# 2021 Nat S

Introduction: Welcome to the 2021 National and State HAI Data Report using the 2015 baseline by comparing the number of observed device days to the number of predicted dev This report is created by CDC staff with the National Healthcare Safety Network (N

Scope of report:

**Device Days Types** 

Central line days (CLDs) by locations Urinary catheter days (UCDs) by locations Ventilator days (VDs) by locations

# tional and State HAI Progress Report tandardized Utilization Ratios

## Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities

⇒ and risk adjustment calculations. Standardized utilization ratios (SURs) are used to describe device-ass ice days. IHSN).

		IRF	
	National		State
þ		þ	
þ		þ	
þ		þ	

sociated HAIs

#### Development of the NHSN Standardized Utilization Ratio (SUR): Methodology

#### Rationale

Traditionally, NHSN has been providing a crude measure of device utilization rate to the healthcare facili standardized to compare with a reference baseline population as well as over time. Accordingly, CDC ha

#### Development of SUR models

SUR models were developed for the following measures: central line days, urinary catheter days and ver inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRF), long-term acute care hospitals (LTACH) (and NICU for central line Using the NHSN data (2021) in sync with rebaseline work, CDC has developed multivariable logistic regr "Extra-binomial Variation in Logistic Linear Models," Applied Statistics, 31, 144–148.). Unit of analysis in

#### STEPS to compute SUR at the location level

1: First, calculate the logit scale value of p\_hat, using parameter estimates of corresponding SUR model Logit p\_hat= intercept + x1 + X2 + X3 + ...... (Risk factors are provided in appendices for individual matrix of measure and healthcare setting)

2: Then, compute the probability of device use p\_hat = [e^logit(p\_hat)] / [1+ e^logit(p\_hat)]

3: Calculate predicted device days as follows: Predicted Device Days = p-hat \* In-patient days

4: Finally, derive SUR value at the location by dividing number of observed device days with number of p SUR = Observed Device Days / Predicted Device Days

Note that SUR will not be calculated if Predicted Device Days is <1 due to minimum precision criteria of "

#### STEPS to compute SUR at higher level above location

Do the same computation as in step 1, 2, 3 at location level.

Sum the observed device days and predicted device days up to the level of aggregation desired (e.g., fa Then, derive SUR value at the desired aggregate level by dividing number of observed device days with

SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guide-508.pdf

ties. To monitor the progress of healthcare acquired infections (HAI) prevention efforts, device utilization in any is developed statistical models to make SUR values available for different measures (e.g., central line days, urir

ntilator days. They were available for the healthcare setting of acute care hospitals (ACH), critical access hospital days).

ression models that correct over dispersion by the Williams' method (Reference: Williams, D. A. (1982), all the SUR models are at the location level.

predicted device days;

1.0.

.

cility-level). number of predicted device days. healthcare setting/location needs to be nary catheter days) at various healthcare settings.

als (CAH),

### 2021 Annual National and State HAI Progress Report

Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities: Full series of tables for all national and state data

Table 1	National standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SURs: Central line days (CLDs) Urinary catheter days (UCDs) Ventilator days (VDs)
Table 2	State-specific SURs for CLDs from Inpatient rehabilitation facilities: All locations combined
Table 3	State-specific SURs for UCDs from Inpatient rehabilitation facilities: All locations combined
Table 4	State-specific SURs for VDs from Inpatient rehabilitation facilities All locations combined
Table 5	Changes in national SURs, 2021 compared to 2020: Central Line Days (CLDs) Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs) Ventilator days (VDs)
Table 6	Changes in state SURs, 2021 compared to 2020: 6a. Central Line Days (CLDs) 6b. Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs) 6c. Ventilator Days (VDs)
Appendix A	Factors used in NHSN risk-adjusted SUR calculation of the device utilization in inpatient rel
Additional Resources	<b>s</b> Technical Appendix HAI Progress Report Home Page SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guid

nabilitation facilities (IRFs)

e-508.pdf

Device and Patient Population	No. of Facilities	<u>No. of De</u>	vice Days
	Reporting <sup>1</sup>	Observed	Predicted
Central line days, all⁴	740	402,007	468,409.9542
ICUs⁵	150	147,477	186,859.1727
Wards <sup>6</sup>	590	254,530	281,550.7815
Urinary catheter days, all⁴	1,156	712,257	758,885.2685
	390	439,537	441,736.3183
Wards <sup>6</sup>	766	272,720	317,148.9502
Ventilator days, all⁴	34	1,765	1,706.9462
	8	1,149	636.2495
	26	616	1,070.6967

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are le

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or less t

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device da

4. Data from all ICUs, wards, and other non-critical care locations. Data contained in this table are reported from

5. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are rej 6. Data from all wards (for this table, wards also include step-down, mixed acuity, and specialty care areas [include step-down, mixed acuity, and specialty car

 Table 1. National standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary

 Table 1a. Central line days (CLDs), urinary

	<u>95% Cl fo</u>	or SUR		Faci	lity-specific SL	J <u>Rs</u>
SUR	Lower	Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1	No. Facilities with SUR		No. Facilitie
			Predicted Device Days	Significantly >	National SUR	Significantly <
				Ν	% <sup>2</sup>	N
0.8582	0.8556	0.8609	740	277	37%	364
0.7892	0.7852	0.7933	150	56	37%	77
0.9040	0.9005	0.9075	590	204	35%	297
0.9386	0.9364	0.9408	1,156	407	35%	544
0.9950	0.9921	0.9980	390	153	39%	178
0.8599	0.8567	0.8631	766	283	37%	343
1.0340	0.9866	1.0831	34	7	21%	26
1.8059	1.7037	1.9127	8			
0.5753	0.5312	0.6221	26	6	23%	20

ss than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusic han the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had  $\geq$  1.0 pred ys in 2021. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither c n inpatient rehabilitation facilities; as such, data from ACHs, CAHs and LTACHs are excluded. ported from inpatient rehabilitation facilities; as such, data from ACHs, CAHs and LTACHs are excludec uding hematology/oncology, bone marrow transplant]). Data contained in this table are reported from in <sup>7</sup> SURs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2021 for inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRF catheter days (UCDs), and ventilator days (VDs).

								Percenti
es with SUR								
National SUR	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%
49%	0.1593	0.3225	0.4040	0.4548	0.5120	0.5565	0.6229	0.6827
51%	0.1742	0.3255	0.3775	0.4315	0.5129	0.5451	0.5783	0.6220
50%	0.1478	0.3225	0.4084	0.4556	0.5110	0.5756	0.6378	0.6941
47%	0.2737	0.4016	0.4660	0.5259	0.5801	0.6380	0.7036	0.7703
46%	0.3793	0.4658	0.5629	0.6290	0.7072	0.7743	0.8342	0.8823
45%	0.2498	0.3722	0.4345	0.4939	0.5447	0.5930	0.6439	0.6990
76%	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
77%	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

on and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. licted device days in 2021.

calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

ı.

patient rehabilitation facilities.

### <sup>:</sup>s), by device type and patient population:

### le Distribution of Facility-specific SURs<sup>3</sup>

Median

45%	50%	55%	60%	65%	70%	75%	80%	85%	90%
0.7290	0.7688	0.8334	0.9159	0.9852	1.0480	1.1416	1.2291	1.3610	1.5232
0.6514	0.7255	0.7576	0.8118	0.8940	0.9381	1.0359	1.0754	1.1665	1.3264
0.7387	0.7829	0.8522	0.9495	1.0118	1.0849	1.1734	1.2810	1.3996	1.5484
0.8299	0.8819	0.9331	0.9749	1.0250	1.0979	1.1710	1.2622	1.3596	1.4985
0.9236	0.9659	0.9967	1.0497	1.1103	1.1667	1.2416	1.3203	1.4036	1.4875
0.7596	0.8221	0.8803	0.9340	0.9886	1.0473	1.1149	1.2370	1.3125	1.5167
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0136	0.0137	0.1242	1.4979	1.5699	3.5722
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0136	0.0407	0.1242	0.7320	1.5699	2.6635

95%
1.8800 1.4855 1.9374
1.7537 1.7165 1.7594
5.1760
3.9756

=

No. of Facilities 95% CI State No. of Device Days Observed Predicted SUR Lower 0.9813 Alabama 7 5,137 5.093.0532 1.0086 Alaska 1 9 Arizona 3.461 3,978.4657 0.8699 0.8413 14 6,126 7,790.9144 0.7863 Arkansas 0.7668 California 73 40,191 48,641.9256 0.8263 0.8182 Colorado 18 10,916 11,218.3307 0.9731 0.9549 Connecticut 5 1,289 1,828.6147 0.7049 0.6672 3 Delaware District of Col 2 28 27,773.2974 21,223 0.7539 Florida 0.7642 Georgia 19 10,994 9,424.2391 1.1666 1.1449 0 Guam 0 Hawaii Idaho 3 31 Illinois 21,958 24,032.8270 0.9137 0.9017 Indiana 29 16,763 17,179.7820 0.9757 0.9611 lowa 12 4.562 4.441.5085 1.0271 0.9977 Kansas 10 6,766 3,830.5475 1.7663 1.7247 Kentucky 9 5,411 6,457.7132 0.8379 0.8158 25 Louisiana 9,342 10,130.0964 0.9222 0.9037 Maine 4 3 Maryland Massachusetts 7 3,761 3,855.3236 0.9755 0.9447 22 Michigan 12,095 12,290.4690 0.9841 0.9667 Minnesota 6 3,140 3,194.4236 0.9830 0.9490 8 2,706 Mississippi 2,725.3884 0.9929 0.9560 17 Missouri 6,241 7,739.3689 0.8064 0.7866 3 Montana 7 Nebraska 1,565 2,227.7880 0.7025 0.6683 Nevada 11 7,489 9,528.1552 0.7860 0.7683 2 New Hampshire 6 New Jersey 4,107 7,150.5202 0.5744 0.5570 3 New Mexico 39 19,703 21,401.2890 New York 0.9206 0.9079 13 North Carolina 14,066 16,255.6703 0.8653 0.8511 North Dakota 2 27 Ohio 14,554 14,403.2336 1.0105 0.9942 Oklahoma 15 5,118 4.984.6757 1.0267 0.9989 Oregon 6 1,417 2,151.2753 0.6587 0.6251 66 Pennsylvania 50,593 59,549.5121 0.8496 0.8422 Puerto Rico 3 Rhode Island 3 South Carolina 25 11,813 22,045.8885 0.5358 0.5262

 Table 2. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SUI

 Table 2. Central line days (CL)

	740	402,007	468,409.9542	0.8582	0.8556
Wyoming	1				
Wisconsin	16	5,926	6,303.3779	0.9401	0.9164
West Virginia	2				
Washington	12	4,601	4,748.0583	0.9690	0.9413
Virginia	12	7,112	8,268.8665	0.8601	0.8403
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	2				
Utah	5	2,367	2,428.1678	0.9748	0.9361
Texas	73	38,474	43,415.4237	0.8862	0.8774
Tennessee	18	6,824	11,551.7200	0.5907	0.5768
South Dakota	3				

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when th

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of (

4. Data from all ICUs, wards, and other non-critical care locations. Data contained in this table are rep

for SUR **Facility-specific SURs** No. Facilities Upper with ≥1 No. Facilities with SUR No. Facilities with SUR Predicted Device Days Significantly > National SUR Significantly < National SUR 10% Ν Ν 7 1.0365 . . . . . . 0.8993 9 . 0.8062 14 43% 8 57% 6 0.8344 73 19 26% 42 58% 0.3018 0.9915 18 9 50% 6 33% 0.7442 5 . . . . . . 0.7745 28 8 29% 17 61% 0.3350 1.1886 19 15 79% 4 21% . . . . . . . . . . . 0.9258 52% 48% 0.1990 31 16 15 0.9906 29 16 55% 8 28% 0.5098 7 1.0573 3 58% 12 25% 1.8088 10 6 60% 3 30% 0.8605 9 25 44% 0.9410 12 48% 11 0.1177 . . . . . 1.0071 7 1.0017 22 9 41% 12 55% 0.4279 1.0178 6 . . 1.0308 8 0.8266 8 47% 17 5 29% . 0.7380 7 . . 0.8039 11 3 27% 8 73% . . . . 0.5921 6 . . . . . . 0.9336 62% 0.1629 39 13 33% 24 62% 0.8797 38% 13 5 8 27 1.0270 12 44% 10 37% 0.3957 1.0552 7 5 33% 15 47% 0.6936 6 38% 47% 0.8570 66 25 31 0.2079 . 0.5456 25 2 8% 21 84% 0.3420

Rs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2021 for inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs) Ds), all locations<sup>4</sup>

0.8609	740	277	37%	364	49%	0.3225
0.9643	16	7	44%	6	38%	
0.9974	12	3	25%	6	50%	
0.8803	12	5	42%	5	42%	
1.0147	5					
0.8951	73	30	41%	29	40%	0.2217
0.6049	18	4	22%	12	67%	

iere are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to  $\epsilon$  n or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had  $\geq$  1 device days in 2021. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was n orted from inpatient rehabilitation facilities.

), by device type and patient population:

	Median		
25%	50%	75%	90%
•			
	•	•	
0.4473	0.6674	0.9240	1.5889
•	•		
•	•	•	
0.5101	0.7170	0.9669	1.2296
•	•	•	
	•		
0.5511	0.9221	1.3800	1.5341
0.7551	1.0534	1.5157	1.7948
•			
0.3811	0.9762	1.3180	2.0007
•	•	•	•
0.5143	0.7753	1.1800	1.3835
•		•	•
•		•	
0.3979	0.5357	1.3058	2.2685
			2.2000
0.6234	0.8384	1.2258	1.5516
0.5581	0.8271	1.1933	1.3992
•		•	
0.3978	0.5783	0.6940	0.8770

0.5334	0.9006	1.1301	1.3771
•			
•	•	•	
0.5120	0.7688	1.1416	1.5232

exclusion and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. .0 predicted device days in 2021.

either calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

Table 3. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SURsTable 3. Urinary catheter days (L

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Dev	vice Days		<u>95% CI</u>
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
Alabama	18	21,646	17,570.0364	1.2320	1.2156
Alaska	2	21,040	17,570.0504	1.2320	1.2150
Arizona	21	18,222	17,487.3319	1.0420	1.0269
Arkansas	27	16,694	16,388.8548	1.0420	1.0033
California	75	33,419	43,888.0915	0.7615	0.7533
Colorado	19			0.8953	
Connecticut	19	9,562 1,643	10,679.9144 3,433.0906		0.8775
	1	1,043	3,433.0900	0.4786	0.4559
D.C. Delaware	4	·	•	•	•
	50	53,794			0.9191
Florida	59	,	58,039.1841	0.9269	
Georgia	31	15,287	16,696.3538	0.9156	0.9012
Guam	0			•	
Hawaii					
Idaho	6	3,202	3,035.8137	1.0547	1.0187
Illinois	39	22,226	29,347.4173	0.7573	0.7474
Indiana	38	19,228	20,581.9871	0.9342	0.9211
lowa	18	5,688	6,345.1585	0.8964	0.8733
Kansas	20	10,721	10,395.4235	1.0313	1.0119
Kentucky	15	13,743	13,237.8456	1.0382	1.0209
Louisiana	54	19,276	19,422.6476	0.9924	0.9785
Maine	5	2,510	2,970.3653	0.8450	0.8124
Maryland	4				
Massachusetts	12	16,800	16,211.3978	1.0363	1.0207
Michigan	39	17,757	21,601.4907	0.8220	0.8100
Minnesota	11	4,527	5,100.8604	0.8875	0.8619
Mississippi	11	3,840	6,108.4714	0.6286	0.6090
Missouri	31	17,348	18,741.5017	0.9256	0.9119
Montana	4				
Nebraska	10	4,019	5,153.2503	0.7799	0.7561
Nevada	14	13,563	11,553.5970	1.1739	1.1543
New Hampshire	7	3,486	4,978.7470	0.7002	0.6772
New Jersey	18	21,074	22,151.9729	0.9513	0.9385
New Mexico	9	6,214	5,259.8178	1.1814	1.1523
New York	46	18,740	28,136.0767	0.6660	0.6566
North Carolina	24	13,027	18,556.1162	0.7020	0.6900
North Dakota	4	•	•	•	•
Ohio	50	28,573	30,013.0173	0.9520	0.9410
Oklahoma	23	10,701	12,283.4795	0.8712	0.8548
Oregon	8	2,056	3,044.9081	0.6752	0.6465
Pennsylvania	67	47,989	49,055.4887	0.9783	0.9695
Puerto Rico	6	1,596	3,671.5655	0.4347	0.4138
Rhode Island	4				
South Carolina	26	12,756	17,101.3259	0.7459	0.7330

All US	1,156	712,257	758,885.2685	0.9386	0.9364
Wyoming	3	-			<u> </u>
Wisconsin	18	5,973	6,747.9542	0.8852	0.8629
West Virginia	8	7,129	6,429.5731	1.1088	1.0833
Washington	14	9,571	6,731.6309	1.4218	1.3935
Virginia	26	14,574	19,326.0295	0.7541	0.7420
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	2				
Utah	11	4,076	5,203.6969	0.7833	0.7595
Texas	151	127,719	105,660.5586	1.2088	1.2021
Tennessee	30	16,794	19,288.4083	0.8707	0.8576
South Dakota	4				

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or les

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device

4. Data from all ICUs, wards, and other non-critical care locations. Data contained in this table are reported f

for SUR Facility-specific SURs No. Facilities Upper with ≥1 No. Facilities with SUR No. Facilities with SUR Predicted 10% **Device Days** Significantly > National SUR Significantly < National SUR Ν Ν 1.2485 18 12 67% 5 28% . . 1.0572 8 21 11 52% 38% 0.6731 27 8 30% 1.0342 13 48% 0.4178 75 15 50 67% 0.3985 0.7696 20% 0.9134 19 4 21% 8 42% 7 0.5021 . . . . . . 0.9347 37% 59 27 46% 22 0.4225 0.9302 61% 31 9 29% 19 0.4965 . . . . . • . 1.0917 6 . . 0.7674 39 5 13% 26 67% 0.4782 0.9475 38 16 42% 13 34% 0.5748 0.9200 18 5 28% 9 50% 1.0510 20 4 20% 10 50% 0.4265 33% 1.0556 15 10 67% 5 1.0066 54 19 35% 25 46% 0.4324 0.8786 5 . . . . 5 42% 33% 1.0521 12 4 20 0.8342 39 12 31% 51% 0.2753 0.9136 11 2 5 45% 18% 2 9 82% 0.6487 11 18% 0.9395 31 11 35% 15 48% 0.3827 . 80% 0.8043 10 1 10% 8 5 7 50% 36% 1.1938 14 7 0.7237 . 7 0.9643 18 6 33% 39% 1.2111 9 . 0.6756 46 14 30% 31 67% 0.2535 0.7142 24 4 17% 15 63% 0.4300 0.9631 36% 50 18 36% 18 0.4814 0.8878 23 7 30% 12 52% 0.3557 0.7049 8 0.9871 67 25 37% 24 36% 0.5447 0.4564 6 . 5 19% 69% 0.4839 0.7589 26 18

using device days data reported to NHSN during 2021 for inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs), k JCDs), all locations⁴

0.9408	1,156	407	35%	544	47%	0.4016
						<u>.</u>
0.9078	18	5	28%	9	50%	
1.1347	8					
1.4505	14	7	50%	5	36%	
0.7664	26	4	15%	16	62%	0.3941
0.8076	11	3	27%	6	55%	
1.2154	151	91	60%	39	26%	0.5654
0.8839	30	6	20%	17	57%	0.4081

 $\Rightarrow$  less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusic s than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had  $\ge$  1.0 predi days in 2021. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither c rom inpatient rehabilitation facilities. by device type and patient population:

=

-

	Median		
25%	50%	75%	90%
	•		
0.8471	1.0363	1.1940	1.3106
0.7900 0.5418	0.9917 0.7085	1.3044 0.9478	1.4716 1.2361
0.5416	0.7005	0.9470	1.2301
•	•		
0.5793	0.9401	1.1273	1.5006
0.5856	0.7562	1.0612	1.2927
•	•	•	•
0.5590	0.7448	0.9196	1.1618
0.7193	1.0085	1.3378	1.6514
0.7103	0.8745	1.0190	1.5981
0.5762	0.8800	1.2465	1.8526
•		•	
0.4408	0.8537	1.2345	1.4723
•	•	•	
0.4847	0.8708	1.1838	1.5917
•			
•	•	•	•
			-
0.3722	0.5546	1.0835	1.4862
0.4841	0.6221	0.9380	1.2252
0.7220	0.9172	1.1799	1.4317
0.4939	0.7881	1.2912	1.5861
0.7522	0.9578	1.1082	1.5404
0.5285	0.6054	0.9927	1.1279
0.0200	0.0004	0.3921	1.1219

0.5801	0.8819	1.1710	1.4985
0.5096	0.6713	0.9827	1.2171
0.8334	1.1286	1.4129	1.7537
0.5301	0.7582	0.9444	1.3147

on and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. cted device days in 2021.

alculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

I

Table 3. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SURsTable 3. Ventilator days (VDs)

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Dev	vice Days		<u>95% CI</u>
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
Alabama	0				
Alaska	0				
Arizona	0				
Arkansas	0				
California	6	357	346.6018	1.0300	0.9272
Colorado	0				
Connecticut	0				
D.C.	0				
Delaware	0				
Florida	0				
Georgia	1				
Guam	0				
Hawaii	0				
Idaho	0				
Illinois	1				
Indiana	1				
lowa	0				
Kansas	0				
Kentucky	0				
Louisiana	2				
Maine	0				
Maryland	0				
Massachusetts	2				
Michigan	1				
Minnesota	0				
Mississippi	0		•		
Missouri	0				
Montana	0				
Nebraska	0				
Nevada	1				
New Hampshire		•	·	•	•
New Jersey	0			•	•
New Mexico	0	•	•	•	•
New York	3			•	•
North Carolina	0	•	·	•	•
North Dakota	0			•	•
Ohio	1	•	•	•	•
Oklahoma				•	•
Oregon	, 0			•	•
Pennsylvania	6	1,182	465.2274	2.5407	2.3989
Puerto Rico	2	.,			

All US	34	1,765	1,706.9462	1.0340	0.9866
Wyoming	0				
Wisconsin	0				
West Virginia	0				
Washington	0				
Virginia	1				
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	0				
Utah	0				
Texas	2				
Tennessee	2				
South Dakota	0				
South Carolina	1				
Rhode Island	0				

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or less

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device c

4. Data from all ICUs, wards, and other non-critical care locations. Data contained in this table are reported from

using device days data reported to NHSN during 2021 for inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs), b s), all locations<sup>4</sup>

SUR		Facility-specific St	JRs	
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted Device Days	No. Facilities with SUR Significantly > National SUR N	No. Facilities with SUR Significantly < National SUR N	10%
		IN IN	N N	
			· · ·	
1.1411	6		· · ·	
	•	· ·		
			· · ·	
			· · ·	
			· · ·	
		· ·		
			· · ·	
			· · ·	
		· · ·		
		· ·		
•	· · ·			
2.6887	6	· · ·	· · · ·	

1.0831	34	7	21%	26	76%	0.0000
		•				
						· ·
		•	•			· ·

less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion s than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had  $\geq$  1.0 predic days in 2021. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither ca om inpatient rehabilitation facilities.

y device type and patient population:

	Median		
25%	50%	75%	90%
•	•	•	•
			•
•		•	•
•			
•		•	•
			•
•			•
			•

0.0000	0.0000	0.1242	3.5722
<u> </u>			
	-		
•	-		
•	•	•	
	•		
	•	•	
	•	•	
•	•	•	

n and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. ted device days in 2021.

alculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

## Table 5. Changes in national standardized utilization ratios (SURs) using HAI data reported from all NHSNinpatient rehabilitation facilities reporting during 2021 by HAI and patient population:Central line days (CLDs), urinary catheter days (UCDs), and ventilator days (VDs), 2021 compared to 2020

	2020 SUR	2021 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
	0.0077	0.0500	00/	D	-0.0004
CLDs, all locations <sup>1</sup>	0.8877	0.8582	-3%	Decrease	
ICU <sup>2</sup>	0.8091	0.7892	-2%	Decrease	<0.0001
Ward <sup>3</sup>	0.9392	0.9040	-4%	Decrease	<0.0001
UCDs, all locations <sup>1</sup>	0.9254	0.9386	1%	Increase	<0.0001
	0.9740	0.9950	2%	Increase	<0.0001
	0.8587	0.8599		No Change	
VDs, all locations <sup>1</sup>	0.9469	1.0340	9%	Increase	0.0125
ICUs <sup>2</sup>	1.4053	1.8059	29%	Increase	<0.0001
Wards <sup>3</sup>	0.5788	0.5753	-1%	No Change	0.9201

\* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

1. Data from all ICUs, wards, and other non-critical care locations.

2. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations).

3. Data from all wards (for this table, wards also include step-down and specialty care areas [including hematology/oncology, bone marrow transplai

nt]).

NHSN Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities           6a. Central line days (CLDs), all locations <sup>1</sup> All Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities Reporting to NHSN						
	A	Il Inpatient Rel	habilitation Fac	ilities Reporting to NHSN		
State <sup>2</sup>	2020 SUR	2021 SUR	Percent Change <sup>3</sup>	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value	
Alabama	1.0588	1.0086	-5%	Decrease	0.0129	
Alaska						
Arizona	0.8864	0.8699	2%	No Change	0.3838	
Arkansas	0.8424	0.7863	-7%	Decrease	0.0002	
California	0.8676	0.8263	-5%	Decrease	<0.0001	
Colorado	0.9293	0.9731	5%	Increase	0.0007	
Connecticut		0.7049	-19%	Decrease	<0.0001	
D.C.	· ·		•		-	
Delaware						
Florida	0.8763	0.7642	-13%	Decrease	<0.0001	
Georgia	1.0958	1.1666	6%	Increase	<0.0001	
Guam	· ·					
Hawaii	· ·					
Idaho						
Illinois	0.9136	0.9137	0%	No Change	0.9932	
Indiana	1.0726	0.9757	-9%	Decrease	<0.0001	
lowa	0.8037	1.0271	28%	Increase	<0.0001	
Kansas	1.4535	1.7663	22%	Increase	<0.0001	
Kentucky	0.9008	0.8379	-7%	Decrease	0.0009	
Louisiana	0.8689	0.9222	6%	Increase	0.0001	
Maine						
Maryland	· ·					
Massachusetts	0.8752	0.9755	11%	Increase	<0.0001	
Michigan	0.9438	0.9841	4%	Increase	0.0021	
Minnesota	1.0314	0.9830	5%	No Change	0.0605	
Mississippi	0.9744	0.9929	2%	No Change	0.4787	
Missouri	0.8071	0.8064	0%	No Change	0.9599	
Montana						
Nebraska	1.0083	0.7025	-30%	Decrease	< 0.0001	
Nevada	0.8931	0.7860	-12%	Decrease	<0.0001	
New Hampshire						
New Jersey	0.7961	0.5744	-28%	Decrease	<0.0001	
New Mexico						
New York	1.0558	0.9206	-13%	Decrease	< 0.0001	
North Carolina	0.9638	0.8653	-10%	Decrease	<0.0001	
North Dakota						
Ohio	0.9805	1.0105	3%	Increase	0.0138	
Oklahoma	0.8788	1.0267	17%	Increase	< 0.0001	
Oregon	0.6626	0.6587	1%	No Change	0.8741	
Pennsylvania	0.8478	0.8496	0%	No Change	0.7319	
Puerto Rico	· ·				-	
Rhode Island				_ ·		
South Carolina	0.5585	0.5358	-4%	Decrease	0.0019	
South Dakota					-0.0001	
Tennessee	0.6516	0.5907	-9%	Decrease	< 0.0001	
Texas	0.8756	0.8862	1%	No Change	0.1115	
Utah	· ·	0.9748	-18%	Decrease	<0.0001	
Vermont	· ·				-	
Virgin Islands				_ ·		
Virginia	0.9950	0.8601	-14%	Decrease	<0.0001	
Washington	0.8598	0.9690	13%	Increase	<0.0001	
West Virginia	· ·		•			
Wisconsin	0.9609	0.9401	2%	No Change	0.2336	
Wyoming						
All US	0.8877	0.8582	-3%	Decrease	<0.0001	

\* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

1. Data from all ICUs, wards, and other non-critical care locations.

2. Percent change and subsequent calculations are not calculated for states without SUR in either 2020 and/or 2021.

3.For states with >>100% value in the percent change field, the p-value cannot be estimated due to sparse data reported within the facility type.

The p-value is indicated as inestimable when the numerator and/or denominator of percent change = 0.

NHSN Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities 6b. Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs), all locations <sup>1</sup>					
All Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities Reporting to NHSN					
				Direction of Change,	
				Based on Statistical	
	2020 SUR	2021 SUR		Significance	p-value
Alabama	1.0944	1.2320	13%	Increase	<0.0001
Alaska	· ·				
Arizona	1.0123	1.0420	3%		0.0062
Arkansas	1.0394	1.0186	2%	U	0.0729
California	0.8017	0.7615	-5%		<0.0001
Colorado	0.8797	0.8953	2%	U	0.2362
Connecticut	· ·	0.4786	9%	Increase	0.0228
D.C.	· ·				
Delaware	· · · · · ·				
Florida	0.9269	0.9269	0%	Ŭ	0.9909
Georgia	0.8938	0.9156	2%	Increase	0.0378
Guam	· ·				
Hawaii					
Idaho	0.8204	1.0547	29%		< 0.0001
Illinois Indiana a	0.8723	0.7573	-13%		< 0.0001
Indiana	0.9589	0.9342	-3%		0.0123
lowa	0.9836	0.8964	-9%		< 0.0001
Kansas	0.9314	1.0313	11%		< 0.0001
Kentucky	0.9178	1.0382	13%		< 0.0001
Louisiana	1.0062	0.9924	1%	- 5	0.1886
Maine	0.8586	0.8450	2%	No Change	0.5700
Maryland					
Massachusetts	1.0115	1.0363	2%	Increase	0.0286
Michigan	0.7629	0.8220	8%		< 0.0001
Minnesota	0.7809	0.8875	14%		< 0.0001
Mississippi	0.6179	0.6286	2%	- 5	0.4550
Missouri	0.8682	0.9256	7%	Increase	<0.0001
Montana					
Nebraska	0.9104	0.7799	-14%	Decrease	<0.0001
Nevada	1.1601	1.1739 0.7002	1% 4%	- 5	0.3380
New Hampshire	0.6743		4% -14%		0.1105
New Jersey New Mexico	1.1057 1.0808	0.9513	-14%		<0.0001
New York	0.6236	1.1814 0.6660	9% 7%		<0.0001 <0.0001
North Carolina	0.5998	0.0000	17%		<0.0001
North Dakota	0.5996	0.7020	17 70	Increase	<0.0001
Ohio	1.0475	0.9520	-9%	Decrease	<0.0001
Oklahoma	0.8748	0.9520	-9%		0.0001
Oregon	0.5475	0.6712	23%	- 5	< 0.0001
Pennsylvania	0.9080	0.0752	23%		<0.0001
Puerto Rico	0.9080	0.9783	8%		0.0001
Rhode Island	1.0418	0.4347	0%	increase	0.0394
South Carolina	0.6938	0.7459	8%	Increase	<0.0001
South Dakota	0.0930	0.7409	070	norease	~0.000T
Tennessee	0.8697	0.8707	0%	No Change	0.9164
Texas	1.1545	1.2088	5%	•	< 0.0001
Utah	0.7640	0.7833	3%		0.0001
Vermont	0.7040	0.7000	570	No onalige	0.2770
Virgin Islands	· ·				
Virginia	0.7862	0.7541	-4%	Decrease	0.0004
Washington	1.5347	1.4218	-4 /0		<0.0004
West Virginia	1.0161	1.4218	-7 %		<0.0001
Wisconsin	0.8256	0.8852	9% 7%		0.0001
	0.0230	0.0002	/ 70	increase	0.0002
Wyoming All US	0.9254	0.9386	1%	Increase	<0.0001

\* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

1. Data from all ICUs, wards, and other non-critical care locations.

2. Percent change and subsequent calculations are not calculated for states without SUR in either 2020 and/or 2021.

3.For states with >>100% value in the percent change field, the p-value cannot be estimated due to sparse data reported within the facility type.

The p-value is indicated as inestimable when the numerator and/or denominator of percent change = 0.

	NHSN Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities 6c. Ventilator days (VDs), all locations <sup>1</sup>						
	All Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities Reporting to NHSN						
	2020 SUR	2021 SUR		ection of Change, ed on Statistical Significance	p-value		
Alabama							
Alaska	•		•				
Arizona							
Arkansas							
California	1.1493	1.0300		No Change	0.1358		
Colorado	•	·					
Connecticut							
D.C. Delaware	•						
Florida	•		•				
Georgia							
Guam							
Hawaii							
daho							
Illinois	•		•				
Indiana	•		•				
lowa	·			·			
lowa Kansas	•		•				
	•		•				
Kentucky ₋ouisiana	•						
	•						
Maine							
Maryland							
Massachusetts							
Vichigan							
Vinnesota	•		•				
Mississippi							
Vissouri	•	·					
Nontana	•	•					
Nebraska	•		•				
Nevada		·					
New Hampshire							
New Jersey							
New Mexico							
New York	· ·						
North Carolina							
North Dakota							
Ohio	•		•				
Oklahoma							
Oregon							
Pennsylvania	2.1593	2.5407	18%	Increase	0.0002		
Puerto Rico							
Rhode Island							
South Carolina							
South Dakota							
Tennessee							
Texas							
Jtah							
Vermont							
Virgin Islands							
/irginia							
Washington							
West Virginia							
Visconsin							
Nyoming							
AIÍUS	0.9469	1.0340	9%	Increase	0.012		

\* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

2. Percent change and subsequent calculations are not calculated for states without SUR in either 2020 and/or 2021.

3.For states with >>100% value in the percent change field, the p-value cannot be estimated due to sparse data reported within the facility type.

The p-value is indicated as inestimable when the numerator and/or denominator of percent change = 0.

Appendix A. Factors used in NHSN risk adjusted standard utilization ratios (SUR) calculation of the device utilization in inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs).

Device Type	Validated Parameters for Risk Model	
CLDs (non-NICU)	Intercept Facility bed size* Primary diagnosis of stroke admission (in percentile) Primary diagnosis of ortho admission (in percentile)	
UCDs	Intercept Proportion of stroke admission (in percentile)	
VAE	Intercept only	

\* Facility bed size, facility type and medical school type are taken from the Annual Hospital Survey.

## Additional Resources

**Technical Appendix: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/progress-report/tech-appendix.pdf** *Explains the methodology used to procedure the HAI Progress Report.* 

## HAI Progress Report Home Page: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/progress-report/index.html

The complete HAI Progress Report, including state-specific fact sheets and the Executive Summary, can be fo

The new SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guide-508.pdf

ound at the above website.