



Published in final edited form as:

*Geospat Health*. ; 19(1): . doi:10.4081/gh.2024.1273.

## Examination of multidimensional geographic mobility and sexual behaviour among Black cisgender sexually minoritized men in Chicago

Aleya Khalifa<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Byoungjun Kim<sup>3</sup>, Seann Regan<sup>1</sup>, Tyrone Moline<sup>1</sup>, Basile Chaix<sup>4</sup>, Yen-Tyng Chen<sup>5</sup>, John Schneider<sup>6</sup>, Dustin T. Duncan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, 722 West 168th Street, New York, NY 10032, USA

<sup>2</sup>ICAP at Columbia University, 722 West 168th Street, New York, NY 10032, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Surgery, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, 550 First Avenue, New York, NY 10016, USA

<sup>4</sup>Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Épidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Némésis Research Team, 27 rue Chaligny, F75012, Paris, France

<sup>5</sup>Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy, Rutgers University, 33 Livingston Ave #400, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, Public Health Sciences, University of Chicago, Goldblatt Pavilion, 5841 S Maryland Ave, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

### Abstract

Black sexually minoritized men (BSMM) are the most likely to acquire HIV in Chicago- a racially segregated city where their daily travel may confer different HIV-related risks. From survey and GPS data among participants of the *Neighbourhoods and Networks Cohort Study*, we examined spatial (proportion of total activity space away from home), temporal (proportion of total GPS points away from home), and motivation-specific (discordance between residential and frequented sex or socializing neighbourhoods) dimensions of mobility. To identify potential drivers of BSMM's risk, we then examined associations between mobility and sexual behaviours known to cause HIV transmission: condomless anal sex, condomless anal sex with a casual partner, transactional sex, group sex, and sex-drug use. Multivariable logistic regression models assessed associations. Of 269 cisgender BSMM, most were 20-29 years old, identified as gay, and low-income. On average, 96.9% (Standard Deviation: 3.7%) of participants' activity space and 53.9% (Standard Deviation: 38.1%) of participants' GPS points occurred outside their 800m

\*Corresponding author, ak4598@cumc.columbia.edu.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest or competing interests to declare.

Ethics Approval

The study protocol was approved by the New York University School of Medicine (i16-01515\_CR2 and i16-02158\_CR2) and University of Chicago Medical Center IRBs (IRB16-1419).

Consent to Participate

Participants provided informed written consent before any study-related activities were conducted.

home network buffer. After covariate adjustment, those who reported sex away from home were twice as likely to report condomless sex (Odds Ratio: 2.02, [95% Confidence Interval (CI): 1.08, 3.78]). Those who reported socializing away from home were four times more likely to have condomless sex with a casual partner (Odds Ratio: 4.16 [CI: 0.99, 29.0]). BSMM are on the move in Chicago, but only motivation-specific mobility may increase HIV transmission risk. Multidimensional investigations of mobility can inform place-based strategies for HIV service delivery.

### Keywords

HIV infections; sexual behaviour; sexual and gender minorities; urban health; mobility

---

### Introduction

The composition and context of our neighbourhoods – and how we move through them – has been associated with HIV-related outcomes among racially minoritized groups (Duncan et al., 2021). As residents of one of the most racially segregated cities in the United States (Menendian et al., 2021), Black people in Chicago face a myriad of poor HIV outcomes due to their spatial segregation and marginalization (Gant et al., 2023; Oluyomi et al., 2023; Rimmler et al., 2022). Chicagoan Black sexually minoritized men (BSMM), in particular, experience disproportionately higher rates of HIV incidence compared to other sexually minoritized men (SMM) (Mustanski et al., 2019) and comprise over one-quarter of new diagnoses in the city (Getting to Zero Illinois Dashboard, 2022). However, few studies explore the links between spatial (im)mobility and HIV transmission risk behaviours exclusively among BSMM.

Geographic mobility – where, how far, and for how long someone travels over a given period – can impact several health outcomes (Morris et al., 2018), including one’s sexual behaviours that can cause HIV transmission (Kim et al., 2020). For example, mobility may be associated with condomless anal sex (Patel et al., 2014), transactional sex (Oldenburg et al., 2015), group sex (Lew et al., 2023), and using alcohol or drugs during sex (Tomkins et al., 2019). This is because individuals may experience less social control from known friends, family, or neighbours when they are away from home (DeLamater, 1981; Zenilman et al., 1999). “Journey-to” theory, which spans multiple disciplines, captures how mobility lessens the social controls that govern individual behaviours. In criminology, the “journey to crime” (committing a crime away from one’s residential neighbourhood) is associated with victimization and law enforcement outcomes (Donnelly et al., 2021; Luo et al., 2021). This theory has been applied to substance use research in which the “journey to overdose” (overdosing in a neighbourhood that is not one’s own neighbourhood) was found to result in more accidental deaths than if individuals overdosed at home (Forati et al., 2023). To extend this theory further, “journey to sexual behaviour” – having sex in neighbourhoods away from home – may be associated with greater rates of sexual behaviours that increase the risk of HIV transmission (Cassels et al., 2020; Dharma et al., 2023). Of course, mobility could also introduce individuals to neighbourhoods and networks with varying social norms that can also influence sexual behaviours (Frye et al., 2017). For this reason, it is important to unpack

which aspects of BSMM's geographic mobility (e.g., time spent away from home, having sex away from home) relate to which sexual behaviours that may increase HIV transmission risk.

While recent studies among SMM suggest links between mobility and sexual behaviours, none have exclusively studied these associations among BSMM. Duncan and colleagues found that SMM in New York City engage in “spatial polygamy” (using a survey measure) in which individuals spend time outside of their residential neighbourhood for a multitude of reasons like having sex and socializing – and these types of activity spaces differ by key characteristics that relate to HIV vulnerability (Duncan et al., 2014). In New York City among a racially and ethnically diverse sample of SMM, Kim et al. found that the size of one's activity space, using a Global Positioning System (GPS) measure, was positively associated with having condomless anal sex (Kim et al., 2020). Geographic mobility – whether for sex, socializing, or other reasons – among BSMM in the United States remains poorly understood.

Current mobility and health studies, including those with SMM, use either survey or GPS-based measures, each with its own advantages and disadvantages. Survey measures, like from travel histories, are often favoured for describing the motivations for travel, but may be subjective and prone to recall bias (Rezaei et al., 2023; Zare & Pearce, 2022). GPS methods facilitate more objective measurement of mobility and allow for many different quantifications of the scale of movement – like size of one's activity space or the proportion of one's time spent away from home (Duncan et al., 2020). However, without contextualizing GPS-based measures with survey data, associations between mobility and health outcomes may suffer from “selective daily mobility bias” (Chaix et al., 2012). Furthermore, when used in isolation, either of these methods may produce a unidimensional picture of mobility that provides little information. For example, in Kim and colleagues' GPS-based study (Kim et al., 2020), it is unclear whether the sexual acts associated with mobility occurred away from individuals' home neighbourhood (as mobility and sexual behaviours were measured separately) – making it difficult to test the specific effects of traveling for sex. In a sample of young BSMM and transgender women in Chicago, Kolak and colleagues found through survey data that individuals with sexual partners who lived in different non-neighbouring community areas were more likely to have exchanged sex for money, shelter, and other goods (Kolak et al., 2021). This study aims to combine survey and GPS methods to comprehensively describe different mobility dimensions and explore their associations with sexual behaviours, enhancing the understanding of contextual determinants of HIV risk.

Applying “journey to” theory (Forati et al., 2023; Luo et al., 2021), i.e., *journey to sexual behaviour*, this study aims to examine multiple dimensions of geographic mobility using GPS and survey measures and their associations with sexual behaviours that may increase HIV transmission risk in a well-characterized sample of Chicagoan BSMM. We leverage individual-level GPS data uniquely linked with survey data from the Neighbourhood and Networks (N2) Cohort Study in Chicago to measure mobility more granularly – including across sex and socializing areas – to deepen understanding about the associations between mobility and sexual behaviour. As place matters for Chicagoan BSMM's uptake of HIV

services (Behler et al., 2018), a deeper characterization of their spatial mobility and associated HIV-related risks can help programs to meet these men where they are.

## Materials and methods

### The N2 Cohort Study

The Neighbourhoods and Networks (N2) Cohort Study, described elsewhere (Driver et al., 2023; Duncan et al., 2019), seeks to examine associations between neighbourhood-level and network-level factors and HIV outcomes among BSMM. The cohort includes four sites (Chicago, IL, Jackson, MI, Jackson, MS, New Orleans, and Baton Rouge, LA) with high HIV burdens among BSMM; however, only Chicago's GPS data was available at the time of analysis. In Chicago, 450 participants were recruited via seeds engaged in a variety of local HIV-related research projects (Fujimoto et al., 2017; Khanna et al., 2016, 2017; Morgan et al., 2016; Young et al., 2018). Respondent-driven sampling invited seeds to recruit up to six contacts into the N2 cohort, and so on. Eligible recruits were aged 16-34, assigned male sex at birth, identified as Black or African American, had no plans to leave Chicago during the study period, and reported at least one sexual encounter with another man or transgender woman in the past year.

Self-reported survey data were collected from 2018-2019 on topics such as racial and sexual identity, neighbourhood preferences, housing, sexual behaviour, substance use, and frequently visited locations for sex and socializing. Data also included GPS data from wearable GPS devices (Qstarz BT-Q1000XT, Qstarz International Co., Ltd.) worn by consenting participants for a target of 14 consecutive days as per the protocol (Zenk et al., 2018). Geographic coordinates were recorded every 10 seconds.

The analytic sample comprised cisgender men in Chicago with a mailing address who agreed to wear the GPS device. We restricted the sample to cisgender men (those whose gender identity corresponds with the sex registered for them at birth) because only 43 transgender women had complete data. This means a separate multivariable model would lack sufficient statistical power, even though this sub-population requires separate confounder adjustment. This is because the drivers of both mobility and sexual behaviour among transgender women (e.g., social networks and life events) may differ from those of cisgender men (Bowers et al., 2012; Factor & Rothblum, 2007; Morris et al., 2018). For example, Black transgender women may have greater sexual partner turnover, more sexual partners, and lower income or employment compared to BSMM (Ezell et al., 2018; Russell et al., 2021).

### Geocoding of Home Addresses and Neighbourhoods

Participants reported their mailing address, operationalized as the "home location" (Duncan et al., 2020), and we geocoded addresses to create two types of home neighbourhood areas based on similar urban research. First, we established a street-network buffer area around the home location at 400m and 800m distances (Duncan et al., 2020) (Figure 1). While buffer-based neighbourhood definitions may more closely reflect individuals' home environments in a walkable city, it is unclear whether 400m and 800m network buffer areas

best reflect home neighbourhoods of Chicagoans in the N2 Cohort (Duncan et al., 2012). Second, home locations were categorized within Chicago community areas or zip codes if located outside Chicago, similar to approaches using New York City boroughs (Duncan et al., 2014).

### **Mobility Measures**

We used both GPS and survey data to describe participants' geographic movement relative to their home neighbourhood. We used GPS-based measures to represent the amount of space and time individuals spend outside of their home neighbourhood. Activity spaces were created from individuals' approximately 14-day GPS point records, represented by polygons with a 100m buffer area around their daily travel paths (Figure 1) (Duncan et al., 2020; Hirsch et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2016). The 100m buffer includes humans' line-of-sight, and has been used in prior urban mobility research (Duncan et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020). The spatial scale of mobility was determined by the proportion of total activity space away from home, and the temporal scale by the proportion of total GPS points away from home – each applying the 400m and 800m home network buffer definitions of “home” (Duncan et al., 2020; Müller et al., 2022; Searle et al., 2017).

To represent motivation for mobility, we describe discordance between one's home neighbourhood (employing the community area definition above) and the neighbourhoods where they report socializing or having sex. Participants were asked to list via a survey the top three locations where they most often a) socialize and b) have sex (Figure 1). These activity-specific locations were geolocated within a Chicago community area (if inside Chicago) or within a zip code (if outside Chicago) and compared to the participant's home (residential) neighbourhood. If at least one of the social or sex locations was discordant with their home neighbourhood, they were classified as having residential-social or residential-sexual neighbourhood discordance, respectively (Duncan et al., 2014).

### **HIV-Related Sexual Behaviours**

Five outcomes were examined that reflect sexual behaviours known to increase the risk of HIV transmission (Driver et al., 2023; Duncan et al., 2019; Timmins et al., 2021). These included 1) condomless anal sex (with any partner), 2) condomless anal sex with a casual (non-regular) partner, 3) transactional sex (exchanging sex for money, food, shelter, or other goods – or vice-versa), 4) group sex (sex with more than one person at the same time), and 5) sex-drug use (using alcohol or drugs before or during sex to enhance, improve, or extend sex) (Shrader et al., 2023) in the last six months. All were captured in the N2 survey through self-reported responses.

### **Key Covariates**

The sample was described in terms of key covariates identified from the scientific literature that influence both mobility and health behaviours. These include individual sociodemographic characteristics (age group, educational attainment, and sexual identity) (Bowers et al., 2012; D'Anna & Chang, 2023), socioeconomic status (income, being currently employed or in school, being unhoused in the last 12 months, and experiencing stable housing in the last 3 months) (Morris et al., 2018; Raymond et al., 2011), and

preference for living in a mostly gay neighbourhood (on a scale of not at all important to very important) (Bader & Krysan, 2015; Mauck et al., 2018; Van Dyck et al., 2011).

### Statistical Analysis

Mobility was described using measures of centrality, including mean, standard deviation (SD), median, and inter-quartile range (IQR) for continuous measures and proportions for dichotomous measures. Univariable and multivariable logistic regressions were conducted for each combination of the six mobility measures and five sexual behaviours. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were computed. Covariates previously listed were included in the multivariable models if they were identified as a potential confounder via a directed acyclic graph. All analyses were performed using R Studio (Posit team 2023).

## Results

### Study participants

Of 411 total participants surveyed, 356 (87%) identified as cisgender men. Of those, 87 (24%) were excluded from analysis because they either had no home coordinate (1%) or GPS-recorded activity space (24%). The final analytic sample consisted of 269 Black cisgender SMM with GPS data. Besides their gender identity, there were no statistically significant differences in sociodemographic characteristics between the analytical sample and the overall N2 sample (Table 1). Of the 269 participants, 202 (75.1%) were aged 20-29 years, 165 (61.3%) identified as gay, 224 (83.3%) completed high school or less, and 186 (69.1%) make an annual salary of under \$25,000.

### Mobility

On average, 96.9% (SD: 3.7%) of individuals' activity spaces were outside their 800m residential buffer area, and 53.9% (SD: 38.1%) of their GPS points were recorded outside their 800 residential buffer area (Table 2). These proportions were higher when considering the 400m buffer area (99.0% (SD: 1.5%) and 60.0% (SD: 37.5%), respectively). Of 262 participants reporting neighbourhoods for socializing, 249 (95.0%) reported a socializing neighbourhood outside of their community area. Of 255 participants reporting neighbourhoods for sex, 183 (71.8%) reported a sexual neighbourhood outside of their community area.

### Associations between mobility and sexual behaviours

Out of 269 SMM, 186 (69.1%) reported condomless anal sex in the last six months, and 110 (40.9%) reported condomless anal sex with a casual partner, and 28 (10.4%) reported transactional sex, 52 (19.3%) reported group sex, 47 (17.4%) reported sex-drug use (Table 3).

In measuring the crude associations between each of the six mobility measures and each of the five sexual behaviours, having sex in a different neighbourhood than your residence (residential-sexual neighbourhood discordance) resulted in 79% greater odds (Odds Ratio: 1.79, 95% [Confidence Interval: 1.00, 3.18]) of having condomless sex (Table

3). Residential-social neighbourhood discordance resulted in four times greater odds (4.01 [1.05, 26.3]) of having condomless sex with a casual partner.

After adjusting for age group, educational attainment, currently employed or in school, being unhoused, stable housing, income, sexual identity, and preference for living in a mostly gay neighbourhood, the association between residential-sexual neighbourhood discordance and condomless sex became stronger; those with this neighbourhood discordance were twice as likely to report condomless sex (2.02, [1.08, 3.78]). After adjusting for the same covariates, residential-social neighbourhood discordance and condomless sex with a casual partner became remained strongly associated (4.16 [0.99, 29.0]). The proportion of activity space or GPS points outside the residential buffer were not associated with any sexual behaviour in the adjusted models.

## Discussion

Beyond the nuanced examination of multidimensional geographic mobility, this study uniquely examined journey to sexual behaviour theory and generated evidence for BSMM – for whom there is little data despite their disproportionately high HIV outcomes and the structural racism they experience in American cities and around the world. This study used both survey and GPS-based measures to characterize the temporal, spatial, and social dimensions of BSMM’s mobility. These comprehensive measures may improve our understanding of the relationship between mobility and HIV-related sexual behaviours. BSMM in our Chicago sample were highly mobile, and having sex or socializing in a neighbourhood other than their residential neighbourhood was associated with elevated risk of having condomless anal sex with a casual partner.

In this study, BSMM largely under age 30, with low-income and limited educational attainment, were highly mobile (e.g., they spent on average half of their time away from their home neighbourhood). By comparison, young SMM in the New York City P18 study spent on average one third of their time away from their home neighbourhood (Duncan et al., 2020) – suggesting that it is not age that explains these differences. Possibly, the experience of structural racism drives mobility. In racially segregated Chicago, young Black men may navigate different neighbourhoods to counteract the negative effects of segregation, seeking opportunities like work, education, and healthcare (Asabor et al., 2022; Council et al., 1990; Hedman et al., 2021). Moreover, young BSMM may travel to access LGBTQ+ friendly health and social services, potentially better resourced in communities beyond their own. Racial segregation, combined with inadequate social support for SMM living in racialized communities, could drive the high mobility rates we see in this sample, but more research is needed to understand the different mobility experiences between those living in Black vs. non-Black neighbourhoods.

The association between traveling for sex and a potentially higher HIV transmission risk found in the current study reflect similar mechanisms found in the “journey to crime” and “journey to overdose” literature. Associating mobility with sexual behaviours, having sex away from home correlated with condomless anal sex with any partner and casual partners. This was similar to New York City study that found that SMM were more likely to have

condomless oral sex if they had sex away from their residential neighbourhood than if they had sex close by (Duncan et al., 2014). Our study may provide evidence for the role of social controls in “journey-to” theory, as people may be more likely to engage in certain behaviours when away from home (Frye et al., 2017).

The proportion of one’s activity space and time spent outside the home buffer area was not associated with specific sexual behaviours. This differs from that of another SMM-focused study in New York City, which found that the risk of condomless anal sex increased for each additional square kilometre of activity space (Kim et al., 2020). A qualitative study among Black and Latinx SMM in Los Angeles, CA, is also contradictory: men who felt less belonging were more likely to spend time away from home (Cassels et al., 2020), and likely to experience less social cohesion which would impact sexual risk (Saleh et al., 2016). One explanation for these null findings is that the size of activity spaces among this sample of Chicagoan BSMM may be too similar to detect any differences by an outcome of interest. In this study, the SD equalled the mean of activity space sizes, leaving very little variability.

The study had limitations. First, 87 (24%) of participants were excluded because they did not contribute GPS data. However, a sub-analysis revealed this group did not differ by sociodemographic characteristics or sexual behaviours (Table 1). Second, condomless sex may be an inadequate marker of HIV transmission risk (Jin et al., 2015). Especially in regular partnerships, it is unclear whether both partners adopt other HIV prevention measures, like monogamy, joint testing, or PrEP. Third, due to selective daily mobility bias, we could not disentangle whether mobility caused the sexual behaviour or vice-versa. This is because people may travel away from home with the intention of engaging in certain sexual behaviours away from their normal social controls. Fourth, unmeasured confounding may have created a spurious association between mobility and condomless anal sex. For example, experiencing discrimination against one’s sexual orientation or HIV positive status could drive them both to spend time away from their home neighbourhood and to engage in less safe sexual practices (Babel et al., 2021; Newcomb & Mustanski, 2011). However, since associations were relatively weak between mobility and other sexual behaviours, we think the confounding effects of such unmeasured variables are minimal. It is also possible that discrimination is a mediator if BSMM experience stigma or harassment only outside of their home neighbourhood. This may be true if they visit predominantly white neighbourhoods with historical LGBTQ presence, as some non-white transgender and nonbinary people reported in a qualitative study in New York City (Lampe et al., 2020).

Importantly, mobility measures might be inaccurate if participants’ mailing addresses do not represent their true home locations. This is one explanation for the large number of participants who spent no time in their derived home neighbourhoods. Furthermore, participants’ “home” location may frequently change over the study period – so their mailing address may not be the same home location they would have reported while wearing the GPS device. For example, young people may move residences with more frequency, including for reasons like entering cohabitating partnerships. Also, over one-third of the sample reported unstable housing in the past six months – presenting a challenge in ascertaining home locations beyond our specific study in the context of the housing crisis (2023 Snapshot of Homelessness in Chicago, n.d.).



This study contributes in various ways to the literature on mobility and HIV. First, we built a novel and reproducible analytic framework to examine multiple dimensions of mobility (spatial, temporal, and motivation-specific). Second, we leveraged survey-based mobility measures to supply a deeper understanding of more objective measures obtained from GPS devices. Third, by examining multiple sexual behaviours vis-à-vis multiple mobility indicators, this paper serves to develop hypotheses about specific mechanisms that might link mobility and HIV risk. For example, travel for socializing may only cause condomless sex with casual partners as opposed to regular partners-necessitating a different set of safe sex interventions. Finally, by focusing on a sample of racially and sexually minoritized men, we achieved an adequate sample size – rare in other HIV research (Maulsby et al., 2014) – to describe the varied risks and vulnerabilities within this key population group.

This study highlights various directions for future research. First, beyond the need for more research with BSMM, specific underrepresented populations warrant centring in mobility and HIV research. Studies could over-sample transgender populations, for whom there is a dearth of data (Goedel et al., 2019). Future research could also generate evidence in rural and suburban communities to advance our understanding beyond urban BSMM. Our findings are likely not generalizable to BSMM living in areas without public transportation networks, where their mobility depends on car access and traveling further distances. Second, mixed-methods studies might disentangle the issue of reverse-causation, i.e., whether individuals travel to engage in certain behaviours, or their travel leads them to engage in those behaviours. Such a deep dive into the mechanisms that connect mobility and sexual risk could better inform behaviour change interventions. Third, mobility measurement everywhere would benefit from more accurate definitions of “home.” Here – as in other studies – we equate “home” with a residential address (Duncan et al., 2020), but home can mean many things to different people at different times. Finally, future research could relate multidimensional mobility to HIV service uptake and retention, like PrEP and ART (Chen et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2021).

Due to their reduced condom use, BSMM who have sex away from home – even if just in a different Chicago community area – are at higher risk for HIV acquisition or for transmitting the virus. This means that interventions focused on behaviour change like increasing condom use and other healthy sexual practices should not just be spatially targeted to the areas where high numbers of people vulnerable to HIV reside, but also to where they have sex. This may come in the form of place-based interventions, like promoting safe sex at gay bars and other social venues. With GPS-based mHealth technology, behaviour change interventions could also use geofencing to deliver messages to consenting users when they enter areas where they are more likely to report sexual activity (Tobin et al., 2023). Messages may direct app users to where they might be able to access condoms or PrEP or guide them on how to negotiate safer sex. By considering individuals’ activity spaces vis-à-vis their sexual behaviours, more contextually-relevant place-based interventions may be able to interrupt HIV transmission and lessen the HIV burden among BSMM (Duncan et al., 2019).

## Conclusions

In a racially and sexually minoritized population, this study among BSMM demonstrated the richness that can be achieved in mobility and HIV research by examining multiple dimensions of human movement. While the scale of BSMM's daily travel away from home was not associated with sexual behaviours that increase HIV risk, having sex or socializing in neighbourhoods away from home may lead to increased risks through decreased condom use during anal sex. Due to the lower social control in places distant from home, some people may either engage in sexual risk behaviour when they are there or purposely travel there to engage in this behaviour. To curb the epidemic among BSMM, more research is needed to understand BSMM's mobility patterns – including where they spend their time and why – so that future interventions can be designed with them in mind, meeting them where they are.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the participants and staff of The N2 Cohort Study. In addition, we thank Will Goedel for his contributions to the study.

## Funding

The Neighborhoods and Networks (N2) Cohort Study was originally funded through a grant from the National Institute on Mental Health (Grant Number: R01MH112406; Principal Investigators: Dustin T. Duncan, ScD and John A. Schneider, MD,MPH) and a cooperative agreement with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention under the Minority HIV/AIDS Research Initiative (Grant Number: U01PS005122; Principal Investigator: Dustin T. Duncan, ScD). Other financial support for the research reported in this publication was provided by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (T32AI114398) and the National Institute of Mental Health (F31MH134699). The work described herein is the sole responsibility of the authors and does not represent the official views of NIH or its institutes.

## References

- 2023 Snapshot of homelessness in Chicago. (n.d.). Chicago Department of Family and Support Services. [https://www.chicago.gov/content/dam/city/depts/fss/supp\\_info/Homeless/2023PITCOUNT/2023%20PIT%20Count%20one%20pager%20FINAL.pdf](https://www.chicago.gov/content/dam/city/depts/fss/supp_info/Homeless/2023PITCOUNT/2023%20PIT%20Count%20one%20pager%20FINAL.pdf)
- Asabor EN, Warren JL, & Cohen T (2022). Racial/Ethnic Segregation and Access to COVID-19 Testing: Spatial Distribution of COVID-19 Testing Sites in the Four Largest Highly Segregated Cities in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 112(3), 518–526. 10.2105/AJPH.2021.306558 [PubMed: 35196059]
- Babel RA, Wang P, Alessi EJ, Raymond HF, & Wei C (2021). Stigma, HIV Risk, and Access to HIV Prevention and Treatment Services Among Men Who have Sex with Men (MSM) in the United States: A Scoping Review. *AIDS and Behavior*, 25(11), 3574–3604. 10.1007/s10461-021-03262-4 [PubMed: 33866444]
- Bader MDM, & Krysan M (2015). Community Attraction and Avoidance in Chicago: What's Race Got to Do with It? *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 660(1), 261–281. 10.1177/0002716215577615
- Behler RL, Cornwell BT, & Schneider JA (2018). Patterns of Social Affiliations and Healthcare Engagement Among Young, Black, Men Who Have Sex With Men. *AIDS and Behavior*, 22(3), 806–818. 10.1007/s10461-016-1668-3 [PubMed: 28108879]
- Bowers JR, Branson CM, Fletcher JB, & Reback CJ (2012). Predictors of HIV Sexual Risk Behavior among Men Who Have Sex with Men, Men Who Have Sex with Men and Women, and Transgender Women. *International Journal of Sexual Health : Official Journal of the World Association for Sexual Health*, 24(4), 290–302. 10.1080/19317611.2012.715120 [PubMed: 24660042]

- Cassels S, Meltzer D, Loustalot C, Ragsdale A, Shoptaw S, & Gorbach PM (2020). Geographic Mobility, Place Attachment, and the Changing Geography of Sex among African American and Latinx MSM Who Use Substances in Los Angeles. *Journal of Urban Health : Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 97(5), 609–622. 10.1007/s11524-020-00481-3 [PubMed: 32996024]
- Chaix B, Kestens Y, Perchoux C, Karusisi N, Merlo J, & Labadi K (2012). An Interactive Mapping Tool to Assess Individual Mobility Patterns in Neighborhood Studies. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 43(4), 440–450. 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.06.026 [PubMed: 22992364]
- Chen Y-T, Kolak M, Duncan DT, Schumm P, Michaels S, Fujimoto K, & Schneider JA (2019). Neighbourhoods, networks and pre-exposure prophylaxis awareness: A multilevel analysis of a sample of young black men who have sex with men. *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, 95(3), 228–235. 10.1136/sextrans-2018-053639 [PubMed: 30518619]
- Council, N. R., Education, D. of B. and S. S. and, Education, C. on B. and S. S. and, & Policy, C. on N. U. (1990). Residential Segregation, Job Proximity, and Black Job Opportunities. In *Inner-City Poverty in the United States*. National Academies Press.
- D’Anna LH, & Chang K (2023). Healthcare Discrimination, Anticipated HIV Stigma, and Income as Predictors of HIV Testing Among a Community Sample of YBMSM. *AIDS Care*, 1–8. 10.1080/09540121.2023.2240068
- DeLamater J (1981). The Social Control of Sexuality. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 7, 263–290.
- Dharma C, Guimond T, Salway T, Lachowsky NJ, Card KG, & Gesink D (2023). Geosexual Archetype, Preventive Behaviors, and Sexually Transmitted Infections Among High-Risk Men Who Have Sex With Men. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, 50(8), 499. 10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001819 [PubMed: 37074320]
- Donnelly EA, Wagner J, Hughes C, Gray AC, Anderson TL, & O’connell DJ (2021). Opioids, Race, Context, and Journeys to Crime: Analyzing Black–White Differences in Travel Associated With Opioid Possession Offenses. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 48(12), 1714–1731. 10.1177/00938548211006757
- Driver R, Schneider JA, Hickson DA, Timmins L, Brewer RA, Goedel WC, & Duncan DT (2023). Sexual Orientation, HIV Vulnerability-Enhancing Behaviors and HIV Status Neutral Care Among Black Cisgender Sexual Minority Men in the Deep South: The N2 Cohort Study. *AIDS and Behavior*, 27(8), 2592–2605. 10.1007/s10461-023-03984-7 [PubMed: 36648630]
- Duncan DT, Castro MC, Gortmaker SL, Aldstadt J, Melly SJ, & Bennett GG (2012). Racial Differences in the Built Environment--Body Mass Index Relationship? A Geospatial Analysis of Adolescents in Urban Neighborhoods. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, 11, 11. 10.1186/1476-072X-11-11 [PubMed: 22537116]
- Duncan DT, Hickson DA, Goedel WC, Callander D, Brooks B, Chen Y-T, Hanson H, Eavou R, Khanna AS, Chaix B, Regan SD, Wheeler DP, Mayer KH, Safren SA, Carr Melvin S, Draper C, Magee-Jackson V, Brewer R, & Schneider JA (2019). The Social Context of HIV Prevention and Care Among Black Men Who Have Sex with Men in Three U.S. Cities: The Neighborhoods and Networks (N2) Cohort Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(11), 1922. 10.3390/ijerph16111922 [PubMed: 31151275]
- Duncan DT, Kapadia F, & Halkitis PN (2014). Examination of spatial polygamy among young gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men in New York City: The P18 cohort study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 11(9), 8962–8983. 10.3390/ijerph110908962 [PubMed: 25170685]
- Duncan DT, Kim B, Al-Ajlouni YA, & Callander D (2021). Neighborhood-Level Structural Factors, HIV, and Communities of Color. In Ojikutu BO & Stone VE (Eds.), *HIV in US Communities of Color* (pp. 147–168). Springer International Publishing. 10.1007/978-3-030-48744-7\_9
- Duncan DT, Regan SD, Park SH, Goedel WC, Kim B, Barton SC, Halkitis PN, & Chaix B (2020). Assessment of spatial mobility among young men who have sex with men within and across high HIV prevalence neighborhoods in New York city: The P18 neighborhood study. *Spatial and Spatio-Temporal Epidemiology*, 35, 100356. 10.1016/j.sste.2020.100356 [PubMed: 33138958]
- Ezell JM, Ferreira MJ, Duncan DT, & Schneider JA (2018). The Social and Sexual Networks of Black Transgender Women and Black Men Who Have Sex with Men: Results from a Representative Sample. *Transgender Health*, 3(1), 201–209. 10.1089/trgh.2018.0039 [PubMed: 30581993]

- Factor RJ, & Rothblum ED (2007). A Study of Transgender Adults and Their Non-Transgender Siblings on Demographic Characteristics, Social Support, and Experiences of Violence. *Journal of LGBT Health Research*, 3(3), 11–30. 10.1080/15574090802092879 [PubMed: 19042902]
- Forati A, Ghose R, Mohebbi F, & Mantsch JR (2023). The Journey to Overdose: Using Spatial Social Network Analysis as a Novel Framework to Study Geographic Discordance in Overdose Deaths. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 245, 109827. 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2023.109827 [PubMed: 36868092]
- Frye V, Nandi V, Egan JE, Cerda M, Rundle A, Quinn JW, Sheehan D, Ompad DC, Van Tieu H, Greene E, & Koblin B (2017). Associations Among Neighborhood Characteristics and Sexual Risk Behavior Among Black and White MSM Living in a Major Urban Area. *AIDS and Behavior*, 21(3), 870–890. 10.1007/s10461-016-1596-2 [PubMed: 27817101]
- Fujimoto K, Turner R, Kuhns LM, Kim JY, Zhang J, & Schneider JA (2017). Network Centrality and Geographical Concentration of Social and Service Venues That Serve Young Men Who Have Sex with Men. *AIDS and Behavior*, 21(12), 3578–3589. 10.1007/s10461-017-1711-z [PubMed: 28220310]
- Gant Z, Dailey A, Hu X, Song W, Beer L, Johnson Lyons S, Denson DJ, & Satcher Johnson A (2023). The Associations of Income and Black-White Racial Segregation with HIV Outcomes Among Adults Aged 18 Years-United States and Puerto Rico, 2019. *PLoS One*, 18(9), e0291304. 10.1371/journal.pone.0291304 [PubMed: 37721938]
- Getting to Zero Illinois Dashboard. (2022, December 1). Getting to Zero Illinois. <https://dashboard.gtzillinois.hiv/>
- Goedel WC, Regan SD, Chaix B, Radix A, Reisner SL, Janssen AC, & Duncan DT (2019). Using Global Positioning System Methods to Explore Mobility Patterns and Exposure to High HIV Prevalence Neighbourhoods Among Transgender Women in New York. *Geospatial Health*, 14(2), 10.4081/gh.2019.752
- Hedman L, Kadarik K, Andersson R, & Östh J (2021). Daily Mobility Patterns: Reducing or Reproducing Inequalities and Segregation? *Social Inclusion*, 9(2), 208–221. 10.17645/si.v9i2.3850
- Hirsch JA, Winters M, Clarke P, & McKay H (2014). Generating Gps Activity Spaces That Shed Light Upon the Mobility Habits of Older Adults: A Descriptive Analysis. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, 13, 51. 10.1186/1476-072X-13-51 [PubMed: 25495710]
- Jin F, Prestage GP, Mao L, Poynten IM, Templeton DJ, Grulich AE, & Zablotska I (2015). “Any Condomless Anal Intercourse” is No Longer an Accurate Measure of HIV Sexual risk Behavior in Gay and Other Men Who have Sex with Men. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 6. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00086>
- Khanna AS, Michaels S, Skaathun B, Morgan E, Green K, Young L, & Schneider JA (2016). Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Awareness and Use in a Population-Based Sample of Young Black Men Who Have Sex with Men. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 176(1), 136–138. 10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.6536 [PubMed: 26571368]
- Khanna AS, Schumm P, & Schneider JA (2017). A Comparison of Temporal Facebook Networks of Young Men Who Have Sex with Men (MSM), Differentiated by Awareness and Use of Preexposure Prophylaxis (PrEP). *Annals of Epidemiology*, 27(3), 176–180. 10.1016/j.annepidem.2016.11.006 [PubMed: 28003117]
- Kim B, Chaix B, Chen Y-T, Callander D, Regan SD, & Duncan DT (2021). Geographic Density and Uptake of Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Among Young Gay, Bisexual and Other Sexual Minority Men: A Global Positioning System (GPS) Study. *AIDS and Behavior*, 25(Suppl 2), 155–164. 10.1007/s10461-021-03249-1 [PubMed: 33818642]
- Kim B, Regan SD, Callander D, Goedel WC, Chaix B, & Duncan DT (2020). Associations of Spatial Mobility with Sexual Risk Behaviors Among Young Men Who Have Sex with Men in New York City: A Global Positioning System (GPS) Study. *Social Science & Medicine* (1982), 258, 113060. 10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113060 [PubMed: 32473485]
- Kolam MA, Chen Y-T, Lin Q, & Schneider J (2021). Social-spatial network structures and community ties of egocentric sex and confidant networks: A Chicago case study. *Social Science & Medicine*, 291, 114462. 10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114462 [PubMed: 34763134]

- Lampe TM, Reisner SL, Schrimshaw EW, Radix A, Mallick R, Harry-Hernandez S, Dubin S, Khan A, & Duncan DT (2020). Navigating stigma in neighborhoods and public spaces among transgender and nonbinary adults in New York City. *Stigma and Health*, 5(4), 477–487. 10.1037/sah0000219
- Lee NC, Voss C, Frazer AD, Hirsch JA, McKay HA, & Winters M (2016). Does Activity Space Size Influence Physical Activity Levels of Adolescents?-A GPS study of an urban environment. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, 3, 75–78. 10.1016/j.pmedr.2015.12.002 [PubMed: 26807349]
- Lew C, Fairley CK, Tran J, Phillips TR, Maddaford K, Chen MY, Bradshaw CS, & Chow EP (2023). High risk sexual activities and condom use patterns during group sex among men who have sex with men in Melbourne, Australia. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes (1999)*. 10.1097/QAI.0000000000003211
- Luo F, Zhang Y, & Hoover LT (2021). The Journey to Crime and Victimization. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, 23(3), 211–221. 10.1177/14613557211008477
- Mauck DE, Sheehan DM, Fennie KP, Maddox LM, & Trepka MJ (2018). Role of Gay Neighborhood Status and Other Neighborhood Factors in Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Retention in Care and Viral Load Suppression Among Men Who Have Sex with Men, Florida, 2015. *AIDS and Behavior*, 22(9), 2978–2993. 10.1007/s10461-018-2032-6 [PubMed: 29372456]
- Maulsby C, Millett G, Lindsey K, Kelley R, Johnson K, Montoya D, & Holtgrave D (2014). HIV Among Black Men Who Have Sex with Men (MSM) in the United States: A Review of the Literature. *AIDS and Behavior*, 18(1), 10–25. 10.1007/s10461-013-0476-2 [PubMed: 23620241]
- Menendian S, Gailles A, & Gambhir S (2021). The Roots of Structural Racism: Twenty-First Century Racial Residential Segregation in the United States. Othering & Belonging Institute, Berkley, CA. [https://belonging.gis-cdn.net/us\\_segregation\\_map/?year=2020](https://belonging.gis-cdn.net/us_segregation_map/?year=2020)
- Moore KL, Lopez L, Camacho D, & Munson MR (2020). A Qualitative Investigation of Engagement in Mental Health Services Among Black and Hispanic LGB Young Adults. *Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.)*, 71(6), 555–561. 10.1176/appi.ps.201900399 [PubMed: 31960774]
- Moore SJ, Wood-Palmer DK, Jones MD, Doraivelu K, Newman A Jr, Harper GW, Camacho-González A, del Río C, Sutton MY, & Hussen SA (2022). Feasibility and acceptability of B6: A social capital program for young Black gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men living with HIV. *Health Education Research*, 37(6), 405–419. 10.1093/her/cyac028 [PubMed: 36200434]
- Morgan E, Khanna AS, Skaathun B, Michaels S, Young L, Duvoisin R, Chang M, Voisin D, Cornwell B, Coombs RW, Friedman SR, & Schneider J (2016). Marijuana Use Among Young Black Men Who Have Sex with Men and the HIV Care Continuum: Findings from the UConnect Cohort. *Substance Use & Misuse*, 51(13), 1751–1759. 10.1080/10826084.2016.1197265 [PubMed: 27556866]
- Morris T, Manley D, & Sabel CE (2018). Residential mobility: Towards progress in mobility health research. *Progress in Human Geography*, 42(1), 112–133. 10.1177/0309132516649454 [PubMed: 30369706]
- Müller SR, Bayer JB, Ross MQ, Mount J, Stachl C, Harari GM, Chang Y-J, & Le HTK (2022). Analyzing GPS Data for Psychological Research: A Tutorial. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, 5(2), 25152459221082680. 10.1177/25152459221082680
- Mustanski B, Morgan E, D'Aquila R, Birkett M, Janulis P, & Newcomb ME (2019). Individual and Network Factors Associated With Racial Disparities in HIV Among Young Men Who Have Sex With Men: Results From the RADAR Cohort Study. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes (1999)*, 80(1), 24–30. 10.1097/QAI.0000000000001886 [PubMed: 30365451]
- Newcomb ME, & Mustanski B (2011). Moderators of the Relationship Between Internalized Homophobia and Risky Sexual Behavior in Men Who Have Sex with Men: A Meta-Analysis. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 40(1), 189–199. 10.1007/s10508-009-9573-8 [PubMed: 19888643]
- Oldenburg CE, Perez-Brumer AG, Reisner SL, & Mimiaga MJ (2015). Transactional sex and the HIV epidemic among men who have sex with men (MSM): Results from a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS and Behavior*, 19(12), 2177–2183. 10.1007/s10461-015-1010-5 [PubMed: 25652233]
- Oluoyomi AO, Mazul AL, Dong Y, White DL, Hartman CM, Richardson P, Chan W, Garcia JM, Kramer JR, & Chiao E (2023). Area Deprivation Index and Segregation on the Risk of HIV: A U.S. Veteran Case-Control Study. *Lancet Regional Health. Americas*, 20, 100468. 10.1016/j.lana.2023.100468 [PubMed: 36992707]

- Patel P, Borkowf CB, Brooks JT, Lasry A, Lansky A, & Mermin J (2014). Estimating per-act HIV transmission risk: A systematic review. *AIDS (London, England)*, 28(10), 1509–1519. 10.1097/QAD.0000000000000298 [PubMed: 24809629]
- Raymond HF, Chen Y-H, Stall RD, & McFarland W (2011). Adolescent Experiences of Discrimination, Harassment, Connectedness to Community and Comfort with Sexual Orientation Reported by Adult Men Who Have Sex with Men as a Predictor of Adult HIV Status. *AIDS and Behavior*, 15(3), 550–556. 10.1007/s10461-009-9634-y [PubMed: 19915973]
- Rezaei M, Sadeghi-Bazargani H, Razzaghi A, Jafari-Khounigh A, Heydari ST, Entezarmahdi R, Ansari Moghaddam A, Farahbakhsh M, Habibzadeh S, Sharifi H, Moradi-Asl E, Sarbazi E, Haghghi M, & Golestani M (2023). Validity and reliability of short-form travel behavior questionnaire during COVID-19 pandemic (TBQ-Cov19). *Journal of Transport & Health*, 29, 101586. 10.1016/j.jth.2023.101586 [PubMed: 36845882]
- Rimmler S, Golin C, Coleman J, Welgus H, Shaughnessy S, Taraskiewicz L, Lightfoot AF, Randolph SD, & Riggins L (2022). Structural Barriers to HIV Prevention and Services: Perspectives of African American Women in Low-Income Communities. *Health Education & Behavior: The Official Publication of the Society for Public Health Education*, 49(6), 1022–1032. 10.1177/10901981221109138 [PubMed: 35856333]
- Russell JS, Hickson DA, Timmins L, & Duncan DT (2021). Higher Rates of Low Socioeconomic Status, Marginalization, and Stress in Black Transgender Women Compared to Black Cisgender MSM in The MARI Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(4), 2183. 10.3390/ijerph18042183 [PubMed: 33672272]
- Saleh LD, van den Berg JJ, Chambers CS, & Operario D (2016). Social Support, Psychological Vulnerability, and HIV Risk Among African American Men Who Have Sex with Men. *Psychology & Health*, 31(5), 549–564. 10.1080/08870446.2015.1120301 [PubMed: 26588945]
- Searle KM, Lubinda J, Hamapumbu H, Shields TM, Curriero FC, Smith DL, Thuma PE, & Moss WJ (2017). Characterizing and quantifying human movement patterns using GPS data loggers in an area approaching malaria elimination in rural southern Zambia. *Royal Society Open Science*, 4(5), 170046. 10.1098/rsos.170046 [PubMed: 28573009]
- Shrader C-H, Duncan DT, Chen Y-T, Driver R, Russell J, Moody RL, Knox J, Skaathun B, Durrell M, Hanson H, Eavou R, Goedel WC, & Schneider JA (2023). Latent Profile Patterns of Network-Level Norms and Associations with Individual-Level Sexual Behaviors: The N2 Cohort Study in Chicago. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 10.1007/s10508-023-02555-0
- Takada S, Gorbach P, Brookmeyer R, & Shoptaw S (2021). Associations of Social Capital Resources and Experiences of Homophobia with HIV Transmission Risk Behavior and HIV Care Continuum Among Men Who Have Sex with Men in Los Angeles. *AIDS Care*, 33(5), 663–674. 10.1080/09540121.2020.1828798 [PubMed: 33050712]
- Timmins L, Schneider JA, Chen Y-T, Goedel WC, Brewer R, Callander D, Knox J, Eavou R, Hanson H, & Duncan DT (2021). Sexual Identity, Sexual Behavior and Pre-exposure Prophylaxis in Black Cisgender Sexual Minority Men: The N2 Cohort Study in Chicago. *AIDS and Behavior*, 25(10), 3327–3336. 10.1007/s10461-021-03246-4 [PubMed: 33852095]
- Tobin K, Heidari O, Volpi C, Sodder S, & Duncan D (2023). Use of Geofencing Interventions in Population Health Research: A Scoping Review. *BMJ Open*, 13(8), e069374. 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-069374
- Tomkins A, George R, & Kliner M (2019). Sexualised Drug Taking Among Men Who Have Sex with Men: A Systematic Review. *Perspectives in Public Health*, 139(1), 23–33. 10.1177/1757913918778872 [PubMed: 29846139]
- Van Dyck D, Cardon G, Deforche B, Owen N, & De Bourdeaudhuij I (2011). Relationships Between Neighborhood Walkability and Adults' Physical Activity: How Important Is Residential Self-Selection? *Health & Place*, 17(4), 1011–1014. 10.1016/j.healthplace.2011.05.005 [PubMed: 21596613]
- Young LE, Schumm P, Alon L, Bouris A, Ferreira M, Hill B, Khanna AS, Valente TW, & Schneider JA (2018). PrEP Chicago: A Randomized Controlled Peer Change Agent Intervention to Promote the Adoption of Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis for HIV Prevention Among Young Black Men Who Have Sex with Men. *Clinical Trials (London, England)*, 15(1), 44–52. 10.1177/1740774517730012 [PubMed: 28862483]

- Zare S, & Pearce PL (2022). Does the Order of Visiting Destinations Affect Their Recall and Evaluation? *Journal of Travel Research*, 61(7), 1559–1572. 10.1177/00472875211042673
- Zenilman JM, Elish N, Fresia A, & Glass G (1999). The Geography of Sexual Partnerships in Baltimore: Applications of Core Theory Dynamics Using a Geographic Information System. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, 26(2), 75–81. 10.1097/00007435-199902000-00002 [PubMed: 10029979]
- Zenk SN, Matthews SA, Kraft AN, & Jones KK (2018). How many days of global positioning system (GPS) monitoring do you need to measure activity space environments in health research? *Health & Place*, 51, 52–60. 10.1016/j.healthplace.2018.02.004 [PubMed: 29549754]



**Figure 1.** GPS and survey-recorded areas for deriving mobility measures, three randomly selected participants, Chicago, The N2 Cohort Study



**Sociodemographic characteristics among Black cisgender sexually minoritized men in Chicago, The N2 Cohort Study (N = 269)**

**Table 1.**

Characteristic	n (%)
Age group	
<20 years old	14 (5.2%)
20-29 years old	202 (75.1%)
30+ years old	53 (19.7%)
Born outside the United States	1 (0.4%)
Education level attained	
High school or less	224 (83.3%)
Above high school	45 (16.7%)
Currently employed or in school	152 (56.5%)
Unhoused in the past 12 months	78 (29.0%)
Living in stable housing in the past 3 months	
Yes	181 (67.3%)
No	76 (28.3%)
Not known/refused/missing	12 (4.5%)
Sexual identity	
Gay	165 (61.3%)
Bisexual	77 (28.6%)
Straight	7 (2.6%)
Other	13 (4.8%)
Don't know	7 (2.6%)
Annual Income	
<\$25,000	186 (69.1%)
>\$25,000	79 (29.4%)
Not reported	4 (1.5%)
Prefer to live in a mostly gay neighbourhood	
Not at all important	105 (39.0%)
Not too important	79 (29.4%)
Somewhat important	58 (21.6%)

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Characteristic	n (%)
Mostly important	7 (2.6%)
Very important	20 (7.4%)

Note: „Other“ sexual identities included „bisexually gay,“ „date biological women and transwomen,“ „demisexual,“ „man who has sex with men,“ „openminded,“ „pansexual,“ „same gender loving,“ and „trisexual.“

**Table 2.** Multidimensional mobility characteristics among Black cisgender sexually minoritized men in Chicago (N = 269)

<b>Mobility characteristic</b>	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	<b>Median (IQR)</b>
Proportion of total activity space away from home (%)		
Outside 400m home network buffer area	99.0 (1.5)	99.4 (98.7 - 99.8)
Outside 800m home network buffer area	96.9 (3.7)	97.9 (96.3 - 99.3)
Proportion of total GPS points away from home (%)		
Outside 400m home network buffer area	60.0 (37.5)	65.2 (21.9 – 99.9)
Outside 800m home network buffer area	53.9 (38.1)	42.5 (18.6 – 99.5)
<b>Neighbourhood discordance by activity type*</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
Residential-Socializing (N = 262 reporting)	249	95.0
Residential-Sex (N = 255 reporting)	183	71.8

\* Defined as any named location for sex or socializing outside of the home community area/zip code

**Table 3.** Crude and adjusted associations between multiple dimensions of geographic mobility and sexual behaviours (N = 269)

Exposure-Outcome***	N	n	OR	95% CI	aOR*	95% CI
<b>Condomless anal sex</b>						
Proportion of activity space outside of 400m home network buffer	269	186	1.72	0.31, 8.84	1.56	0.25, 9.22
Proportion of activity space outside of 800m home network buffer	269	186	1.33	0.67, 2.60	1.29	0.62, 2.66
Proportion of GPS points outside of 400m home network buffer	269	186	1.01	0.94, 1.08	1.01	0.93, 1.09
Proportion of GPS points outside of 800m home network buffer	269	186	1.03	0.97, 1.11	1.03	0.96, 1.12
Residential-Social neighbourhood discordance	262	183	1.03	0.27, 3.27	1.04	0.26, 3.65
Residential-Sexual neighbourhood discordance	255	179	1.79	1.00, 3.18	2.02	1.08, 3.78
<b>Condomless anal sex with a casual partner</b>						
Proportion of activity space outside of 400m home network buffer	269	110	1.23	0.25, 6.85	1.20	0.21, 7.71
Proportion of activity space outside of 800m home network buffer	269	110	1.13	0.59, 2.24	1.15	0.57, 2.40
Proportion of GPS points outside of 400m home network buffer	269	110	0.99	0.93, 1.06	0.99	0.92, 1.06
Proportion of GPS points outside of 800m home network buffer	269	110	1.01	0.95, 1.08	1.01	0.94, 1.08
Residential-Social neighbourhood discordance	262	107	4.01	1.05, 26.3	4.16	0.99, 29.0
Residential-Sexual neighbourhood discordance	255	105	1.59	0.90, 2.84	1.75	0.96, 3.24
<b>Transactional sex</b>						
Proportion of activity space outside of 400m home network buffer	269	28	0.27	0.04, 2.64	0.54	0.05, 6.35
Proportion of activity space outside of 800m home network buffer	269	28	0.69	0.29, 1.96	0.88	0.33, 2.65
Proportion of GPS points outside of 400m home network buffer	269	28	1.03	0.93, 1.15	1.02	0.91, 1.15
Proportion of GPS points outside of 800m home network buffer	269	28	1.06	0.96, 1.18	1.05	0.94, 1.18
Residential-Social neighbourhood discordance	262	27	**		**	
Residential-Sexual neighbourhood discordance	255	27	1.43	0.58, 4.03	1.24	0.47, 3.67
<b>Group sex</b>						
Proportion of activity space outside of 400m home network buffer	269	52	0.16	0.03, 0.90	0.16	0.02, 1.09
Proportion of activity space outside of 800m home network buffer	269	52	0.46	0.22, 0.96	0.48	0.22, 1.05
Proportion of GPS points outside of 400m home network buffer	269	52	1.00	0.92, 1.08	0.99	0.91, 1.08
Proportion of GPS points outside of 800m home network buffer	269	52	1.01	0.93, 1.10	1.01	0.93, 1.10
Residential-Social neighbourhood discordance	262	51	1.35	0.35, 8.89	1.27	0.29, 9.11

Exposure-Outcome <sup>***</sup>	N	n	OR	95% CI	aOR <sup>*</sup>	95% CI
Residential-Sexual neighbourhood discordance	255	50	1.73	0.84, 3.87	1.56	0.74, 3.56
<b>Sex-drug use</b>						
Proportion of activity space outside of 400m home network buffer	269	47	0.55	0.09, 4.54	0.62	0.08, 6.29
Proportion of activity space outside of 800m home network buffer	269	47	0.78	0.36, 1.84	0.83	0.35, 2.11
Proportion of GPS points outside of 400m home network buffer	269	47	1.02	0.94, 1.11	1.03	0.94, 1.14
Proportion of GPS points outside of 800m home network buffer	269	47	1.03	0.95, 1.12	1.05	0.95, 1.15
Residential-Social neighbourhood discordance	262	47	2.72	0.52, 50.2	7.31	0.89, 193
Residential-Sexual neighbourhood discordance	255	46	1.77	0.84, 4.11	1.55	0.68, 3.84

\* Adjusted for age group, educational attainment, currently employed or in school, unhouseed, stable housing, income, sexual identity, and preference for living in a mostly gay neighbourhood.

\*\* Empty cells, cannot compute;

\*\*\* All *proportion* measures have been scaled to reflect changes in 10 percentage points.