Author, year, funding	Design	Study population	Exposures (HC, antibiotic)	Outcomes	Results	Strengths	Limitations	Quality
Back	РК	8 women	COC with 50 mcg	EE and NET	NET: (Rifampin, no rifampin):	PK parameters	Not	Fair
(1979,		with TB	EE and 1 mg NET,	PK during	Mean AUC ₀₋₂₄ : 21.9 +/- 5.9	and timing	randomized,	
1980)	Single-	nearing end	single dose	and after	ng/ml*h; 37.8 +/- 13.1 ng/ml*h,	appropriate,	small sample	
	sequence	of treatment		rifampin	(p<0.01)	perpetrator	size, adherence	
Searle,	crossover		Rifampin 450-	therapy.	t _{1/2} : 3.2 +/- 1.0 h; 6.2 +/- 1.7 h,	drug at steady	not assessed, no	
WHO,		Ages 18-42	600 mg/d for at		(p< 0.0025)	state.	information on	
Peel		BMI not	least 3 months.				potential	
Medical		reported			EE: (Rifampin, no rifampin):		confounders,	
Trust,			All on isoniazid,		Mean AUC ₀₋₂₄ : 1014 +/- 317		women had TB	
Mersey			some also on		pg/ml*hr; 1747 +/- 218 pg/ml*hr,		so uncertain	
Health			ethambutol or		(p<0.01)		generalizability	
Trust.			pyrazinamide		t _{1/2} : 2.9 +/- 0.8 h; 6.3 +/- 1.4 h,			
a 111 1					(p<0.01)			
Barditch-	PK and	12 healthy	COC with 35 mcg	EE and NET	Rifampin:	Sample size	Not	Good
Crovo	clinical	women on COCs for at	EE and 1 mg NET	PK on days 7	EE: Mean AUC \downarrow 66%, Cmax \downarrow	reasonable, adherence	randomized,	
(1999)	Single	least 3	Difamaia 600 mg	and 21, cycle 1 and 3.	43%, clearance \uparrow two-fold, t _{1/2}	assessed by	control and	
US Food	Single-	months	Rifampin 600 mg daily days 8-21 of	1 anu 3.	↓ 48% (p for all <0.01). NET: Mean AUC ↓ 51%,		experimental draws at	
and Drug	sequence crossover	monuns	cycle 1 or 3	Presumed	clearance \uparrow 100%, t _{1/2} \downarrow 59% (p	tracking caps, PK parameters	different times	
Adminis-	crossover	Ages 23-44	Cycle I OI S	ovulation by	for all <0.01). No change to	and timing	within the same	
tration,		Median	Rifabutin 300 mg	day 21 serum	Cmax.	appropriate,	cycle, rifampin	
National		weight 61kg	daily days 8-21 of	P, menstrual		basic subject	not started until	
Institutes		(43-122kg)	cycle 1 or 3	irregularities	Rifabutin:	characteristics	cycle day 8 -	
of Health		(43 12218)		inegularities	EE: Mean AUC \downarrow 35%, clearance	provided, both	may have	
orrication			Washout cycle		\uparrow 53% (p < 0.001). No change to	drugs at steady	affected	
			between with		$Cmax, t_{1/2}$.	state.	ovulation	
			COC only		NET: Mean AUC \downarrow 13%, t _{1/2} \downarrow	Stater	outcome.	
					18% (p for all <0.02). No change		outcomer	
					to Cmax or clearance.			
					No patients had elevated serum			
					P. No pregnancies, 3 with			
					menstrual irregularities with			
					rifampin.			

Blode (2012) Bayer	PK Single- sequence crossover	28 healthy post- menopausal women (confirmed by FSH, E2) Ages 45-75 Normal BMI	COC with estradiol valerate 2mg/DNG 3mg days 1-17 Rifampicin 600mg days 12- 16 1.5 hours after COC	E2V and DNG PK	E2 GMR of Cmax with/without rifampin: 75% (66.9-84.4%) and $AUC_{0.24}$ 56% (53-59%). DNG: Cmax 48% (44.8-51.6%) and $AUC_{0.24}$ 17% (15.6-18.7%) Steady state exposure of E2 down 44% and DNG down 83% with rifampin.	Sample size reasonable, PK parameters and timing appropriate, minimized intrasubject variability, both drugs at steady state	Not randomized, adherence not assessed, population not reproductive aged but explanation provided	Fair
Chen (2007) Millen- nium Pharma- ceuticals	PK Single sequence crossover	14 healthy post- menopausal women Ages 49-55 Mean weight 67kg (60- 75kg)	COC with 35mcg EE and 1mg NET for 14 days Single oral dose rifalazil 25mg on day 8	EE samples on days 6 and 7, and again on days 13-14.	EE GMRs (90% CI): AUC 0-24: 104.4 (99.6-109.4) Cmax: 105.9 (101-111) Cmin: 105 (97.7-112.8) p for all >0.99	Sample size reasonable, PK parameters appropriate, basic subject characteristics provided.	Not randomized, adherence not assessed, timing of samples was 7 days after single rifalazil dose, perpetrator drug not clearly at steady state, post- menopausal population with uncertain generalizability	Poor
Gupta (1988) Funding not stated	PK Single- sequence crossover	6 healthy women Ages 19-38 Weight 40- 60kg	COC with 30mcg EE and 1mg NET during cycle 2 Single dose 450mg rifampin during control menstrual cycle and again during COC cycle	Rifampin PK	Rifampin (before COC; with COC): AUC ₀₋₈ range 29.9-176 mcg/ml/hr; 61.9-157.7 mcg/ml/hr (p>0.05) Cmax range 8.2 - 36mcg/ml; 11.25-29mcg/ml (p>0.05)	PK parameters appropriate, basic subject characteristics provided, perpetrator drug at steady state.	Not randomized, small sample size, adherence not assessed, short PK follow up, timing of second rifampin dose within cycle not stated.	Poor

Joshi (1980) Schering, WHO	PK and clinical Single- sequence crossover (PK) Parallel (clinical)	9 women with TB receiving non- rifampin- based therapy, (10 healthy controls for ovulation outcome only) Ages 19-36 BMI not reported	COC with 30 mcg EE and 1mg NET for two cycles Started rifampin 8-10 mg/kg daily starting during second COC cycle	EE and NET PK between days 19-23 of each cycle (n=9) Presumed ovulation by serum P if any of two samples between days 19-23 >4ng/ml (n=7).	 NET (No rifampin, with rifampin) 24-hour mean level (1.7 +/- 0.2 vs. 0.6 +/- 0.3, p< 0.0001) AUC (127 +/- 10 vs. 89 +/- 13, p < 0.05) Cmax did not change significantly. EE: No change in mean 24 hour level, AUC or Cmax. 2 of 7 women had elevated P (> 4 ng/ml) and 3 had menstrual irregularities with rifampin but not without. 	PK parameters and timing appropriate, both drugs at steady state.	Not randomized, small sample size, adherence not assessed, no information on potential confounders	Fair
Lebel (1998) Ana- pharm	PK and clinical Single- sequence crossover	28 healthy women taking COCs for at least 2 months Ages 18-45 years BMI not reported	COC with 35 mcg EE and 1 mg NET for three cycles Rifabutin or rifampin 300 mg daily for cycle days 1-10 during cycle 2 and 3	EE and NET PK on day 10. Presumed ovulation by daily serum P on days 17- 19, bleeding pattern.	Rifampin vs control cycles: EE AUC, Cmax, and half-life \downarrow 64% 42%, and 43%, respectively NET AUC, Cmax, and half-life \downarrow 60%, 27%, and 58%, respectively Rifabutin vs control cycle: EE AUC, Cmax, and half-life \downarrow 35%*, 20%*, and 33%, respectively NET AUC, Cmax, and half-life \downarrow 46%, 32% and 35%*, respectively All decreases p<0.05 * = rifabutin statistically different from rifampin No ovulatory P. More women had spotting during rifampin (36.4%) than during control cycle (3.7%).	Sample size reasonable, PK parameters and timing appropriate, both drugs at steady state.	Not randomized, adherence not assessed, no information on potential confounders. 6 subjects lost to follow up.	Good

Meyer (1990) Funding not stated	Clinical Single sequence crossover	22 healthy women using either IUD or vasectomy Ages >18 years BMI not reported	COC with EE 30/40/50 mcg + LNG 50/75/125 mcg during cycles 2-4 Rifampin 300mg daily during cycle 4	Presumed ovulation if ultrasound on day 13 to showed developing follicle and day 21 serum P >10nmol/L. Inter- menstrual bleeding by diary.	All women ovulated during baseline cycle. COC alone: 0/22 ovulated COC + rifampin: 11/22 ovulated. No difference in intermenstrual bleeding during three COC cycles.	Power calculation discussed for 15% risk of ovulation, ultrasonographe rs were blinded to treatment, both drugs at steady state.	Not randomized, bleeding outcomes may be confounded by use of IUD and triphasic pill, no information on potential confounders	Fair
Reimers (1971) Funding not stated	Clinical Pro- spective cohort	51 women with TB taking concurrent COC and TB therapy over 2-24 months Ages and BMI not reported	Various COC formulations Combination TB therapy containing rifampin (n=38) or no rifampin (n=13)	Bleeding pattern, disease course	Bleeding: No bleeding irregularities with non-rifampin drugs. With rifampin: 16/38 had irregular spotting or bleeding. Disease course: TB improved when on COCs regardless of anti- TB therapy for all patients compared with historical cohort of non-COC users		Descriptive observational study with limited information on follow up and results, variable exposures and no information on outcome assessment, no formal comparisons between groups, unblinded, no information on potential confounders	Poor

Trapnell	РК	28 healthy	Single dose of 2	EE, NG and	No differences in EE, NG, or 17-	Sample size	Not	Fair
(2007)		women	tablets (0.035 mg	17-DNGM PK	DNGM Cmax or AUC with	reasonable, PK	randomized,	
	Single		EE and 0.25 mg		rifaximin; bioequivalence	parameters and	adherence not	
ICON	sequence	Ages 18-45	norgestimate) on	Adverse	established by 90% Cl	timing	assessed,	
Develop-	crossover	Between -10	days 0 and 14	events (AE)		appropriate,	unclear if	
ment		- 30% of ideal			No serious AEs and no subjects	attempted to	rifaximin at	
Solutions		body weight	Following 1 week		withdrew	minimize	steady state -	
			washout (days 4–		due to an AE.	intersubject	three day dose	
			10), rifaximin 200			variation.	may not have	
			mg every 8 hours				full enzyme	
			for days 11–14.				induction effect.	

Abbreviations: WHO World Health Organization; PK pharmacokinetic; BMI body mass index; TB tuberculosis; COC combined oral contraceptive; EE ethinylestradiol; NET norethindrone; AUC area under the curve; t_{1/2} half-life; US United States; P progesterone; Cmax maximum serum concentration; FSH follicle stimulating hormone; E2 estradiol; DNG dienogest; E2V estradiol valerate; GMR geometric mean ratio; CI confidence interval; IUD intrauterine device; LNG levonorgestrel; NG norgestimate; 17-DNGM 17-deacetyl norgestimate.