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## CURRENT TRENDS <br> MEASLES - United States

During the 4-week period, May 19 through June 15, 1968, (weeks $21-24$ ), 2,684 cases of measles were reported to NCDC. This is a decrease of 700 cases from the preceding 4 -week period and is 39 percent of the 6,831 cases reported for the corresponding 4 -weeks in 1967 (Figure 1). The seasonal pattern of a gradual increase in the number of cases reported in each 4 -week period since December 2, 1967, has ended, and the anticipated downward trend has begun.

The cumulative number of measles cases reported for the first 24 weeks of 1968 is 16,597 . During the comparable 24 -week period in 1967 the reported cases totaled 53,043 . Similarly, for the previous 3 years $(1966,1965$, and 1964) the totals were $172,735,220,468$, and 422,640 , respectively. Based on reporting for the past 3 years, in which 84 percent of the reported cases occurred in the
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first 24 weeks, an estimate of the total cases for the year 1968 would be 19,700 . With an increased emphasis on measles surveillance which may result in change of diagnosis and reduction in the cases reported (MMWR, Vol. 17, No. 24) and continued emphasis on immunization, this estimated yearly total could be reduced.
(Re ported by State Services Section, and Statistics Section, Epidemiology Program, NCDC.)

Figure 1
REPORTED CASES OF MEASLES BY 4.WEEK PERIODS - UNITED STATES


EPIDEMIOLOGIC NOTES AND REPORTS<br>MEASLES - Los Angeles County, California

As part of the Los Angeles County Measles Surveillance Program, the 92 measles cases reported in Los Angeles County* for the period March 31 through June 1, 1968, have been investigated. The reporting source was contacted by telephone and when additional information was needed, the patient or his parent was also contacted. This follow-up study revealed that only 42 of the 92 reported cases had histories compatible with rubeola. Of these 42 measles cases, four were in children under 1 year of age, and the majority of cases ( 79 percent) occurred in children in the lower middle and lower socioeconomic groups (Table 1).

Table 1
Rubeola Cases in Los Angeles County, California, by Age and Socioeconomic Groups

March 31 - June 1, 1968

| Age Group <br> (Years) | Cases | Socioeconomic Group |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Upper | Upper <br> Middle | Lower <br> Middle | Lower |
| Under 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| $1-4$ | 10 |  | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| $5-9$ | 14 |  | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| $10-14$ | 7 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 |
| $15 \&$ over | 7 |  | 3 | 4 |  |
| Total | 42 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 20 |

Analysis of the 50 cases incorrectly reported as measles showed that the largest proportion of this group represented rubella cases (41). The diagnosis of the other nine cases was changed to allergy (3), chicken pox (2), scarlet fever (1), roseola (1), measles vaccine reaction (1), and pediculosis (1). The initial report was made by physicians in 11 cases and by nurses (usually school nurses
*Excluding Long Beach, Pasadena, and Vernon.
who had not seen the patient) in the other 39 cases. Of the 41 cases in which the diagnosis was changed to rubella, 34 were reported as measles by the physician or nurse when each had meant German measles. In the other seven cases, the history of illness was typical of rubella, and therefore, the diagnosis was changed. The age distribution of the 41 cases of rubella (Table 2) revealed that nearly all the cases occurred in individuals in the second decade of life, a distribution typical of the natural occurrence of this disease. ${ }^{1}$

Table 2
Age Distribution of Rubella Cases in Los Angeles County, California, Originally Reported as Rubeola March 31 - June 1, 1968

| Age Group <br> (Years) | Cases |
| :--- | :---: |
| Under 1 | 0 |
| $1-4$ | 2 |
| $5-9$ | 2 |
| $10-14$ | 23 |
| $15-19$ | 12 |
| $20-24$ | 1 |
| $25-29$ | 1 |
| Total | 41 |

(Reported by B. A. Kogen, M.D., Director, Immunization Project, and Chief, Acute Communicable Disease Control, and Gerald A. Heidbreder, M.D., Health Officer, Los Angeles County Health Department; Philip A. Condit, M.D., M.P.H., Chief, Bureau of Communicable Diseases, California State Department of Public Health; and an EIS Officer.)

## Reference:

1Sever, J. L., et al: Rubella: Frequency of Antibody Among Children and Adults. Pediatrics 35(6):996-998, 1965.

## CURRENT TRENDS <br> MEASLES - Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

From January 1 through June 3, 1968, Philadelphia reported 37 cases of measles (Figure 2). This is an increase of 10 cases over the total of 27 cases reported from Philadelphia for 1967. Review of age distribution of reported cases for 1968 (Table 3) reveals that 23 of the 37 cases were in children 5 years of age or less. Of the 37 cases, 15 were in school children. No secondary cases have been reported within the school system this year probably because Philadelphia employs a system of intensive measles case follow-up and vaccination of family and classroom contacts.

Of the 37 cases reported this year, 28 ( 76 percent) occurred in residents of two contiguous health districts located in a low socioeconomic area of the center city (Figure 2); these two health districts reported 12 (44 percent) of the city's 27 cases in 1967. Of the 28 cases reported in 1968 from these two districts, 21 occurred in children of Puerto Rican extraction (Table 4). Although they compose approximately 2 percent of Philadelphia's population, 57 percent of the city's reported measles cases in 1968 occurred in this group. Since early 1967 the special children's health service projects which serve

Figure 2
REPORTED CASES OF MEASLES BY HEALTH DISTRICTS PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA JANUARY 1 - JUNE 3, 1968


Table 3
Age Distribution of Reported Measles Cases

| Age <br> (Years) | Cases | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Under 1 | 4 | 11 |
| $1-5$ | 19 | 51 |
| $6-10$ | 12 | 32 |
| $11-15$ | 2 | 6 |
| Over 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 37 | 100 |

Table 4
Distribution of Reported Measles Cases
By Population Groups

| Population Group | Cases | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Puerto Rican | 21 | 57 |
| Negro | 12 | 32 |
| Other | 4 | 11 |
| Total | 37 | 100 |

these two areas with the highest concentration of reported cases have become fully operational.
(Reported by Lewis D. Polk, M.D., Deputy Health Commissioner for Community Health Services, and Sylvan Fish, M.D., Chief of Communicable Disease Control, City of Philadelphia Health Department; and an EIS Officer.)

## EPIDEMIOLOGIC NOTES AND REPORTS

SALMONELLOSIS - Wisconsin

From April 16 to May 12, 1968, 10 cases of gastroenteritis due to a dulcitol negative strain of Salmonella typhimurium occurred in nine families of three adjacent towns in northeastern Wisconsin (Figure 3). In addition to the 10 documented cases, another three cases of symptomatic diarrhea, not cultured bacteriologically, also occurred among the nine families. Infants in the families were apparently at greater risk when compared with older members since all children under the age of 5 years were affected while only three of 29 persons older than 5 years of age were symptomatic (Table 5). Of the 10 bacteriologically confirmed cases, six, all under 3 years of age, were hospitalized from 5 to 13 days. Four of the six infants had bloody diarrhea.

Epidemiologic investigation showed that all of the involved families had purchased Easter chicks or ducklings from a single pet store. A total of 650 chicks were supplied to the pet shop by a local hatchery. The hatchery also sold 600 other Easter chicks locally, but no cases of clinicalillness could be traced to this source. Baby ducks were purchased by the pet shop from an Ohio dealer and

Figure 3
SALMONELLOSIS ASSOCIATED WITH EASTER CHICKS AND DUCKS BY DATE OF ONSET WISCONSIN - APRIL 14-MAY 12, 1968

were received in three separate lots of 100 each, arriving at the pet shop on April 2, 9, and 12, respectively.

On May 16, eight of the nine families were recultured. Of 27 specimens taken, eight were positive for the epidemic strain. In addition, cloacal swabs were taken from 13 chicks from the original 650 sold by the pet shop, and
(Continued on page 232)

SALMONELLOSIS - (Continued from page 231)

Table 5
Attack Rates for Diarmea in Nine Families

| Age Group <br> (Years) | Number of <br> Persons | Cases of <br> Diarrhea | Attack Rate <br> (Percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<\mathbf{5}$ | 10 | 10 | 100 |
| $5-15$ | 8 | 1 | 12 |
| $>15$ | 21 | 2 | 10 |
| Total | 39 | 13 | 33 |

the epidemic strain was recovered from two of these birds. Specimens from the cages where the chicks had been kept also yielded ducitol negative S. typhimurium as well as S. tennessee and S.muenchen.
(Reported by Grant Skinner, M.D., Chief, Section of Communicable Disease Control, Eleanor Christenson, Enteric Bacteriology Section, and Frank Pauls, Ph.D., Assistant

Director, State Laboratory of Hygiene, Wisconsin State Department of Health and Social Services; and an EIS Officer.)

Editorial Comment:
In 1966 and 1967, in a selected group of 803 salmonella non-host adapted strains submitted to the Enteric Bacteriology Unit, Bacteriology Section, Laboratory Program, NCDC, 18 or 2.2 percent were dulcitol negative.

Because of the infrequency of dulcitol negative salmonella, the Wisconsin State Department of Health and Social Services was alerted to the possibility of this epidemic when they began to recover strains with this characteristic in specimens sent to their state laboratory for analysis. Subsequent epidemiologic investigation confirmed that a common source outbreak of salmonella was occurring.

## FOOD POISONING - Spokane, Washington

An outbreak of food poisoning occurred in Spokane, Washington, following a convention banquet at a large hotel on May 4. Of the 1,052 persons who ate the banquet meal, 784 ( 75 percent) were questioned and 113 reported being ill, yielding an overall attack rate of 14.4 percent. The major symptoms of illness were diarrhea and abdominal cramps (Table 6). The mean incubation period was 13 hours with a range from 2 to 29 hours (Figure 4), and the durations of illness (determined by diarrhea) ranged from 3 to 99 hours with a median of 12 to 24 hours (Table 7). Four persons consulted a physician, and no one was hospitalized.

Analysis of food histories obtained from the 784 persons suggested prime rib as the vehicle of infection (Table 8). Samples of all food items served at the banquet

Table 6
Symptoms and Severity (113 Cases) Food Poisoning Outbreak Spokane, Woshington - May 1968

| Symptoms | Number With <br> Symptom | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Diarrhea | 103 | 91.2 |
| Cramps | 76 | 72.6 |
| Headache | 44 | 38.9 |
| Nausea | 42 | 37.0 |
| Prostration | 39 | 34.5 |
| Chills | 29 | 25.7 |
| S veating | 15 | 13.3 |
| Muscle aches | 14 | 12.4 |
| Vomiting | 11 | 9.7 |
| Fever | 8 | 7.1 |
| Documented Fever | 2 | 1.8 |
| Bloody Diarrhea | 1 | 0.9 |

Table 7 Duration of Diarrhea in 83 Cases

| Duration of Diarrhea | Number of Cases |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Hours) |  |
| $0-12$ | 27 |
| $12-24$ | 27 |
| $24-36$ | 4 |
| $36-48$ | 16 |
| $48-60$ | 1 |
| $60-72$ | 5 |
| 72 or more | 3 |
| Total | 83 |

were obtained for culture. The prime rib contained greater than 18 million Clostridium perfringens per gm and the prime rib au jus had in excess of 30 million per gm. $C$. perfringens, type 89 , was present in four of five specimens from the roast beef served at the banquet, an untypable strain was present in two of the five, and Hobbs, type 13, was present in the prime rib au jus in addition to the other two types. No pathogens were isolated from the other foods. Samples of prime rib obtained within 1 month after the outbreak from two of the three packing houses supplying the hotel were also positive for $C$. perfringens, but types are not yet known for these isolates.

Of 19 stool specimens cultured for organisms, 11 were positive for $C$. perfringens, type 89 , two specimens were positive for $C$. perfringens which were not agglutinated by available typing sera, and six were negative for $C$. perfringens. Of the 113 ill persons, 10 cases reported no diarrhea, but they did have abdominal cramps and/or nausea. Some of the 10 were positive for $C$. perfringens, type 89.

Questioning of the people seemed to indicate that people from Spokane City and County had a higher attack rate

Table 8
Summary of Food Histories - Food Poisoning Outbreak
Spokane, Washington - May 1968

| Food | Ate |  |  |  | Did Not Eat |  |  |  | Percent Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number Ill | Number <br> Not Ill | Total Number | Attack <br> Rate <br> Percent | Number Ill | Number <br> Not IIl | Total Number | Attack <br> Rate <br> Percent |  |
| Crab Cocktail | 102 | 478 | 580 | 17.6 | 11 | 193 | 204 | 5.4 | 12.2 |
| Green Salad | 92 | 446 | 538 | 17.1 | 21 | 225 | 246 | 9.3 | 7.8 |
| Baked Potato | 103 | 478 | 581 | 17.7 | 10 | 193 | 203 | 4.9 | 12.8 |
| Prime Rib | 113 | 643 | 756 | 14.9 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0.0 | 14.9 |
| String Beans | 102 | 479 | 581 | 17.6 | 11 | 192 | 203 | 5.4 | 12.2 |
| Hard Roll | 86 | 424 | 510 | 16.9 | 27 | 247 | 274 | 9.9 | 7.0 |
| Chocolate Eclair | 90 | 429 | 519 | 17.3 | 23 | 242 | 265 | 9.5 | 7.8 |
| Milk | 34 | 123 | 157 | 21.7 | 79 | 548 | 627 | 12.6 | 9.1 |
| Coffee | 87 | 423 | 510 | 17.1 | 26 | 248 | 274 | 9.5 | 7.6 |

than people from other parts of the state. When this possibility was investigated, it was learned that the banquet was held in several dining rooms and at different times, 7-8 p.m., 8-9 p.m., and 9-10 p.m., and that the group from Spokane City and County ate in one dining area (Area A) and at a later time ( $8-10$ p.m.) than the other groups. When attack rates were obtained for location and time of eating, Area $A$ had higher attack rates than the other areas. Investigation of the foods served in the various dining areas revealed that all foods came from the same source. All the meat for Area A and the major portion of the meat for the main dining room were obtained from 30 roasts which were handled uniformly until completion of slicing. Then approximately 150 servings went to Area $A$ and the other 450 went to the main dining room. The roast beef in dining Area A had not been placed in warmers after slicing while that served in the main dining room had been placed in
warmers. The lack of warming combined with the late serving ( 40 to 120 minutes after slicing) may explain the higher attack rate in dining Area A. The data suggest that the beef may have been uniformly contaminated originally and that handling procedures after cooking were responsible for the differing attack rates in the various dining areas. Appropriate remedial changes in kitchen procedures have been made.
(Reported by Byron J. Francis, M.D., Acting Chief, Division of Epidemiology, and James A. Bessey, Advisory Sanitarian, Division of Environmental Services, Washington State Department of Health; Stuart A.Davis, M.D., Spokane City Health Officer, and Roy Olson, Supervising Sanitarian, Spokane City Health Department; E.O. Ploeger, M.D., M.P.H., Spokane County Health Officer; Anaerobic Bacteriology Laboratory, Bacterial Reference Unit, Laboratory Program, NCDC; and a team of EIS Officers.)

| TABLE I. CASES OF SPECIFIED NOTIFIABLE DISEASES: UNITED STATES (Cumulative totals include revised and delayed reports through previous weeks) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISEASE | 25th WEEK ENDED |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ 1963-1967 \end{gathered}$ | CUMULATIVE, FIRST 25 WEEKS |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { June } 22, \\ 1968 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 24, \\ 1967 \end{gathered}$ |  | 1968 | 1967 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ 1963-1967 \end{gathered}$ |
| Aseptic meningitis | 78 | 57 | 37 | 832 | 848 | 722 |
| Brucellosis ..... | 7 | 8 | 7 | 78 | 125 | 125 |
| Diphtheria. | - | 3 | 3 | 86 | 53 | 79 |
| Encephalitis, primary: <br> Arthropod-borne \& unspecified | 18 | 35 | -- | 4,121 | 635 | -- |
| Encephalitis, post-infectious .. | 8 | 32 | *. | 263 | 449 | -- |
| Hepatitis, serum . . . . . . . . . | 100 | 64 | \} 577 | 1,952 | 984 | 20, 117 |
| Hepatitis, infectious | 895 | 679 | 577 | 21,066 | 19.133 | 20, 11 |
| Malaria . . . . . . . . | 31 | 52 | 4 | 1, 001 | 963 | 45 |
| Measles (rubeola) | 522 | 888 | 3,999 | 17.119 | 53.931 | 224, 467 |
| Meningococcal infections, total | 65 | 34 | 50 | 1,617 | 1,380 | 1.555 |
| Civilian | 59 | 28 | $\cdots$ | I, 460 | 1,276 | ... |
| Military | 6 | 6 | -. - | 157 | 104 | --- |
| Mumps | 2,128 | - . | -. | 114, 074 | . . | --- |
| Poliomyelitis, total | - | 1 | 1 | 19 | 11 | 19 |
| Paralytic ....... | - | - | 1 | 19 | 9 | 17 |
| Rubella (German measles) | 1,307 | 1, 232 | . . | 38, 970 | 35.972 | --- |
| Streptococcal sore throat \& scarlet fever.... | 5,438 | 6,093 | 5,498 | 251,479 | 271,975 | 246, 225 |
| Tetanus | 6 | 5 | 7 | 64 | 86 | 107 |
| Tularemia | 5 | 3 | 5 | 86 | 71 | 114 |
| Typhoid fever | 7 | 6 | 9 | 134 | 183 | 172 |
| Typhus, tick-borne (Rky. Mt. spotted fever). | 13 | 13 | 15 | 75 | 80 | 69 |
| Rabies in animals ........................ | 51 | 80 | 90 | 1.776 | 2. 231 | 2. 231 |

TABLE II. NOTIFIABLE DISEASES OF LOW FREQUENCY


## INTERNATIONAL NOTES OUTBREAKS OF PESTICIDE POISONING ${ }^{\prime}$ - Middle East

During June and July 1967, four separate outbreaks of pesticide poisoning occurred in the Middle East. The first three outbreaks were in Doha, Qatar, and the fourth was in Hofuf, Saudi Arabia. Of the persons exposed, 874 persons were hospitalized and 26 of these persons died (Table 9); it was estimated that another 500 to 750 people were also poisoned but that their symptoms were not severe enough for them to seek medical care or hospitalization. The poisonings were caused by ingesting bread made from flour contaminated with endrin.*

The patients' symptoms includea headache, abdominal discomfort, nausea and dizziness, sudden loss of consciousness, vomiting, and convulsions - symptoms compatible with acute chlorinated hydrocarbon intoxications
(Table 10). Onset of symptoms occurred an average of 2.3 hours after ingesting the contaminated bread at the breakfast meal with a range from 30 minutes to 10 hours. In the outbreaks, more males seemed to be affected than females (Table 11). The exact reasons for this male preponderance were not known, but it was postulated that often the wageearner ate a larger breakfast than the other family members and possibly ingested more of the chemical.

Epidemiologic investigation showed that the source of exposure was bread contaminated with endrin. Laboratory analysis found the bread, flour used to make the bread, and the flour sacks to be contaminated with endrin. Although the flour had been transported to the countries in two separate ships, both ships involved had also, on the

Table 9
Summary of Number of Persons Hospitalized and Deaths in Four Outbreaks

| Outbreak | Date (1967) | Number of Persons <br> Hospitalized | Number of <br> Deaths | Fatality Rate <br> (Percent) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Doha | June 3-5 | 490 | 7 | 1.4 |
| Second Doha | July 2 | 13 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Third Doha | July 3-4 | 188 | 17 | 9.5 |
| Hofuf | July 14-15 | 183 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Total |  | 874 | 26 | 3.1 |

Table 10
Mast Comman Symptoms Given by Persons in Two of the Four Outbreaks

|  | Percentage with Indicated Symptoms |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Symptoms | First Doha Outbreak <br> (Number of Persons <br> Interviewed-110) | Hofuf Outbreak <br> (Number of Persons <br> Interviewed-54) |
| Vomiting <br> Convulsions | 69 | 83 |
| Abdominal <br> Disconfort | 65 | 67 |
| Nausea and <br> Dizziness | 48 | 19 |
| Headache | 68 | 28 |
| Sudden Loss of <br> Consciousness | 5 | 2 |

same voyage, carried large shipments of the chemical. Investigation showed that the endrin was stored above the flour on both ships and that the endrin had leaked through faulty containers onto the flour.

The governments of both Qatar and Saudi Arabia have taken the following steps to prevent a similar incident in the future: (1) All foodstuffs are to be inspected before delivery of the food is accepted. This inspection requires that the ship's captain provide a list of dangerous goods carried on board the ship, that the cargo as well as stowage diagrams be examined to determine the presence and location of toxic chemicals on the ship, and that a sanitary inspector verify the cargo and inspect it for any contamination of foodstuffs that may have occurred. (2) Foodstuffs accepted for delivery are to be brought from the ship to shore in one of three barges painted white to designate for food only.
(Reported by Pesticides Program, NCDC.)

Reference:
${ }^{1}$ Weeks, D.E.: Endrin Food Poisoning. Bull Wld Hlth Org 37:499512, 1967.
"Endrin is $1,2,3,4,10,10$-hexachloro-6,7 epoxy-1,4,4a, $6,7,8,8$ a-octahydro-1-4-endo-endo-5-8-dimethanonaphthalene, an insecticide used in agriculture against soil and foliage insects.

Table 11
Sex Distribution of Hospitalized Persons in Three of the Four Outbreaks

| Sex |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First Doha Outbreak | Percentage of Each Sex |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Interviewed-110) | Third Doha Outbreak | Hofuf Outbreak <br> (Number of Persons | (Number of Persons <br> Interviewed-54) |
| Male | 63 | Interviewed-169) | 69 |
| Female | 37 | 32 | 31 |

## SURVEILLANCE SUMMARY HUMAN LEPTOSPIROSIS - United States 1967*

Although no outbreaks of human leptospirosis were reported to NCDC in 1967,51 separate cases of human leptospirosis were reported. The 51 cases were distributed among 16 states with California and Hawaii reporting nine cases each, Louisiana reporting eight cases**, and Iowa reporting seven cases; 12 other states reported three or fewer cases.

Additional information was submitted to NCDC on 43 of these 51 cases. Evaluation of the 43 cases by date of onset showed that July, August, and September were the months of highest incidence with six, eight, and six cases, respectively (Table 12). Analysis of the sex distribution of these 43 cases revealed that the majority of cases occurred in males ( 32 of 43 cases) (Table 13). Age was known in 38 of the 43 cases. Among males, the 10 to19year and the 50 to 59 -year age groups each had 6 cases,

- Preliminary data.
${ }^{*}$ *In addition to the eight cases reported from Louisiana with dates of onset in 1967, Louisiana reported two cases with onset of illness in 1966.

Table 12
Manthly Distribution of Human Leptospirosis by Date of Onset United States, 1967

| Month | Number of Cases |
| :--- | :---: |
| January | 2 |
| February | 2 |
| March | 3 |
| April | 1 |
| May | 3 |
| June | 1 |
| July | 6 |
| August | 8 |
| September | 6 |
| October | 1 |
| November | 1 |
| December | 1 |
| Unknown | 8 |
| Total | 43 |

(Continued on page 240)

TABLE III. CASES OF SPECIFIED NOTIFIABLE DISEASES: UNITED STATES
FOR WEEKS ENDED
JUNE 22, 1968 AND JUNE 24, 1967 (25th WEEK)

| AREA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASEPTIC } \\ & \text { MENINGITIS } \end{aligned}$ |  | brucellosis | DIPIITHERIA | ENCEPHALITIS |  |  | HEPATITIS |  |  | MALARIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary including unsp. cases |  | PostInfectious | Serum | Infectious |  |  |
|  | 1968 | 1967 |  | 1968 | 1968 | 1968 | 1967 | 1968 | 1968 | 1968 | 1967 | 1968 |
| UNITED STATES... | 78 | 57 | 7 | - | 18 | 35 | 8 | 100 | 895 | 679 | 31 |
| NEW ENGLAND. . . . . . . . | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 42 | 25 | 1 |
| Maine.............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - |
| New Hampshire*..... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Vermont............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Massachusetts...... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 25 | 13 | - |
| Rhode Is land....... | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | 3 | - |
| Connecticut........ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 8 | 5 | 1 |
| MIddle atlantic. ..... | 8 | 5 | - | - | 3 | 9 | - | 34 | 166 | 109 | 8 |
| New York City...... | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 29 | 60 | 50 | 3 |
| New York, Up-State. | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 16 | 26 | - |
| New Jersey......... | 6 | 3 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 40 | 12 | 3 |
| Pennsylvania....... | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 50 | 21 | 2 |
| EAST NORTH CENTRAL... | 6 | 5 | - | - | 8 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 151 | 103 | 1 |
| Ohio............... | 4 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 48 | 26 | - |
| Indiana............ | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | 11 | 7 | - |
| Illinois........... | 1 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 33 | 36 | 1 |
| Michigan............ | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 43 | 25 | - |
| Wisconsin.......... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 9 | - |
| WEST NORTH CENTRAL... | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 45 | 53 | 3 |
| Minnesota.......... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 16 | 13 | - |
| Iowa............... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Missouri........... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 29 | 1 |
| North Dakota....... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| South Dakota....... | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Nebraska........... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| Kansas............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| SOUTH ATLANTIC....... | 11 | 5 | 4 | - | 2 | 5 | - | 3 | 68 | 69 | 5 |
| Delaware............ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 3 | - |
| Maryland............ | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 25 | 14 | - |
| Dist. of Columbia. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Virginia............ | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 14 | 2 |
| West Virginia...... | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 5 | 1 |
| North Carolina..... | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | 3 | 9 | 1 |
| South Carolina..... | - | , |  | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Georgia............ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7 | - |
| Florida............ | 5 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 18 | 14 | 1 |
| EAST SOUTH CENTRAL... | 4 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 35 | 43 | 2 |
| Kentucky............ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 15 | - |
| Tennessee........... | - | 6 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 17 | 18 | - |
| Alabama............. | 1 | 2 | , | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Mississippi........ | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 8 | 1 |
| WEST SOUTH CENTRAL... | 28 | 8 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 74 | 90 | - |
| Arkansas............ |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 4 | - |
| Louisiana........... | 22 | 3 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 13 | 11 | - |
| Oklahoma............ | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 9 | - |
| Texas............... | 6 | 5 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 39 | 66 | - |
| mountain. . . . . . . . . . . | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | 67 | 31 | - |
| Montana............ | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 5 | 1 | - |
| Idaho. . . . . . . . . . . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Wyoming............ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | - |
| Colorado........... | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 42 | 6 | - |
| New Mexico.......... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 10 | 10 | - |
| Arizona............. | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | 5 | 3 | - |
| Utah................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 4 | - |
| Nevada.............. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| PACIFIC............... | 21 | 26 | 1 | - | 2 | 6 | 6 | 48 | 247 | 156 | 11 |
| Washington.......... | 1 | - | - | - |  | 6 | - | - | 16 | 8 | 4 |
| Oregon............... | 19 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 9 | 6 | 1 |
| Alaska............... | 19 | 21 | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | 6 | 46 | 221 | 137 | 6 |
| Hawaii.............. | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | $\overline{1}$ | 5 | - |
| Puerto Rico.......... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | 23 | - |
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| AREA | STREPTOCOCCAL SORE THROAT \& SCARLET FEVER | tetanus |  | TULAREMIA |  | TYPHOID |  | TYPHUS FEVER TICK-BORNE (Rky. Mt. Spotted) |  | RABIES IN ANIMALS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1968 | 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES... | 5,438 | 6 | 64 | 5 | 86 | 7 | 134 | 13 | 75 | - 51 | 1,776 |
| NEW ENGLAND........... | 1.057 | - | 1 | - | 40 | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 61 |
| Maine.t............. | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 |
| New Hampshire.\%.... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Vermont............ | 19 | - | - | - | 40 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Massachusetts...... | 159 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Rhode Is land. . . . . . | 77 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Connecticut........ | 784 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| middle atlantic...... | 240 | - | 9 | - | 3 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 5 | - | 15 |
| New York City...... | 13 | - | 5 | - | - | 1 | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| New York, Up-State. | 214 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 11 |
| New Jersey......... | NN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Pennsylvania....... | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 4 | - | 4 |
| EAST NORTH CENTRAL... | 535 | - | 8 | - | 6 | - | 21 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 160 |
| Ohio................ | 152 | - |  | - | 1 | - | 11 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 65 |
| Indiana............ | 113 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 57 |
| Illinois........... | 79 | - | 5 | - | 4 | - | 8 | - | 1 | - | 17 |
| Michigan.......... | 144 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| Wisconsin.......... | 47 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 12 |
| WEST NORTH CENTRAL. . . | 115 | - | 2 | - | 6 | 2 | 7 | - | 2 | 12 | 400 |
| Minnesota.......... | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 111 |
| Iowa................ | 26 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 74 |
| Missouri........... | 3 | - | 2 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | 72 |
| North Dakota....... | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 67 |
| South Dakota....... | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |  | 34 |
| Nebraska........... | 20 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| Kansas............. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 22 |
| SOUTH ATLANTIC....... | 574 | 1 | 12 | - | 5 | 1 | 36 | 6 | 45 | 8 | 203 |
| Delaware.t.......... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Maryland............ | 119 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 6 | 1 | 4 | - | 3 |
| Dist. of Columbia.. | 25 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Virginia........... | 179 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 7 | 3 | 20 | 1 | 83 |
| West Virginia...... | 123 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 26 |
| North Carolina..... | 4 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 8 |
| South Carolina..... | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Georgia............. | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 9 | - | 4 | 3 | 28 |
| Florida............ | 119 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 11 | - | 2 | 3 | 55 |
| EAST SOUTH CENTRAL... | 778 | 1 | 9 | - | 6 | - | 15 | - | 8 | 8 | 437 |
| Kentucky........... . | 54 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 6 | 206 |
| Tennessee.......... | 649 | , | 2 | - | 4 | - | 10 | - | 5 | 2 | 212 |
| Alabama............ | 37 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 19 |
| Mississippi........ | 38 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| WEST SOUTH CENTRAL... | 386 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 327 |
| Arkansas........... | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 |  | - | 2 | 38 |
| Louisiana.......... | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 31 |
| Oklahoma............ | 24 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 2 | 101 |
| Texas.. | 354 | - | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 157 |
| mountain. . . . . . . . . . . | 854 | - | - | - | 4 | - | 9 | - | 1 | 1 | 39 |
| Montana. . . . . . . . . | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho.............. | 58 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wyoming. . . . . . . . . . | 9 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Colorado........... | 445 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| New Mexico......... | 145 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | 1 | 18 |
| Arizona............. | 88 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 |
| Utah.. | 101 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PACIFIC.............. | 899 | - | 12 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 134 |
| Washington. ........ | 138 | - | - | 1 | $-$ | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Oregon. . . . . . . . . . . | 76 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 3 |
| California.......... | 624 | - | 11 | - | - | 1 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 131 |
| Alaska. . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {Hawaii. . . . . . }}$ | 17 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hawail. . . . . . . . . . . | 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Puerto Rico........... | 3 | - | 5 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 16 |

(3y place of occurrence and week of filing certificate. Excludes fetal deaths)


## HUMAN LEPTOSPIROSIS－（Continued from page 235）

and among females，the 0 to 9 －year age group had the highest incidence with five cases（Table 13）．In the cases where history of exposure and／or contact was available， the greatest number of cases were in persons who were exposed in their homes to cats and dogs（ 9 cases），or rodents（4 cases）．Two cases with suspected rodent ex－ posure occurred in military personnel returning from Viet－ nam．Accidental exposure in the laboratory accounted for two other cases，and in another three cases，cattle and swine were incriminated as possible infectious sources．

In 37 cases，the presumptive infecting serotype was established by supportive clinical，epidemiologic，or laboratory findings．The most frequently reported serotype in 1967 was Leptospira canicola with 19 cases（Table 14）．

Table 13
Cases of Leptospirosis by Sex and Age Distribution United States， 1967

| Age Group | Sex |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |  |
| $0-9$ | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| $10-19$ | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| $20-29$ | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| $30-39$ | 4 | - | 4 |
| $40-49$ | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| $50-59$ | 6 | - | 6 |
| $60-69$ | 1 | - | 1 |
| $70-79$ | 1 | - | 1 |
| Unknown | 5 | - | 5 |
| Total | 32 | 11 | 43 |

Table 14
Distribution of 43 Cases of Leptospirosis by Presumptive Infecting Serotype

| Presumptive Infecting |
| :--- | :---: |
| Serotype or Serogroup |$\quad$ Number of Cases
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