

**TO:** Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

**FROM:** California Fatality Assessment and Control Evaluation (FACE) Program

**SUBJECT:** A supervisor dies when crushed by a machine that manufactures concrete blocks.

**SUMMARY**  
**California FACE Report #05CA006**

A 52-year-old Hispanic supervisor died when he was crushed in a machine used in manufacturing concrete blocks. Evidence suggests that the victim had climbed on top of the machine, possibly to reconnect an air line. The victim did not shut the machine down and lock and tag it out prior to climbing on top of it. The skip hoist had dumped product into the hopper portion of the machine and was on its down cycle when the incident occurred. There were no guards in place to prevent the victim from climbing on the machine while it was running. The CA/FACE investigator determined that, in order to prevent future occurrences, employers, as part of their Injury and Illness Prevention Program (IIPP), should:

- Ensure employees follow the proper lockout/tagout procedures on machinery before performing any repair, maintenance, connection, or adjustments.
- Ensure employee safety by installing guards around the moving parts and pinch points of the machine to protect employees from accidental contact.

In addition:

- Manufacturers should design and employers should retrofit machinery so that a worker can remotely turn parts of a machine on or off while the machine is operating.

**INTRODUCTION**

On June 10, 2005, at approximately 7:20 a.m., a 52-year-old Hispanic supervisor was crushed between the structural frame and skip hoist of a machine that makes concrete blocks. The CA/FACE investigator learned of this incident on June 23, 2005, through the Legal Unit of the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA). Contact with the victim's employer was made on June 26, 2005. On July 26, 2005, the CA/FACE investigator traveled to the facility where the incident occurred and interviewed the plant manager and employees. The machine involved in the incident was photographed, and the area where the incident took place was examined.

The employer of the victim was a concrete block manufacturing company. The company had been in business for over 50 years and had over 300 employees throughout its eight locations. The facility where the incident took place employed 26 people. The victim had been employed with the company for 15 years and at the facility where the incident occurred for two years. The

victim was born in Mexico and had been in the United States for the past 33 years. According to his employer, he spoke both English and Spanish.

The company had a written safety program in English. The program had procedures that were task-specific for employees to follow, including a lockout/tagout procedure. Safety meetings were held quarterly and were documented. The company had a training program that provided specific training to its employees for the machines being operated, including lockout/tagout. The training program consisted of a combination of classroom and on-the-job training. The victim taught most of the training for the company. Training was measured and verified by having the employee repeat back what was taught.

## INVESTIGATION

The site of the incident was a concrete masonry and interlocking concrete pavement manufacturing plant. The machine involved in the incident was an automatic block-making machine that formed and molded concrete blocks. On the day of the incident, the victim was performing his regular duties supervising employees who ran the automated systems and overseeing production. Physical evidence suggested that the victim climbed up on the automated block-making machine, most likely to connect an air line to the vibrating mechanism located on the outside and on the bottom of the hopper. The vibrating mechanism, which was retrofitted to the hopper, helped move the concrete mixture through the hopper depending on the product's consistency. The air line had to be connected to the vibrating mechanism whenever it was needed, but it was not used all the time. The skip bucket cycle was automatic and was sequentially timed to keep the hopper filled with the concrete mixture needed to make the concrete blocks.

No one witnessed the victim climb up onto the machine while it was running, but the machine operator saw the victim being dragged between the frame of the machine and the skip loader which was on a down cycle. The victim then dropped onto the machine mechanism below. The operator immediately shut off the machine and went to get help. Paramedics responded and treated the victim, and then transported him to a local hospital where he died from his injuries.

## CAUSE OF DEATH

The cause of death, according to the death certificate, was mechanical compression of torso.

## RECOMMENDATIONS/DISCUSSION

**Recommendation #1: Ensure employees follow the proper lockout/tagout procedures on machinery before performing any repair, maintenance, connection, or adjustment.**

Discussion: Without the benefit of lockout/tagout, employees cannot be assured of their safety when climbing onto or into a machine, especially when the machine has not been properly shut down and all sources of energy dissipated. In this case, the machine was not stopped when repairs, maintenance, connections, or adjustments were needed by pressing an emergency stop button on the control panel. The machine was never locked out; therefore, the moving parts of the machine were in motion when the victim climbed onto the machine. Although the company had a lockout/tagout program, it was not being used in this case. Lockout/tagout programs should address the following issues:

- All forms of hazardous energy have been de-energized, isolated, blocked, and/or dissipated before work begins.
- Workers are able to secure energy control devices with their own individually assigned locks and keys, and there is only one key for each lock the worker controls.
- Locks used to secure an energy control device should be clearly labeled with durable tags to identify the worker assigned to the lock.
- Verification by test and/or observation that all energy sources are de-energized before work begins.
- All workers are clear of danger points before re-energizing the system.
- A hazardous energy control program with any confined-space entry program.

Additionally, employers can encourage manufacturers to design machines and systems that make it easy to control hazardous energy.

**Recommendation #2: Ensure employee safety by installing guards around the moving parts and pinch points of the machine to protect employees from accidental contact.**

Discussion: Because of the ever-present danger of entanglement when working near moving machinery components, employers should continually strive to protect employees by providing machine guarding in the areas where work is actually performed. Employers should ensure that hazardous areas are sufficiently guarded against personnel entry by physical barriers or locked gates. Advances in engineering technology may be such that machine guarding may be applicable to this particular machine. Employers should contact machine manufacturers and retrofit the machine, if applicable, with guards and barricades that prevent any part of the body from contacting the machine's moving parts. Another consideration would be to install interlocking mechanisms designed to deactivate the machine when the gate or guard is opened or moved. A gate or guard with a by-pass switch wired to the emergency stop button could stop the machine's movements instantly when the gate is opened. Employers can ensure that employees do not climb on running, unguarded machines through enhanced programs of task-specific training, supervision, safe work recognition, and progressive disciplinary measures.

**Recommendation #3: Manufacturers should design and employers should retrofit machinery so that a worker can remotely turn parts of a machine on or off while the machine is operating.**

Discussion: Since the contents of the hopper did not always smoothly feed into the concrete block-making machine, a vibrator was sometimes used to ensure the concrete mixture flowed at a constant rate through the hopper opening. A worker was required to climb up onto the machine to connect an airline to turn on the vibrator every time it was used. If an air hose connection, valve, or service switch had been run down to the control panel, then workers could have turned on the vibrator remotely, eliminating the need to climb onto the machine.

**References:**

California Code of Regulations, Vol. 9, Title 8, Sections 3314 (a) (f), 3999(b), 4002  
<http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/99-110.html>  
<http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/face/In-house/full9502.html>  
<http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/face/In-house/full9514.html>

EXHIBITS:



Exhibit 1: The automated machine that made concrete blocks.




Exhibit 2: The portion of the machine the victim climbed onto.




Exhibit 3: The place on the machine where the victim was dragged by the skip bucket.




Exhibit 4: The portion of the machine frame the victim was dragged between by the skip bucket.





Exhibit 5: The portion of the machine the victim fell to after he was crushed by the skip bucket and machine frame.

---

**Hank Cierpich**  
**FACE Investigator**

---

**Robert Harrison, MD, MPH**  
**FACE Project Officer**

---

**Laura Styles, MPH**  
**Research Scientist**

**January 19, 2006**

\*\*\*\*\*

**FATALITY ASSESSMENT AND CONTROL EVALUATION PROGRAM**

The California Department of Health Services, in cooperation with the Public Health Institute and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), conducts investigations of work-related fatalities. The goal of this program, known as the California Fatality Assessment and Control Evaluation (CA/FACE), is to prevent fatal work injuries in the future. CA/FACE aims to achieve this goal by studying the work environment, the worker, the task the worker was performing, the tools the worker was using, the energy exchange resulting in fatal injury, and the role of management in controlling how these factors interact. NIOSH-funded, state-based FACE programs include: Alaska, California, Iowa, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Additional information regarding the CA/FACE program is available from:**

**California FACE Program  
California Department of Health Services  
Occupational Health Branch  
850 Marina Bay Parkway, Building P, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Richmond, CA 94804**