# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE 

CURRENT TRENDS<br>MEASLES - New Jersey

Between January 1 and April 30, 1968, 307 cases of measles were reported by the New Jersey State Department of Health (NJSDH) to NCDC. This represents an 11 percent increase over the 277 cases reported during the first 4 months of 1967. Essex, Passaic, and Bergen Counties, which represent approximately 35 percent of the New Jersey 1960 population, accounted for 68 percent of the cases reported in 1968.

Since January 1, 1968, the New Jersey Division of Preventable Diseases has maintained a surveillance program by which all cases of measles reported to the NJSDH are investigated in an attempt to verify the diag-
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nosis, define the source of transmission, and determine the relationship, if any, of the cases to measles immunization. In the first 4 months of this year, approximately 90 percent of the cases reported to the NJSDH were investigated; 38 percent of the cases investigated were found not to be measles (Table 1). If the family of a case
(Continued on page 222)

TABLEI. CASES OF SPECIFIED NOTIFIABLE DISEASES: UNITED STATES

| DISEASE | 24th WEEK ENDED |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ 1963-1967 \end{gathered}$ | CUMULATIVE, FIRST 24 WEEKS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JUNE 15. 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUNE } 17, \\ & 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1968 | 1967 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ 1963-1967 \end{gathered}$ |
| Aseptic meningitis | 67 | 45 | 39 | 754 | 791 | 676 |
| Brucellosis ...... | 4 | 6 | 6 | 71 | 117 | 117 |
| Diphtheria. |  | 1 | 2 | 86 | 50 | 79 |
| Encephalitis, primary: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arthropod-borne \& unspecified | 19 | 27 | ... | 394 | 600 | ... |
| Encephalitis, post-infectious .. | 8 | 21 | .-. | 255 | 417 |  |
| Hepatitis, serum | 90 | 43 | 646 | 1.852 | 920 | 19.374 |
| Hepatitis, infectious | 789 | 740 | 646 | 20, 170 | 18,454 | 19.374 |
| Malaria ........... | 42 | 41 | 2 | 970 | 911 | 43 |
| Measles (rubeola) | 651 | 1,244 | 6. 268 | 16.617 | 53.043 | 220,468 |
| Meningococcal infections, total | 45 | 35 | 48 | 1,552 | 1,346 | 1,505 |
| Civilian .................... | 40 | 34 | $\cdots$ | 1,401 | 1,248 |  |
| Military | 5 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 151 | 98 | ... |
| Mumps | 2,943 | . . | $\cdots$ | 111,940 | $\cdots$ | - 18 |
| Poliomyelitis, total | 1 | - | 1 | 19 | 10 | 18 |
| Paralytic ....... | 1 | - | 1 | 19 | 9 | 16 |
| Rubella (German measles) | 1,624 | 1,827 |  | 37, 659 | 34.740 |  |
| Streptococcal sore throat \& scarlet fever.... | 6, 294 | 6,350 | 6.350 | 246, 028 | 265, 882 | 240.727 |
| Tetanus | 3 | 8 | 58 | 58 | 81 | 98 |
| Tularemia | 4 | 3 | 8 | 81 | 68 | 102 |
| Typhoid fever | 11 | 4 | 6 | 127 | 177 | 162 |
| Typhus, tick-borne (Rky. Mt. spotted fever). | 8 | 10 | 10 | 62 | 67 | 49 |
| Rabies in animals ....................... | 45 | 97 | 94 | 1.725 | 2,151 | 2. 151 |

TABLE II. NOTIFIABLE DISEASES OF LOW FREQUENCY

|  | Cum. |  | Cum. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax: | 2 | Rabies in man: | - |
| Botulism: | 1 | Rubella, Congenital Syndrome: . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3 |
| Leptospirosis | 13 | Trichinosis: Calif. -1, N. J. - 1, NY Upstate - 4, Tex. - 1.. | 35 |
| Plaque: .......... Psittacosis: | 19 | Typhus, murine: <br> Polio, Unsp.: | 6 |
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could not be reached and the case was not investigated, the case was assumed to be measles and was reported as measles to NCDC.

The major portion of these reported cases ( 73 percent) occurred in nine New Jersey municipalities (Table 2). Measles immunization programs are being planned or have recently been held in each of these municipalities.

In Newark, cases have continued to appear despite the program on March 3, 1968, in which 6,802 children were immunized. Because of the continued occurrence of cases, weekly immunization programs are now being conducted in neighborhood houses used as clinic sites. In Paterson, since May 16, 1968, weekly (Thursday morning) immunization programs have been held in the health department clinics.

Table 1
Investigation of Cases

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & (1968) \end{aligned}$ | Number <br> Cases <br> Reported <br> to NJSDH* | Number <br> Cases <br> lnvesti- <br> gated | Number <br> Cases <br> Found <br> not to be <br> Measles | Percent of Cases Investigated Faund not to be Measles | Number <br> Cases <br> Reported <br> to NCDC** | Percent of Cases Reported to NJSDH which were reported to the NCDC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jenuary | 51 | 48 | 18 | 37.5 | 33 | 64.7 |
| February | 86 | 83 | 30 | 36.1 | 56 | 65.1 |
| March | 149 | 139 | 52 | 37.4 | 97 | 85.1 |
| April | 176 | 140 | 55 | 39.3 | 121 | 68.8 |
| Total | 462 | 410 | 155 | 37.8 | 307 | 66.5 |

- New Jersey State Department of tlonath.
* National Communicable Disciac Center - Includes the cases not investigaud.

Table 2
Nine Municipalities with Measles Outbreaks, New Jersey, January - April, 1968

| Municipality | County | Number of Cases by Month |  |  |  | lmmunization Program in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. |  |
| Absecon | Atlantic |  |  |  | 20 | May 1968 |
| Bogata | Burgen |  |  | 2 | 19 | May 1968 |
| Hackensack | Bergen |  |  | 2 | 6 | June 1968 |
| East Orange | Essex | 4 | 10 | 5 |  | May 1968 |
| Newark | Essex | 9 | 13 | 25 | 25 | March 1968 |
| Orange | Essex | 2 | 6 | 12 |  | June 1968 |
| Now Brunswick | Middlesex |  | 5 | 2 |  | January 1968 |
| Paterson | Passaic | 10 | 4 | 19 | 14 | May 1968* |
| Vemon | Sussex |  |  |  | 11 | May 1968 |

- In addition, Weekly Ptogram in Health Department Cligice.

As a result of the recent increase in immunization programs, personnel have been unable to maintain the previous level of measles surveillance. For example, in May (through May 17) only 63 percent of the cases reported to the NJSDH were investigated, as contrasted with approximately 95 percent investigated during each of of the first 3 months of 1968 (Table 1). This may explain the 10 percent increase in the number of cases reported from New Jersey to NCDC in May.
(Reported by Ronald Altman, M.D., State Epidemiologist, New Jersey State Department of Health; and two EIS Officers.)

## EPIDEMIOLOGIC NOTES AND REPORTS

GASTROENTERITIS - Portland, Oregon

An outbreak of gastroenteritis which occurred in Portland, Oregon, between May 17 and 20,1968 , has been traced to a meal served at a local restaurant on May 17. A total of 450 persons, including 75 who were attending two banquets and 375 individual diners, were served at the restaurant that evening. Interviews were obtained from 83 persons; 73 had been at the banquets and 10 had been individual diners. Of the 73 persons attending the banquet, 62 ( 85 percent) reported illnesses, and of the 10 individual diners, eight ( 80 percent) reported illnesses, giving an overall attack rate of 84 percent. Onsets of illness ranged from 3 to 67 hours after the meal with a mean incubation period of 36 hours. Symptoms of the ill persons included fever ( 54 percent), myalgia ( 37 percent), abdominal cramps ( 64 percent), nausea ( 71 percent), vomiting ( 46 percent), and diarrhea ( 63 percent). The durations of illness ranged from 8 to 96 hours with a mean duration of 30 hours. No persons were hospitalized and no deaths occurred.

Food items consumed by the diners included shrimp cocktail, oyster cocktail, king crab, steak, broiled lobster, green beans, baked potato and whipped margarine, salad, sherbet, ice water, and coffee. None of the foods could be implicated as the responsible vehicle by food histories.

Stool cultures were obtained 1 week after the meal from 11 ill persons, three well persons, and four asymptomatic food handlers. All cultures were negative for salmo-
nella and shigella, but five ill persons and two food handlers had cultures positive for enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EEC) 0124:B17. Follow-up cultures obtained 2 weeks after the meal revealed that only two food handlers were still positive for EEC. In addition, cultures from one other ill person ạnd one other food handler were positive for Clostridium perfringens, type A. Inspection of the restaurant showed poor hygienic conditions in the restaurant kitchen, the presence of numerous flies, and improper food preparation techniques. Samples of all food items, as well as scrapings of food from the cutting boards were cultured and were negative for salmonella and shigella, but several other organisms were cultured from different foods including EEC 0124:B17 from lobster, Cl. perfringens, type A from whipped margarine, and low concentrations of Staphylococcus aureus from the sherbet. Samples of the water supply as well as dye studies revealed no bacterial contamination of the water.

Subsequent investigation disclosed that similar illnesses had occurred among persons who had eaten at the restaurant on May 16. Food histories were obtained from 73 persons, 35 of whom ( 48 percent) had been ill. Symptoms and incubation periods were similar to those of the persons who became ill after the May 17 meal. No illnesses have been reported from persons eating at the restaurant after May 17.

Local health officials suggested numerous improvements in food handling techniques, and a follow-up inspection of the restaurant revealed great improvements in overall sanitation and techniques of food preparation.
(Reported by Vivian E. Runte, Nurse Epidemiologist, and M. A. Holmes, D.V.M., Public Health Veterinarian, Portland City Health Department; John H. Donnelly, M.D., Health Officer, and Robert Peth, Sanitarian, Multnomah County Health Department; James H. Stewart, M.D., Health Officer, and Eldred A. Henderson, Sanitarian, Washington County Health Department; and an EIS Officer.)

## Editorial Note

The symptoms, long incubation period, and short durations of illness are suggestive of EEC as the causative agent in this outbreak. Whether EEC can cause gastroenteritis in adults has been a point of controversy. However several recent outbreaks have pointed to an association between EEC and gastroenteritis in adults ${ }^{1,2,3,4}$ (MMWR, Vol. 16, No. 30). That EEC was the responsible agent in this outbreak is impossible to prove. The fact that the organism was isolated from five ill persons and two food handlers as well as one of the food items does
suggest a possible causal relationship. All previous reported outbreaks of diarrhea in adults associated with with EEC have been waterborne; $;^{1,2,3,4}$ in this outbreak, no food items were implicated by food histories although EEC 0124:B17 was recovered from lobster. Only a minority of those who became ill had eaten lobster, and it would appear that the outbreak was not caused by one particular food item. Although contaminated water is certainly a possibility, it seems unlikely that the exact route of contamination can be identified.
References:
${ }^{1}$ Schroeder, S.A., Caldwell, J.R., Vernon, T.M., White, P.C., Granger, S.I., and Bennett, J.V.: A waterborne outbreak of gastroenteritis in adults associated with enteropathogenic E. coli 0111:B4. Lancet 1:737-739, 1968
${ }^{2}$ Costin, I.D., Voiculescu, D., and Gorcea, V.: An outbreak of food poisoning in adults associated with Escherichia coli serotype 86:B7:H34. Path Microbiol 27:68-78, 1964.
${ }^{3}$ Lanyi, B., Szita, J., Ringelhann, B., and Kovach, K.: A waterborne outbreak of enteritis associated with Escherichia coli serotype 124:72:32. Acta Microbiol Acad Sci Hung $6: 77-84,1959$.
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## INTERNATIONAL NOTES

## FOLLOW-UP OBSCURE DISEASE RELATED

## TO AFRICAN MONKEYS

In the fall of 1967 , NCDC was first informed of a disease of unknown etiology in persons having contact with African green monkeys (Cercopithecus aethiops) (MMWR, Vol. 16, Nos. 36 and 37). The clinical disease was characterized by severe prostration, myalgia, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. Conjunctivitis occurred early in the disease followed by enanthem and exanthem of scarlatiniform in appearance. Leukopenia developed initially followed by leukocytosis. Thrombocytopenia with a resulting bleeding tendency from mucous membranes was reported. Later stages of the disease showed evidence of liver, heart, and brain involvement. Deaths occurred from 7 to 12 days after onset.

A total of 30 cases with 7 deaths were reported occurring most frequently in persons who had contact with monkey tissues or cell cultures, particularly kidney tissue cell cultures. No cases were attributable to contact with intact animals only. Early intensive laboratory investigation with sera and tissue from affected human cases revealed an agent (the Marburg-Frankfurt agent) that infects and kills guinea pigs with resulting splenomegaly and degeneration of the liver (MMWR, Vol. 16, Nos. 38, 42, and 43). Although rickettsia were not found, hepatic cells from guinea pigs showed large numbers of intracytoplasmic granules (500-600 $\mu$ ) resembling rickettsia. Convalescent Sera from febrile guinea pigs and patients were negative against rickettsialpox, typhus, and Rocky Mountain spotted fever antigens. Subsequent characterization of the agent including growth in tissue cultures, RNA content, and
electron micrographic appearance has suggested that the agent is a virus.

Recently serologic studies on 129 African green monkeys were conducted at the Special Studies Laboratory, NCDC. The monkeys' sera were tested by complement fixation (CF) tests for antibody to the African green monkey virus. Of the 129 sera tested, 65 ( 50 percent) had positive reactions with titers ranging from $1: 8$ to $\geq 1: 256$. The rate of positive reactions did not differ significantly between sera obtained from imported animals in the United States and from animals soon after capture in Africa. The monkeys originated in Ethiopia, Kenya, and Uganda, and positive reactors were found among animals from each country.

Sera from a limited number of captured chimpanzees, gorillas, and orangutans were also tested and approximately the same percentage of animals demonstrated CF antibody as that observed for the 129 African green monkeys. In tests conducted to date, sera from bushbabies and wild rodents collected in Africa and sera from 17 U.S. laboratory workers who have had contact with green monkeys for several years have shown no antibody to the virus.

Although no known antibody cross-reactions to the Marburg-Frankfurt virus occur with any other virus, virus neutralization tests must be performed before these serologic results can be confirmed and adequately interpreted.
(Reported by Special Studies Laboratory, Virology Section, Laboratory Program, NCDC.)

TABLE III. CASES OF SPECIFIED NOTIFIABLE DISEASES: UNITED STATES
FOR WEEKS ENDED
JUNE 15, 1968 AND JUNE 17, 1967 (24th WEEK)

| AREA | ASEPTIC MENINGITIS |  | Brucellosis | DIPHTHFRIA | ENCEPHALITIS |  |  | HEPATITIS |  |  | MALARIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary including unsp. cases |  | PostInfectious | Serum | Infectious |  |  |
|  | 1968 | 1967 |  | 1968 | 1968 | 1968 | 1967 | 1968 | 1968 | 1968 | 1967 | 1968 |
| UNITED STATES... | 67 | 45 | 4 | - | 19 | 27 | 8 | 90 | 789 | 740 | 42 |
| NEW ENGLAND. . . . . . . . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 19 | 34 | - |
| Maine *. . . . . . . . . . . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - |
| New Hampshire*.. . . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Vermont............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Massachusetts...... | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 11 | 13 | - |
| Rhode Island........ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Connecticut........ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 6 | 18 | - |
| middie atlantic. . . . . | 4 | 8 | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 19 | 134 | 144 | 9 |
| New York City...... | 2 | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 15 | 53 | 71 | - |
| New York, Up-State. | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 20 | 25 | - |
| New Jersey.......... | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 33 | 18 | 4 |
| Pennsylvania....... | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 28 | 30 | 5 |
| EAST NORTH CENTRAL... | 11 | 7 | 1 | - | 5 | 8 | - | 1 | 114 | 94 | 4 |
| Ohio................ | 8 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | 21 | 22 | - |
| Indiana............ | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 7 | - |
| Illinots........... | 2 | 2 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 33 | 30 | 2 |
| Michigan........... | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 41 | 30 | 2 |
| Wisconsin.......... | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 8 | 5 | - |
| WEST NORTH CENTRAL... | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 51 | 31 | 4 |
| Minnesota.......... | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 9 | 4 | - |
| Iowa. . . . . . . . . . . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | 1 | - |
| Missouri........... | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 21 | 23 | - |
| North Dakota. ...... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| South Dakota....... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nebraska........... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Kansas............. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 10 | 2 | 4 |
| SOUTH atlantic. ...... | 5 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 73 | 85 | 13 |
| Delaware............ | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 2 | 5 | - |
| Maryland............ | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 13 | 26 | 1 |
| Dist. of Columbia.. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Virginia........... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 15 | 2 |
| West Virginia...... | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - |
| North Carolina..... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| South Carolina..... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Georgia............. | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 8 | 2 |
| Florida............. | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 13 | 23 | 1 |
| EAST SOUTH CENTRAL... | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 42 | 50 | - |
| Kentucky........... | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 25 | - |
| Tennessee.......... | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 21 | 12 | - |
| Alabama............. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | - |
| Mississippi......... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 10 | - |
| WEST SOUTH CENTRAL... | 23 | 5 | - | - | 3 | 5 | - | 1 | 66 | 58 | - |
| Arkansas............ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - |
| Louisiana........... | 19 | 4 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 20 | 11 | - |
| Oklahoma............ | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 2 | - |
| Texas*............. | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 36 | 42 | - |
| mountain. . . . . . . . . . . | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 34 | 73 | 4 |
| Montana............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 2 | - |
| Idaho............... | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Wyoming............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Colorado............ | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 14 | 4 | 2 |
| New Mexico......... | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 48 | 2 |
| Arizona............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 7 | - |
| Utah................ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 9 | - |
| Nevada............... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PACIFIC............... | 19 | 17 | 1 | - | 5 | 9 | 5 | 55 | 256 | 171 | 8 |
| Washington. . . . . . . . |  |  | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 37 | 14 | 2 |
| Oregon............. | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 3 | 16 | 15 | - |
| California.......... | 17 | 9 | 1 | - | 4 | 7 | 3 | 51 | 203 | 141 | 6 |
| Alaska.............. |  | - | , | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hawai1............. | 2 | 8 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Puerto Rico........... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | 21 | - |

TABLE III. CASES OF SPECIFIED NOTIFIABLE DISEASES: UNITED STATES
FOR WEEKS ENDED
JUNE 15, 1968 AND JUNE 17, 1967 (24th WEEK) • CONTINUED

*Delayed reports: Measles: N.H. 33, Mass. delete 32
Mumps: Me. 14
Rubella: Me. 1

TABLE III. CASES OF SPECIFIED NOTIFIABLE DISEASES: UNITED STATES FOR WEEKS ENDED

JUNE 15, 1968 AND JUNE 17, 1967 (24th WEEK) - CONTINUED

| AREA | STREPTOCOCCAL SORE THROAT \& SCARLET FEVER | TETANUS |  | TULAREMIA |  | TYPHOID |  | TYPHUS FEVER TICK-BORNE (Rky. Mt. Spotted) |  | RABIES IN ANIMALS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1968 | 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1968 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | Cum. <br> 1968 | 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES... | 6,294 | 3 | 58 | 4 | 81 | 11 | 127 | 8 | 62 | 45 | 1,725 |
| NEW ENGLAND........... | 1,225 | - | 1 | - | 40 | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 60 |
| Maine*.............. | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 |
| New Hampshire...... | 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Vermont............ | 55 | - | - | - | 40 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 |
| Massachusetts...... | 159 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Rhode Island....... | 120 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Connecticut........ | 839 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Middle atlantic...... | 171 | - | 9 | - | 3 | - | 11 | - | 4 | - | 15 |
| New York City...... | 23 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| New York, Up-State. | 130 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 11 |
| New Jersey......... | NN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pennsylvania....... | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |
| EAST NORTH CENTRAL... | 549 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 21 | - | 2 | 5 | 155 |
| Ohio............... | 53 | - | , | - | 1 | - | 11 | - | 1 | 2 | 62 |
| Indiana............. | 111 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 56 |
| Illinois........... | 196 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 8 | - | 1 | 2 | 17 |
| Michigar*............ | 64 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 9 |
| Wisconsin.......... | 125 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 11 |
| WEST NORTH CENTRAL... | 182 | - | 2 | - | 6 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 11 | 388 |
| Minnesota. . . . . . . | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 109 |
| Iowa. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 73 |
| Missouri........... | 34 | - | 2 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 68 |
| North Dakota....... | 46 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 65 |
| South Dakota....... | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 34 |
| Nebraska............ | 34 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 19 |
| Kansas............. | 9 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 20 |
| SOUTH ATLANTIC....... | 904 | - | 11 | - | 5 | 4 | 35 | 6 | 39 | 5 | 195 |
| Delaware........... | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maryland........... | 330 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | 3 | - | 3 |
| Dist. of Columbiat. | 22 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Virginia........... | 215 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 17 | - | 82 |
| West Virginia...... | 158 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 26 |
| North Carolina..... | 8 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 12 | - | 7 |
| South Carolina..... | 9 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Georgia............ | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 25 |
| Florida............. | 155 | - | 4 | - | 1 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 52 |
| EAST SOUTH CENTRAL... | 818 | 1 | 8 | - | 6 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 429 |
| Kentucky........... | 28 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 200 |
| Tennessee.......... | 674 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 210 |
| Alabama............. | 49 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 19 |
| Mississippi........ | 67 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| WEST SOUTH CENTRAL... | 495 | - | 7 | 1 | 11 | - | 9 | - | 6 | 8 | 318 |
| Arkansas........... | 16 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 36 |
| Louisiana.......... | 7 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 30 |
| Oklahoma............ | 36 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 2 | 99 |
| Texas.............. | 436 | - | 2 | 1 | 7 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 6 | 153 |
| MOUNTAIN. . . . . . . . . . . | 1,111 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | 9 | - | 1 | 1 | 38 |
| Montana............. | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho. . . . . . . . . . . | 51 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wyoming............ | 5 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Colorado............ | 749 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| New Mexico......... | 83 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | 17 |
| Arizona............ | 91 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 18 |
| Utah............... | 113 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PACTFIC............... | 839 | 1 | 12 | - | - | 3 | 18 | - | - | 7 | 127 |
| Washington. . . . . . . | 128 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oregon............. | 58 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |
| California......... | 531 | 1 | 11 | - | - | 3 | 16 | - | - | 7 | 124 |
| Alaska.............. | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hawaii. . . . . . . . . . . | 93 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Puerto Rico.. | 10 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 |

(By place of occurrence and week of filing certificate. Excludes fetal deaths)

| Area | All Causes |  | Pneumonia and Inf luenza All Ages | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Under } \\ 1 \text { year } \\ \text { A11 } \\ \text { Causes } \end{array}\right\|$ | Area | All Causes |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Pneumonia } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Influenza } \\ \text { All Ages } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ 1 \\ \text { year } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Causes } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Al1 } \\ & \text { Ages } \end{aligned}$ | 65 years and over |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Ages } \end{aligned}$ | 65 years and over |  |  |
| NEW ENGLAND: | 736 | 463 | 29 | 42 | South atlantic: | 1,223 | 582 | 56 | 115 |
| Boston, Mass.- | 234 | 128 | 15 | 17 | Atlanta, Ga.----------- | 128 | 58 | 2 | 6 |
| Bridgeport, Conn.----- | 36 | 29 | 3 | 2 | Baltimore, Md.--------- | 288 | 133 | 8 | 23 |
| Cambridge, Mass.--- | 29 | 22 | - | - | Charlotte, N. C.------ | 43 | 17 | 1 | 5 |
| Fall River, Mass.---- | 34 | 24 | - | 3 | Jacksonville, Fla....-- | 86 | 40 | 1 | 2 |
| Hartford, Conn.------- | 52 | 29 | - | 5 | Miami, Fla, ----------- | 100 | 60 | 1 | 5 |
| Lowel1, Mass.---.----- | 37 | 21 | 3 | 2 | Norfolk, Va.--------.-- | 54 | 25 | 8 | 5 |
| Lynn, Mass.----------- | 14 | 9 | - | - | Richmond, Va.--....... | 82 | 42 | 6 | 4 |
| New Bedford, Mass.---- | 21 | 17 | 1 | 1 | Savannah, Ga.-. | 26 | 10 | 4 | 2 |
| New Haven, Conn.------ | 49 | 30 | - | 4 | St. Petersburg, Fla.--- | 75 | 60 | 8 | 2 |
| Providence, R. I.----- | 71 | 38 | 1 | 5 | Tampa, Fla,--------1.- | 52 | 25 | 7 | - |
| Somerville, Mass.----- | 16 | 12 | - | - | Washington, D. C.------ | 251 | 93 | 9 | 59 |
| Springfield, Mass.-- | 52 | 40 | 4 | - | Wilmington, Del...----- | 38 | 19 | 1 | , |
| Waterbury, Conn.-..--- | 39 | 25 | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass.------ | 52 | 39 | 2 | 2 | EAST SOUTH CENTRAL: Birmingham, Ala........... | 588 81 | 305 51 | 34 | 27 |
| middle atiantic: | 3,487 | 2,014 | 128 | 144 | Chattanooga, Tenn.----- | 57 | 30 | 9 | 4 |
| Albany, N. Y.-...----- | 52 | 29 | - | 3 | Knoxville, Tenn.- | 48 | 26 | 2 |  |
| Allentow, Pa.-------- | 38 | 30 | 2 | 2 | Louisville, Ky.-------- | 129 | 73 | 12 | 5 |
| Buffalo, N. Y.-.-....-- | 178 | 96 | 4 | 10 | Memphis, Tenn.- | 115 | 53 | 2 | 10 |
| Camden, N. J.--------- | 41 | 24 | 1 | 1 | Mobile, Ala.----------- | 50 | 24 | 1 | 2 |
| Elizabeth, N. J.....--- | 31 | 18 | - | - | Montgomery, Ala.-.-..--- | 34 | 15 | 4 | 2 |
| Erie, Pa.------------- | 51 | 26 | 4 | 1 | Nashville, Tern.------- | 74 | 33 | 3 | 4 |
| Jersey City, N. J.---- | 70 | 32 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newark, N. J.--------- | 83 | 42 | 2 | 4 | WEST SOUTH CEnTRAL: | 1,211 | 620 | 26 | 83 |
| New York City, N. Y.-- | 1,796 | 1,036 | 74 | 66 | Austin, Tex.--- | 39 | 24 | 5 |  |
| Paterson, N. J.------- | 43 | 24 | 2 | 3 | Baton Rouge, La.------- | 37 | 20 | 2 | 2 |
| Philadelphia, Pa.---- | 490 | 276 | 11 | 29 | Corpus Christi, Tex.--- | 27 | 13 | - | 2 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa.------- | 158 | 84 | 1 | 3 | Dallas, Tex.- | 172 | 88 | 3 | 11 |
| Reading, Pa, -.........- | 47 | 35 | 7 |  | El Paso, Tex. | 49 | 27 | 2 | 5 |
| Rochester, N. Y.------ | 133 | 82 | 2 | 12 | Fort Worth, Tex.------- | 80 | 43 | 1 | 9 |
| Schenectady, N. Y..--- | 21 | 16 | - | 1 | Houston, Tex.---------- | 238 | 99 | 1 | 10 |
| Scranton, Pa.--------- | 28 | 21 | 3 | - | Little Rock, Ark.------ | 64 | 37 | 2 | 2 |
| Syracuse, N. | $\begin{array}{r}90 \\ \hline 57\end{array}$ | 54 | 4 | 4 | New Orleans, la.------- | 186 | 88 | 3 | 12 |
| Trenton, $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{J}$. | - 57 | 30 | 2 | - | Oklahoma City, Okla.--- | 78 | 44 | 2 | 2 |
| Utica, N. Y. | - 38 | 31 | 4 | $\overline{7}$ | San Antonio, Tex.-.-.--- | 136 | 80 | - | 15 |
| Yonkers, N. Y.-------- | [1742 | 28 | 4 | 2 | Shreveport, La.--------- | 35 | 18 | 1 |  |
| EAST NORTH CENTRAL: |  |  |  |  | Tulsa, 0kla.------------ | 70 | 39 | 4 | 9 |
| Akron, Ohio---- | $\begin{array}{r}2,937 \\ \hline 62\end{array}$ | 1,744 | 109 | 126 1 | MOUNTAIN: |  |  |  |  |
| Canton, Ohio--..-....-- | - 47 | 26 | 3 | , | Albuquerque, N. Mex.--- | 439 | 245 29 | 16 | 2 |
| Chicago, Ill.--------- | -1896 | 533 | 36 | 44 | Colorado Springs, Colo. | 17 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio--..-- | $\cdots 150$ | 94 | 6 | 6 | Denver, Colo.---------- | 104 | 56 | 5 | 1 |
| Cleveland, Ohio------- | -243 | 129 | 7 | 8 | Ogden, Utah---.--------- | 14 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| Columbus, Ohio-----.--- | -135 | 70 | 1 | 8 | Phoenix, Ariz.------------ | 112 | 62 | - | 8 |
| Dayton, Ohio--------- | 91 | 55 | 3 | 1 | Pueblo, Colo.---------- | 20 | 17 | 1 | - |
| Detroit, Mich.-------- | 9405 | 256 | 9 | 12 | Salt Lake City, Utah--- | 64 | 30 | - | 5 |
| Evansville, Ind.------ | [147 | 28 | 4 | - | Tucson, Ariz.---------- | 55 | 31 | 2 | 2 |
| Flint, Mich.-.---.-.---- | 1-63 | 38 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Wayne, Ind.------ | 1736 | 22 | - | - | PACIFIC: | 1,748 | 1,039 | 24 | 71 |
| Gary, Ind.----------- | $\bigcirc 55$ | 25 | 6 | 6 | Berkeley, Calif.------- | 28 | 21 | - | - |
| Grand Rapids, Mich.--- | 70 | 45 | 6 | - | Fresno, Calif.---------- | 38 | 19 | 2 | 2 |
| Indianapolis, Ind.---- | 158 | 73 | 2 | 11 | Glendale, Calif..-....-- | 37 | 26 | - | 1 |
| Madison, Wis.--------- | 45 | 20 | 6 | 6 | Honolulu, Hawail------- | 52 | 23 | 2 | 4 |
| Milwaukee, Wis.------- | 133 | 84 | 6 | 8 | Long Beach, Calif.-.--- | 107 | 56 | 5 | 4 |
| Peoria, Ill,---------- | 50 | 24 | 1 | 6 | Los Angeles, Calif.---- | 644 | 400 | 10 | 36 |
| Rockford, Ill.--- | 28 | 18 | 3 | - | Oakland, Calif.-------- | 89 | 52 | - | 4 |
| South Bend, Ind.------ | 36 | 23 | 1 | - | Pasadena, Calif.---------- | 29 | 23 | - |  |
| Toledo, Ohio--------- | 112 | 78 | 3 | 2 | Portland, Oreg.--------- | 107 | 71 | 1 | 2 |
| Youngstown, Ohio------ | 75 | 60 | 4 | 2 | Sacramento, Calif.----- <br> San Diego, Calif. | 57 99 | 28 | - | 2 |
| WEST NORTH CENTRAL: | 849 | 504 | 27 | 54 | San Francisco, Calif.-- | r99 | 51 94 | 2 | 8 |
| Des Moines, Lowa------ | 65 | 42 | 3 | 4 | San Jose, Calif.---..-- | 35 | 26 | 1 | - |
| Duluth, Minn.--------- | 16 | 14 | 2 | 1 | Seattle, Wash.--------- | 149 | 79 | 1 | 4 |
| Kansas City, Kans.---- | 43 | 17 | 1 | 5 | Spokane, Wash.-.-.-...--- | 57 | 43 | - | 2 |
| Kansas City, Mo.-.---- | 155 | 84 | 3 | 11 | Tacoma, Wash.----------- | 37 | 27 | - | 2 |
| Lincoln, Nebr..---.--- | 26 | 11 | - | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minneapolis, Minn.---------- | 140 69 | 95 45 | 5 | 8 | Total | 13,214 | 7,516 | 449 | 684 |
| St. Louls, Mo.---------- | 209 | 45 117 | 7 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Yaul, Minn.----- | 78 | 48 | - | 5 | including reported corrections for previous weeks |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans.-------- | 48 | 31 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## INTERNATIONAL NOTES <br> INFLUENZA - South America

In January 1968, there was an outbreak of A2 influenza in northern Chile. ${ }^{1}$ Subsequently, an outbreak of A2 influenza associated with marked absenteeism occurred in Santiago.

In mid-March a severe epidemic of A2 influenza was observed on Easter Island, national territory of Chile. Nearly the entire population was affected, and there were some deaths in the older age group.

In late April, A2 influenza was first noticed in Mendoza and San Juan, Argentina. ${ }^{2}$ These communities are in western Argentina directly across the Andes from Santiago, Chile. The epidemic in Argentina seems to be gradually spreading from the west to the north and to the east, and suspect outbreaks have recently been reported in Buenos Aires and Pergamino. To date, nine influenza A2 viruses have been isolated at the National Influenza Center of of Cordoba, Argentina.
(Reported by Dr. E. Pearson, Head, Virus Department, Instituto Bacteriologico de Chile, Santiago, Chile; Dr. Violeta K'nez, Chief, Influenza Program, Unversidad Nacional de Cordoba, Instituto de Virologia, Cordoba, Argentina, Dr. A. Vilches, Director, Instituto Nacional de Microbiologia, Buenos Aires, Argentina; and WHO International Influenza Center for the Americas, NCDC.)
References:
${ }^{1}$ WHO Weekly Epidemiologic Record, $43:(19) 241$, May 10, 1968. 2WHO Weekly Epidemiologic Record, 43(24)301, June 14, 1968.

## ERRATA, Vol. 17, No. 23

## Page 211

In the article, "Trichinosis - Ohio," the list of persons reporting the article is incomplete. The following list is correct: "(Reported by Ralph A. Masterson, D.V.M., M.P.H., Chief, Epidemiology Section, Jack Russell, D.V.M., Chief, Veterinary Unit, Donald Baker, Investigator, and Ohio Department of Health Laboratory, Ohio Department of Health; Fred C.Kluth, M.D., Commissioner, Lake County Health Department; and Joseph Koelliker, M.D., Willoughby, Ohio.)'

Page 213
The page number in the reference in the article "Method of Recording Date of International Certificates of Vaccination,' should be page 43 and not page 49.

## Page 215

In the article "Measles Mortality - United States, 1966," the title to Figure 5 is incorrect. The title should be the following:

## Figure 5

REPORTED MEASLES CASES (1912-1967) AND DEATHS (1912-1966) PER 100,000 POPULATION, UNITED STATES

The mortality data for 1967 are not yet available.
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