2020 Nat S

Introduction: Welcome to the 2020 National and State HAI Data Report using the 2015 baseline by comparing the number of observed device days to the number of predicted dev This report is created by CDC staff with the National Healthcare Safety Network (N

Scope of report:

Device Days Types

Central line days (CLDs) by locations Urinary catheter days (UCDs) by locations Ventilator days (VDs) by locations

tional and State HAI Progress Report tandardized Utilization Ratios

Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities

⇒ and risk adjustment calculations. Standardized utilization ratios (SURs) are used to describe device-ass ice days. IHSN).

		IRF	
	National		State
\checkmark		\checkmark	
\checkmark		\checkmark	
V		\checkmark	

sociated HAIs

Development of the NHSN Standardized Utilization Ratio (SUR): Methodology

Rationale

Traditionally, NHSN has been providing a crude measure of device utilization rate to the healthcare facilit standardized to compare with a reference baseline population as well as over time. Accordingly, CDC ha

Development of SUR models

SUR models were developed for the following measures: central line days, urinary catheter days and ver inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRF), long-term acute care hospitals (LTACH) (and NICU for central line Using the NHSN data (2020) in sync with rebaseline work, CDC has developed multivariable logistic regr "Extra-binomial Variation in Logistic Linear Models," Applied Statistics, 31, 144–148.). Unit of analysis in

STEPS to compute SUR at the location level

1: First, calculate the logit scale value of p_hat, using parameter estimates of corresponding SUR model. Logit p_hat= intercept + x1 + X2 + X3 + (Risk factors are provided in appendices for individual matrix of measure and healthcare setting)

2: Then, compute the probability of device use p_hat = [e^logit(p_hat)] / [1+ e^logit(p_hat)]

3: Calculate predicted device days as follows: Predicted Device Days = p-hat * In-patient days

4: Finally, derive SUR value at the location by dividing number of observed device days with number of p SUR = Observed Device Days / Predicted Device Days

Note that SUR will not be calculated if Predicted Device Days is <1 due to minimum precision criteria of ć

STEPS to compute SUR at higher level above location

Do the same computation as in step 1, 2, 3 at location level.

Sum the observed device days and predicted device days up to the level of aggregation desired (e.g., fac Then, derive SUR value at the desired aggregate level by dividing number of observed device days with

SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guide-508.pdf

ties. To monitor the progress of healthcare acquired infections (HAI) prevention efforts, device utilization in any is developed statistical models to make SUR values available for different measures (e.g., central line days, urin

tilator days. They were available for the healthcare setting of acute care hospitals (ACH), critical access hospita days).

ession models that correct over dispersion by the Williams' method (Reference: Williams, D. A. (1982), all the SUR models are at the location level.

redicted device days;

1.0.

.

cility-level). number of predicted device days. healthcare setting/location needs to be lary catheter days) at various healthcare settings.

als (CAH),

2020 Annual National and State HAI Progress Report

Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities: Full series of tables for all national and state data

Table 1	National standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summar Central line days (CLDs) Urinary catheter days (UCDs) Ventilator days (VDs)
Table 2	State-specific SURs for CLDs from Inpatient rehabilitation facilities: All locations combined
Table 3	State-specific SURs for UCDs from Inpatient rehabilitation facilities: All locations combined
Table 4	State-specific SURs for VDs from Inpatient rehabilitation facilities All locations combined
Table 5	Changes in national SURs, 2020 compared to 2019: Central Line Days (CLDs) Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs) Ventilator days (VDs)
Table 6	Changes in state SURs, 2020 compared to 2019: 6a. Central Line Days (CLDs) 6b. Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs) 6c. Ventilator Days (VDs)
Appendix A	Factors used in NHSN risk-adjusted SUR calculation of the device utilization in i
Additional Resources	Technical Appendix HAI Progress Report Home Page SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nh

ry SURs:

inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs)

sn-sur-guide-508.pdf

Device and Patient Population	No. of Facilities	<u>No. of De</u>	vice days
	Reporting ¹	Observed	Predicted
Central line days, all ⁴	734	379,321	427,291.2820
ICUs⁵ Wards ⁶	137	136,811	169,084.3861
wards	597	242,510	258,206.8960
Urinary catheter days, all⁴	1,152	650,018	702,416.3034
	369	395,898	406,467.9914
Wards ⁶	783	254,120	295,948.3120
Ventilator days, all⁴	34	1,478	1,560.8494
	8	977	695.2293
	26	501	865.6201

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are le 2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or less t

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device da

4. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are reported fro

5. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are re 6. Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down, mixed acuity, and specialty care areas [inclu

 Table 1. National standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary

 Table 1a. Central line days (CLDs), urinary

	<u>95% CI fo</u>	or SUR		Faci	lity-specific SU	R <u>s</u>
SUR	Lower	Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1	No. Facilitie	s with SUR	No. Facilitie
			Predicted Device Days	Significantly >	National SUR	Significantly <
				Ν	%²	Ν
0.8877	0.8849	0.8906	734	258	35%	346
0.8091	0.8049	0.8134	137	52	38%	69
0.9392	0.9355	0.9430	597	194	32%	287
0.9254	0.9232	0.9276	1,152	413	36%	529
0.9740	0.9709	0.9770	369	138	37%	173
0.8587	0.8553	0.8620	783	300	38%	335
0.9469	0.8996	0.9961	34	3	9%	27
1.4053	1.3193	1.4955	8			
0.5788	0.5297	0.6312	26	4	15%	19

ess than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclus than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq 1.0 pre tys in 2020. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither im inpatient rehabilitation facilities; as such, data from ACHs, CAHs and LTACHs are excluded. ported from inpatient rehabilitation facilities; as such, data from ACHs, CAHs and LTACHs are exclude uding hematology/oncology, bone marrow transplant]). Data contained in this table are reported from ir *y* SURs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2020 for inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRI ^{*i*} catheter days (UCDs), and ventilator days (VDs).

								Percenti
es with SUR								
National SUR	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%
47%	0.2133	0.3418	0.4136	0.4890	0.5639	0.6198	0.6757	0.7259
50%	0.2327	0.3323	0.4207	0.4842	0.5582	0.5989	0.6146	0.6619
48%	0.2078	0.3438	0.4127	0.4996	0.5641	0.6254	0.6870	0.7369
46%	0.2644	0.3739	0.4505	0.5131	0.5783	0.6362	0.6988	0.7645
47%	0.3456	0.4497	0.5465	0.6287	0.6942	0.7512	0.8153	0.8582
43%	0.2269	0.3460	0.4238	0.4855	0.5363	0.5904	0.6433	0.7077
79%	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
73%	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

ion and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. dicted device days in 2020.

calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

эd.

patient rehabilitation facilities.

Fs), by device type and patient population:

le Distribution of Facility-specific SURs³

Median

45%	50%	55%	60%	65%	70%	75%	80%	85%	90%
0.7668	0.8208	0.8862	0.9305	0.9935	1.0563	1.1425	1.2572	1.3538	1.5601
0.6927	0.7350	0.7855	0.8509	0.8860	0.9234	0.9996	1.0808	1.2085	1.2928
0.7837	0.8516	0.9080	0.9646	1.0132	1.0824	1.1667	1.2752	1.4060	1.6144
0.8294	0.8737	0.9267	0.9828	1.0377	1.1009	1.1691	1.2638	1.3949	1.5477
0.8963	0.9393	0.9841	1.0187	1.0589	1.1423	1.2001	1.2931	1.3691	1.5304
0.7791	0.8337	0.8862	0.9488	1.0206	1.0876	1.1431	1.2524	1.4023	1.5588
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.6181	0.8727	1.1751
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.4102	0.8727	1.1049

95%
1.9247 1.5004 2.0733
1.8260 1.7923 1.8351
3.9508
1.1751

Alabama 7 5,374 5,075.6313 1.0588 Alaska 2 . <td< th=""><th>wer 1.0308 0.8636 0.8206 0.8590 0.9118</th></td<>	wer 1.0308 0.8636 0.8206 0.8590 0.9118
Alaska 2 Arizona 14 5,651 6,374.9013 0.8864 0 Arkansas 14 5,627 6,679.4988 0.8424 0 California 75 39,265 45,257.8333 0.8676 0 Colorado 18 10,675 11,486.5381 0.9293 0 Connecticut 4 Delaware 3 .<	0.8636 0.8206 0.8590
Alaska 2 Arizona 14 5,651 6,374.9013 0.8864 0 Arkansas 14 5,627 6,679.4988 0.8424 0 California 75 39,265 45,257.8333 0.8676 0 Colorado 18 10,675 11,486.5381 0.9293 0 Connecticut 4 Delaware 3 .<	0.8636 0.8206 0.8590
Arizona 14 5,651 6,374.9013 0.8864 0 Arkansas 14 5,627 6,679.4988 0.8424 0 California 75 39,265 45,257.8333 0.8676 0 Colorado 18 10,675 11,486.5381 0.9293 0 Connecticut 4 Delaware 3 D.C. 2 . <td>0.8206 0.8590</td>	0.8206 0.8590
Arkansas 14 5,627 6,679.4988 0.8424 0 California 75 39,265 45,257.8333 0.8676 0 Colorado 18 10,675 11,486.5381 0.9293 0 Connecticut 4 Delaware 3 D.C. 2 . <	0.8206 0.8590
California 75 39,265 45,257.8333 0.8676 0 Colorado 18 10,675 11,486.5381 0.9293 0 Connecticut 4	0.8590
Colorado 18 10,675 11,486.5381 0.9293 0 Connecticut 4 .	
Connecticut 4 . . . Delaware 3 .	0.9118
Delaware 3 D.C. 2 Florida 26 21,767 24,839.7110 0.8763 0 Georgia 19 11,267 10,281.8954 1.0958 0 Guam 0 Hawaii 0 Idaho 2 Illinois 32 19,334 21,162.6927 0.9136 0 Indiana 29 15,877 14,802.6702 1.0726 0 Iowa 11 3,274 4,073.5448 0.8037 0 Kansas 10 4,360 2,999.7002 1.4535 0 Kentucky 7 3,489 3,873.2238 0.9008 0 Louisiana 24 7,642 8,794.6635 0.8689 0	•
D.C. 2 Florida 26 21,767 24,839.7110 0.8763 . . Georgia 19 11,267 10,281.8954 1.0958 . . Guam 0 Hawaii 0 Idaho 2 . <t< td=""><td>•</td></t<>	•
Florida 26 21,767 24,839.7110 0.8763 0 Georgia 19 11,267 10,281.8954 1.0958 0 Guam 0 Hawaii 0 Idaho 2 Illinois 32 19,334 21,162.6927 0.9136 0 Indiana 29 15,877 14,802.6702 1.0726 0 Iowa 11 3,274 4,073.5448 0.8037 0 Kansas 10 4,360 2,999.7002 1.4535 0 Kentucky 7 3,489 3,873.2238 0.9008 0 Louisiana 24 7,642 8,794.6635 0.8689 0 Maine 4 Massachusetts 6 2,479 2,832.3746 0.8752 0 Michigan 22 9,684 10,261.0849 0.9438 0	
Georgia1911,26710,281.89541.0958Guam0Hawaii0Idaho2Illinois3219,33421,162.69270.91360Indiana2915,87714,802.67021.07260Iowa113,2744,073.54480.80370Kansas104,3602,999.70021.45350Kentucky73,4893,873.22380.90080Louisiana247,6428,794.66350.86890Maine4Massachusetts62,4792,832.37460.87520Michigan229,68410,261.08490.94380Minnesota62,9712,880.67831.03140	
Guam 0 . . . Hawaii 0 Idaho 2 Illinois 32 19,334 21,162.6927 0.9136 0 Indiana 29 15,877 14,802.6702 1.0726 0 Iowa 11 3,274 4,073.5448 0.8037 0 Iowa 11 3,274 4,073.5448 0.8037 0 Kansas 10 4,360 2,999.7002 1.4535 0 Kentucky 7 3,489 3,873.2238 0.9008 0 Louisiana 24 7,642 8,794.6635 0.8689 0 Maine 4 Massachusetts 6 2,479 2,832.3746 0.8752 0 Michigan 22 9,684 10,261.0849 0.9438 0 Minnesota 6 2,971 2,880.6783 1.0314 0	0.8647
Hawaii0Idaho2Illinois3219,33421,162.69270.91360Indiana2915,87714,802.67021.07260Iowa113,2744,073.54480.80370Iowa113,2744,073.54480.80370Kansas104,3602,999.70021.45350Kentucky73,4893,873.22380.90080Louisiana247,6428,794.66350.86890Maine4Maryland3Michigan229,68410,261.08490.94380Minnesota62,9712,880.67831.03140	1.0757
Idaho2Illinois3219,33421,162.69270.91360Indiana2915,87714,802.67021.07260Iowa113,2744,073.54480.80370Iowa113,2744,073.54480.80370Kansas104,3602,999.70021.45350Kentucky73,4893,873.22380.90080Louisiana247,6428,794.66350.86890Maine4Massachusetts62,4792,832.37460.87520Michigan229,68410,261.08490.94380Minnesota62,9712,880.67831.03140	-
Illinois3219,33421,162.69270.91360Indiana2915,87714,802.67021.07261Iowa113,2744,073.54480.80370Kansas104,3602,999.70021.45350Kentucky73,4893,873.22380.90080Louisiana247,6428,794.66350.86890Maine4Maryland3Michigan229,68410,261.08490.94380Minnesota62,9712,880.67831.03140	•
Indiana2915,87714,802.67021.07261.0726Iowa113,2744,073.54480.80370Kansas104,3602,999.70021.45350Kentucky73,4893,873.22380.90080Louisiana247,6428,794.66350.86890Maine4Maryland3Massachusetts62,4792,832.37460.87520Michigan229,68410,261.08490.94380Minnesota62,9712,880.67831.03140	-
Iowa113,2744,073.54480.80370Kansas104,3602,999.70021.45357Kentucky73,4893,873.22380.90080Louisiana247,6428,794.66350.86890Maine4Maryland3Massachusetts62,4792,832.37460.87520Michigan229,68410,261.08490.94380Minnesota62,9712,880.67831.03140	0.9008
Kansas104,3602,999.70021.4535Kentucky73,4893,873.22380.90080Louisiana247,6428,794.66350.86890Maine4Maryland3Massachusetts62,4792,832.37460.87520Michigan229,68410,261.08490.94380Minnesota62,9712,880.67831.03140	1.0560
Kentucky73,4893,873.22380.90080Louisiana247,6428,794.66350.86890Maine4Maryland3Massachusetts62,4792,832.37460.87520Michigan229,68410,261.08490.94380Minnesota62,9712,880.67831.03140	0.7765
Louisiana 24 7,642 8,794.6635 0.8689 0 Maine 4 .	1.4108
Maine 4 . <td>0.8713</td>	0.8713
Maryland 3 .<	0.8496
Massachusetts62,4792,832.37460.87520Michigan229,68410,261.08490.94380Minnesota62,9712,880.67831.03140	
Michigan229,68410,261.08490.94380Minnesota62,9712,880.67831.03140	
Minnesota 6 2,971 2,880.6783 1.0314 (0.8413
	0.9251
	0.9948
Mississippi 8 3,011 3,090.0034 0.9744 (0.9401
Missouri 17 6,310 7,817.9179 0.8071 (0.7874
Montana 3	
	0.9675
Nevada 11 9,814 10,988.2469 0.8931 (0.8756
New Hampshire 3	
•	0.7701
New Mexico 3 . <th< td=""><td></td></th<>	
	1.0399
North Carolina 13 15,763 16,355.0264 0.9638 0	0.9488
North Dakota 2	
Ohio 28 12,379 12,625.2486 0.9805 (0.9633
	0.8554
Oregon 6 1,422 2,146.0669 0.6626 0	0.6288
Pennsylvania 70 48,771 57,529.9251 0.8478 0	0.8403
Puerto Rico 2	
Rhode Island 4 . .	
South Carolina 22 10,685 19,131.8101 0.5585 0	

 Table 2. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SU

 Table 2. Central line days (CL)

	734	379,321	427,291.2820	0.8877	0.8849
Wyoming	0			-	<u>.</u>
Wisconsin	16	5,940	6,181.5808	0.9609	0.9367
West Virginia	2				
Washington	12	3,946	4,589.4320	0.8598	0.8333
Virginia	13	8,157	8,197.7093	0.9950	0.9736
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	2				
Utah	4				•
Texas	67	32,155	36,723.7604	0.8756	0.8661
Tennessee	17	6,444	9,889.5488	0.6516	0.6358
South Dakota	3				

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when the

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater tha

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of

4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table ar

for SUR		Faci	lity-specific SL	IRe		
			ny-specific St	/1.5		
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1	No. Facilitie	s with SUR	No. Facilities	s with SUR	
	Predicted					
	Device Days	Significantly >	National SUR		National SUR	10%
1.0874	7	N		N		
1.0074	,				•	
0.9098	14	6	43%	6	43%	
0.8646	14	4	29%	8	57%	
0.8762	75	23	31%	41	55%	0.3810
0.9471	18	8	44%	6	33%	
0.8880			38%	12	46%	0.3418
1.1162	19	13	68%	5	26%	•
		•	•	•	•	
				•	•	•
0.9265		13	41%	12	38%	0.2908
1.0894	29		45%	11	38%	
0.8316		4	36%	5	45%	
1.4971	10	6	60%	3	30%	
0.9311	7					
0.8886	24	8	33%	12	50%	0.3531
						•
0.9102						
0.9627 1.0689	22 6		32%	11	50%	0.0389
1.0089	8	•	•	•	•	•
0.8272		5	29%	7	41%	
0.0272			2070			
1.0504	7					
0.9109	11	3	27%	5	45%	
0.8227	5					•
1.0718	40		38%	23	58%	0.2660
0.9790	13	6	46%	5	38%	
0.9979 0.9028		14 3	50% 21%	10 0	36% 64%	0.4090
0.9028 0.6977	6	3	Z I %	9	04%	
0.8553	70	. 27	39%	32	46%	0.3504
0.0000	10	21	0070	02		
0.5692	22	2	9%	16	73%	0.3618
· · · · ·	•					•

Rs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2020 for inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRF: Ds), all locations⁴

0.8906	734	258	35%	346	47%	0.3418
						<u> </u>
0.9856	16	3	19%	5	31%	
0.8870	12	3	25%	7	58%	
1.0168	13	7	54%	4	31%	•
	•	•	•			•
0.8852	67	23	34%	33	49%	0.2800
0.6677	17	3	18%	10	59%	•

here are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to n or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq device days in 2020. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was e reported from inpatient rehabilitation facilities. s), by device type and patient population:

1.0089 1.2731 1.3296 1.5572	75% 1.0673	Median 50%	25% 0.4890
1.0673 1.4084 1.0089 1.2731	1.0673 1.0089 1.0089	0.7750	0.4890
1.0089 1.2731 1.3296 1.5572	1.0089 1.3296	0.8553	0.7201
1.0089 1.2731 1.3296 1.5572	1.0089 1.3296	0.8553	0.7201
1.0089 1.2731	1.0089 1.3296	0.8553	0.7201
	1.3296	0.8826	
	1.3296	0.8826	
	1.3296	0.8826	
			•
			0.6293
· ·			0.6503
1.1770 2.0962 	1.1770	0.8395	0.5017
1.0462 1.3997	1.0462	0.8091	0.4057
· ·	•	•	•
			•
1.6506 2.2680 	1.6506	0.6569	0.3957
1.2519 1.5703	1.2519	0.9635	0.6935
	1.2019	0.9035	0.0900
 1.1404 1.3767	1.1404	0.8468	0.5780
).8472 0.9806	0.8472	0.5748	0.4267

0.6388	0.8124	1.1147	1.4620
	•		
•	•	•	
<u> </u>			
0.5639	0.8208	1.1425	1.5601

exclusion and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. 1.0 predicted device days in 2020.

neither calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

Table 3. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SUFTable 3. Urinary catheter days (L

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Device days			<u>95% CI</u>	
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower	
	10	10.000	47.000.0000	1 00 1 1	4 0700	
Alabama	18	19,029	17,386.9828	1.0944	1.0789	
Alaska	2					
Arizona	27	17,507	17,294.9507	1.0123	0.9973	
Arkansas	26	15,059	14,488.6730	1.0394	1.0228	
California	75	32,586	40,644.8948	0.8017	0.7931	
Colorado	18	8,606	9,782.8352	0.8797	0.8613	
Connecticut		1,215	2,766.7910	0.4391	0.4150	
D.C.	4	•	•	•	•	
Delaware	2					
Florida	56	49,493	53,394.9860	0.9269	0.9188	
Georgia	28	14,373	16,081.4336	0.8938	0.8792	
Guam	0	•	•	•	•	
Hawaii	1					
Idaho	6	2,272	2,769.3561	0.8204	0.7872	
Illinois	40	23,545	26,991.9722	0.8723	0.8612	
Indiana	38	17,673	18,430.3494	0.9589	0.9449	
lowa	17	5,097	5,181.7771	0.9836	0.9569	
Kansas	20	8,222	8,827.6141	0.9314	0.9114	
Kentucky	16	11,643	12,685.4978	0.9178	0.9013	
Louisiana	51	17,339	17,232.1451	1.0062	0.9913	
Maine	5	2,601	3,029.5176	0.8586	0.8261	
Maryland	4		•	•		
Massachusetts	12	15,788	15,608.9921	1.0115	0.9958	
Michigan	39	13,541	17,750.1362	0.7629	0.7501	
Minnesota	11	3,890	4,981.5453	0.7809	0.7566	
Mississippi	11	3,746	6,062.0667	0.6179	0.5984	
Missouri	29	16,299	18,774.3036	0.8682	0.8549	
Montana	4					
Nebraska	10	4,592	5,043.8068	0.9104	0.8844	
Nevada	13	12,792	11,026.2783	1.1601	1.1402	
New Hampshire	8	3,693	5,476.9948	0.6743	0.6528	
New Jersey	18	21,432	19,382.3836	1.1057	1.0910	
New Mexico	9	5,021	4,645.5195	1.0808	1.0513	
New York	48	14,555	23,341.2383	0.6236	0.6135	
North Carolina	25	11,028	18,384.6443	0.5998	0.5887	
North Dakota	4					
Ohio	47	27,863	26,599.3592	1.0475	1.0352	
Oklahoma	23	9,027	10,319.4038	0.8748	0.8569	
Oregon	8	1,425	2,602.8590	0.5475	0.5196	
Pennsylvania	70	43,588	48,002.7944	0.9080	0.8995	
Puerto Rico	6	1,349	3,348.9092	0.4028	0.3817	
Rhode Island	5	1,580	1,516.6632	1.0418	0.9913	
South Carolina	23	10,847	15,633.7102	0.6938	0.6809	

All US	1,152	650,018	702,416.3034	0.9254	0.9232
Wyoming	2				<u> </u>
Wisconsin	19	5,540	6,710.1775	0.8256	0.8041
West Virginia	8	6,569	6,464.7069	1.0161	0.9918
Washington	14	10,384	6,766.2984	1.5347	1.5054
Virginia	29	14,042	17,860.5902	0.7862	0.7733
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	2			-	
Utah	10	3,540	4,633.7046	0.7640	0.7391
Texas	151	112,728	97,644.5987	1.1545	1.1478
Tennessee	29	16,967	19,509.3940	0.8697	0.8567
South Dakota	4				

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there ar

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or le

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device

4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are repo

for SUR **Facility-specific SURs** No. Facilities Upper with ≥1 No. Facilities with SUR No. Facilities with SUR Predicted Device Days Significantly > National SUR Significantly < National SUR 10% Ν Ν 1.1101 18 10 56% 5 28% . . . 9 1.0274 27 13 48% 33% 0.4320 1.0561 26 15 6 23% 58% 0.5719 75 24 43 0.8105 32% 57% 0.3119 0.8984 18 7 39% 10 56% 7 0.4644 0.9351 50% 19 34% 28 0.4855 56 0.9085 43% 28 12 43% 12 0.4064 0.8547 6 . 0.8835 40 11 28% 18 45% 0.3995 0.9731 38 17 45% 15 39% 0.3881 1.0110 17 6 35% 7 41% 0.9517 20 5 25% 9 45% 0.5481 9 7 44% 0.9346 16 56% 1.0213 51 21 41% 20 39% 0.3624 0.8920 5 . . . 1.0274 12 6 50% 6 50% 21 0.7758 39 8 21% 54% 0.4396 0.8057 1 9% 8 73% 11 2 18% 7 64% 0.6380 11 0.8816 29 8 28% 17 59% 0.3390 0.9370 10 3 30% 7 70% 7 5 1.1804 54% 38% 13 0.6963 8 1.1206 18 10 56% 5 28% 1.1110 9 0.6338 48 10 21% 28 58% 0.2518 0.6111 25 3 12% 19 76% 0.2472 0.4277 1.0599 47 20 43% 12 26% 0.8930 23 7 30% 13 57% 0.2531 0.5765 8 0.9166 70 25 36% 29 41% 0.3881 0.4248 6 . . 5 1.0941 23 65% 0.4251 0.7070 3 13% 15

Rs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2020 inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs), by JCDs), all locations⁴

0.9276	1,152	413	36%	529	46%	0.3739
						<u> </u>
0.8476	19	5	26%	8	42%	
1.0409	8					
1.5644	14	6	43%	5	36%	
0.7993	29	5	17%	17	59%	0.4733
	•					
0.7694	10	3	30%	6	00%	
0.7894			30%		60%	0.001 =
1.1612	151	78	52%	47	31%	0.5372
0.8829	29	9	31%	15	52%	0.4483

e less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclus ss than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq 1.0 pre days in 2020. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither rted from inpatient rehabilitation facilities.

/ device type and patient population:

Ξ

=

	Median		
25%	50%	75%	90%
0.7543	1.0184	1.3450	1.4277
0.8810 0.4957	1.0657 0.7752	1.3019 1.0566	1.4960 1.4099
0.4357	0.7752		1.4033
•	•	•	
0.6554	0.8647	1.1205	1.4751
0.5809	0.8797	1.1095	1.2313
•	•	•	•
0.5571	0.8837	1.0651	1.1742
0.6365	0.9377	1.4739	1.8240
0.6535	0.8807	1.0968	1.3523
0.4784	0.9543	1.6000	1.9271
•			
0.5224	0.8038	1.0758	1.4358
0.5487	0.7073	1.0382	1.6858
•	•	•	
			4 4750
0.4160 0.3484	0.6460 0.5617	0.9320 0.8304	1.4750 1.1098
0.8427	1.0547	1.3151	1.6656
0.5498	0.7018	1.3618	1.5385
0.6178	0.9076	1.1291	1.3824
•	•	•	
0.4862	0.6851	0.8840	1.0579

0.5783	0.8737	1.1691	1.5477
•	•		
0.5812	0.7028	0.9758	1.2001
	•		
•			
0.7327	1.0215	1.3185	1.7923
0.5293	0.8442	1.1238	1.3644

ion and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. dicted device days in 2020.

calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

I

 Table 3. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SUR

 Table 3. Ventilator days (VDs)

State	No. of Facilities	<u>No. of De</u>		<u>95% CI</u>	
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
Alabama	0				
Alaska	0				
Arizona	0				
Arkansas	0				
California	6	385	334.9751	1.1493	1.0388
Colorado	0				
Connecticut	0				
D.C.	0				
Delaware	0				
Florida	0				
Georgia	1				
Guam	0				
Hawaii	0				
Idaho	0				
Illinois	1				
Indiana	1				
Iowa	0				
Kansas	0				
Kentucky	0				
Louisiana	3				
Maine	0				
Maryland	0				
Massachusetts	2				
Michigan	0				
Minnesota	0				
Mississippi	0				
Missouri	0				
Montana	0				
Nebraska	0				
Nevada	2				
New Hampshire	0				
New Jersey	0				
New Mexico	0				
New York	4				
North Carolina	0	-			
North Dakota	0	-			
Ohio	2	-			
Oklahoma	1	-			
Oregon	0	-			
Pennsylvania	6	982	454.7870	2.1593	2.0273
Puerto Rico	1				

All US	34	1,478	1,560.8494	0.9469	0.8996
Wyoming	0			-	
Wisconsin	0				
West Virginia	0				
Washington	0				
Virginia	0				
Virgin Islands	0				•
Vermont	0				
Utah	0				•
Texas	2				•
Tennessee	1				
South Dakota	0				
South Carolina	1				
Rhode Island	0	•	•	•	

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or less

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device

4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are repor

ls using device days data reported to NHSN during 2020 inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs), by s), all locations⁴

or SUR		Facility-specific SI	JRs	
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted Device Days	No. Facilities with SUR Significantly > National SUR N	No. Facilities with SUR Significantly < National SUR N	10%
			· · ·	
1.2686	6			
			· · · ·	
			· · ·	
			· · · ·	
			· · ·	
			· · ·	
		· ·		
		· · ·	· · ·	
	· ·	· · ·	· · ·	
		· ·		
		· · ·	· · ·	
2.2975	6	· ·	· · ·	

0.9961	34	3	9%	27	79%	0.0000
	•					
	•		•			
					-	
	•		•			
	•		•			

less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusic s than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq 1.0 pred days in 2020. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither c ted from inpatient rehabilitation facilities. device type and patient population:

	Median					
25%	50%	75%	90%			
•						
•	•					
	•					
•	•	•				
	•					
•						
•	•	•				
	•					
•						
•						

0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1751

on and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. licted device days in 2020.

calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

Ι

Table 5. Changes in national standardized utilization ratios (SURs) using HAI data reported from all NHSNinpatient rehabilitation facilities reporting during 2020 by HAI and patient population:Central line days (CLDs), urinary catheter days (UCDs), and ventilator days (VDs), 2020 compared to 2019

	2019 SUR	2020 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
	0.000.4	0.0077	70/		0.0000
Central line days, all locations ¹	0.8334	0.8877	7%	Increase	
ICU ²	0.7451	0.8091	9%	Increase	0.0000
Ward ³	0.8853	0.9392	6%	Increase	0.0000
UCDs, all locations ¹	0.7915	0.9254	17%	Increase	0.0000
	0.8317	0.9740	17%	Increase	0.0000
	0.7410	0.8587	16%	Increase	
Ventilator days, all locations ¹	0.7784	0.9469	22%	Increase	0.0000
ICUs ²	1.5630	1.4053	-10%	Decrease	0.0176
Wards³	0.2541	0.5788	128%	Increase	0.0000

* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500. Statistical significance based on two-tailed p-value < 0.05, reflected in the relative percent change in magnitud

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

2. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations).

3. Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down and specialty care areas [including hematology/oncology, bone marrow transpla

le.

nt].

6a. Central line days (CLDs), all locations ¹ All Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities Reporting to NHSN					
State ²	2019 SUR	2020 SUR	Percent Change ³	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
Alabama	1,2098	1.0588	-12%	Decrease	0.0000
Alaska					
Arizona	0.9299	0.8864	-5%	Decrease	0.0102
Arkansas	0.6677	0.8424	26%	Increase	0.0000
California	0.7618	0.8676	14%	Increase	0.000
Colorado	0.9021	0.9293	3%	Increase	0.037
Connecticut					
D.C.					
Delaware					
Florida	0.7551	0.8763	16%	Increase	0.000
Georgia	1.1351	1.0958	-3%	Decrease	0.008
Guam					
Hawaii					
daho					
llinois	0.8638	0.9136	6%	Increase	0.000
ndiana	1.0368	1.0726	3%	Increase	0.005
owa	0.8436	0.8037	-5%	Decrease	0.045
Kansas	1.2792	1.4535	14%	Increase	0.000
Kentucky	0.8703	0.9008	4%	No change	0.150
_ouisiana	0.8825	0.8689	2%	No change	0.353
Vaine					
Maryland					
Massachusetts					
Michigan	0.9330	0.9438	1%	No change	0.4120
Minnesota	0.9258	1.0314	11%	Increase	0.000
Mississippi	0.9282	0.9744	5%	No change	0.0703
Missouri	0.8449	0.8071	-4%	Decrease	0.009
Montana	0.2113	0.3331	58%	Increase	0.000
Nebraska	0.9288	1.0083	9%	Increase	0.006
Nevada	0.7639	0.8931	17%	Increase	0.000
New Hampshire					
New Jersey	0.7345	0.7961	8%	Increase	0.0004
New Mexico	0.4298	0.7447	73%	Increase	0.000
New York	0.8340	1.0558	27%	Increase	0.000
North Carolina	0.8382	0.9638	15%	Increase	0.000
North Dakota					
Ohio	0.8860	0.9805	11%	Increase	0.000
Oklahoma	0.8998	0.8788	2%	No change	0.2220
Oregon	0.6617	0.6626	0%	No change	0.970
Pennsylvania	0.8318	0.8478	2%	Increase	0.003
Puerto Rico					
Rhode Island					
South Carolina	0.5720	0.5585	2%	No change	0.083
South Dakota				°.	
Tennessee	0.7156	0.6516	-9%	Decrease	0.000
Texas	0.7961	0.8756	10%	Increase	0.000
Jtah					
/ermont					
/irgin Islands					
Virginia	1.0194	0.9950	2%	No change	0.119
Vashington	0.8498	0.8598	1%	No change	0.573
Vest Virginia			-	3	
Visconsin	1.0263	0.9609	-6%	Decrease	0.000
Wyoming	1.0200	0.0000	070	20010030	0.000
	0.8334	0.8877	7%	Increase	0.000

* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500. Statistical significance based on two-tailed p-value < 0.05, reflected in the relative percent change in magnitude.

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

2. States without SUR either in 2019 and/or 2020 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

3.For states with <100% or >100% value in the percent change field, the p-value cannot be estimated due to sparse data reported within the facility type. The p-value is indicated as inestimable when the denominator of percent change (2019 SUR) = 0.

Table 6. Changes in state-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) between 2019 and 2020 from NHSN Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities 6b. Uningry Cathotre Days (UCDs) all locations ¹					
6b. Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs), all locations ¹ All Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities Reporting to NHSN					
	2019 SUR	2020 SUR		Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
Alabama	0.9625	1.0944	14%	Increase	0.0000
Alaska					
Arizona	0.9725	1.0123	4%	Increase	0.0002
Arkansas	0.9279	1.0394	12%	Increase	0.0000
California	0.6659	0.8017	20%	Increase	0.0000
Colorado	0.7684	0.8797	14%	Increase	0.0000
Connecticut	0.5224	0.4391	-16%	Decrease	0.0000
D.C.					
Delaware					
Florida	0.8042	0.9269	15%	Increase	0.0000
Georgia	0.8113	0.8938	10%	Increase	0.0000
Guam					
Hawaii					
daho	0.8190	0.8204	0%	No change	0.9569
llinois	0.7615	0.8723	15%	Increase	0.0000
ndiana	0.8473	0.9589	13%	Increase	0.0000
owa	0.9129	0.9836	8%	Increase	0.0003
Kansas	0.7541	0.9314	24%	Increase	0.0000
Kentucky	0.8789	0.9178	4%	Increase	0.0010
₋ouisiana	0.8669	1.0062	16%	Increase	0.0000
Maine	0.7676	0.8586	12%	Increase	0.0001
Maryland					
Massachusetts	0.8457	1.0115	20%	Increase	0.0000
Vichigan	0.6577	0.7629	16%	Increase	0.0000
Vinnesota	0.8908	0.7809	-12%	Decrease	0.0000
Vississippi	0.5646	0.6179	9%	Increase	0.0002
Missouri	0.8484	0.8682	2%	Increase	0.0390
Vontana	0.7022	0.7040	0%	No change	0.9610
Nebraska	0.8697	0.9104	5%	Increase	0.0295
Nevada	0.9169	1.1601	27%	Increase	0.0000
New Hampshire	0.6399	0.6743	5%	Increase	0.0260
New Jersey	0.8322	1.1057	33%	Increase	0.0000
New Mexico	0.9433	1.0808	15%	Increase	0.0000
New York	0.5146	0.6236	21%	Increase	0.0000
North Carolina	0.5285	0.5998	14%	Increase	0.0000
North Dakota					
Ohio	0.8560	1.0475	22%	Increase	0.0000
Oklahoma	0.7565	0.8748	16%	Increase	0.0000
Dregon	0.5057	0.5475	8%	Increase	0.0351
Pennsylvania	0.7812	0.9080	16%	Increase	0.0000
Puerto Rico	0.4059	0.4028	1%	No change	0.8414
Rhode Island	0.6970	1.0418	49%	Increase	0.0000
South Carolina	0.5541	0.6938	25%	Increase	0.0000
South Dakota					
Fennessee	0.7135	0.8697	22%	Increase	0.0000
Texas	0.9693	1.1545	19%	Increase	0.0000
Jtah	0.7236	0.7640	6%	Increase	0.0249
/ermont					
∕irgin Islands					
Virginia	0.6556	0.7862	20%	Increase	0.0000
Washington	1.2517	1.5347	23%	Increase	0.0000
West Virginia	0.8832	1.0161	15%	Increase	0.0000
Wisconsin	0.7380	0.8256	12%	Increase	0.0000
Wyoming	<u> </u>				
All US	0.7915	0.9254	17%	Increase	0.000

* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500. Statistical significance based on two-tailed p-value < 0.05, reflected in the relative percent change in magnitude.

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

2. States without SUR either in 2019 and/or 2020 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

3.For states with <100% or >100% value in the percent change field, the p-value cannot be estimated due to sparse data reported within the facility type. The p-value is indicated as inestimable when the denominator of percent change (2019 SUR) = 0.

	6c. Ventilator days (VDs), all locations ¹					
	AI	I Inpatient Reha	bilitation Facilities	Reporting to NHSN		
	2019 SUR	2020 SUR	Base	tion of Change, d on Statistical Significance	p-value	
Alabama					P	
Alaska						
Arizona						
Arkansas						
California		1.1493	•			
Colorado						
Connecticut						
D.C.	•		•			
Delaware						
Florida						
Georgia	•					
Guam Hawaii	•	·	•			
Hawali daho				·		
Illinois						
Indiana Iowa			•	·		
Kansas						
Kentucky	•		•			
Louisiana						
Maine	•		•			
Maryland	•		•			
Massachusetts	•		•			
Michigan	•		•			
Minnesota						
Mississippi						
Missouri						
Montana						
Nebraska						
Nevada						
New Hampshire			•			
New Jersey	•		•			
New Mexico	•		•			
New York	0.0427					
North Carolina						
North Dakota						
Ohio						
Oklahoma						
Oregon						
Pennsylvania	2.4802	2.1593	-13%	Decrease	0.0020	
Puerto Rico						
Rhode Island						
South Carolina						
South Dakota						
Tennessee						
Texas						
Utah						
Vermont						
Virgin Islands						
Virginia						
Washington						
West Virginia						
Wisconsin						
Wyoming						
All US	0.7784	0.9469	22%	Increase	0.000	

* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500. Statistical significance based on two-tailed p-value < 0.05, reflected in the relative percent change in magnitude.

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

2. States without SUR either in 2019 and/or 2020 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

3.For states with <100% or >100% value in the percent change field, the p-value cannot be estimated due to sparse data reported within the facility type. The p-value is indicated as inestimable when the denominator of percent change (2019 SUR) = 0.

Appendix A. Factors used in NHSN risk adjusted standard utilization ratios (SUR) calculation of the device utilization in inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs).

Device Type	Validated Parameters for Risk Model
CLDs (non-NICU)	Intercept Facility bed size* Primary diagnosis of stroke admission (in percentile) Primary diagnosis of ortho admission (in percentile)
UCDs	Intercept Proportion of stroke admission (in percentile)
VAE	Intercept only

* Facility bed size, facility type and medical school type are taken from the Annual Hospital Survey

Additional Resources

Technical Appendix: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/progress-report/tech-appendix.pdf *Explains the methodology used to procedure the HAI Progress Report.*

HAI Progress Report Home Page: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/progress-report/index.html

The complete HAI Progress Report, including state-specific fact sheets and the Executive Summary, can be fo

The new SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guide-508.pdf

ound at the above website.