U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH CINCINNATI, OHIO 45226

HEALTH HAZARD EVALUATION DETERMINATION REPORT NO. 76-89-456

CHRYSLER DETROIT TANK PLANT WARREN, MICHIGAN

JANUARY 1978

#### TOXICITY DETERMINATION

A NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluation was conducted at the Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant, Warren, Michigan, at the request of an employee representative of the United Auto Workers, Local 1200. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the exposure of employees working in or near the production welding departments to stainless steel welding fumes containing chromium, hexavalent chromium (VI), inorganic nickel, iron oxide, manganese, and fluorides.

Based on the data collected during the environmental survey on March 8-11, 1977, it has been determined that:

- A. Production "tack" and "firm" welders in both the hull and turret welding departments are exposed to hazardous levels of chromium, hexavalent chromium and inorganic nickel. Welders, air arc burning or "scarfing" hulls and turrets, were exposed to excessive levels of inorganic nickel; however, environmental measurements indicate hazardous levels of chromium and hexavalent chromium compounds were not associated with the scarfing process.
- B. A few welders are occasionally exposed, for short durations, to excessive concentrations of iron oxide fume above the evaluation criteria of 10 mg/M<sup>3</sup>, as recommended by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), for short term exposures up to 15 minutes. The time weighed average exposure for all welders was below 5 mg/M<sup>3</sup>, with the highest exposure measured at 1.88 mg/M<sup>3</sup> for the scarfer working at Station 12.
- C. Although the fluoride coated stainless steel welding rods contain manganese, only 4 out of 72 samples detected manganese levels above the maximum allowable concentration of 5 mg/M<sup>3</sup>. The welding fumes sampled contained fluorides but the concentrations detected were below a level which would be considered health hazardous.

REPRODUCED BY
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
SPRINGFILLO, VA 22161

1

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) recommends that all forms of inorganic nickel compounds and certain forms of chromium (VI) be controlled as carcinogens<sup>2,3</sup>. In December 1975, NIOSH transmitted its criteria for a recommended standard on chromium (VI) to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). This criteria document recommends that exposure to chromium (VI) not be greater than 1 µg Cr (VI)/M³ unless the employer can demonstrate that only non-carcinogenic chromium (VI) compounds are present in the workplace. A time weighted average (TWA) concentration of 25 µg Cr (VI)/M³ is permitted for non-carcinogenic chromium (VI). In May, 1977, NIOSH completed its criteria document on inorganic nickel and recommended that all forms of inorganic nickel be controlled to insure that workplace concentrations be limited to 15 µg inorganic nickel/M³ (TWA).

In view of the fact that welders are consistently exposed to welding fumes containing inorganic nickel and chromium (VI) in excess of the NIOSH recommended levels, and considering; that chromium (VI) has been found to cause increased respiratory mortality among workers, and that lung cancer and nasal cancer can result from inhalation of nickel, a health hazard is judged to exist for welders working in the hull and turret welding departments.

No work-related health problems or symtoms were detected during a NIOSH Medical Investigation conducted at the plant on January 18 & 19, 1977. All welders chest x-rays were within normal limits and employee interviews did not indicate that welders had suffered any unusual respiratory problems.

Although, at the time of the survey, there was no indication that welders had suffered adverse health affects from their exposure to stainless steel welding fume, it is recommended that preplacement and annual medical surveillance of exposed workers be implemented as soon as possible. This surveillance should include: pulmonary function tests, chest x-rays, comprehensive medical and work histories, and a complete physical examination with particular attention given to the lungs, upper respiratory tract and skin. The use of air supplied welding helmets should be mandatory for all welders and effective engineering and administrative controls are needed to reduce airborne concentration of welding fumes containing inorganic nickel and chromium (VI).

# II. DISTRIBUTION AND AVAILABILITY OF DETERMINATION REPORT

Copies of this Determination Report are available upon request from NIOSH, Division of Technical Services, Information Resources and Dissemination Section, 4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226.

After 90 days the report will be available through National Technical Information Services (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia. Information regarding its availability through NTIS can be obtained from NIOSH, Publications Office at the Cincinnati address. Copies have been sent to:

1. Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant, Warren, Michigan

2. Commander, Army Tank Office, U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command

3. Authorized Representative for Employees, UAW Local 1200

4. United Auto Workers International, Detroit, Michigan

5. U.S. Department of Labor - Region V

6. NIOSH - Region V

For the purpose of informing the approximately 184 affected employees, the Determination Report shall be posted for a period of 30 calendar days in a prominent place(s) near where exposed persons work.

#### III. INTRODUCTION

Section 20(a) (6) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, 29 U.S.C. 669(a) (6), authorizes the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, following a written request by an employer of authorized representative of employees, to determine whether any substance normally found in the place of employment has potentially toxic effects in such concentrations as used or found.

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health received such a request from an authorized representative of employees to evaluate employee exposure to welding fumes, sparks and radiation generated from production arc welding and air-arc burning (scarfing) performed on armor steel castings used in the assembly of the U.S. Army M60Al Battle Tanks. Because arc welding radiation is a physical agent, NIOSH was not authorized to investigate this potential hazard under the regulations governing the Health Hazard Evaluation.

#### IV. HEALTH HAZARD EVALUATION

### A. Process Description

The Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant, in Warren, Michigan is operated by Chrysler Corporation, but all facilities are government owned and under jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command. Built in 1942, the facilities were and are still used as the assembly plant for Army battle tanks. The M60Al is currently in production and during the time of this survey, the plant employed approximately 700 production workers and was producing 3-4 tanks per day when operating to full capacity.

Approximately 125 production arc welders were assigned to the Hull and Turret Welding Departments. "Tack" welders working in these departments positioned and spot welded numerous fixtures to the inside and around the top and bottom of the outside of the hull or turret castings. These spot welds were later "firm" welded to provide for required strength. Roll over equipment such as hull "barrels" and turret positioners were used to rotate castings to provide horizontal welding surfaces. Each hull and turret casting is moved from station to station with overhead cranes as the welding tasks progress. Time required for complete welding production on each hull and turret is approximately two weeks.

Fluoride flux coated, 3/8" and 1/4" stainless steel electrodes were used for both "tack" and "firm" welding. The stainless steel alloy used for the welding rod core wire was approximately 19-20% chromium and 10% nickel. Carbon electrodes were also used for air arc burning or "scarfing" of hull castings located at Station 12 in the hull welding department. Welders would occasionally scarf out hull openings to allow for proper fit and closing of hull hatches and doors. Previous industrial hygiene studies by Chrysler had indicated that very high welding fume concentrations could occur during the scarfing process; however, the fumes contained a lower percentage of chromium due to the use of carbon electrodes.

After all welding is completed and inspected, the hull and turret were thoroughly washed with a steam injected, kerosene based emulsion cleaner, then water rinsed, and air dried. The wash booth was located in the hull welding area and was equipped with overhead exhaust ventilation.

Due to a shortage of tanks, the army has scheduled increased production quotas for the plant through November, 1977. Additional arc welders will be needed as production builds to the required 5.8 tanks per day.

# B. Evaluation Design (Environmental)

An initial screening survey was conducted on August 26 & 27, 1976 in order to tour the production facilities and observe the welding operations in progress. Seventy one employees working in or near the hull and turret welding areas were selected for confidential, non-directed interviews, in order to determine if they had experienced any adverse health effects, or noted symptoms as a result of their exposure to the welding fumes. In addition to the standard non-directed interview, additional comments were solicited from production welders regarding their use of the air supplied welding helmets provided by Chrysler to control a welder's exposure.

The results of the employee interviews are contained in Table 1. Forty-five, out of the 71 employees interviewed, reported symptoms which in their opinion had been caused by conditions which existed in their work environment. Of these 45, 24 had reported more than one symptom.

There were numerous complaints about lack of adequate ventilation, and many employees reported that conditions were especially bad during the winter months. It was observed during the initial survey that the air within the hull and turret welding areas was clouded and hazy from welding fumes.

It was decided upon completion of the initial screening survey that a follow up environmental and medical study would be necessary to fully assess employee exposure to welding fumes. Because previous studies conducted by the U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency and Chrysler Corporation had not considered non-welder exposure, the follow up environmental study was designed to include air sampling in the tool room, the heavy repair departments and the over head crane cabs.

### C. Evaluation Design (Medical)

The initial medical survey was made on January 18, 1977. A walk-through tour of the plant and the specific working area that was allegedly causing problems were evaluated so that the medical investigator could get an overall picture of the problem.

On January 18 & 19, 1977, the medical investigator interviewed, made visual skin inspection of workers in the area, and reviewed the company health records of 35 employees who worked as welders or who worked in areas adjacent to the welding area.

# D. Evaluation Methods (Environmental)

An extensive environmental survey was conducted on March 8-11, 1977 and resulted in the collection of 269 atmospheric samples. Separate samples were collected in order to evaluate exposure to the various components of the welding fume, consisting of chromium, iron, nickel, manganese, chromium (VI), and fluorides. Representative welder breathing zone samples were collected for all types of welding tasks commonly performed throughout the welding departments. Air samples were also taken from the overhead cranes, tool room and heavy repair areas.

Samples to be analyzed for heavy metals were collected on 37mm, cellulose ester filters having a pore size of 0.8 microns. Samples to be analyzed for chromium (VI) were collected on polyvinyl chloride filters having a pore size of 5 microns. Samples to be analyzed for fluorides were collected on special pre-treated filters designed for collection of both gaseous and particulate fluorides. The filters were pre-treated with a solution of 50% ethanol - 10% sodium formate, in accordance with the instructions recommended in the NIOSH criteria document for inorganic fluorides.

All filters were placed in 3 piece, field monitor cassettes and attached to portable, battery operated air sampling pumps, operating at a flow rate of 1.5 liters per minute. Sampling equipment was worn by the employees throughout their work shift and all air sampling pumps with appropriate filter assemblies were calibrated prior to use. Filters were changed as necessary to prevent a reduction in air flow due to filter loading. Short term 15 minute samples were taken for chromium, iron, nickel, and manganese in order to determine peak or ceiling concentrations during time periods or near locations where high level welding fume concentrations were likely to occur.

Personal atmospheric samples for welders were collected inside the welding helmets. Filters were either taped to the inside wall of the helmet or positioned on the collar in such a way, that the helmet, when in the down position, covered the filters.

To compare the amount of total chromium to the amount of chromium in the hexavalent state, separate but simultaneous samples were collected. The samples were analyzed by the Utah Biomedical Test Laboratories in Salt Lake City. Chromium (VI) was determined by using the specific diphenylcarbizide method. Airborne metals were analyzed using atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Pre-treated filters which were used for fluoride collection were analyzed with the specific ion electrode method.

The emulsion cleaner used for cleaning hulls and turrets in the wash booth contained solvents with a fairly high percentage of aromatic hydrocarbons. To determine if solvent vapors, containing benzene or other toxic hydrocarbons were present in the wash booth, the breathing zone of the worker in the wash booth was sampled during the washing and rinsing of one hull and one turret. A bulk sample of cleaning solution was also obtained for chemical analysis. The atmospheric samples were collected on vapor absorbing charcoal tubes using low flow sampling pumps set at approximately 100 cc of air per minute. The atmospheric and bulk samples were analyzed using a gas chromatograph.

An attempt was made to detect the presents of ozone in the welding area but was not possible due to a malfunction in the direct reading instrumentation just prior to the start of the survey.

#### E. Evaluation Criteria

#### 1. Toxic Effects

The toxicity of welding fumes is dependent on the type of metal being welded and the process and electrodes used. The American Conference of Government Industrial Hygienists has recommended that welding fume exposure be evaluated on the basis of individual consituents which are likely to be present when welding stainless steel, cadmium, or lead coated steel, and other metals such as copper and nickel. The adverse health effects which could result from the excessive exposure to the toxic substances identified as present in the stainless steel welding fume at the Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant are summarized below.

Chromium - Under environmental conditions where oxygen is present, chromium exists in 3 principal forms: elemental chromium or chromium metal; trivalent chromium of chromium (III) including chromite and soluble chromous and chromic salts; and hexavalent chromium or chromium (VI) compounds as chromates, dichromates or chromic acid anhydride (CrO<sub>3</sub>).

The dusts of chromium metal and its insouble salts, chiefly the chromites, are considered to be relatively non-toxic. Pulmonary fibrosis may occur but the toxic effects associated with exposure are usually mixed exposures with more toxic hexavalent chromium compounds. The soluble chromic and chromous salts have no established toxicity although sensitization dermatitis may occur. The compound hexaquachromium trichloride can react with protein. Sarcoma was included in 1 of 35 rats implanted with chromic acetate in the thigh muscle and was reported as evidence of weak carcinogencity of this soluble trivalent compound. Some investigators believe that all persons sensitized to hexavalent chromium are also sensitive to the trivalent form but this has not been firmly established.

Contact dermatitis, skin ulcers, irritation and ulcertation of the nasal muccosa, and perforation of the nasal septum have resulted from contact with chromium (VI) materials. Other effects, such as kidney and liver damage, pulmonary congestion and edema, epigastric pain, and erosion and discoloration of the teeth have been reported on occasion. In addition to these effects exposure to some chromium (VI) compounds have been associated with an increased incidence of lung cancer. Evidence derived from animal

studies also indicated that certain hexavalent chromium compounds are carcinogens. There is evidence to support the theory that solubility of a chromium (VI) material has some influence on its carcinogencity (i.e. highly soluble chromium (VI) materials have not been found to be carcinogenic) but at the present time data is insufficient to accurately identify carcinogenic chromium (VI) materials solely on the basis of solubility.<sup>3</sup>

Inorganic Nickel - Lung cancer and nasal cancer can result from inhalation of nickel. The average latency period for induction of these cancers appears to be about 25 years.

Nickel compounds, other than nickel carbonyl, do not appear to manifest any exceptional symptoms of acute toxicity in humans. Nickel can cause dermatitis (nickel itch) expecially if there is appreciable skin contact with nickel - containing materials, such as nickel solutions. Sensitization to nickel in all forms may also occur. Some individuals may develop a sensitivity to nickel regardless of precautions taken to prevent exposure.<sup>2</sup>

The NIOSH decision to consider inorganic nickel a carcinogen is based primarly on evidence presented in epidemiologic studies of nickel refinery workers which show an excess number of deaths from lung and nasal cancer which were believed to be caused by worker exposures to airborne nickel compounds. However, the NIOSH criteria document for inorganic nickel does indicate that additional epidemiologic studies are needed to determine the risks of developing nickel - related cancers in occupations which have not been adequately studied, such as welding, plating, and refining nickel oxide ore.

rise to apparently benign pneumoconiosis termed siderosis.

Prolonged, excessive exposure over a period of 6 to 10 years is usually required before changes recognizable by X-ray can occur. The iron deposition in the lungs gives X-ray shadows which may be indistingusable from fibrotic pneumoconiosis.

Managanese - The main toxic effect is to the central nervous system. Early symptoms of managanese poisoning include languor, sleepiness and weakness in the legs. Emotional difficulties, such as uncontrollable laughter and a tendency to fall frequently when walking have been reported in advanced cases of manganese poisoning. A high incidence of pneumonia has also been associated with exposure to dusts and fumes of some manganese compounds. 10

Fluorides - Long Term exposure to excessive airborne fluoride concentrations can cause skeletal fluorosis (osteosclerosis or increased bone density due to excessive absorption and retention of fluorides). Severe osteosclerosis can result in moderate to crippling restrictions in movement of the spine. Soluble and acidic fluorides can cause skin, eye and respiratory irritation. 4 Complaints of nose bleeds and "sinus trouble" have been reported from welders exposed to fluorides.

#### 2. Environmental Levels

The environmental levels selected as evaluation criteria for the health hazard determination in this investigation are the exposure limits recommended by NIOSH or the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH). The airborne concentration limits, for toxic substances found in the workplace, are designed to protect the health and safety of exposed workers. It is believed that concentrations below these limits represent conditions under which nearly all workers may be repeatedly exposed 8-10 hours per day, 40 hours per weeks, without suffering adverse health affects.

The NIOSH Recommended Standards and the ACGIH Threshold Limit Values (TLV) have been selected as the evaluation criteria values since they are the most current. For many toxic substances these values are more strigent than the Federal Standards currently enforced by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

### Exposure Limit

Substance	NIOSHa	ACGIHD	OSHAC
Non-Carcinogenic* Chromium (VI)	25ug/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)	0.1mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)	0.1mg/M <sup>3</sup> (C)
Carcinogenic* Chromium (VI)	lug/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)**	0.1mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)	·
Soluble Chromous & Chromic Salts, as Cr	0.5mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)	0.5mg/M <sup>3</sup> (STEL)**	0.5mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)
Chromium metal & insoluble salts, as Cr	1.Omg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)	1.0mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)**	1.0mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)
Inorganic Nickel	15ug/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)**	O.1mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)	1.Omg(TWA)
Iron Oxide Fume	5mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)	5mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)** 10mg/M <sup>3</sup> (STEL)**	10mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)
Manganese	5mg/M <sup>3</sup> (C)	5mg/M3(C)**	5mg/M <sup>3</sup> (C)
Fluorides	2.5mg/M3(TWA)**	2.5mg/M <sup>3</sup> (TWA)	2.5mg/M3(TWA)

TWA = Time Weighted Average STEL = Short Term Exposure Limit (15 minutes duration) C = Ceiling Limit

\* NIOSH has defined "non-carcinogenic" chromium (VI) to be chromium (VI) in monochromated and dichromates (bichromates) of hydrogen, lithium, sodium, potassium, rubidium, cesium, amonium and chromium (VI) oxide. "Carcinogenic" chromium (VI) comprises any chromium (VI) material not included in the group above, such as lead, zinc and calcium chromates.

\*\* The exposure limits chosen as evaluation criteria for the health hazard determination of this evaluation.

- a. As recommended by NIOSH criteria documents or NIOSH/OSHA Standards Completion Program Draft Technical Standards
- b. As recommended by the ACGIH (TLV list for 1976)
- c. Federal Standards from Table Z-1, Z-2 and Z-3 29 CFR 1910,1000

#### F. Evaluation Results and Discussion (Medical)

A total of thirty-five (35) employees were interviewed. The Demographic data is presented in Table 2. During the interviews, the employees did not have complaints they believed were work related (see Table 3 and 4). Employees were more concerned over the sanitary condition of the water fountain and rest room. The medical investigator had the opportunity to use these facilities and did find them to be in poor sanitary condition. The visual check of the hands and face revealed no lesions other than normal scratches from working with the metal or heavy equipment.

Upon reviewing the company health records of the employees with the company physician, it is noted that the employees had only seen the physician or nurse for headaches, colds, stomach pains, flash burns, and trauma. A review of the chest X-rays of the employees, with the company physician, revealed all X-rays to be within normal limits. Table 5 shows a summary of the radiologist reports on the employees.

The company had drawn blood specimens on all welders working with chromium for blood chromium levels, but had to destroy the specimens because the company could not find a lab to analyze the specimens.

After carefully reviewing all data collected on this visit and reviewing material available to the medical investigator on chromium, it was determined that medically, no work-related health problems and/or symptoms existed at the time of this medical survey.

### G. Evaluation Results and Discussion (Environmental)

The results of atmospheric sampling for welding fume, on March 8-10, 1977 are presented in Table 6 and 7. The data contained in Table 6 represents the results from full shift sampling for chromium, iron oxide and nickel, and the simultaneous sampling for chromium (VI). Inorganic fluoride concentrations and peak iron oxide, chromium, nickel and manganese levels, as determined from short term samples, are presented in Table 7. The time weighted average concentrations are not an 8 hour TWA but are based on actual sampling duration. The estimated welding task duration time (in hours) is the approximate time a welder was working at his welding station. The tables identify which welders sampled were wearing air supplied welding helmets.

It should be noted that most welders sampled during the survey performed welding tasks only 4-5 hours during their 8 hour shift. Random 15-60 minute time and motion studies, for hull line and barrel welding, indicated actual arc-time averaged 43% (Range 17%-81%) of a work cycle. From this data it is estimated that arc-time is approximately 2 hours per shift for hull line and barrel welders. Tack welders arc-time would probably be less than 2 hours. This work schedule is a contributing factor in reducing a welders' exposure to welding fume over an 8 hour shift.

The atmospheric chromium concentration data is presented in Table 8. This data does not support the previously reported Chrysler data which had demonstrated that approximately 70% of the total airborne chromium was in the hexavalent state. IT Only 5 of 44 chromium samples were greater than 60% chromium (VI) and 32 samples were less than 20% chromium (VI). The wide variation in the precentage of chromium (VI) vs total chromium could be attributed to differences in the amount of welding fume collected on each filter. The U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency reported that comparative sampling within a given helmet could differ from 7-80%. It is of interest that 3 samples for chromium (VI) collected from welders working in barrel #2 were in excess (greater than 100%) of the amount of total chromium collected from the breathing zone of these same welders.

### Page 12 - Health Hazard Evaluation Determination Report 76-89

The constituents of the stainless steel welding fume sampled which exceeded the evaluation criteria are presented in Table 9. These exposures are considered excessive and the table lists all jobs or locations which may be health hazardous due to high concentrations of airborne chromium, chromium (VI), nickel, manganese and iron oxide. Airborne fluoride concentrations were within acceptable levels. Tool room and heavy repair personnel were not exposed to excessive welding fumes during this survey.

Benzene was not detected in the breathing zone samples from the wash booth, nor was benzene detected in the bulk sample of the soap solution being used to clean the hulls and turrets. . The lower limit of detection was 0.01mg per charcoal tube sample or lmg/ml for the bulk sample.

#### V. RECOMMENDATIONS

- The air supplied welding helmets should be provided to all welders and their use should be mandatory for both "tack" and "firm" welders.
- 2. A new dilution ventilation system presently under study by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers should be installed and made operational as soon as possible. Although this system would not likely reduce airborne chromium (VI) levels below the NIOSH recommendation of lug chromium/M3 of air, this combined with the use of air supplied welding helmets should afford welders adequate protection.
- If possible, the use of welding rods with reduced chromium and nickel content should be considered.
- 4. Preplacement and periodic medical surveillance programs should be implemented as soon as possible. This surveillance program should include: pulmonary function testing, chest X-ray, comprehensive medical work histories, and a complete physical examination. The pulmonary function studies should include Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), Forced Expiratory Volume at 1 second (FEV1), and Mean Maximal Expiratory Flow (MMEF). Following preplacement examinations, follow-up studies should be conducted every 3 years for welders under 35 years of age, every 2 years for welders 35-45 and yearly for all welders over age 45.
- Additional studies should be undertaken by Chrysler's Industrial Hygiene Section or the U.S. Army Environmental

- Hygiene Agency to determine if stainless steel welding fume contains only non-carcinogenic chromium (VI).
- Accurate medical records should be kept of all injuries, illness and deaths of workers exposed to stainless steel welding fumes. These records should be kept as part of both the personnel and medical record.

#### VI. REFERENCES

- ACGIH; Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents in the Workroom Environment with intended changes for 1976.
- 2. Criteria for a Recommended Standard-Occupational Exposure to Inorganic Nickel, NIOSH, HEW, Washington D.C. May, 1977.
- 3. Criteria for a Recommended Standard-Occupational Exposure to Chromium (VI), NIOSH, HEW, Washington D.C. 1975.
- 4. Inter Company Correspondence, Chrysler Industrial Hygiene welding fume survey, February 16, 1973.
- Criteria for a Recommended Standard-Occupational Exposure to Inorganic Fluorides, NIOSH, HEW, Washington D.C. 1975, Appendix II.
- ACGIH; Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values; Supplements for Those Substances Added or Changed, Years 1974-1975, Welding Fumes, Not Otherwise Classified.
- 7. NIOSH/OSHA Draft Technical Standard; Set O, Chromium metal and insoluble chromium salts.
- 8. NIOSH/OSHA Draft Technical Standard, Set O, soluble chromous and chromic salts.
- NIOSH/OSHA Draft Technical Standard, Set 0, Iron oxide fume.
- 10. ACGIH; Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values, 3rd Edition, 1971.
- 11. Industrial Hygiene Special Study (IZ) No. 35-040-75176, U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Evaluation of Air-Supplied Welding Helmets, 28 April-May, 1975.

# Page 14 - Health Hazard Evaluation Determination Report 76-89

## VII. AUTHORSHIP AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Report Prepared By:

Stanley A. Salisbury

Regional Industrial Hygienist

NIOSH Region V Chicago, Illinois

Originating Office:

Jerome P. Flesch

Acting Chief, Hazard Evaluation and Technical Assistance Branch

Cincinnati, Ohio

# <u>Acknowledgments</u>

Environmental Evaluation:

Richard S. Kramkowski Regional Consultant NIOSH Region V Chicago, Illinois

John R. Kominsky Industrial Hygienist

Industrial Hygiene Section, Hazard Evaluation and Technical Assistance

Branch

Cincinnati, Ohio

William A. Evans Industrial Hygienist

Industrial Hygiene Section, Hazard Evaluation and Technical Assistance

Branch

Cincinnati, Ohio

Norbert Schuttee

CoStep

Industrial Hygiene Section, Hazard Evaluation and Technical Assistance

Branch

Cincinnati, Ohio

Thomas C. Klapperich

Director, Division of Prevention

Region V

Chicago, Illinois

Page 15 - Health Hazard Evaluation Determination Report 76-89

Medical Evaluation:

Raymond E. Stroman Mx.

Physicians Assistant
Medical Section, Hazard Evaluation
and Technical Assistance Branch

Cincinnati, Ohio

Analytical Laboratory Services: Utah Biomedical Test Labortories

Salt Lake City, Utah

# TABLE 1 Employee Interview Results Initial Environmental Survey March 1977

Chrysler Tank Plant Warren, Mich HE 76-89

DEPT.				_		SY	MPTOMS	REI	ORTE	D			,						
u-t	Sinusitis	Breathing Difficulties	Flash Burns	Burning Eyes	Head-ache	Cough	Dry or Sore Throat	Dermatitis	Arthritis	Nose Bleeds	Heart Pains/	Upset Stomach	Ulcer	Chest Surns	Finger Numbress	Smokers	Non-Smokers	Total Number Interviewed	-
301 Poom	2			1	1			1		1		1				3	1	4	
Room 340 Stores	1												П				1	1	
Stores 40			1													2		2	
160 Room																1		1	
Room 130 ret Wld.		2		3		4										10	2	12	
et Wld. 131 Weld,	4	4	2	1	1	4	3				1			1		4	7	11	
Weld, 132 Weld.	1	1	2			1	1	1								2	5	7	
Wold. 33 Line.	2	2		1		1	1	1	1		1	1				7	3	10	
Line. 34 ot Plat	1	1	1	1												3	1	4	
ot Plat 35 Parts	1																1	1	
Parts 05 ection	1															3		3	
ection 06 ection						V., (										1		1	
ection 41 iage	2	1	1	3	1		9									4		4	
iage 60 y Rep.		3			1	2	.2	1			1	1			1	7	3	10	

TOTAL----71

#### COMMENTS ON AIR SUPPLY

#### WELDING HEIMET

- 1. Seven interviewed dislike helmet and don't use it.
- 2. Six interviewed liked helmet but don't use it.
- 3. Nine interviewed like and use helmet.
- 4. Eleven interviewed never used helmet or it is not available.
- 5. Nine interviewed dislike helmet but do use it.

Chrysler Plant Warren, Michigan HE 76-89

TABLE 2 Demongraphic data

# January 1977

Sex	Race	Job Discription	Years in this job	Years at Chrysler	Smoke	Complaints
M	С	Welder	22	4	Yes	Shortness of Breath
M	C	Welder	17	5	Yes	Productive Cough
M	C	Welder	13	1	Yes	None
F	В	Welder	1	1	Yes	None
M	C	Welder	1	1	Yes	Chest Pains, Sore throat, Productive Cough
M	В	Welder	13	16	Yes	None
M	C	Welder	1	1	Yes	Sore Throat
M	C	Tool Room	29	29	Yes	None
M	C	Heavy Repair	16	16	No	Sore Throat
M	C	Heavy Repair	16	16	No	None
M	В	Heavy Repair	16	16	Yes	None
M	C	Heavy Repair	16	16	No	None
M	C	Heavy Repair	5	5	No	None
M	C	Inspector	10	10	No	Sore Throat, Shortness of Breath
M	C	Heavy Repair	4	4	No	None
M	В	Heavy Repair	9	25	Yes	Shortness of Breath, Burning of Eyes
M	C	Welder	18	18	No	None
M	C	Inspector	7	30	Yes	Tightness in Chest
M	C	Heavy Repair	2	2	No	Burning Eyes
Μ.	C	Welder	1	1	No	Nose Bleeding, Sore Throat, Chest Tightness
M	C	Crane Operator	20	20	Yes	Burning Eyes, Sore Throat, Chest Tightness
M	В	Welder	18	6	Yes	Burning of Eyes
M	C	Welder	2	2	Yes	Productive Cough, Chest Tightness, Sore Throat, Nose Bleeds
M	C	Welder	1	1	Yes	Productive Cough, Sore in Nasal Cavity
M	В	Welder	33	33	Yes	Sore Throat
M	C	Welder	28	28	No	None
F	В	Welder	7	7	No	None
M	В	Welder	2	2 7	No	Productive Cough
M	C	Welder	7	7	Yes	None
M	C	Welder	17	3	Yes	None
M	В	Welder	7	7	No	Nose Bleeds, Chest Pains, Sore Throat
M	В	Welder	30	16	Yes	None
M	В	Welder	4	4 .	No	None

TABLE 3
Summary of Demographic Data
January 1977

		AGE			TH OF EMPLO		LENGTH F	POSITION HE	LD (Years)
	RANGE	MEDIAN	AVERAGE	RANGE	MEDIAN	AVERAGE	RANGE	MEDIAN	AVERAGE
Welders									
Caucasian 13	19-60	37	40.3	1-28	3	6.4	1-28	13	11.3
Black 8	28-52	44	41.6	2-33	10	14.3	2-33	10	14.3
(Black Female) 2	30-55	43	42.5	1-7	4	4.0	1-7	4.0	4.0
Tool Room		9							
Caucasian 1	55			29			29		
Black 0			~-						
Peavy Repair							4 2 3	5.3	
Caucasian 6.	23-55	40	39.3	2-16	15	9.8	2-16	15	9.8
Black 2	51-62	56	56.5	9-16	12	12.5	16-25	20	20.5
Crane Operator		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Caucasian 1	47		~-	20			20		
Black 0	1						77		
Inspector	3								
Caucasian 2	52-54 .	53	53	10-30	19	20.0	7-10	8	8.5
Black 0			-						. ~
M F									
Cau 23 0	19-60	47	42.5	1-30	5	10.4			
Black 10 0	28-61	50	44.6	7-30	12	13.3			~-
Black 0 2	30-55	43	42.6	1-7	4	4.0			~-

TABLE 4
Summary of Interview
January 1977

POSITION	NUMBER INTERVIEWED	TIGHTNESS OF CHEST	SORE IN NASAL CAVITY	SHORTNESS OF BREATH	PRODUCTION COUGH	CHEST	SORE THROAT	NO COMPLAINTS	BURNING OF EYES	NOSE BLEED
Welders	19	2	1	1	5	2	6 .	. 11	1	3
Tool Room	1			· ·				1.00		
Heavy Repair	8			1		. 1	. 1	5	2	
Inspector .	. 1	1.		1			1		4	
Crane Operator	.2	1	æ +				1		1	

# TABLE 5 Radiologist Report January 1977

SEX	RACE	JOB TITLE	RADIOLOGIST REPORT	DATE OF REPORT
М	C	Welder	Questionable unlining mediastinum	2-25-72
M	C	Welder	Neg	6-11-75
M	C	Welder	Neg	7-18-75
M	C C C	Welder	Neg	7-14-75
M	C	Tool Room	Bronchials	7-20-68
M	C C	Heavy Repair	Neg	4-26-73
M		Welder	Increase vascular markings	4-30-70
M	C	Welder	Neg	7-16-75
M	C	Crane Oper.	Neg	6-07-74
M	C B	Welder	Neg	6-05-75
M	C	Welder	Bronchials	10-23-73
M	C	Welder	Neg	1-29-76
M	В	Welder	Old adhesion	6-12-75
M	C	Welder	Neg	4-30-75
M	В	Welder	Cardiac fullness	5-09-75
M	C	Welder	Neg	*7-23-73
M	В	Welder	Neg	*2-17-75
M	В	Welder	Linear scarring in the right apex - linear densities are noted at both lung base having the appearance of liner fibrosis or discord ateleclatic change. No evidence of acute parenchymal consolidation or pulmonar	
			mass	5-13-75
M	В	Welder	Neg	4-27-73
M	В	Welder	Pneumoconiosis	*6-05-75
M	Č	Welder	Hypertrophicosteroarthritis	*5-01-75
1.1	L	HOLIGI	ry bor crobittons coronar attracts	2-04-12

<sup>\*</sup> Pending new report from radiologist

CHE 76-89 Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant Warren, Michigan

TABLE 6

Results of Environmental Sampling for Fumes of Chromium, Iron Oxide and Nickel and for Chromium VI (Collected March 8, 1977)

Department Job/Location	Sample Duration	Type Helmet	Cr Mg/M3	Fe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>	Ni Ug/M3	Cr VI Ug/M3	Est. Weld. Task Duration Time (hr.)	Comments
Department 4831 Hull welding-Nose inside	March 8, 0845-1225 1226-1450 TWA	1977 Std.	0.06 0.11 0.08	0.66 1.14 0.85	30 80 49.8	11.9	5	Filters on collar under helmet
Department 4831 Tack welding outside hull	0805-1235 1237-1445 TWA	Std.	0.02 0.04 0.03	0.17 0.25 0.20	70 5 49.1	*<0.3	5.5	Filters on collar under helmet
Department 4833 (hull lin Firm welding inside hull	e) 0730-1200 1202-1451	Air Supply	0.02 0.01 0.016	0.18 0.04 0.13	10 <10 <10	<0.3	5	Filters taped to inside of helmet when worn
Tack welding inside hull	0745-1050 1052-1420 1421-1458 TWA	Std.	0.12 0.11 0.32 0.13	0.79 0.63 1.41 0.78	80 60 140 77	25.8	5	Works in front end of hull Filters on collar under helmet
Firm welding inside hull	0735-1205 1207-1445 TWA	Air Supply	0.04 0.03 0.036	0.21 0.17 0.20	10 <10 <10	7.1	5	Works in partially confined space Filters taped inside helmet
Department 4831 Area Sample (Bay K-21)	0900-1450	N/A	0.06	0,58	50	32.6	N/A	Near main aisle intersection
Department 4832 Welding- Barrel No. 4 (Shock Mounts)	0725-1140 1143-1428 TWA	Std.	0.20 0.06 0.15	0.99 0.48 0.79	110 30 79	121.5 <0.9 <74	4.5	Finished welding at 1255 Filters taped inside helmet

RHE 76-89 Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant Warren, Michigan

TABLE 6-

								(Collected March 8th, 1977)
Department Job/Location	Sample Duration	Type Helmet	Cr Mg/M <sup>3</sup>	Fe2 03 Mg/M3	Ni Ug/M <sup>3</sup>	Cr VI Ug/M <sup>3</sup>	Est. Weld. Task Duration Time (hrs.)	Comments
Firm welding-Barrel #2	0736-1210 1215-1432 TWA	Std.	0.15 0.23 0.18	0.64 0.89 0.72	80 100 87	0.9 346.0 132	3.5	Filters taped inside helmet
Scarfing-Station 12	.0741-1115 1121-1426 TWA	Std.	0.03 0.02 0.025	2.66 0.99 1.88	50 20 36	2.6 1.1 1.9	3	Finished scarfing at 1315 but re- mained in Station 12 area Filters on collar under helmet
Firm welding-Barrel #2	0822-1115 -1116-1313 .0822-1225 1228-1313	Std.	0.25	1.16	150 190	*150.2 1708.1		Suffered injury at 1315 - Sent to dispensary
	TWA	1	0,30	1.25	166	394.5	2.5	Filters taped inside helmet
Firm welding-Barrel #1	-0803-1440	Air Supply	0.02	0.16	9	2.2	3.5	Filters inside helmet - finished welding 1335
Department 4833 Area Sample (hull line)	0806-1445	N/A	0.03	0.31	20	5.7	N/A	Located 6' above walkway at Station 3
Department 4830 Firm welding-Positioner	0718-1049 1052-1506 TWA	Air: Supply	0.22 0.09 0.15	0.94 0.42 0.65	120 50 81	855	66	Filters taped inside helmet when welding
Tack welding outside turret	0727-1450	Std.	0.06	0.44	50	11.6	4	Filters on collar under helmet when welding
Firm welding-Positioner	0748-1005 1007-1514 TWA	Std.	0.07 0.21 0.16	0.34 0.94 0.72	30 120 87.2	167.8	5	Filters taped inside helmet when welding

RHE 76-89 Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant Warren, Michigan

TABLE 6

Department Job/Location	Sample Duration	Type Helmet	Cr Mg/M <sup>3</sup>	Fe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>	Ug/M3	Cr VI Ug/M3	Est. Weld. Task Duration Time (hrs.)	(Collected March 8 & 9, 1977  Comments
Tack welding inside turret	0809-1451	Air Supply	0.04	0.30	30	1.0	4	Filter on collar outside helme 1.5 hrinside rest of time
Department 4830 Area Sample (on hoist support)	0825-1520	N/A	0.008	0.10	5	<0.3	N/A	Between Bay K-22 and K-23
Department 4960 (Heavy Tank Repairman	Repair) 0833-1516	None	0.008	0.07	۷3	<0.4	None	Filter plug removed 0945 on Cr VI sample
Tank Repairman	0832-1509	None	0.006	0.09	۷3	۷0.3	None	
Tank Repairman	0837-1514	None	0.003	0.06	<3	<* 0.3	None	
Department 4960 Area Sample (Bay L-23)	0842-1511	N/A	0.006	0.05	۷3	<0.3	N/A	Above desk - Near turret Positioner #4
					•-			
Department 0310 (Tool F Tool Maker	Room)	None	0.03	0.14	6	<0.4	None	Spent much of day away from Tool Room
Tool Maker	0912-1448	None	0.02	0.14	4	0.8	None	
Department 0310 Area Sample (Bay J-16)	- 0915-1502	N/A	0.02	0.13	9	0.9	N/A	Top of locker on west Tool Room wall
Department 0440 Area Sample (Crane Cab)	March 9, 77 0724-1509	N/A			-	<0.3	N/A	Crane K-1 over Hull area
Area Sample (Crane Cab)	0801-1525	N/A	-	0.	-	<0.3	N/A	Crane K-6 over Turret area

RHE 76-89 Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant Warren, Michigan

TABLE 6

							Est. Weld.	(Collected March 10th, 1977)
Department Job/Location	Sample Duration	Type Helmet	Cr Mg/M3	Fe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>	Ni Ug/M3	Cr VI Ug/M3	Task Duration Time (hrs.)	Comments
Department 4833 (Hull Lin Firm welding inside/out- side	e) March 10, 0710-1020 1030-1450 TWA	, 1977 Std.	0.09 0.06 0.07	0.52 0.38 0.44	60 40 48	11.3	4.5	Worked 70% inside & 30% outside on rear hull section (Station 6) Filters under helmet when welding
Tack welding inside hull	0715-0925 0925-1510 TWA	Std.	0.03 0.04 0.037	0.75 0.43 0.52	<10 30 <25	*5.3 1.3 3.1	4	Worked inside on from hull section (Station 5) Filters under helmet when welding
Firm welding outside hull	0750-0957 0957-1430 TWA	Std.	0.15 0.05 0.08	0.83 0.27 0.48	80 30 46	21.8	3	Worked Station 8 on hull line Filters under helmet when welding
Firm welding inside hull	0730-1010 1010-1215 1215-1355 1355-1520 TWA	Std.	0.25 0.10 0.33 0.93 0.35	1.07 0.42 1.22 3.81 1.42	130 50 150 490 178	Not Taken	6	Worked front hull section at Station 8 Filters under helmet when welding
Department 4832 Barrel #2 - inside	0710-0935 0936-1430 TWA	Std.	0.37 0.16 0.23	1.82 0.86 1.18	230 110 150	3724 227 1382	Unknown	PVC Filter loading noted on Cr VI sample @ 0935 Filters under helmet when welding
Barrel #2 - inside	0715-1115 1116-1435 TWA	Unknown	0.27 0.08 0.18	1.35 0.36 0.90	170 360 256	*2686 49.6 1491	Unknown	Filters under helmet when welding
Scarfing Station: 12	0721-1106 1107-1501 TWA	Std.	0.04 0.03 0.035	3.16 0.18 1.64	60 6 32.5	1.2 40.6 40.9	Unknown	Filters under helmet when welding

RHE 76-89 Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant Warren, Michigan

TABLE-6

(Collected March 10th, 1977) Est. Weld. Task Department Sample Cr Mg/M<sup>3</sup> Cr VI Ug/M3 Fe<sub>2</sub> 0<sub>3</sub> Mg/M<sup>3</sup> Ni Duration Type Ug/M3 Job/Location Duration He1met Time (hrs.) Comments Department 4833 Work table near hull line -Area Sample (Bay K-17) 0750-1507 N/A 0.05 0.35 30 23 N/A Station 7 Above walkway near hull line -Area Sample (Bay K-15) 0811-1505 N/A 0.03 0.20 10 8.9 N/A Station 10 Five ft. above floor on crane N/A 0.9 N/A Area Sample (Bay K-15) 0804-1505 0.03 30 0.85 post - Station 12 Department 4830 Welding/Scarfing (Turret) 0733-0828 0.55 2.27 250 Std. Welding 5 Periodic welding & grinding 0.008 0.54 0832-1223 1226-1255 Scarfing & grinding (wore dustmask) 0.13 14.01 340 1258-1440 <0.009 0.16 <10 Minimum welding 1.9 0733-1255 1258-1440 <1.4 TWA 3 Filters under helmet when welding 0.09 1.6 <61.8 <1.8 Turret Grinding 0804-1526 0.009 0.34 0.3 None None Filters on collar Turret tack welding 0816-1457 0.04 0.27 30 0.5 4 Filters under helmet when welding Std. Department 4830 On hoist support for turret Area Sample (Bay K-23) 0.12 0748-1527 N/A 0.009 <3 <0.3 N/A bird cage Department-Tracking Repair On building support beam near Area Sample N/A 0.008 0.09 43 0731-1528 <0.3 N/A Station 12 Department 0310 (Tool Room) Tool Maker 0807-1453 0.009 0.34 None <3 < 0.3 None Tool Room central location on Area Sample 0742-1512 N/A 0.01 3 0.16 <0.3 N/A table Department 0440 Area Sample (Crane Cab) N/A <0.3 Crane K-1 above hull welding 0718-1503 N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Analysis of these samples may be in error due to the possibility of interfering substances being present.

Ug/M<sup>3</sup> = Micrograms of contaminant per cubic meter of air.

Mg/M<sup>3</sup> = Milligram of contaminant per cubic meter of air.

RHE 76-89		Results of Short Term Sampling for Chromium, Iron Oxide  Nickel and Manganese full shift sampling for Fluorides  Pst Weld										
Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant Warren Michigan							Conct.					
Department	Sampling	Type	Cr	Pe203	Mi	11-	FI	Pura	Commente			
Job/Location	Periods	Pelmet	-	Ma/M3	Ug/M3	Mar/w3	ма/м3		(hr)			
Dept. 4833 (Hull Line)									Y			
Firm Welding - Station 8	0720-0742	Std.	0.2	1.0	120	0.3						
riim metaling - Station o	1217-1232	"	0.4	1.7	220	0.4						
	1253-1305		40.2	0.9	∠200	0.3						
			20.2	0.5	2200	0.3	*0.18					
	0720-0950	, .										
	0950-1200						*0.16		Filters under helmet			
	1202-1450						*0.46	100	when welding			
	TWA			-	-	-	0.28	4.5	when weiding			
Firm welding inside hull		Air										
Station 7	0911-0926	Supply	4.9	16	1600	7.6			Worked inside front hul			
7.777.75	1210-1225	"	4.4	15.6	1400	6.7			section which was par-			
	1336-1351	19	5.3	18.2	1600	9.3			tially enclosed. Fil-			
	0730-1204		5.5	20.2	20.70		0.05		ters under helmet when			
	1204-1430	**					*n.37		welding.			
	TWA		-		-		0.16	Δ	mczuzny.			
Tack welding inside hull	0817-0832	Std.	40.2	1.4	<b>4200</b>	0.2						
Station 6	0940-0955		0.3	1.7	£200	0.4						
	1224-1239	н	0.4	1.7	200	0.4						
	1350-1430		0.2	0.7	3.00	0.2						
	0735-0945		2000	7.50			0.15					
	0947-1122						0.11		Filters under helmet			
	1202-1500						*0.13		when welding			
	TWA		2.0	1.2	-	2	0.73	3.5	mich bearing			
	THA			=								
~												
Dept. 4831												
Tack welding outside hull	0750-1007	Std.	0.2	1.3	90	0.2						
	1007-1022		∠0.2	3.0	€200	0.2			Welding and scarfing			
	1319-1334		0.6	2.3	400	0.7			Tack welding			
	1334-1347	H	2.4	10.4	1000	2.5						
							0.27		Scarfing and welding			
	0750-1007											
	0750-1007 1007-1245						0.05		STANGET OF STREET			
	1007-1245											
	1007-1245 1245-1357						0.05		Scarfing			
	1007-1245						0.05	5				

Page 2 ot 5 (Collected March 9, 1977) Fst Weld

Filters inside

helmet when worn

0.51

Unknown

Task Comments Fe203 Ni Mn FI Duration Cr Department Sampling Type ма/м3 Ug/M3 Mg/M3 Ma/M3 Ma/M3 Time (hr.) Periods Helmet Job/Location Dept. 4831 On table near N/A scarfing Area Sample 0842-1505 N/A 0.01 0.4 10 0.03 \*0.01 Dept. 4832 Air 0.7 Outside firm weld - Barrel #2 0814-0829 Supply 0.6 2.1 Z200 0924-0939 0.1 40.2 2.1 300 Filters taped in--11 1215-1230 Z0.2 0.3 Z200 Ln.1 side helmet 0715-1445 0.01 5 Outside firm weld - Barrel #2 0835-0850 Std. 0.3 5.8 4200 0.4 0.8 0935-0950 0.6 2.4 **Z200** 0.3 0953-1008 0.2 1.7 Z200 0.2 1210-1225 0.2 0.9 4200 1227-1242 0.5 1.6 £200 0.6 1246-1301 20.1 L0.2 0.4 4200 0.13 0735-1114 1116-1449 0.06 Filters taped inside helmet TWA 0.10 Un'nown 0.5 Outside firm weld Barrel #2 0.4 2.3 300 0811-0826 Std. 0950-1005 0.3 1.4 **Z200** 0.3 w 1.5 1245-1300 1.3 3.7 600 1359-1414 40.2 0.6 4200 0.2 1415-1430 1.2 1.0 3.1 400 0.18 0725-1040 1041-1454 \*0.18 TWA 0.18 I'nknown Filters taned inside helmet. Inside welding Barrel #2 6.2 700 1.9 0826-0841 Std. 1.6 1035-1050 2.5 0.7 0.6 300 1224-1244 1.9 8.0 1000 2.1 1326-1349 \*\* 0.3 1.2 200 0.4 1355-1410 0.8 3.3 1.0 400 0800-0928 \*0.70 0930-1436 \*0.45

TWA

D## 7/

#### Page 3 of 5 (Collected March 9, 1977)

RIE 76-89									
					100			Pst Weld Task	
Department Job/Location	Sampling Periods	Type Helmet	Mg/M3	Fe <sub>2</sub> 03	Mi Ug/M <sup>3</sup>	Ma/M3	F1 Ma/M3	Duration Time (hr.)	Corrents
carfing Station 12	0941-1235 1238-1455 0720-0940	Std.	0.05	5.6 1.8	100	0.2	*0.04	Unknown	Filters on collar under helmet. Fl. conct. very low as expected
Dept. 4832									Filter mounted
Area Sample (Station 12)	0756-1109	N/A	0.03	13.0	230	0.3			on crane post
	1110-1503	**	0.03	2.0	.430	0.09			5ft. above floor
	TWA	•	0.03	7.0	339	0.18	-	N/A	in center of Station 12
Dept. 4833 (hull line)	-								Filter located
Area Sample	0751-1142	N/A	-	-	-	-	0.21		on work table
	1149-1450		-	-	-	-	0.14		near Station 4
	TVA		-		- 5		0.18	N/B	of hull line
Dept. 4830		Air							
Firm welding, Turret	0849-0907	Supply	2.4	7.7	900	2.2			Welding inside
Positioner	1209-1225		1.5	. 4.7	500	1.4			" outside
	1227-1245		0.3	1.2	100	0.4			
	1246-1302		2.1	6.7	800	2.1	0.57		
	0727-1202						1.68		Filter taned
	1203-1302						*0.45		inside helmet
	1303-1457						0.69	4	when welding
	TWA		- 1	-	-	-	0.03		
£									
Firm welding turret	1020-1036	Std.	2.6	8.6	900	2.7			Melding outside
	1038-1058		0.9	3.0	300	0.9			" inside
	1352-1409		0.7	2.4	300	0.7	*0.53		" outside
	0735-1126						*0.40		m114 (m 2
	1214-1409						0.49		Filter under helmet when
	TWA		-		-	-	21.52	-	welding

TABLE 7

								rst Fr	
Department Job/Location	Sampling Periods	Type Helmet	Cr Mg/M3	Fe203 Mg/M3	Mj Ug/M <sup>3</sup>	Ma/M3	F7	murat:	
Tack welding turret	1208-1223	std.	40.2	0.7	Z200	0.2			welding outside
	1223-1238		0.2	0.9	£200	0.2			Welding inside
	1238-1252		Zn.2	0.5	×200	0.1	1		one man welding outsid
	1252-1308	**	20.2	0.4	Z200	0.1		3	Filter on collar under
	0743-1403			2.50			0.10		under helmet when
	1404-1502						0.30		welding
	TWA						0.13	2.5	
Tack welding, inside turret	1109-1121	Std.	∠n.2	0.3	∠200	∠0.1			
	1211-1230	"	<0.1	0.2	<b>∠100</b>	<0.1			
	1231-1337		10:4	36.8	4500	11.5			Filter heavily loaded
	1338-1355		40.2	40.2	4200	20.1	•		even though welding
	0750-1121			-0.2	-200		0.05		was not continous.
	1211-1458						0.63		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	TWA		_	-	_	-	0.00		Filter under helmet
							0.31		when welding
Scarfing turret	0810-0825	std.	0.2	25.7	600	1.0	*		Wore dust mask under
***************************************	0828-0843	н	0.3	82.8	2100	3.0			hood when scarfing.
	0925-0941		0.2	0.7	£200	0.2 -			- Grinding & welding
	1210-1235		2.9	10.1	1300	2.9			- Outside firm welding
	0810-0923			2012	20.70	4.4.	.0.04		Filter not in cassette
	0925-1240						0.36		back up pad analysis
	1242-1456						0.01 -		- Grinding
	TWA		-	2.	1.4		0.19	1.5	- Grinding
	-							2,0	Filter taped in hood when welding
							4	3	
Total Carlot									
Dept. 4830	AD25 AD55	***	10.0		£ 200	40.1			
Area Sample	0935-0955	N/A	20.2	0.3	<b>4200</b>	Zn.1			
	0956-1012		40.2	0.4	Z200	<0.1 ·			N/A located on hoist
90	1315-1335	, ·	20.1	∠0.2	Z100	D.1			support
	1335-1353		∠0.2	∠0.2	<200!	Zn.1			
	0750-1506		-	-	-	-	0.02		

Page 5 of 5 (Collected March 9 & 10, 1977)

RHE	76-	89

Department Job/Location	Sampling Periods	Type Pelmet	Cr Ma/M <sup>3</sup>	Fe <sub>2</sub> <sup>0</sup> 3 Ma7M3	Mi Ug/M <sup>3</sup>	мп ма/м3	F1 Mg/M3	Mask Durati Mime (	
Dept. 4960 (Feavy Repair) Area Sample (Bay L-23)	0804-1509	N/A	∠0,01	0.1	<b>∠</b> 10	0.01	*0.002	pi/a	Above desk-near turret positioner #4
Dept. 0310 (Tool Room)		11.50				40.00			Top of loacker
Area Sample (Bay J-16)	0918-1017	N/A	40.05	20.06	∠50	40.02			on west tool
	1018-1033		0.3	8.5	300	0.5	4.14		room wall
	1034-1458		20.1	2.8	Z100	0.2	0.03	א/א	
Dept. 0440					•				Crane K-1 over
Area Sample (Crane Cab)	0724-1509	N/A	0.01	0.3	10	0.02		NI/N	hull area
Area Sample (Crane Cab)	0801-1525	N/A	0.01	0.1	<b>Z10</b>	0.02		AT/A	Crane k-6 over
	March 10								turret area
Area Sample (Crane Cab)	0718-1503	N/A	0.01	0.2	70	0.02	0.03	M/W	Crane K-1 over
									hull area
Dept. 4833									
Firm welding inside hull	0730-1010	Std.	-	-	2	-	*0.14		
section freedoms and the section	1010-1215		-	-	-	*	*0.30		
	1218-1355	**	-	-	-	0.00	0.69		
	1355-1520		-	-	_		*0.93		Filters under helm
	TWA						*0.40	4	when welding
Dept. 4831			-						
Nose velding	0743-0758	Std.	0.3	1.4	4200	0.3 -			- Used PVC by mistake
nose ferang	0932-0948	, stu-	1.3	4.4	400	1.6 -			continous welding
	0948-1003		1.7	5.2	600	2.0			in nose
	1050-1105		0.2	1.5	200	0.2			continous welding
	1211-1226		0.2	0.8	200	0.3			in nose
	0740-1003		~	0.0	2		*0.65	_	welding inside cen
	1003-1105	*					0.30		center of hull
	1205-1323						0.86		· No market and consider
	1323-1450	b					*1.76		
	TWA						0.90	.5	Filter on collar - inside helmet when welding

<sup>\*</sup>These samples were collected using filters which were poorly seated in cassettee holder, considerable error is possible.

TABLE 8
Chromium vs Chromium (VI) levels

RHE 76-89 Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant Warren, Michigan

\*Analysis of these substances may in error due to possibility of interfering substances

Dent 4013 Moreh 9 1077	Ug/M <sup>3</sup>	Ug/M <sup>3</sup>	ec- vi
Dept. 4811 - March 8, 1977	Cr 80	Cr VI 11.9	%Cr VI
Nose welding inside Tack welding outside hull	30	*20.3	14.8 ∠1
Area Sample (Bay K-21)	60	32.6	54.3
Area Sample (bay K-21)	00	32.0	34.3
Dept. 4832 - March 8, 1977			
Shack mounts welding - Barrel #4	150	<b>L74</b>	Z49.3
Firm welding - Barrel #2	180	132	73.3
" " #2	300	*394.5	131.5
" " #1 (Air Supply)	20	2.2	11.0
Scarfing - Station 12	25	1.9	7.6
Dept. 4832 - March 10, 1977			
Welding inside barrel #2	230	1382	600.9
" " #2	180	*1491	828.3
Scarfing - Station 12	35	∠0.9	42.6
Dept. 4833 - March 8, 1977			
Firm welding inside hull (Air Supply)	16	40.3	41.9
" " (Air Supply)	36	7.1	19.7
Tack welding " "	130	25.8	19.8
Area Sample (hull line Station 3)	30	5.7	19.0
Dept. 4833 - March 10, 1977			
Firm welding inside/outside	70	11.3	16.1
" outside hull	80	21.8	27.3
" inside hull	350	178	50.9
Tack " inside hull	37	*3.1	8.4
Area Sample (hull line Station 7)	50	23.0	46.0
Area Sample (hull line Station 10)	30	8.9	29.7
Area Sample (Station 12)	30	0.9	3.0
Dept. 4830			
Firm welding - Positioner (Air Supply)	150	855	570
Tack welding outside turret	60	11.6	19.3
" " inside " (Air Supply)	40	1.0	2.5
Firm welding - Positioner	160	167.8	104.9
Area Sample (Host Support)	8	∠0.3	∠3.8

TABLE 8
Chromium vs Chromium (VI) levels

	Ug/M <sup>3</sup> Cr	Ug/M <sup>3</sup> Cr VI	%Cr VI
Dept. 4830 March 10, 1977			
Welding/Scarfing (Turret)	90	£1.8	<b>∠20</b>
Tack welding - turret	40	0.5	1.3
Grinding Turret	9	0.3	3.3
Area Sample (Bay K-23)	9	∠0.3	∠3.3
Dept. 4960 (Heavy Repair) March 8, 1977			
Tank Repairman	8	20.4	45
n * n	6	*Z0.3	45
11 11	3	* <b>L</b> 0.3	∠10
Area Sample (Bay L-23)	6	∠0.3	<b>∠</b> 5
Tracking Repair, March 10, 1977			
Area Sample	8	∠0.3	∠3.8
Dept. 0310 (Tool Room) March 8, 1977			
Tool Maker	30	20.4	∠1.3
11 11	20	0.8	4
Area Sample	20	0.9	4.5
Dept. 0310 (Tool Room) March 10, 1977			
Tool Maker	9	∠0.3	L3.3
Area Sample	10	$\angle 0.3$	∠3.0
Dept. 0440 (Crane Cab)			
Area Sample Crane K-1 March 9, 1977	10	2.0.3	<b>Z</b> 3
" " K-6 " " "	10	∠0.3	<b>∠</b> 3
" " K-1 March 10, 1977	10	∠0.3	<b>∠</b> 3

RHE 76-89 Chrysler Detroit Tank Plant Warren, Michigan

#### Job/Locations With Fxcessive Melding Fume Concentrations

#### Motal Chromium

TWA Evaluation Criteria =  $1.0 \text{ mg/M}^3$  for insoluble Chromium & Chromium metal STEL "  $0.5 \text{ mg/M}^3$  for Soluble chromous and chromic Salts

Job/Location	Sampling Period	Type Helmet	ma/M3
Firm Welding Inside Hull	1355-1520	std.	0.93
Welding/Scarfing (Turret)	0733-0828	std.	0.55
Firm Welding Inside Hull	0911-0926	Air Supply	4.0
H H H H	1210-1225	1 P. C.	1.4
H H H	1336-1351	n	5.3
Tack welding outside hull	1319-1334	std.	0.6
0 0 0	1334-1347	Std.	2.4
Outside firm welding (Barrel 2)	0818-0829	Air Supply	0.6
Outside firm welding (Barrel 3)	0935-0950	Etd.	0.6
	1227-1242		0.5
Outside firm welding (Barrel 2)	1245-1300	Std.	1.3
" " "	1415-1430	Std.	1.0
Inside welding (Barrel 2)	0826-0841	Std	1.6
0 0	1035-1050	**	0.6
17 11	1224-1244	**	1.9
n n	1355-1410		0.8
Firm welding, Turret Positioner	0849-0907	Air Supply	2.4
4 H H H	1209-1225	11	1.5
n n n	1246-1302	· n	2.1
Firm welding Turret	1020-1036	Std.	2.6
0 0 0	1038-1058	n	0.0
71 11 11	1352-1409		0.7
Tack welding, inside turret	1231-1337	Std.	30.4
Firm welding outside turret	1210-1235	Std.	2.9
Nose welding	0932-0948	Std.	1.3
9 R	0948-1003	n	1.7

#### Chromium VI

TWA Evaluation Criteria = 1 ug/M3 for Carcinogenic Cr VI
" = 25 ug/M3 for Non Carcinogenic Cr VI

Job/Location	Sampling Period	Type Pelmet	иа/и3
Hull welding - Mose inside	0845-1450	std.	11.9
Tack welding inside hull	0745-1050	std.	25.8
Firm welding inside hull	0735-1445	Air Supply	7.1
Dept. 4831 Area Fample	0900-1450	N/A	32.6
Welding-Barrel 4	0725-1428	Std.	474
Firm welding - Barrel 2	0736-1432	Std.	1.32
Scarfing - Station 12	0741-1426	Std.	1.9

cont. Chromium VI

Job/Location	Sampling Period	Type Helmet	па/м3	
Firm welding - Barrel 2	0822-1313	std.	*394.5	
Firm welding - Barrel 2	0803-1440	Air Supply	2.2	
Hull line Area Sample	0806-1445	M/M	5.7	
Firm welding - turret positioner	0718-1506	Air Supply	855	
Tack welding outside turret	0727-1450	Std.	11.6	
Firm welding - turret positioner	0748-1514	Std.	167.8	
Tack welding inside turret	0809-1451	Air Supply	1.0	
Firm welding hull .	0710-1020	Std.	11.3	
Tack welding inside hull	0715-1510	Std.	*3.1	
Firm welding outside hull	0750-1430	Std.	21.8	
Firm welding inside hull	0730-1520	std.	1.78	
Welding inside - Barrel 2	0710-1430	Std.	1382	
Melding inside - Barrel 2	0715-1435	Unknown	*1.491	
Hull line area sample (Sta. 7)	0750-1507	N/A	23	
" " " (Sta.10)	0811-1505	N/A	8.9	
Turret welding & scarfing	0733-1440	Std.	41.8	

<sup>\*</sup> Analysis of these samples may be in error due to the posibility of interfering substances being present.

Iron Oxide (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)

TWA Evaluation Criteria = 5 mg/M3 for Iron Oxide fume

Joh/Location	Sampling Period	Type Helmet	ма/м3	
Area Sample - Station 12	0756-1503	N/A	7.0	
STEL Evaluation Criteria -	10 mg/M <sup>3</sup> for Iron Oxide	fume		
Job/Location	Sampling Period	Type Helmet	ма/м3	
			)	
Purret Scarfing & Grinding	1226-1255	Std.	14.01	
Firm welding inside hull	0911-0926	Air Sunply	1F.0	
	1210-1225	#1.	15.6	
n	1336-1351		18.2	
Tack welding outside hull	1334-1347	Std.	10.4	
Area Sample - Station 12	075€-1109	N/A	13.0	
Tack welding inside turret	1231-1337	Std.	36.8	
Scarfing turret	0810-0825	Std.	25.7	
	0828-0843		82.8	
Firm welding outside turret	1210-1235	m ·	10.1	

Inorganic Nichel

TWA Evaluation Criteria = 15 ug/M<sup>3</sup> for elemental nickel and all nickel compounds except organonickel

Table 9

ob/Location	Sampling Period	Type Felmet	ttq/43
lose welding inside hull	0845-1450	Std.	49.8
ack welding outside hull	0805-1445	Std.	49.1
Cack welding inside hull	0745-1458	Std.	77.0
ept. 4831 Area Sample	0900-1450	N/A	50.0
Shack mounts welding Barrel 4	0725-1428	std.	L74
irm welding - Barrel 2	0736-1432	Std.	87
Scarfing - Station 12	0741-1426	Std.	36
irm welding - Barrel 2	0822-1313	Std.	166
ull line Area Sample	0806-1445	N/A	20
irm welding turret positioner	0718-1506	Air Supply	81
ack welding outside turret	0727-1450	std.	50
irm welding - turret positioner	0748-1514	Std.	87.2
ack welding inside turret	0809-1451	Air Supply	30
irm welding hull	0710-1450	Std.	48
ack welding inside hull	0715-1510	std.	425
irm welding outside hull	0750-1430	Std.	46
irm welding inside hull	0730-1520	Std.	1.78
Inside welding - Barrel 2	0710-1430	Std.	1.50
inside welding - Barrel 2	0715-1435	Unknown	256
Scarfing - Station 12	0721-1501	Ftd.	32.5
full line area sample Sta. 7	0750-1307	N/A	30
Station 12 area sample	0804-1505	N/A	30
Welding/Scarfing turret	0733-1440	Std.	261.8

Manganese & Manganese Compounds

Leiling Limit Evaluation (	Criteria	=	5	ma/M3
----------------------------	----------	---	---	-------

Job/Location	Sampling Period	Type Helmet	та/м3
Firm welding inside hull	0911-0926	Air Supply	7.6
W. C.	1210-1225		6.7
m ·	1336-1351		9.3
Tack welding inside turret	1231-1337	std.	11.5

#### Fluorides

TWA	Evaluation	Criteria =	$2.5 \text{ mg/M}^3 \text{ for}$	Gasseous 8	particulate Fl.		
Job/Locat	tion		Sampling !	Period	Type Felmet	ma/43	

None: All samples collected indicate airborne fluoride concentrations are relow 2.5 mg/m3