plans}
\]

Vaccine-baits have, to date, been distributed by fixed-wing aircraft, helicopters, and ground (i.e., personnel either walking or driving). Although accidents and injuries associated with ORV operations have been rare, the potential for these costs remain and must be considered in any economic assessment of ORV. Injuries to employees performing baiting operations are typically covered by some form of preexisting insurance (i.e., costs are prepaid and thus not usually included), but potential public injury or death due to various events remains a possibility. To date, in the United States, only 1 accident involving a citizen’s exposure to the live vaccine in ORV baits has occurred. The citizen sued the public health agency responsible for distributing the baits. The court, however, ruled in favor of the public health department, incurring zero cost to the public (9).

**Analytic Timeline**

Rabies epizootics usually last ≥2 years and may exhibit cyclic patterns (i.e., number of rabid animals increase and decrease as the animal population changes). The costs of controlling rabies can be considered as having 3 different time periods: preepizootic, during epizootic, and postepizootic. It is possible that during the postepizootic period rabies control costs will not subside to preepizootic levels (I). Similarly, an ORV program will typically last ≥5 years. Thus, an economic study of ORV programs must incorporate the multiyear aspects of benefits and costs.
**Perspective and Discounting**

Because a substantial part of the costs of a rabies epizootic and an ORV program are borne by public health entities, it is appropriate that any analyses of an ORV program take a societal perspective as the principal perspective. Furthermore, because rabies epizootics and ORV programs may each take several years, benefits and costs must be appropriately discounted (1,2,6).

**Units of Analysis**

The economics of ORV are determined by human population density (i.e., increased human population results in increased probability and numbers of PEPs, pet vaccinations, etc.). It has been shown that human population density can impact the number of animals tested for rabies (10). Thus, it is recommended that both benefits and costs of ORV programs be calculated in terms of $/unit area (S.A. Shwiff, unpub.data). This allows for ready comparison within a program over time (as populations change), comparison between programs (with possible differences by targeted species and locale), and consideration of targeting and prioritization (by economic criteria).
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