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## Pseudo Outbreak of Hepatitis A Lextisjanal ${ }_{\text {ERARY }}$

On July 9, 1979, a patient who had been hospitalized since ALANFA Rabiluagion medicine unit in a hospital in New Orleans had an abnormal liver function test on routine testing. An initial anti-hepatitis A virus immunoglobulin M (anti-HAV IgM) test was positive.

In order to determine if hepatitis A was spreading in the hospital, 75 close contacts, including roommates, food service workers, and hospital staff, were screened for symptoms of hepatitis. Eight contacts gave a positive history of nausea, vomiting, right upper quadrant tenderness, light-colored stool, dark urine, and/or loss of taste for tobacco. These 8 and 2 other patients who had abnormal liver function tests were screened for anti-HAV $\operatorname{lgM}$ antibodies by a local laboratory. All 10 were reported positive. Five of these 10 were food service employees. In a further attempt to define the size of the apparent outbreak, an additional 111 contacts ( 65 employees and 46 patients) were screened for anti-HAV antibodies. Thirty-eight of these were positive for anti-HAV $\operatorname{lgM}$ antibodies. Although some of these contacts had mild, non-specific symptoms, none had clinically apparent hepatitis or significant liver function abnormalities (SGOT >100 IU/I).

Because of the high number of anti-HAV IgM positive tests in asymptomatic individuals, epidemiologists at the hospital and the state health department decided to forward all reported $\operatorname{IgM}$-positive specimens to CDC's Hepatitis Laboratories Division for retesting. Although all 38 were positive for anti-HAV IgG, none were found positive for specific $\lg \mathrm{M}$ antibodies.
Reported by WL Williams, MD, V Boudreaux, RN, R Gohd, PhD, Charity Hospital of New Orleans; CT Caraway, DVM, MPH, State Epidemiologist, L McFarland, MPH, Louisiana Dept of Health and Human Resources; Field Services Div, Hepatitis Laboratories Div, Bur of Epidemiologv, CDC.
Editorial Note: This non-outbreak illustrates the problems with the non-commercia! modification of commercially available kits for the detection of $\operatorname{IgM}$ antibodies to hepatitis $A$ virus.

Anti-HAV $\operatorname{lgM}$ testing is a useful tool for hepatitis A diagnosis. IgM antibodies reflect recent acute infection with HAV, and $\operatorname{lgG}$ antibodies reflect infection which occurred months to years before. Anti-HAV IgM testing of acute-phase serum, together with hepatitis $B$ surface antigen testing, can thus differentiate hepatitis $A$ from hepatitis $B$.

Commercial radioimmunoassay testing kits for measuring the presence of anti-HAV antibody are available, but they do not distinguish between $\operatorname{lgM}$ and $\lg$ antibodies. Test kits for distinguishing these antibodies are being developed and will be commercially available in 1980. Until that time, laboratories-such as the Louisiana laboratory described in this situation-are using a modification of the currently available test to distinguish lgM from IgG. The modification is based on Staphylococcus aureus protein

[^0]A's ability to bind (and thus, remove) lgG (1). When performed properly, this modification works well and is useful; however, false-positive tests can occur when the procedure is not followed exactly. Only certain strains of $S$. aureus, such as Cowan $I$ and Newman $D_{2} C$, have sufficient protein $A$ for successful absorption testing; strains such as Wood and 566 are not suitable. Furthermore, particular attention must be paid to the mechanics of the test, since false-positive results can be obtained simply by improperly diluting serum. Information for differential testing is available from CDC's Hepatitis Laboratories Division, located in Phoenix.

## Reference

1. Bradley DW, Fields HA, McCaustland KA, et al: Serodiagnosis of viral hepatitis A by a modified competitive binding radioimmunoassay for immunoglobulin $M$ anti-hepatitis $A$ virus. J Clin Microbiol 9:120-127, 1978

## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## St. Louis Encephalitis - Mississippi

Following a report of 2 fatal cases of presumptive St. Louis encephalitis (SLE) in residents of Washington County, Mississippi, an investigation was begun. In that county and neighboring Sunflower County, investigators uncovered a total of 6 cases of either encephalitis ( 5 cases) or aseptic meningitis (1) with laboratory-confirmed or presumptive evidence* of SLE. In addition, 20 recent suspected SLE cases were identified.

The dates of onset for confirmed or presumptive cases were August 10-27, and for suspected cases, June 12 -September 24. Of the laboratory-confirmed and presumptive cases, 4 were in males and 2 in females. The age range was from 12 to 90 years (median, $64)$. Of the suspected cases, 12 were in males and 8 in females; the age range was from 1 to 76 years (median, 30.5).

Within the past 7 years, Washington County has had 1 large outbreak of SLE, in 1975, and 1 smaller outbreak, in 1974. A review of emergency room visits at the Delta Medical Center (the major referral hospital in Washington County) from June through September, 1973-1979, revealed a significantly higher percentage of patients presenting with fever and/or headache-the 2 most common symptoms of SLE-in 1979 than in 1973, 1976, 1977, or 1978-years in which no SLE epidemic had been reported ( $\mathrm{p}<.05$ ) (Figure 1). Further investigations of the suspected cases are underway, and young birds in the affected areas will be serologically tested for SLE.

[^1]October 12, 1979
Encephalitis - Continued
Surveillance of human arboviral disease in the rest of Mississippi has revealed 1 presumptive case of SLE with onset in August in Yazoo County and 16 other suspected cases scattered in 9 other counties.
Reported by D Blakey, MD, State Epidemiologist, C Davis, Mississippi State Board of Health; Enteric and Neurotropic Viral Diseases Br, Viral Diseases Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.

FIGURE 1. Patients complaining of fever or headache, Delta Medical Center Emergency Room, as percentage of total patients, June through September, 1973-1979


## Human Rabies - Oklahoma

On September 26, 1979, CDC was notified of a possible case of human rabies occurring in a man from northeastern Oklahoma.

The 24 -year-old man was well until September 15 , when he had onset of insomnia, headache, nausea, vomiting, malaise, myalgia, and fever ( 101 F). Two days later, when symptoms persisted and tremulousness, intermittent confusion, and hallucinations began, he was hospitalized. He became hyperactive, hyper-responsive to environmental stimuli, and diaphoretic, and developed a left seventh cranial nerve palsy. Localized and generalized seizures began on the sixth day of his clinical illness. He was intubated and treated with dopamine for hypotension. On September 22, he was transferred to another hospital. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) specimens obtained on September 23 contained 34 lymphocytes and 1 monocyte $/ \mathrm{mm},{ }^{3}$ a protein level of $176 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, and a glucose level of 133 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. The patient became obtunded on September 22 and progressively comatose over the next 4 days. An electroencephalogram revealed diffuse, slow, non-focal dysrhythmiaSerum rabies virus neutralizing antibody titers were 1:12, 1:10, and 1:42 on September 22, September 23, and September 28, respectively. CSF antibody titers were $<1: 5$. The patient's condition continued to deteriorate, despite intensive support, and he died on October 4. A postmortem brain biopsy contained fluorescing rabies antigen.

The patient's occupation as a woodcutter and his activities before his illness provided the potential for exposure to rabid wild or domestic animals. Thus far, however, no such contact has been documented. Friends and family contacts of the patient and employees
(Continued on page 481)

| TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States (Cumulative totals include revised and delayed reports through previous weeks.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISEASE | 40th WEEK ENDING |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { 1974-1978-a } \end{gathered}$ | CUMULATIVE, FIRSI 40 WEEKS |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oetober } \mathrm{E}, \\ \quad 1979 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Oetaber 7. 1978: |  | Octaber 6. 1979 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Octates } 7, \\ 1978^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEOIAN } \\ 1974-197 B^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Aseptic meningitis | 289 | 265 | 130 | 5.702 | 4,696 | 2.922 |
| Brucallasis | 2 | 4 | 5 | 121 | 136 | 177 |
| Chickenpox | 377 | 415 | 504 | 172,380 | 125.242 | 125,242 |
| Diphtheria | - | 1 | 1 | 63 | 62 | 127 |
|  | 31 | 34 | 34 | 753 | 930 | 930 |
| Post- infectious | 4 | 6 | 5 | 176 | 185 | 206 |
| Hepatitis, Viral: Type B | 205 | 281 | 281 | 11,034 | 11.531 | 11,531 |
| Type A | 473 | 624 | 624 | 22,307 | 22,212 | 26,159 |
| Type unspacified | 195 | 200 | 173 | 8.078 | 6.430 | 6.367 |
| Malaria | 12 | 25 | 14 | 530 | 581 | . 364 |
| Measles (rubeola) | 71 | 171 | 103 | 12,274 | 24,28日 | 24,288 |
| Maningococcal infections: Total | 31 | 29 | 21 | 2,027 | 1,905 | 1.235 |
| Civilian | 31 | 29 | 21 | 2,017 | 1.882 | 1,218 |
| Military | 77 | - | - | 10 | 23 | 23 |
| Mumps | 77 | 102 | 272 | 11.442 | 13.759 | 33,303 |
| Partussis | 25 | 33 | 34 | 1,053 | 1,632 | 1,300 |
| Ruballa (German maaslas) | 27 | 64 | 64 | 10,795 | 17,069 | 15,025 |
| Tetanus | 3 | 3 | 3 | 53 | -65 | 235 6 |
| Tuberculosis | 441 | 527 | 574 | 21.519 | 22,458 | 23.519 |
| Tularemia | 3 | 3 | 3 | 158 | 97 | 108 |
| Typhoid fever | 10 | 10 | 9 | 317 | 395 | 316 |
| Typhus fever, tick borna (Rky, Mt. sported) | 21 | 16 | 13 | 927 | 958 | 797 |
| Veneraal diseases: <br> Gonormea: Civilian | $16,312$ | $24.418$ | $22.381$ | 761.813 | 713,028 | 773.028 |
| Military | $565$ | $676$ | $575$ | 21,166 | 20,036 | 21,006 |
| Syphilis, primary \& secondary: Civilian | $401$ | 512 | 497 | $18,738$ | $16,363$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,363 \\ 736 \end{array}$ |
| Rabies in animals | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 94 \end{array}$ | 10 64 | 2 63 | $\begin{array}{r} 241 \\ 3.882 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 236 \\ 2.450 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 236 \\ 2,328 \end{array}$ |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | CUM. 1879 |  | CUM. 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Poliomyelitis: Total | 23 |
| Botulism | 19 | Paralytic | 20 |
| Cholera | 1 | Psittacosis | 78 |
| Congenital ruballa syndrame | 37 | Rabies in man (Okla. 1 ) | 3 |
| Leprosy 1 (Tex. 1) | 133 | Trichinosis (Wis. 1, Tex. 2, Alaska 1) | 126 |
| Leptospirosis | 35 | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endernic, murine) (Tax. 3) | 51 |
| Plague | 10 |  |  |

[^2]TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 6, 1979, and October 7, 1978 (40th week)

| AEPORTING AREA | ASEPTIC MENINGITIS | BRU. <br> CEL- <br> LOSIS | CHICKEN. PDX | DIPHTHERIA |  | ENCEPHALITIS |  |  | HEPATITIS (VIRAL), BY TYPE |  |  | MALARIA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary |  | Post-in factious | B | A | Unspeciliad |  |  |
|  | 1979 | 1979 | 1979 | 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CuM. } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | 1979 | 1978* | 1979 | 1979 | 1978 | 1979 | 1978 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM } \\ & 1979 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | $289$ | 2 | 377 | - | 63 | 31 | 34 | 4 | 205 | 473 | 195 | 12 | 530 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 9 | - | 43 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 12 | 15 | 11 | 1 | 34 |
| Maine | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 3 |
| N.H. $\dagger$ | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | $-$ | - | - | - |
| * v . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 3 | - | 13 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 9 | - | 9 |
| R.I. | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 9 |
| Conn. | - | - | 10 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 76 | - | 29 | - | - | 6 | 3 | 1 | 29 | 46 | 20 | 4 | 75 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 22 | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 | - | 12 |
| N.Y. City | 10 | - | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 35 |
| N.J. | 25 | - | NN | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 18 | 9 | - | 12 |
| Pa. $\dagger$ | 19 | - | 4 | - | - | 5 | - | - | 16 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 16 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 64 | - | 134 | - | 2 | 4 | 11 | - | 30 | 67 | 12 | - | 39 |
| Ohio | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 6 | - | 1 | 9 | - | - | 7 |
| Ind. 4 | - | - | 31 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 9 | 2 | 5 | - | 1 |
| III. | 8 | - | 18 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 6 | 19 | - | - | 18 |
| Mich. | 29 | - | 31 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 10 | 27 | 7 | - | 11 |
| Wis.t | 27 | - | 53 | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 4 | 10 | - | - | 2 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 11 | - | 36 | - | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 18 |
| Minn. | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 7 |
| Iowa | 8 | - | 14 | - | - | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Mo. | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 8 | 3 | - | 3 |
| N. Dak. $\dagger$ | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| S. Dak | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Nebr. | - | $\cdots$ | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Kans. $\dagger$ | 3 | - | 16 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | 1 | - | 2 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 63 | 2 | 56 | - | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 46 | 65 | 30 | 2 | 64 |
| Del. | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Md. | 15 | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 12 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| D.C. |  | - | 1 | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | 1 | 1 |  | - | 6 |
| Va . | 9 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 6 | 4 | 5 | - | 21 |
| W. Va, | 3 | 1 | 28 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 |
| N.C. | 18 | - | NN | - | - | 3 | - | - | 8 | 9 | 1 | - | 5 |
| S.C. | 5 | - | 5 | - | - |  | - | - | 3 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Ga}_{6}$. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | NA | NA | NA | - | 2 |
| Fla.t | 11 | 1 | 21 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 25 | 35 | 9 | 1 | 15 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 23 | 44 | 15 | - | 9 |
| KY. | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 14 | 1 | - |  |
| Tenn. | 3 | - | NN | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 10 | 14 | 11 | - | - |
| Ala. Misa. | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 10 | 3 | - | 3 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 35 | - | 26 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 27 | 72 | 48 | 2 | 32 |
| Ark. | 1 | - | 26 | - | - | - | 3 | _ | + | 2 | 48 | 2 | 32 |
| La. | 1 | - | NN | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 10 | 2 | - | 3 |
| $\mathrm{Okle}_{\text {Tex. }}$ | 4 | - | N | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | 5 |
| Tex. | 29 | - | 26 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 19 | 56 | 42 | 2 | 24 |
| MOUNTAIN | 10 | - | 15 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 102 | 48 | - | 14 |
| Mont ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Colo. | 6 | - | 9 | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | 2 | - | 3 | 9 | 4 | - | 5 |
| N. Mex. | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | _ | - | 7 |  | - | 1 |
| Ariz. | - | - | NN | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 67 | 37 | - | 4 |
| Utah | 1 | - | N | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 4 |
| Nav. | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 13 | 6 | - | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 17 | - | 36 | - | 58 | 3 | 6 | - | 21 | 43 | 6 | 2 | 245 |
| Trash.t | 5 | - | 26 | - | 56 | 3 |  | - | 10 | 33 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| Oreg. | 5 | - | 2 | - | 5 | 3 | - | - | 7 | 10 | 4 | - | 10 |
| Alarks | NA | NA | NA | NA | 2 | NA | 6 | - | NA | NA | NA | NA | 221 |
| Hewaii | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Hewaii | 6 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Guam P.R.t | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | NA | NA | NA | NA | - |
| V.I. | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | 5 | NA | 1 |
| Pac. Trust | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | NA | NA | NA | NA | - |
| Mive. Trust Terr.t | NA | NA | NA | Na | - | NA | - | - | NA | NA | NA | NA | - |

- Not notifiable

Theiayed reports received for 1978 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weakly and cumulative totals.
The following delayed reports will ba reflected in next weak's cumulative totals: Asep. meng.: N.H. +6, Pe, -1, Ind. +14, Kans. -2; Chickenpox: Pac.Tr.Terr.
19; Enceph, pri.: N.H. -1, Ind. +2, Wis. +2, Fla. +1, Mont. -1 , Wash. +1; Hep. B: N.H. +1, Pa. +27, Wis. -1; Hep. A: Pa. +22, N.Dak. +1, Pac.Tr.Tarr. +7;
190. unsp.: Pa. +6; Malaria: N.H. +1, P.R. +1 .

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 6, 1979, and October 7, 1978 (40th week)

| REPORTIAG AREA | measles (RUBEOLA) |  |  | meningococcal infections TOTAL |  |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | fubella |  | TETANUS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 1979 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 1978- } \end{aligned}$ | 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CuM. } \\ & \text { 1979 } \end{aligned}$ | cum. $1978^{\circ}$ | 1979 | cum. 1979 | 1979 | 1979 | cum. |  |
| UNITEDSTATES | 71 | 12,274 | 24,288 | 31 | 2,027 | 1.905 | 77 | 11,442 | 25 | 27 | 10,795 | 53 |
| NEW ENGLAND | - | 287 | 1,967 | 1 | 104 | 103 | 9 | 422 | 4 | 3 | 1,415 | 5 |
| Maine | - | 17 | 1,314 | - | 6 | 7 | 6 | 149 | 4 | - | 61 | 1 |
| N.H. | - | 32 | 48 | - | 9 | 8 | - | 5 | - | 1 | 123 | - |
| V . | - | 119 | 29 | - | 6 | 2 | - | 9 | - | - | 397 | - |
| Maxs. | - | 13 | 241 | - | 34 | 43 | - | 37 | - | 2 | 485 | 3 |
| R.I. | - | 102 | 8 | - | 7 | 16 | 2 | 40 | - | - | 93 | - |
| Conn. | - | 4 | 327 | 1 | 42 | 27 | 1 | 182 | - | - | 256 | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 27 | 1,492 | 2,181 | 7 | 316 | 300 | 13 | 1,118 | 3 | 6 | 1,912 | 8 |
| Upatate N.Y. | 20 | 617 | 1,396 | 1 | 105 | 96 | 3 | 161 | 3 | - | 1,059 | 2 |
| N.Y. City | 6 | 772 | 355 | - | 77 | 70 | 1 | 122 | - | 6 | 286 | 4 |
| N.J. | - | 57 | 74 | 2 | 73 | 60 | 4 | 544 | - | - | 323 | 1 |
| Pat ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 1 | 46 | 356 | 4 | 61 | 74 | 5 | 291 | - | - | 264 | 1 |
| EN. CENTRAL | 19 | 3,198 | 10,924 | 2 | 201 | 258 | 25 | 4.945 | 2 | 6 | 2,514 | 3 |
| Ohio | 4 | 270 | 482 | - | 72 | 69 | - | 1,774 | - | - | 138 | 2 |
| Ind. | 6 | 211 | 199 | - | 41 | 44 | 8 | 288 | - | - | 730 | - |
| III. | 1 | 1,422 | 1,107 | 1 | 15 | 81 | 5 | 877 | 1 | - | 183 | $\square$ |
| Mich. | 2 | 827 | 7,663 | 1 | 57 | 53 | 4 | 893 | 1 | 4 | 1, 202 | 1 |
| Wis, ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 6 | 468 | 1.473 | - | 16 | 11 | 8 | 1,113 | - | 2 | 261 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 2 | 1.738 | 398 | - | 59 | 69 | 3 | 664 | - | 1 | 463 | 2 |
| Minn. | 1 | 1.218 | 39 | - | 11 | 16 | - | 12 | - | - | 41 | - |
| lawa | - | 16 | 57 | - | 10 | 10 | 1 | 234 | - | - | 52 | - |
| Ma. | 1 | 414 | 11 | - | 29 | 26 | 1 | 195 | - | 1 | 61 | 1 |
| N. Dak. | - | 21 | 196 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | 8 | 1 |
| S. Dak. | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 7 | - | - | 5 | - |
| Nabr. | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | 202 | - |
| Kans. | - | 67 | 90 | - | 6 | 11 | 1 | 207 | - | - | 94 | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 13 | 1,863 | 5,171 | 13 | 503 | 456 | 11 | 587 | 4 | 4 | 1,227 | 9 |
| Del. | - | 1 | 7 | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | 41 | - | - | 5 |  |
| Md. | - | 16 | 52 | 3 | 45 | 31 | 1 | 159 | - | - | 28 | - |
| D.C. | - | - | 48 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Va. | - | 273 | 2,828 | - | 71 | 55 | 1 | 86 | - | - | 202 | 1 |
| W. Va. | 2 | 57 | 1,056 | - | 8 | 12 | 4 | 102 | - | - | 106 |  |
| N.C. | 1 | 113 | 120 | 2 | 78 | 92 | 1 | 73 | - | 1 | 529 | 3 |
| S.C. | - | 151 | 198 | - | 59 | 30 | - | 3 | - | 2 | 64 | - |
| Ga. | 1 | 467 | 33 | 3 | 74 | 49 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 11 |  |
| Fla. | 9 | 785 | 829 | 5 | 163 | 183 | 3 | 114 | 3 | 1 | 281 | 5 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 3 | 208 | 1,415 | 2 | 152 | 148 | 2 | 1,347 | 2 | 1 | 301 | 8 |
| Ky. | - | 37 | 119 | 2 | 31 | 28 | - | 1,108 | 2 | - | 68 | 1 |
| Tenn. | 3 | 63 | 951 | - | 44 | 39 | 2 | 101 | - | 1 | 98 |  |
| Ala. | - | 84 | 101 | - | 36 | 46 | - | 23 | - | - | 44 | 5 |
| Mise | - | 24 | 244 | - | 41 | 35 | - | 115 | - | - | 91 | 2 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 5 | 924 | 1,091 | 4 | 320 | 274 | 5 | 1,353 | 6 | 3 | 239 | 16 |
| Ark. | - | 9 | 16 | - | 27 | 21 | - | 481 | 3 | - | 6 | 4 |
| Le. | - | 250 | 343 | 1 | 118 | 112 | - | 36 | 2 | - | 28 | 3 |
| Okla. | - | 22 | 13 | 2 | 30 | 16 | - | - | - | - | 22 |  |
| Tex. | 5 | 643 | 719 | 1 | 145 | 125 | 5 | 836 | 1 | 3 | 183 | 9 |
| MOUNTAIN | 1 | 324 | 252 | 2 | 82 | 42 | 2 | 272 | 3 | 1 | 528 | - |
| Mont. | - | 57 | 106 | - | 8 | 4 | - | 10 | - | - | 69 | - |
| Idaho | - | 18 | 1 | - | 7 | 4 | - | 9 | - | - | 204 | - |
| Wyo. | - | 36 | - | - | 1 |  | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Colo. | - | 68 | 31 | - | 5 | 3 | 2 | 79 | 3 | - | 66 | - |
| N. Mex. $\dagger$ | - | 39 | - | 1 | 6 | 7 | - | 12 | - | - | 11 | - |
| Ariz. | 1 | 77 | 51 | - | 35 | 15 | - | 54 | - | - | 139 |  |
| Utaht | - | 18 | 44 | - | 8 | 5 | - | 94 | - | 1 | 37 |  |
| Nov. | - | 11 | 19 | 1 | 12 | 4 | - | 14 | - | - | 2 | - |
| PACIFIC | 1 | 2,240 | 889 | - | 290 | 255 | 7 | 734 | 1 | 2 | 2,196 | 2 |
| Wash. 1 | 1 | 1.130 | 196 | - | 47 | 44 | 1 | 194 | - | 2 | 183 |  |
| Orear | - | 61 | 148 | - | 23 | 28 | 4 | 91 | - | - | 101 |  |
| Calif. | NA | 966 | 535 | - | 204 | 174 | NA | 339 | NA | NA | 1,886 | 2 |
| Alaska | N | 17 | 1 | - | 6 | 6 | N | 9 | 1 | Na | 13 | - |
| Hawaii | - | 66 | 9 | - | 10 | 3 | 2 | 101 | - | - | 23 | - |
| Guam | NA | 10 | 25 | - | 1 | 1 | NA | 11 | NA | NA | 4 | 9 |
| P.R. | 5 | 349 | 258 | - | 5 | 7 | 3 | 547 | - | - | 36 | $\underline{9}$ |
| V.I. | NA | 4 | 6 | - | 3 | 1 | NA | 20 | NA | NA | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. $\dagger$ | NA | 8 | 608 | - | 1 | 2 | NA | 32 | NA | NA | 1 | - |

[^3]- Delayed reports received for 1978 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals,
the following delayed reports will be reflected in next week's cumulative totals: Measles: Wis. $\mathbf{- 2 ,}$ Wash. -2, Pac.Tr.Terr. +1; Men. inf.: Pa. -3, Wash. +3 ; Mumps: Utah +2, Pac.Tr.Terr. +2; Pertussis: N.Mex. +1, Pac.Tr.Terr. +1; Rubella; Utah -1,

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 6, 1979, and Octoher 7, 1978 (40th week)

| AEPORTING AREA | TUAERCULOSIS |  | TULAREMIA | TYPHOID fEVER |  | TYPHUS FEVER (Tick-harne) (RMSF) |  | VENEREAL DISEASES (Civilian) |  |  |  |  |  | RABIES(inAnimals) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | gonorrhea |  |  | SYPHILIS (Pri. \& Sec.) |  |
|  | 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | 1979 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | 1979 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CUM. } \\ \hline 1979 \end{gathered}$ | 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1978 \end{aligned}$ | i979 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1979 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | CUM. <br> 1978* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1979 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 15 | 611 | 3 | - | 16 | 1 | 9 | 456 | 18,897 | 20,046 | 4 | 366 | 457 | 43 |
| Maine | 3 | 46 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 18 | 1,297 | 1,603 | - | 10 | 7 | 27 |
| N.H.t | 1 | 14 | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | 20 | 1702 | 923 | - | 18 | 5 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {L }}$ | - | 25 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 19 | 457 | 493 | - | 1 | 3 | - |
| Mass. | 7 | 322 | 3 | - | 9 | - | 4 | 187 | 7.507 | 8,796 | 1 | 203 | 279 | 10 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { R.I. }}$ Conn.t | 2 | 53 151 | - | - | 2 | - | 4 | 38 174 | 1,540 | 1,432 | 3 | 13 | 20 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 151 | - | - | 4 | - | 4 | 174 | 7,394 | 6,799 | 3 | 121 | 143 | 1 |
| Mid. atlantic Upstate N.Y. <br> M.Y. City <br> H.L. <br> Pa | 107 | 3,393 | 1 | 2 | 65 | - | 38 | 1,981 | 83,286 | 83,476 | 79 | 2,834 | 2,123 | 65 |
|  | 12 | 610 | 1 | 1 | 13 | - | 22 | 551 | 14,398 | 14,055 | 6 | 213 | 153 | 46 |
|  | 56 | 1,263 | - | - | 28 | - | 1 | NA | 31,964 | 31,819 | 56 | 1.906 | 1.469 | - |
|  | 19 | 629 | - | - | 15 | - | 5 | 809 | 14,817 | 15,631 | 5 | 370 | 258 | 5 |
|  | 20 | 891 | - | 1 | 9 | - | 10 | 621 | 22,107 | 21.971 | 12 | 345 | 243 | 14 |
| E.n. gentral <br> Uhio <br> Ind. <br> III, <br> Mich. $\dagger$ <br> $W_{\text {is }}+$ | 69 | 3,171 | - | - | 26 | - | 57 | 1,835 | 118.618 | 118,896 | 39 | 2.418 | 1,843 | 341 |
|  | 14 | 565 | - | - | 3 | - | 20 | 614 | 32.553 | 30,796 | 15 | 472 | 324 | 30 |
|  | 14 | 411 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 102 | 10,219 | 12,399 | - | 174 | 125 | 63 |
|  | 27 | 1,261 | - | - | 7 | - | 31 | 335 | 37,178 | 37,450 | 5 | 1,342 | 1,170 | 157 |
|  | 9 | 790 | - | - | 12 | - | 3 | 784 | 28,023 | 27,613 | 19 | 362 | 174 | 13 |
|  | 5 | 144 | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | NA | 10,645 | 10,638 | - | 68 | 50 | 78 |
| W.N. CEN <br> Minn. <br> lowa 1 <br> Mo. <br> N. Dak. <br> S. Dak.t <br> Prebr.t <br> Kans. | 14 | 733 | 24 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 52 | 1,279 | 38,070 | 39,234 | 8 | 248 | 341 | 761 |
|  | - | 115 | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | 230 | 6.330 | 6,636 | 4 | 68 | 133 | 139 |
|  | 1 | 59 | 1 | - | 4 | - | 13 | 217 | 4.562 | 4,351 | - | 28 | 29 | 143 |
|  | 10 | 391 | 20 | - | 6 | 6 | 25 | 529 | 16,429 | 17.367 | 2 | 113 | 107 | 235 |
|  | - | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | 33 | 637 | 705 | - | 2 | 2 | 59 |
|  | 1 | 43 | 2 | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 40 | 1.277 | 1.345 | - | 2 | 3 | 78 |
|  | $\bar{\square}$ | 22 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 84 | 2,664 | 2,747 | - | 4 | 11 | - |
|  | 2 | 88 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 8 | 146 | 6,171 | 6,083 | 2 | 31 | 56 | 107 |
| S. ATL <br> Dal, <br> Md. $\dagger$ <br> D.C. <br> va. <br> W. Va. <br> $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{F}$ <br> S. <br> $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $\mathrm{Fl}_{\mathrm{a} .1}$ | 93 | 4,860 | 8 | 2 | 40 | 9 | 532 | 4,785 | 184,865 | 188,076 | 120 | 4,469 | 4,326 | 552 |
|  | - | 38 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 83 | 3,072 | 2,666 | 2 | 23 |  | -7 |
|  | 21 | 623 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | 7 | - | 60 | 595 | 22,520 | 24, 258 | 4 | 288 | 330 | 37 |
|  | 3 | 223 | 2 | - | 1 | $\overline{-}$ | 2 | 311 | 12,181 | 12.566 | 15 | 352 | 327 | - |
|  | 10 | 573 | 1 | - | 4 | 3 | 89 | 490 | 17,794 | 18,136 | 7 | 371 | 362 | 18 |
|  | 2 | 187 | - | - | 4 | - | 9 | 46 | 2,495 | 2,587 | 1 | 44 | 16 | - |
|  | 16 | 768 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 212 | 757 | 26,627 | 26,643 | 9 | 358 | 454 | 16 |
|  | 12 | 376 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 73 | 544 | 17,356 | 18,480 | 7 | 230 | 229 | 157 |
|  | NA | 747 | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | 77 | 1,001 | 35,144 | 36,462 | 45 | 1.250 | 1.083 | 278 |
|  | 29 | 1,325 | - | 2 | 17 | - | 7 | 958 | 47,676 | 46,278 | 30 | 1,553 | 1,516 | 46 |
| E.S. CENTRAL <br> ky . <br> Tonn. <br> A/f. <br> Miss. | 42 | 1,964 | 14 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 125 | 1,418 | 64,972 | 66, 187 | 36 | 1,238 | 865 | 266 |
|  | 11 | 514 | 2 | - | 5 | - | 19 | 315 | 8,694 | B,726 | 2 | 134 | 109 | 109 |
|  | 8 | 556 | 12 | - | 3 | 1 | 73 | 615 | 23,423 | 24,298 | 19 | 535 | 302 | 91 |
|  | 15 | 470 | - | - | 8 | - | 17 | 156 | 19,224 | 18,884 | 11 | 226 | 147 | 65 |
|  | 8 | 424 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 16 | 332 | 13,631 | 14.279 | 4 | 343 | 307 | 1 |
| W. CENTRAL <br>  <br> La. <br> $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{k} \mid \mathrm{a}}$. <br> Tex. | 68 | 2,610 | 67 | 3 | 64 | 4 | 93 | 2,741 | 98,614 | 104,094 | 100 | 3,417 | 2,633 | 1,461 |
|  | 4 | 226 | 42 | - | 5 | 2 | 22 | 168 | 7,634 | 7,515 | 6 | 115 | 59 | 277 |
|  | 8 | 522 | 5 | - | 5 | 1 | 2 | 492 | 17,569 | 16,946 | 17 | 882 | 552 | 24 |
|  | 8 | 282 | 13 | - | - | - | 53 | 258 | 9,680 | 9,833 | - | 70 | 77 | 223 |
|  | 48 | 1,580 | 7 | 3 | 54 | 1 | 16 | 1,823 | 63,731 | 69,800 | 77 | 2,410 | 1,945 | 937 |
| MOUNTA <br> Mont. <br> Itaho <br> Tyo. $T$ <br> Cono. <br> N. Mex. <br> Aliz. <br> (tah) <br> Nev. | 16 | 650 | 36 | - | 24 | - | 16 | 970 | 30,912 | 29,451 | 10 | 385 | 343 | 132 |
|  | 16 | 29 | 8 | - | 24 | - | 5 | 41 | 1.515 | 1,676 | - | 8 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 2 | 12 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 29 | 1.384 | 1,218 | 3 | 24 | 13 | 7 |
|  | - | 6 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 30 | 896 | 705 | - | 8 | 8 | - |
|  | 8 | 97 | 12 | - | 13 | - | 4 | 224 | 8,142 | 8.070 | 1 | 75 | 94 | 49 |
|  | - | 112 | 4 | - | 4 | - | 1 | 121 | 3,784 | 4,208 | - | 68 | 73 | 38 |
|  | 5 | 321 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 311 | 8,719 | 7.706 | - | 114 | 81 | 23 |
|  | 1 | 26 | 9 | - | - | - | 1 | 43 | 1,571 | 1.592 | - | 3 | 11 | 7 |
|  | - | 47 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 171 | 4,901 | 4,276 | 6 | 85 | 56 |  |
| PACIFIC <br> $W_{\text {tash. } t}$ <br> $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{mg}}$. <br> Calif. <br> Alackat <br> Hawaii | 17 | 3,527 | 5 | 1 | 107 | - | 5 | 847 | 123,579 | 123,568 | 5 | 3,303 | 3,432 | 261 |
|  | 6 | 201 | 3 | - | 5 | - | - | 404 | 11,003 | 9,982 | NA | 153 | 185 | - |
|  | 8 | 148 | - | 1 | 2 | - |  | 236 | 8,024 | 8,439 | 2 | 140 | 123 | 13 |
|  | NA | 2,868 | 2 | NA | 91 | NA | 5 | NA | 98,229 | 99,120 | NA | 2,915 | 3,081 | 246 |
|  | - | 59 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 145 | 3.947 | 3,847 | - | 21 | 9 | 2 |
|  | 3 | 251 | - | - | 7 | - | - | 62 | 2,376 | 2,180 | 3 | 74 | 34 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Guarm } \\ & \text { P.h. } \\ & V_{1} . L_{\text {a }} \\ & \text { Pac. Trust Terr.t } \end{aligned}$ | NA | 49 | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | 73 | 100 | NA | - | - |  |
|  | 5 | 233 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 65 | 1,695 | 1,701 | 8 | 429 | 384 | 17 |
|  | NA | 4 | - | NA | 1 | NA | - | NA | 125 | 151 | NA | 7 | 14 |  |
|  | NA | 25 | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | 312 | 379 | NA | 1 | - | - |

[^4]they reports received for 9978 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.
$W_{i s}$ tollowing delayed reports will be reflected in next week's cumulative totals: TB: Mich. -2, N.C. -4, Fla. -4, Alaska +4, Pac.Tr.Terr. +4; GC: N.H. +6 mil.; $-1, \mathrm{~S}_{\text {, }}$ civ., Nebr. -1 civ., Md. +291 civ., Wyo. +1 mil., Utah -1 civ., Pac.Tr.Terr. +32 civ.; Syphilis: Md. +3 civ., Wash. +13 civ,; An. rabies: Conn. +1 , lowa

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending October 6, 1979 (40th week)

| HEPORTING AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEPORTING AREA | All Causes, by age (YEARS) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\& \& } \\ & \text { TOTA } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | $>65$ | 45.64 | 25.44 | $<1$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | $>65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 649 | 423 | 160 | 23 | 26 | 33 | S ATLANTIC | 1. 150 | 684 | 282 | 91 | 58 | 4 |
| Boston, Mass. | 150 | 83 | 44 | 10 | 5 | 10 | Atianta, Ga. | 127 | 70 | 32 | 18 | 6 | 1 |
| Bridgaport. Conn. | 52 | 37 | 11 | - | 4 | 2 | Baltimore. Md. | 170 | 96 | 49 | 10 | 9 | \% |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 27 | 19 | 6 | 2 | - | 3 | Charlotte, N.C. | 70 | 39 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 32 | 23 | 6 | 3 | - | 1 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 92 | 56 | 23 | 7 | 1 | + |
| Hartiord, Conn. | 69 | 46 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 1 | Miami, Fla. | 199 | 125 | 37 | 14 | 15 | 3 |
| Lowall, Mass. | 41 | 26 | 13 | 1 | - | 2 | Norfolk, Va. | 40 | 17 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 3 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 30 | 23 | 6 | 1 | - | - | Richmond, Va. | 17 | 45 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Naw Bedford, Mass. | 19 | 13 | 4 | 2 | - | - | Savannah, Ga. | 50 | 27 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| New Havar, Conn. | 52 | 38 | 9 | 1 | 3 | - | St. Petarsburg, Fla. | 86 | 77 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Prowidence, R.I. | 63 | 43 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 5 | Tampa, Fla. | 67 | 37 | 21 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Somarville, Mass. | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | Washington, D.C. | 136 | 74 | 40 | 13 | 5 | 1 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 31 | 20 | 8 | - | 3 | 1 | Wilmington, Del. | 36 | 21 | 11 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 30 | 24 | 6 | - | - | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 49 | 26 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTAAL | 659 | 388 | 178 | 44 | 29 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala | 106 | 62 | 29 | 6 | 7 | 2 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,318 | 1,497 | 532 | 159 | 62 | 83 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 29 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 53 | 36 | 8 | 3 | 2 | - | Knoxville, Tenn. | 32 | 21 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Allentown, Pa | 21 | 12 | 9 | - | - | - | Louisville, Ky. | 117 | 65 | 34 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 73 | 47 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Memphls, Tenn. | 146 | 81 | 43 | 13 | 5 | 3 |
| Camden, N.J. | 32 | 18 | 9 | 5 | - | - | Mobile, Ala. | 75 | 47 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Elizabath, N.J. | 22 | 8 | 12 | - | 1 | - | Mantgomary, Ala, | 49 | 30 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Erie, Pa. $\dagger$ | 50 | 32 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 2 | Nashville, Tenn. | 105 | 63 | 28 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Jarsey City, N.J. | 44 | 22 | 14 | 6 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nawark, N.J. | 63 | 34 | 17 | 7 | 5 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N.Y. | 1.372 | 900 | 308 | 92 | 29 | 45 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1, 139 | 599 | 310 | 111 | 50 | 1 |
| Patarson, N.J. | 27 | 16 | 3 | 7 | 1 | - | Austin, Tox. | 25 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Philadelphia, Pa, $\dagger$ | 171 | 105 | 37 | 14 | 8 | 9 | Baton Rouga, La. | 37 | 20 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Pittsburgh. Pa. $\dagger$ | 59 | 34 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 4 | Carpus Christi, Tex. | 35 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Reading, Pa, | 23 | 17 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | Dallas, Tex. | 173 | 90 | 40 | 24 | 9 | 3 |
| Rochestar, N.Y. | 110 | 77 | 22 | 4 | 3 | 8 | El Paso, Tex. | 60 | 28 | 23 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 17 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | Fort Worth, Tex. | 80 | 42 | 21 | 6 | 7 | 1 |
| Seranton, Pe.t | 25 | 17 | 6 | 2 | - | 2 | Houston, Tex. | 331 | 153 | 94 | 47 | 7 | 1 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 61 | 38 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 1 | Little Rock, Ark. | 52 | 32 | 15 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Tronton, N.J. | 50 | 41 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Naw Orleans, La | 100 | 50 | 35 | 12 | 4 | 3 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 17 | 10 | 5 | - | - | - | San Antonio, Tex. | 148 | 80 | 41 | 12 | 7 |  |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 28 | 23 | - | 5 | - | 2 |  | 25 | 15 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tulsa, Okla. | 73 | 47 | 17 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,198 | 1,273 | 590 | 153 | 96 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| Akron, Ohio | 60 | 42 | 13 | 3 | 1 | - | MOUNTAIN | 523 | 304 | 109 | 45 | 32 | 1 |
| Canton, Ohio | 44 | 30 | 10 | 3 | 1 | - | Albuquerque, N. Mex. | 53 | 27 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Chicago, III. | 585 | 328 | 149 | 49 | 30 | 12 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 22 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 161 | 83 | 56 | 9 | 7 | 1 | Denver, Colo. | 94 | 58 33 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 1 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 124 | 69 | 38 | 10 | 6 | 3 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 60 | 33 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 85 | 47 | 27 | 4 | 2 | 6 | Ogden, Utah | 25 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 92 | 55 | 24 | 4 | 5 | 2 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 122 | 77 | 26 | 6 | 9 | 1 |
| Datroit, Mich. | 251 | 142 | 70 | 24 | 9 | 3 | Pueblo, Colo. | 17 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 9 |  |
| Evansville, Ind. | 45 | 26 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 1 | Salt Lake City, Utąh | 52 | 24 | 12 | 4 | 9 | - |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 41 | 20 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | Tucson, Ariz. | 78 | 45 | 14 | 9 | 4 |  |
| Gary. Ind. | 21 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Repids, Mich. | 56 | 35 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 151 | 91 | 41 | 9 | 5 | 2 | PACIFIC | 1.740 | 1.094 | 393 | 11 18 | 61 | 1 |
| Madison, Wis. | 41 | 22 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | Berkeley, Calif. | 25 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Milwaukee، Wis. | 149 | 104 | 33 | 4 | 7 | 5 | Fresno, Calif. | 57 | 36 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Peoria, III. | 27 | 14 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Glandale, Calif. | 28 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Rackford, III. | 34 | 21 | 9 | 2 | 2 | - | Honclulu, Hawaii | 58 | 32 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| South Bend. Ind. | 32 | 24 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | Long Beach, Calif. | 116 | 73 | 30 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| Tolado, Ohio | 128 | 74 | 30 | 8 | 6 | 1 | Lot Angeles, Calif. | 508 | 306 | 114 | 51 | 13 |  |
| Youngrtown, Ohio | 71 | 40 | 20 | 5 | 4 | 2 | Oakland, Calif. | 46 | 30 | 13 | 2 | - | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 34 | 22 | 7 | - | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Oreg. | 121 | 76 | 27 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 706 | 452 | 151 | 52 | 24 | 35 | Sacramento, Calif. | 72 | 48 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Des Moines, lowa | 63 | 43 | 12 | 5 | - | 3 | San Diego, Calif. | 128 | 80 | 25 | 10 | 3 | 5 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 13 | 8 | 3 | - | - | 3 | San Francisco, Calif. | 150 | 90 | 38 | 11 | 5 | 2 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 21 | 14 | 5 | - | 2 | 3 | San Jose, Calif. | 161 | 103 | 32 | 12 | 8 | 5 |
| Kanzar City, Mo. | 131 | 81 | 27 | 9 | 8 | 5 | Seatte, Wash. | 136 | 91 | 30 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 15 | 12 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | Spokane, Wash. | 57 | 34 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 84 | 60 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 2 | Tacoma, Wash. | 43 | 34 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 86 | 54 | 19 | 9 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louls, Mo. | 160 | 95 | 36 | 20 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 351 |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 71 | 50 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 5 | TOTAL | 11,082 | 6,714 | 2,705 | 796 | 438 |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 62 | 35 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]
## Human Rabies - Continued

of the 2 hospitals at which he was treated are being investigated to determine the degree of their exposure to the patient. As of October 5, 18 family/friend contacts and 34 hospital employees have been identified as having a possibly significant exposure. These perSons are beginning a course of postexposure prophylaxis.
Reported by L Kerton, RN, S Schwartz, MD, Tulsa, Oklahoma; EM Cleaver, MD, FA Reynolds, MD, Tulsa City County Health Dept; J Grim, RN, MA Roberts, MPH, Acting State Epidemiologist, M Ward, MD, Oklahoma State Dept of Health; Field Services Div, Viral Diseases Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Editorial Note: The patient's clinical course, the rising neutralizing antibody titers in the absence of any antirabies therapy, and the presence of rabies virus in the brain, identified by fluorescence, provide strong evidence to support a diagnosis of rabies. Although a corneal impression fluorescently stained for rabies virus antigen was strongly positive, CDC is not currently using this as a diagnostic test because of several false-positive tests in human non-rabies cases. The corneal impression test appears to be a very reliable diagnostic test in animal models (1) and is sometimes positive in man ( 2,3 ), but its diagnostic capabilities have not been fully evaluated in human rabies.

If a likely exposure to rabies is not found, this man will be the fourth of 8 cases of human rabies reported to CDC since January 1978 in which no source of rabies was discovered. The most probable explanation for this was the inability of the patients to communicate at the time rabies was entertained as a diagnosis. Thus, rabies should be considered as a possible cause of encephalopathic illness of undetermined etiology, despite a negative contact history.

With the exception of a corneal transplant recipient (4), no human-to-human transmission of rabies has been documented. However, because of the theoretical possibility of human-to-human transmission in limited circumstances, CDC currently recommends treating contacts of human rabies cases who have possible risk exposure. Risk exposure is considered to be the contamination of open wounds or mucous membranes with saliva or other potentially infectious materials such as neural tissue, autopsy tissue, or spinal fluid. Although any risk of acquiring rabies under these circumstances is unlikely, CDC recommends postexposure prophylaxis for contacts with these exposures.
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## Rabies in Pet Skunks - Oregon

The Oregon Department of Human Resources recently reported laboratory-confirmed rabies in 2 pet skunks among approximately 161 shipped to the state in June and July of this year from a Minnesota animal dealer. The dealer's operation is licensed and inspected by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), and all distributed skunks were reported as being pen-bred.

The 2 positive skunks were among a shipment of 30 received at a north Portland, Ore9on, pet store on June 28. The first infected animal was purchased on July 21 by a Washington resident. It had onset of illness on July 29. Four persons were exposed and underwent anti-rabies prophylaxis.

The second skunk had been purchased from the same pet shop on July 24; onset of illness occurred on September 20. One person underwent anti-rabies treatment for exposure to this animal.

Both animals exhibited irritability and aggressive behavior during their illnesses. One animal had a voracious appetite until near death. Neither animal had been vaccinated against rabies, nor had either been in direct contact with other domestic or wild animals since arriving in Oregon.

The Oregon Department of Human Resources found that skunks from the animal dealer had been sent to 3 pet shops in the metropolitan Portland area and 6 additional locations throughout the state of Oregon. A list of persons who had purchased skunks was obtained from the involved pet shops. Several skunks had been bought by Washington residents, although the ownership of pet skunks has been illegal in that state since 1971. Local and state health officials contacted and apprised all identified owners of the risk.

Since the first skunk was reported positive, state laboratories have examined approximately 100 other pet skunks-approximately 75 from the Minnesota animal dealer and the rest from various other sources. None of these was positive for rabies.

Eight persons that had been exposed to skunks that escaped or had died but were not tested for the cause of death elected to undergo anti-rabies treatment.

The Oregon State Department of Agriculture has temporarily banned the importation of skunks as pets. On July 1, 1980, a new state statute banning the sale, distribution, and keeping of skunks becomes effective.

Investigations of the distributor's facilities were undertaken by USDA and University of Minnesota personnel. Records indicate that approximately 3,000 young skunks were distributed to 30 states this year. Although the skunk-breeding operation was considered satisfactory, approximately 40 recently trapped skunks were noted in a separated area of the operation. These animals were to be introduced into the breeding colonies next year as a fresh "bloodline."

Reported by JF Schilke, MD, Clackamas County (Oregon) Health Dept; CP Shade, MD, MPH, Multnomah County (Oregon) Health Dept; MT Daly, DVM, MPH, Oregon Dept of Agriculture; LR Foster, MD, MPH, Deputy State Epidemiologist, R Sokolow, BM Thomas, LP Williams, Jr, DVM, DrPH, Public Health Veterinarian, Oregon Dept of Human Resources; JW Taylor, MD, State Epidemiologist, Washington State Dept of Social and Health Services; RA Robinson, MPH, PhD, University of Minnesota; J Flint, DVM, Minnesota Livestock Sanitary Board, St. Paul; AG Dean, MD. State Epidemiologist, Minnesota State Dept of Health; B Ward, DVM, USDA, St. Paul; Respiratory and Special Pathogens Br, Viral Diseases Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.

Editorial Note: An increasing number of cases of rabies in wild pets, especially skunks, are being reported to CDC. In 1977, Oklahoma reported that 3 pet skunks from different areas of the state were found positive in a 5 -week period. At least 50 persons were exposed to the infected animals. An additional 29 persons were exposed to another rabid pet skunk in Oklahoma in June 1978. Montana reported that in late summer 1977 a rabid pet skunk exposed 10 persons. An incident in Indiana during July 1978, in which 26 persons were exposed to a rabid pet skunk, and another similar incident in Arizona in August 1978, in which 23 persons were exposed, emphasize the problem of keeping wild animals as pets (1).

CDC strongly urges that wild animals not be kept as pets and encourages states to make it unlawful to retain as pets wild animals such as skunks and raccoons, especially those captured from the wild, because they are potential sources of rabies.

## Reference

1. MMWR 27:399-401, 1978

## Surveillance Summary

## Poliomyelitis - United States, 1978-1979

1979-In 1979, the United States experienced the first epidemic of poliomyelitis since 1972. Through September 21, there were 15 epidemic-associated cases (13 paralytic; 2 nonparalytic) in the United States and 2 additional epidemic cases (both paralytic) in Canada. All paralytic cases occurred in unvaccinated Amish persons.

In addition, there have been 8 reported endemic cases-i.e., non-epidemic-associated cases that were indigenous to the United States. All 8 were paralytic and have been epidemiologically classified as vaccine associated. Five occurred in recent recipients of trivalent oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV) and 3 in contacts of such recipients.

1978-In 1978, there were 9 cases of paralytic poliomyelitis, including 1 death, reported in the United States. None were epidemic associated: 1 was imported, and 8 were endemic. The imported case was in an unimmunized woman who had traveled to Mexico before onset of illness. Six of the 8 endemic cases met the standard epidemiologic criteria for vaccine association. Four were in vaccine recipients, 2 in contacts. In 1 of the other 2 endemic cases, OPV was also implicated, as the patient's child had received OPV 3 days and 70 days before onset of disease, and there was no known exposure to wild poliovirus.

The last endemic case occurred in an 11-year-old boy who had received 4 doses of OPV as an infant. Following a 2 -week catarrhal illness in late July, he developed difficulty with swallowing and speaking on August 5 and suffered a respiratory arrest (presumably from choking) that same day. He died 18 days later of neurologic sequelae of the arrest. Poliovirus type 1 was isolated from a throat swab obtained on August 7. Using the Wecker serologic test and a new method developed in the Netherlands by Dr. A. van Wezel (1), CDC characterized the virus as nonvaccine-like. A monotypic rise in neutralizing antibody titer in serum was demonstrated to type 1 poliovirus. The clinical and laboratory data in this case suggest that the patient died of bulbar poliomyelitis due to a wild type 1 poliovirus. This case is the first known OPV failure in an otherwise normal patient who had received, in the United States, $\geqslant 3$ vaccine doses.
Reported by $T$ Halpin, MD, MPH, State Epidemiologist, Ohio State Dept of Health; Enteric Virologv Br, Virology Div, Bur of Laboratories, Enteric and Neurotropic Viral Diseases Br, Viral Diseases Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Editorial Note: From January 1, 1969 through September 21, 1979, there were a total of 185 cases of paralytic poliomyelitis reported to CDC through the National Poliomyelitis Surveillance System. Of these, 43 were epidemic associated; 73 endemic, vaccine associated (23 in recipients; 50 in contacts); 39 endemic, nonvaccine associated; 19 imported; and 11 in immunodeficient persons. The number of paralytic cases per year from 1969 through 1978 ranged from 5 to 32 . There have been 21 paralytic cases reDorted to date in 1979.

[^6]
## Poliomyelitis - Continued

Vaccines against poliomyelitis (injectable [inactivated poliomyelitis vaccine] and oral [OPV]) have been largely responsible for the dramatic decline in the incidence of the disease in this country over the past 25 years. Since 1964, there have been less than 100 paralytic cases reported per year, except in 1966, when 102 cases occurred. With the widespread use of oral poliovirus vaccines since the early 1960s, naturally occurring polioviruses have been virtually replaced in the United States by attenuated vaccine viruses. Thus, in the 1970s, epidemics caused by wild polioviruses have become rare and have been almost completely confined to communities of inadequately vaccinated persons. Most of the few cases that have continued to occur each year can be attributed either to the vaccine viruses themselves or, occasionally, to sporadic imported wild viruses.
Reference

1. MMWR $28: 345,1979$
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[^0]:    U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE / PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

[^1]:    *Presumptive laboratory evidence was defined as a single hemagglutination inhibition ( HI ) titer to SLE of $\geqslant 1: 40$ in a patient with clinical encephalitis; confirmation was defined as a $\geqslant 4$-fold rise or fall in HI titer.

[^2]:    - Delayed reports received for calendar year 1978 are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.
    * Medians for ganorrhea and syphilis are based on data for 1976-1978.
    tThe following delayed report will be reflected in next week's cumulative total: Leprosy: Minn. +1

[^3]:    NA: Not available

[^4]:    ' ${ }^{\text {Del }}$ Not available.

[^5]:    -Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the weak that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
    **Pneumania and influenza
    $\uparrow$ Because of changes in raporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, thase numbers are partial counts for the current weak. Complete counts will bl available in 4 to 6 weeks.

[^6]:    The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, circulation 87,803 , is published by the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia. The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly telegraphs to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday.
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