2	2018	Nat
		S

Introduction:

Welcome to the 2018 National and State HAI Data Report using the 2015 baseline by comparing the number of observed device days to the number of predicted devi This report is created by CDC staff with the National Healthcare Safety Network (N

Scope of report:

Device Days Types

Central line days (CLDs) by locations Urinary catheter days (UCDs) by locations Ventilator days (VDs) by locations

tional and State HAI Progress Report tandardized Utilization Ratios

Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities

and risk adjustment calculations. Standardized utilization ratios (SURs) are used to describe device-ass ice days.

IHSN).

		IRF	
	National		State
þ		þ	
þ		þ	
þ		þ	

ociated HAIs

Development of the NHSN Standardized Utilization Ratio (SUR): Methodology

Rationale

Traditionally, NHSN has been providing a crude measure of device utilization rate to the healthcare facilit standardized to compare with a reference baseline population as well as over time. Accordingly, CDC has

Development of SUR models

SUR models were developed for the following measures: central line days, urinary catheter days and ven inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRF), long-term acute care hospitals (LTACH) (and NICU for central line using the NHSN data (2018) in sync with rebaseline work, CDC has developed multivariable logistic regreextra-binomial Variation in Logistic Linear Models," Applied Statistics, 31, 144–148.). Unit of analysis in

STEPS to compute SUR at the location level

1: First, calculate the logit scale value of p_hat, using parameter estimates of corresponding SUR model. Logit p_hat= intercept + x1 + X2 + X3 +

(Risk factors are provided in appendices for individual matrix of measure and healthcare setting)

- 2: Then, compute the probability of device use p_hat = [e^logit(p_hat)] / [1+ e^logit(p_hat)]
- 3: Calculate predicted device days as follows: Predicted Device Days = p-hat * In-patient days
- 4: Finally, derive SUR value at the location by dividing number of observed device days with number of p SUR = Observed Device Days / Predicted Device Days

Note that SUR will not be calculated if Predicted Device Days is <1 due to minimum precision criteria of 1

STEPS to compute SUR at higher level above location

Do the same computation as in step 1, 2, 3 at location level.

Sum the observed device days and predicted device days up to the level of aggregation desired (e.g., factor), then, derive SUR value at the desired aggregate level by dividing number of observed device days with the contraction of the contra

SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guide-508.pdf

:ies. To monitor the progress of healthcare acquired infections (HAI) prevention efforts, device utilization in any has developed statistical models to make SUR values available for different measures (e.g., central line days, uring
Itilator days. They were available for the healthcare setting of acute care hospitals (ACH), critical access hospital days). ession models that correct over dispersion by the Williams' method (Reference: Williams, D. A. (1982), all the SUR models are at the location level.
redicted device days;
1.0.
cility-level). number of predicted device days.

nealthcare setting/location needs to be any catheter days) at various healthcare settings.

Is (CAH),

2018 Annual National and State HAI Progress Report Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities: Full series of tables for all national and state data

Table 1 National standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SURs:

Central line days (CLDs)
Urinary catheter days (UCDs)

Ventilator days (VDs)

Table 2 State-specific SURs for CLDs from Inpatient rehabilitation facilities:

All locations combined

Table 3 State-specific SURs for UCDs from Inpatient rehabilitation facilities:

All locations combined

Table 4 State-specific SURs for VDs from Inpatient rehabilitation facilities

All locations combined

Table 5 Changes in national SURs, 2018 compared to 2017:

Central Line Days (CLDs)
Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs)

Ventilator days (VDs)

Table 6 Changes in state SURs, 2018 compared to 2017:

6a. Central Line Days (CLDs)6b. Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs)

6c. Ventilator Days (VDs)

Appendix A Factors used in NHSN risk-adjusted SUR calculation of the device utilization in inpatient rel

Additional Resources Technical Appendix

HAI Progress Report Home Page

SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guid

habilitation facilities (IRFs)

le-508.pdf

Device and Patient Population	No. of Facilities	No. of Device days	
	Reporting ¹	Observed	Predicted
Central line days, all⁴	727	356,439	407,533.4329
ICUs⁵	119	105,582	136,247.9142
Wards ⁶	608	250,857	271,285.5187
Urinary catheter days, all⁴	1,155	584,167	713,266.2128
	342	326,645	387,600.8785
Wards ⁶	813	257,522	325,665.3343
Ventilator days, all⁴	29	2,952	1,538.3730
	9	1,964	688.9581
	20	988	849.4149

- 1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are le
- 2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or less t
- 3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device da
- 4. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are reported fro
- 5. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are rej
- 6. Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down, mixed acuity, and specialty care areas [inclu

Table 1. National standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary Table 1a. Central line days (CLDs), urinary

	95% CI fo	or SUR		<u>Faci</u>	lity-specific SU	JRs	
SUR	Lower	Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 No. Facilities with SUR		No. Facilities with SUR		
			Predicted Device Days	Significantly >	National SUR	Significantly <	
				N	%²	N	
0.8746	0.8718	0.8775	727	255	35%	330	
0.7749	0.7702	0.7796	119	49	41%	55	
0.9247	0.9211	0.9283	608	196	32%	291	
0.8190	0.8169	0.8211	1,154	405	35%	531	
0.8427	0.8398	0.8456	342	119	35%	165	
0.7908	0.7877	0.7938	812	292	36%	343	
1.9189	1.8506	1.9890	29	4	14%	23	
2.8507	2.7267	2.9789	9				
1.1632	1.0923	1.2374	20	4	20%	15	

ess than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion han the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had ≥ 1.0 precedure ys in 2018. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither of impatient rehabilitation facilities; as such, data from ACHs, CAHs and LTACHs are excluded provided in the provided provided in the provided in this table are reported from inpatient rehabilitation facilities; as such, data from ACHs, CAHs and LTACHs are excluded in the provided in this table are reported from inpatient rehabilitation facilities; as such, data from ACHs, CAHs and LTACHs are excluded in the provided in the provide

⁷ SURs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2018 for inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRI catheter days (UCDs), and ventilator days (VDs).

								Percenti
s with SUR								
: National SUR	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%
45%	0.1559	0.2946	0.3847	0.4723	0.5323	0.6038	0.6563	0.7107
46%	0.2936	0.3680	0.4066	0.4259	0.5167	0.5599	0.6175	0.6369
48%	0.1452	0.2526	0.3697	0.4749	0.5498	0.6072	0.6660	0.7219
46%	0.2580	0.3417	0.4115	0.4733	0.5423	0.5877	0.6358	0.6836
48%	0.3224	0.4128	0.5174	0.5746	0.6135	0.6467	0.6912	0.7189
42%	0.2251	0.3260	0.3771	0.4330	0.5141	0.5596	0.6071	0.6630
79%	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
75%	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

on and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. dicted device days in 2018. calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

d. patient rehabilitation facilities.

Fs), by device type and patient population:

le Distribution of Facility-specific SURs³

М	Δ	d	ı	2	n

45%	50%	55%	60%	65%	70%	75%	80%	85%	90%
0.7692	0.8187	0.8685	0.9269	0.9740	1.0299	1.1238	1.2624	1.3671	1.6043
0.6868	0.7501	0.8019	0.8483	0.9077	0.9550	1.0010	1.0323	1.1933	1.3595
0.7868	0.8386	0.8803	0.9389	0.9946	1.0675	1.1832	1.2865	1.4026	1.6226
0.7200	0.7652	0.8100	0.8599	0.9071	0.9613	1.0551	1.1323	1.2222	1.3886
0.7561	0.7900	0.8182	0.8745	0.9071	0.9488	1.0107	1.0854	1.1597	1.3352
0.7045	0.7508	0.8012	0.8414	0.9051	0.9716	1.0695	1.1555	1.2455	1.4126
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0692	0.3489	0.3819	2.0303	2.1453	3.3423
		•	•		•			•	
0.0000	0.0000	0.0346	0.1761	0.3159	0.3654	0.8505	1.6747	2.0878	2.6343

95%

1.9001 1.6750 1.9183

1.5776

1.5157

1.6067

6.4087

3.2328

Table 2. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SUI

Table 2. Central line days (CLI

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Dev	vice days		95% CI	
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower	
Alaska	2					
Alabama	6	5,224	4,112.2743	1.2703	1.2363	
Arkansas	13	5,629	6,010.4929	0.9365	0.9123	
Arizona	14	5,825	5,846.3993	0.9963	0.9710	
California	77	37,240	47,666.4804	0.7813	0.7733	
Colorado	20	8,534	9,989.6049	0.8543	0.8363	
Connecticut	4		•	•		
D.C.	2					
Delaware	3		•	•		
Florida	26	21,507	21,252.2540	1.0120	0.9985	
Georgia	21	10,462	9,511.1404	1.1000	1.0790	
Guam						
Hawaii						
Iowa	13	3,613	3,719.3800	0.9714	0.9401	
Idaho	2					
Illinois	33	18,366	20,315.9413	0.9040	0.8910	
Indiana	24	12,319	9,232.8168	1.3343	1.3108	
Kansas	10	3,728	2,876.1932	1.2962	1.2550	
Kentucky	7	2,071	1,954.9304	1.0594	1.0145	
Louisiana	22	7,222	8,031.0795	0.8993	0.8787	
Massachusetts	3					
Maryland	2					
Maine	4		•	•		
Michigan	21	9,518	11,415.0485	0.8338	0.8172	
Minnesota	6	2,659	2,958.5433	0.8988	0.8651	
Missouri	16	6,129	6,977.6426	0.8784	0.8566	
Mississippi	8	2,479	2,662.6064	0.9310	0.8949	
Montana	5	303	823.8357	0.3678	0.3281	
North Carolina	13	11,636	14,785.3803	0.7870	0.7728	
North Dakota	2					
Nebraska	6	2,369	2,437.2808	0.9720	0.9335	
New Hampshire	2		•	•	·	
New Jersey	6	4,864	7,312.2212	0.6652	0.6467	
New Mexico	5	1,345	2,482.3416	0.5418	0.5134	
Nevada	12	10,056	9,382.2021	1.0718	1.0510	
New York	44	19,684	24,264.8270	0.8112	0.7999	
Ohio	26	11,883	12,894.0240	0.9216	0.9051	
Oklahoma	11	4,568	3,991.4928	1.1444	1.1116	
Oregon	6	1,245	2,056.3389	0.6054	0.5725	
Pennsylvania	76	45,673	60,199.4902	0.7587	0.7518	
Puerto Rico	1					
Rhode Island	4					
South Carolina	21	9,137	15,258.5381	0.5988	0.5866	

South Dakota	3				
Tennessee	16	6,947	8,494.5521	0.8178	0.7988
Texas	57	25,487	27,847.7199	0.9152	0.9040
Utah	4		•		
Virginia	12	8,534	7,549.8551	1.1304	1.1066
Virgin Islands					•
Vermont	2				
Washington	14	5,931	6,235.1218	0.9512	0.9272
Wisconsin	18	6,356	5,024.1517	1.2651	1.2343
West Virginia	2				
Wyoming					
All US	727	356,439	407,533.4329	0.8746	0.8718

- 1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when th
- 2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than
- 3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of
- 4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are

Rs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2018 for inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs Ds), all locations⁴

for SUR	Facility-specific SURs						
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1	No. Facilitie		No. Facilitie			
	Predicted Device Days	Significantly > N	National SUR	Significantly <	National SUR	10%	
1.3051	6						
0.9612	13	5	38%	4	31%		
1.0222	14	7	50%	5	36%		
0.7892	77	19	25%	43	56%	0.3598	
0.8725	20	7	35%	9	45%	0.4340	
		•	-				
1.0256	26	11	42%	10	38%	0.4977	
1.1212	21	12	57%	6	29%	0.4678	
			-				
1.0035	13	6	46%	7	54%		
0.9172	33	13	39%	14	42%	0.4096	
1.3580	24	15	63%	8	33%	0.2494	
1.3383	10	5	50%	4	40%		
1.1057	7						
0.9202	22	8	36%	10	45%	0.1472	
			-				
			-				
-			-		-		
0.8507	21	3	14%	10	48%	0.3880	
0.9334	6		-		-	-	
0.9006	16	5	31%	7	44%		
0.9683							
0.4110							
0.8014	13	4	31%	7	54%		
1.0117	6						
			•	•			
0.6841	6		•	•			
0.5714	5						
1.0929	12	5	42%	2	17%		
0.8226	44	12	27%	27	61%	0.1240	
0.9383	26	8	31%	12	46%	0.4375	
1.1780	11	6	55%	4	36%	•	
0.6398	6						
0.7657	76	23	30%	43	57%	0.1741	
•		•	-	•			
0.0440			0.40/				
0.6112	21	5	24%	11	52%	0.2936	

				•		
1.2964	18	8	44%	5	28%	
0.9756	14	3	21%	5	36%	•
•	•	•	-	•		
1.1545	12	7	58%	4	33%	
0.9265	57	24	42%	24	42%	0.3249
0.8372	16	6	38%	7	44%	

iere are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to n or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq device days in 2018. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was r e reported from inpatient rehabilitation facilities.

i), by device type and patient population:

	Median		
25%	50%	75%	90%
	•		-
0.5098	0.7675	0.9904	1.3163
0.5730	0.8545	1.1252	2.2851
•	•		
0.6539	0.8734	1.0218	2.0980
0.7136	1.1921	1.4280	1.8993
0.5218	0.8549	1.0028	1.6010
0.6265	1.1755	1.6734	1.9871
		-	-
0.5840	0.8169	1.2461	1.8787
0.5704	0.7991	0.8803	1.0299
	•		-
٠		•	-
•		•	
•	•		-
0.3410	0.5684	1.0597	1.8316
0.6178	0.8181	1.0675	1.4072
•	•	•	
0.3935	0.7131	1.0272	1.3508
			-
0.4107	0.7466	0.9509	1.1933

0.6038	0.8706	1.1893	1.7042
	•	•	-
	•	•	-
	•	•	-
•	•	•	
	•		
	. 0407	. 4 4000	4 0040
0.5323	0.8187	1.1238	1.6043

exclusion and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. 1.0 predicted device days in 2018.

neither calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

I		

Table 3. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SUF

Table 3. Urinary catheter days (L

State	No. of Facilities	es <u>No. of Device days</u>			
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
Alaska	2				
Alabama	18	15,055	16,466.2737	0.9143	0.8998
Arkansas	24	13,128	13,920.4684	0.9431	0.9270
Arizona	26	15,898	18,237.7351	0.8717	0.8582
California	77	29,533	42,210.8386	0.6997	0.6917
Colorado	20	7,024	8,582.9985	0.8184	0.7994
Connecticut	7	1,724	2,887.0390	0.5972	0.5695
D.C.	رُ ا	1,127	2,007.0000	0.0072	0.0000
Delaware	3	•	•	•	
Florida	53	46,851	53,219.1613	0.8803	0.8724
Georgia	29	12,686	15,392.6701	0.8242	0.8099
Guam		12,000	10,002.0101	0.0242	0.0000
Hawaii	1	•	•	•	•
lowa	16	4,077	3,970.2810	1.0269	0.9957
Idaho	6	2,255	2,419.2612	0.9321	0.8942
Illinois	43	23,299	29,621.4148	0.7866	0.7765
Indiana	38	15,592	17,263.3147	0.9032	0.8891
Kansas	20	7,231	9,713.2670	0.7444	0.7275
Kentucky	16	10,537	13,247.3286	0.7954	0.7803
Louisiana	49	15,201	17,580.3744	0.8647	0.8510
Massachusetts	10	13,539	15,349.1415	0.8821	0.8673
Maryland	3	10,000	10,040.1410	0.0021	0.0070
Maine	5	2,229	3,142.7699	0.7092	0.6803
Michigan	38	14,641	21,181.9054	0.6912	0.6801
Minnesota	13	4,389	4,915.8636	0.8928	0.8667
Missouri	31	14,245	18,314.7652	0.7778	0.7651
Mississippi	11	3,680	5,628.5678	0.6538	0.6330
Montana	5	752	1,028.2871	0.7313	0.6804
North Carolina	26	8,263	16,921.3815	0.4883	0.4779
North Dakota	3	0,200	10,021.0010	0.1000	0.1770
Nebraska	10	5,974	5,279.3280	1.1316	1.1032
New Hampshire	8	3,627	5,490.3643	0.6606	0.6394
New Jersey	19	19,786	23,710.9211	0.8345	0.8229
New Mexico	8	4,862	4,921.7711	0.9879	0.9604
Nevada	13	11,401	10,658.6165	1.0697	1.0502
New York	52	17,075	31,197.0749	0.5473	0.5392
Ohio	47	24,474	28,653.9124	0.8541	0.8435
Oklahoma	22	8,060	9,927.6457	0.8119	0.7943
Oregon	8	1,635	2,597.4956	0.6295	0.5995
Pennsylvania	76	43,251	50,803.3202	0.8513	0.8434
Puerto Rico	7	1,508	3,673.0424	0.4106	0.3902
Rhode Island	5	1,323	1,562.5238	0.4100	0.8020
South Carolina	21	7,006	13,426.0293	0.5218	0.5020
Godin Garollila	I 21	1,000	10,420.0293	0.0210	0.5097

South Dakota	3				
Tennessee	32	14,959	19,720.9745	0.7585	0.7464
Texas	142	91,285	92,307.2843	0.9889	0.9825
Utah	11	3,369	4,511.3579	0.7468	0.7219
Virginia	27	12,126	17,465.8785	0.6943	0.6820
Virgin Islands				-	
Vermont	2				
Washington	15	8,837	6,815.4236	1.2966	1.2698
Wisconsin	22	6,111	6,904.8906	0.8850	0.8631
West Virginia	8	5,858	6,552.8525	0.8940	0.8713
Wyoming	2			-	
All US	1,155	584,167	713,266.2128	0.8190	0.8169

- 1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are
- 2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or les
- 3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device
- 4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are repor

Rs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2018 inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs), by JCDs), all locations⁴

Upper No. Facilities predicted Device Days No. Facilities with SUR No. Facilities with SUR No. Facilities with SUR 10% 0.9290 18 6 33% 4 22% 0.9593 24 13 54% 8 33% 0.599 0.8854 26 13 50% 9 35% 0.293 0.294 0.393 0.294 0.393 0.494 0.292 0.293 0.294 0.393 0.294 0.393 0.294 0.393 0.294 0.393 0.294 0.393 0.294 0.393 0.294 0.393 0.294 0.293 0.294 0.293 0.294							
Upper Predicted Predicted Device Days No. Facilities with SUR Significantly > National SUR Significantly > National SUR N N N No. Facilities with SUR N Significantly > National SUR N N N 10% 0.9290 18 6 33% 4 22% 0.593 24 13 54% 8 33% 0.59 0.893 0.59 0.8854 26 13 50% 9 35% 0.292 0.7077 77 18 23% 47 61% 0.221 0.221 0.8377 20 7 35% 10 50% 0.392 0.392 0.283 0.283 25 47% 17 32% 0.283 0.392 0.283 0.392 0.392 0.392 0.392 0.392 0.393	for SUR		<u>Faci</u>	lity-specific SU	<u>IRs</u>	ļ	
Device Days	Upper	with ≥1	No. Facilitie	s with SUR	No. Facilitie	s with SUR	
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N			Cianificantly >	National SUD	Cianificantly /	National SUB	400/
0.9290		Device Days	•	National SUR	•	National SUR	10%
0.9593 24 13 54% 8 33% 0.594 0.8854 26 13 50% 9 35% 0.291 0.7077 77 18 23% 47 61% 0.225 0.8377 20 7 35% 10 50% 0.392 0.6259 7 0.8259 7 			N .		N.		
0.9593 24 13 54% 8 33% 0.594 0.8854 26 13 50% 9 35% 0.291 0.7077 77 18 23% 47 61% 0.225 0.8377 20 7 35% 10 50% 0.392 0.6259 7 0.8259 7 .	0.9290	18	6	33%	4	22%	•
0.8854 26 13 50% 9 35% 0.298 0.7077 77 18 23% 47 61% 0.221 0.8377 20 7 35% 10 50% 0.392 0.6259 7 0.8883 53 25 47% 17 32% 0.283 0.8386 29 11 38% 13 45% 0.356 0.8712 6 . <							0.5940
0.7077 77 18 23% 47 61% 0.225 0.8377 20 7 35% 10 50% 0.395 0.6259 7 0.8883 53 25 47% 17 32% 0.285 0.8386 29 11 38% 13 45% 0.356 . <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.2955</td></t<>							0.2955
0.8377 20 7 35% 10 50% 0.392 0.6259 7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.2251</td>							0.2251
0.6259 7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.3925</td>							0.3925
0.8883 53 25 47% 17 32% 0.283 0.8386 29 11 38% 13 45% 0.354 0.354 0.9712 6			•	3373	.0	3070	0.0020
0.8386 29 11 38% 13 45% 0.354 1.0587 16 8 50% 8 50% 0.9712 6 0.7967 43 16 37% 19 44% 0.326 0.9174 38 18 47% 14 37% 0.483 0.7618 20 3 15% 10 50% 0.408 0.8107 16 3 19% 10 63% 0.408 0.8785 49 18 37% 19 39% 0.412 0.8970 10 4 40% 4 40% 0.7392 5 0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.338 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.258 0.6752 <t< td=""><td>0.0200</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>•</td><td>·</td><td>•</td></t<>	0.0200	•	•		•	·	•
0.8386 29 11 38% 13 45% 0.354 1.0587 16 8 50% 8 50% 0.9712 6 0.7967 43 16 37% 19 44% 0.326 0.9174 38 18 47% 14 37% 0.483 0.7618 20 3 15% 10 50% 0.408 0.8107 16 3 19% 10 63% 0.408 0.8785 49 18 37% 19 39% 0.412 0.8970 10 4 40% 4 40% 0.7392 5 0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.338 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.258 0.6752 <t< td=""><td></td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>·</td><td>·</td><td>•</td></t<>		•	•		·	·	•
0.8386 29 11 38% 13 45% 0.354 1.0587 16 8 50% 8 50% 0.9712 6 0.7967 43 16 37% 19 44% 0.326 0.9174 38 18 47% 14 37% 0.483 0.7618 20 3 15% 10 50% 0.408 0.8107 16 3 19% 10 63% 0.408 0.8785 49 18 37% 19 39% 0.412 0.8970 10 4 40% 4 40% 0.7392 5 0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.338 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.258 0.6752 <t< td=""><td>0 8883</td><td>53</td><td>25</td><td>47%</td><td>17</td><td>32%</td><td>0.2821</td></t<>	0 8883	53	25	47%	17	32%	0.2821
1.0587 16 8 50% 8 50% 0.9712 6							0.3544
0.9712 6 . <td>0.0000</td> <td>20</td> <td></td> <td>0070</td> <td>10</td> <td>10 70</td> <td>0.0011</td>	0.0000	20		0070	10	10 70	0.0011
0.9712 6 . <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>•</td>	•	•	•	•	•		•
0.9712 6 . <td>1 0587</td> <td>16</td> <td>8</td> <td>50%</td> <td>8</td> <td>50%</td> <td>•</td>	1 0587	16	8	50%	8	50%	•
0.7967 43 16 37% 19 44% 0.326 0.9174 38 18 47% 14 37% 0.483 0.7618 20 3 15% 10 50% 0.408 0.8107 16 3 19% 10 63% 0.408 0.8785 49 18 37% 19 39% 0.412 0.8970 10 4 40% 4 40% 4 0.7392 5 0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.338 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.258 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.7850 5 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% 0.6824 8 . .			J	0070	Ü	3070	•
0.9174 38 18 47% 14 37% 0.483 0.7618 20 3 15% 10 50% 0.403 0.8107 16 3 19% 10 63% 0.8785 49 18 37% 19 39% 0.412 0.8970 10 4 40% 4 40% 0.7392 5 0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.333 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.256 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.7850 5 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% 0.6824 8 1.0159 8 1.0894 13 6 46% 4			16	37%	19	44%	0.3260
0.7618 20 3 15% 10 50% 0.408 0.8107 16 3 19% 10 63% 0.408 0.8785 49 18 37% 19 39% 0.412 0.8970 10 4 40% 4 40% 0.7392 5 0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.338 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.258 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.258 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.197 0.4989 26 4 15% 18 69% 0.197 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% 0.6824 8 1.0159 8 1.0894 13 6 46% 4							
0.8107 16 3 19% 10 63% 0.8785 49 18 37% 19 39% 0.412 0.8970 10 4 40% 4 40% 0.7392 5 0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.338 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.258 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.7850 5 0.4989 26 4 15% 18 69% 0.197 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% 0.6824 8 1.0159 8 1.0894 13 6 46% 4 31% 0.5556 52 9 17							
0.8785 49 18 37% 19 39% 0.412 0.8970 10 4 40% 4 40% 0.7392 5 0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.338 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.258 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.258 0.7850 5 0.4989 26 4 15% 18 69% 0.197 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% .							0.4000
0.8970 10 4 40% 4 40% 0.7392 5 0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.338 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.258 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.258 0.7850 5 .							በ <i>4</i> 128
0.7392 5 . <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.4120</td>							0.4120
0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.338 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.258 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.7850 5 0.4989 26 4 15% 18 69% 0.197 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% . <td< td=""><td>0.0370</td><td>10</td><td>7</td><td>4070</td><td>7</td><td>40 70</td><td>•</td></td<>	0.0370	10	7	4070	7	40 70	•
0.7025 38 12 32% 18 47% 0.338 0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.258 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.7850 5 0.4989 26 4 15% 18 69% 0.197 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% . <td< td=""><td>0 7302</td><td>5</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>•</td></td<>	0 7302	5	•	•	•		•
0.9196 13 5 38% 5 38% 0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.258 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.7850 5 0.4989 26 4 15% 18 69% 0.197 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% 0.6824 8 0.8462 19 7 37% 9 47% 1.0159 8 1.0894 13 6 46% 4 31% 0.5556 52 9 17% 33 63% 0.232 0.8649 47 16 34% 21 45% 0.390 0.8297 22 9 41% 9 41% 0.418 0.6605 8 . .			12	32%	18	47%	0 3356
0.7906 31 9 29% 18 58% 0.258 0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.7850 5 0.4989 26 4 15% 18 69% 0.197 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% .							0.0000
0.6752 11 3 27% 8 73% 0.7850 5 0.4989 26 4 15% 18 69% 0.197 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% .							0.2580
0.7850 5 . <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.2300</td>							0.2300
0.4989 26 4 15% 18 69% 0.197 1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% 0.6824 8 0.8462 19 7 37% 9 47% 1.0159 8 1.0894 13 6 46% 4 31% 0.5556 52 9 17% 33 63% 0.232 0.8649 47 16 34% 21 45% 0.390 0.8297 22 9 41% 9 41% 0.418 0.6605 8 			3	21 70	O	7370	•
1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% 0.6824 8 0.8462 19 7 37% 9 47% 1.0159 8 1.0894 13 6 46% 4 31% 0.5556 52 9 17% 33 63% 0.232 0.8649 47 16 34% 21 45% 0.390 0.8297 22 9 41% 9 41% 0.41% 0.6605 8 1	15%	10	60%	0 1072
1.1606 10 2 20% 7 70% 0.6824 8 0.8462 19 7 37% 9 47% 1.0159 8 1.0894 13 6 46% 4 31% 0.5556 52 9 17% 33 63% 0.232 0.8649 47 16 34% 21 45% 0.390 0.8297 22 9 41% 9 41% 0.418 0.6605 8 	0.4909		4	1370	10	09 70	0.1372
0.6824 8 . <td>1 1606</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>20%</td> <td>7</td> <td>70%</td> <td>•</td>	1 1606			20%	7	70%	•
0.8462 19 7 37% 9 47% 1.0159 8 1.0894 13 6 46% 4 31% 0.5556 52 9 17% 33 63% 0.232 0.8649 47 16 34% 21 45% 0.390 0.8297 22 9 41% 9 41% 0.418 0.6605 8 			2	2070	,	7070	•
1.0159 8 . <td></td> <td></td> <td>7</td> <td>27%</td> <td></td> <td>170/</td> <td></td>			7	27%		170/	
1.0894 13 6 46% 4 31% 0.5556 52 9 17% 33 63% 0.232 0.8649 47 16 34% 21 45% 0.390 0.8297 22 9 41% 9 41% 0.418 0.6605 8 			,	37 /0	9	47 /0	•
0.5556 52 9 17% 33 63% 0.232 0.8649 47 16 34% 21 45% 0.390 0.8297 22 9 41% 9 41% 0.419 0.6605 8 				460/		210/	
0.8649 47 16 34% 21 45% 0.390 0.8297 22 9 41% 9 41% 0.419 0.6605 8 							0 2220
0.8297 22 9 41% 9 41% 0.419 0.6605 8 							
0.6605 8							
			9	41%	9	41%	0.4191
U 659//U //5 //6 // /// // // /// /// /// /// //				0.40/		470/	0.0004
	0.8594	76 7	26	34%	36	47%	0.3821
0.4317 7						•	•
0.8933 5						740/	. 0.2270
0.5342 21 0 0% 15 71% 0.337	0.5342	21	Ü	0%	15	/1%	0.3372

0.8211	1,154	405	35%	531	46%	0.3417
0.9171	8					-
0.9074	22	11	50%	4	18%	0.3450
1.3238	15	7	47%	6	40%	
0.7067	26	7	27%	17	65%	0.2736
0.7723	11	4	36%	6	55%	
0.9954	142	70	49%	43	30%	
0.7707	32	12	38%	14	44%	0.5535

e less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusive states than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq 1.0 precedays in 2018. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither that from inpatient rehabilitation facilities.

device type and patient population:

	Median		
25%	50%	75%	90%
0.6970	0.9810	1.2617	2.2598
0.6409	0.8989	1.2439	1.4476
0.5204	0.6792	0.8316	1.0654
0.5499	0.7448	1.0496	1.2650
•	•	•	
•	•	•	
0.6793	0.8466	1.1265	1.4665
0.6278	0.8086	1.1203	1.2613
0.5016	0.8005	1.0364	1.2003
0.5749	0.8137	1.3482	1.6067
0.6546	0.7413	0.8959	0.9701
0.6055	0.7561	1.0469	1.5486
0.0000	0.7501	1.0400	1.0400
0.5746	0.7455	0.9523	1.1102
0.3780	0.6626	0.9411	1.1597
•	•	•	
0.3617	0.5468	0.7639	1.0430
-			
0.3354	0 5407	0 0006	1 0605
0.3351 0.5596	0.5427 0.7602	0.8336 1.0272	1.0605 1.5033
0.5390	0.7702	1.0272	1.4295
J.U TZ 1			200
0.5372	0.7647	1.0604	1.3407
0.4391	0.5202	0.6789	0.7616

0.5423	0.7652	1.0551	1.3886
0.7663	1.0409	1.1913	1.4545
0.5231	0.6660	1.0461	1.1888
0.6070 0.7046	0.8672 0.8911	1.0845 1.1491	1.4451 1.4766

on and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. dicted device days in 2018.

calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

1		

Table 3. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SUR

Table 4. Ventilator days (VDs

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Device days			95% CI	
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower	
Alaska						
Alabama						
Arkansas						
Arizona						
California	3					
Colorado						
Connecticut						
D.C.						
Delaware						
Florida						
Georgia	1					
Guam						
Hawaii						
Iowa						
Idaho						
Illinois	2					
Indiana	1					
Kansas						
Kentucky						
Louisiana	2					
Massachusetts						
Maryland						
Maine						
Michigan						
Minnesota						
Missouri						
Mississippi						
Montana						
North Carolina						
North Dakota						
Nebraska						
New Hampshire						
New Jersey						
New Mexico	1					
Nevada	2					
New York	6	545	312.7623	1.7425	1.6008	
Ohio	3					
Oklahoma						
Oregon						
Pennsylvania	5	1,967	390.3476	5.0391	4.8200	
Puerto Rico						

AII US	29	2,952	1,538.3730	1.9189	1.8506
Wyoming				-	
West Virginia					
Wisconsin					
Washington	1			-	
Vermont				-	
Virgin Islands				-	
Virginia					
Utah				-	
Texas				-	
Tennessee	1				
South Dakota					
South Carolina	1				
Rhode Island					

- 1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are
- 2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or less
- 3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device of
- 4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are repor

ls using device days data reported to NHSN during 2018 inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs), by s), all locations⁴

for SUR	Facility-specific SURs			
	No. Facilities			
Upper	with ≥1 Predicted	No. Facilities with SUR	No. Facilities with SUR	
	Device Days	Significantly > National SUR N	Significantly < National SUR N	10%
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•				
•				
•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.8935	6			
5.2655	5			
-				

1.9890	29	4	14%	23	79%	0.0000
-						
•			•	•		
		•	•	•		
		•	•	•	•	•
•					•	

less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusio s than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq 1.0 prediction 1.0 prediction 2018. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither c ted from inpatient rehabilitation facilities.

device type and patient population:

	Median		
25%	50%	75%	90%
		-	
	-		
	-		
	•	•	
	•	•	
	-		
			· .
	•	•	
	-		· .
	-		
	<u>. </u>		
		•	
	• •		· .
	-		

0.0000	0.0000	0.3819	3.3423
•	•	•	
	•	•	-
	•		

n and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. icted device days in 2018.

alculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.



Table 5. Changes in national standardized utilization ratios (SURs) using HAI data reported from all NHSN inpatient rehabilitation facilities reporting during 2018 by HAI and patient population:

Central line days (CLDs), urinary catheter days (UCDs), and ventilator days (VDs), 2018 compared to 2017

	2017 SUR	2018 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
Central line days, all locations ¹	0.8973	0.8746	-3%	DECREASE	0.0000
ICU ²	0.8120	0.7749	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
Ward ³	0.9364	0.9247	-1%	DECREASE	0.0000
UCDs, all locations ¹	0.9100	0.8190	-10%	DECREASE	0.0000
	0.9057	0.8427	-7%	DECREASE	0.0000
	0.9150	0.7908	-14%	DECREASE	0.0000
Ventilator days, all locations¹	2.2367	1.9189	-14%	DECREASE	0.0000
ICUs ²	3.2317	2.8507	-12%	DECREASE	0.0001
Wards ³	1.5151	1.1632	-23%	DECREASE	0.0000

^{*} Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

^{1.} Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

^{2.} Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations).

^{3.} Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down and specialty care areas [including hematology/oncology, bone marrow transplar



Table 6. Changes in state-specific standardized infection ratios (SURs) between 2017 and 2018 from NHSN Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities

6a. Central line days (CLDs), all locations¹

	All Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities Reporting to NHSN				
State ²	2017 SUR	2018 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
Alaska					
Alabama	1.1700	1.2703	9%	INCREASE	0.0001
Arkansas	0.7741	0.9365	21%	INCREASE	0.0000
Arizona	0.8954	0.9963	11%	INCREASE	0.0000
California	0.7835	0.7813	0%	NO CHANGE	0.6983
Colorado	0.9937	0.8543	-14%	DECREASE	0.0000
Connecticut	0.9357	0.0545	-1470	DECKLAGE	0.0000
D.C.		•	•	•	
D.C. Delaware	•		•		-
Florida	1.2569	1.0120	-19%	DECREASE	0.0000
			1%	-	
Georgia	1.1109	1.1000	1%	NO CHANGE	0.4821
Guam				•	
Hawaii		:			
lowa	0.8320	0.9714	17%	INCREASE	0.0000
Idaho		•			
Illinois	0.8638	0.9040	5%	INCREASE	0.0000
Indiana	1.1782	1.3343	13%	INCREASE	0.0000
Kansas	1.1138	1.2962	16%	INCREASE	0.0000
Kentucky	0.8802	1.0594	20%	INCREASE	0.0000
Louisiana	1.0192	0.8993	-12%	DECREASE	0.0000
Massachusetts					
Maryland					
Maine					
Michigan	0.8779	0.8338	-5%	DECREASE	0.0005
Minnesota	1.0041	0.8988	-10%	DECREASE	0.0001
Missouri	0.7780	0.8784	13%	INCREASE	0.0000
Mississippi	0.7537	0.9310	24%	INCREASE	0.0000
Montana		0.3678	22%	INCREASE	0.0194
North Carolina	0.7851	0.7870	0%	NO CHANGE	0.8582
North Dakota	0.7001	0.7070	070	110 011/11102	0.0002
Nebraska	0.8960	0.9720	8%	INCREASE	0.0059
New Hampshire	0.0000	0.5720	070	IIVOI (E) (OE	0.0000
New Jersey	0.7080	0.6652	-6%	DECREASE	0.0040
New Mexico	0.7000	0.5418	1%	NO CHANGE	0.7198
	1.1381	1.0718	-6%	DECREASE	0.0000
Nevada					
New York	0.8601	0.8112	-6%	DECREASE	0.0000
Ohio	1.0944	0.9216	-16%	DECREASE	0.0000
Oklahoma	1.3224	1.1444	-13%	DECREASE	0.0000
Oregon	0.4707	0.6054	29%	INCREASE	0.0000
Pennsylvania	0.7755	0.7587	-2%	DECREASE	0.0009
Puerto Rico		-	•		
Rhode Island	1.1561				
South Carolina	0.6138	0.5988	2%	NO CHANGE	0.0896
South Dakota		-			-
Tennessee	0.9640	0.8178	-15%	DECREASE	0.0000
Texas	0.9944	0.9152	-8%	DECREASE	0.0000
Utah					
Virginia	1.1527	1.1304	2%	NO CHANGE	0.2029
Virgin Islands					
Vermont					
Washington	1.0171	0.9512	-6%	DECREASE	0.0003
Wisconsin	1.4164	1.2651	-11%	DECREASE	0.0000
	1.4104	1.2001	-1170	DEGILAGE	0.0000
West Virginia		·	•	•	·
Wyoming					
All US	0.8973	0.8746	-3%	DECREASE	0.0000

 $^{^{\}star}$ Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

^{1.} Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

 $^{2. \} States \ without \ SUR \ either \ in \ 2017 \ and/or \ 2018 \ and \ therefore \ subsequent \ data \ not \ calculated$

Table 6. Changes in state-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) between 2017 and 2018 from NHSN Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities

6b. Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs), all locations¹

	Al	I Inpatient Rel	nabilitation Fac	ilities Reporting to NHS	N
	2017 SUR	2018 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
Alaska		-			
Alabama	0.9171	0.9143	0%	NO CHANGE	0.7949
Arkansas	1.0196	0.9431	-8%	DECREASE	0.0000
Arizona	0.8691	0.8717	0%	NO CHANGE	0.7880
California	0.8137	0.6997	-14%	DECREASE	0.0000
Colorado	0.9599	0.8184	-15%	DECREASE	0.0000
Connecticut	0.6895	0.5972	-13%	DECREASE	0.0000
D.C.					
Delaware	•				
Florida	0.9103	0.8803	-3%	DECREASE	0.0000
Georgia	0.9381	0.8242	-12%	DECREASE	0.0000
Guam					
Hawaii					
lowa	0.9922	1.0269	3%	NO CHANGE	0.1429
Idaho	1.1305	0.9321	-18%	DECREASE	0.0000
Illinois	0.9295	0.7866	-15%	DECREASE	0.0000
Indiana	0.9720	0.9032	-7%	DECREASE	0.0000
Kansas	0.8026	0.7444	-7%	DECREASE	0.0000
Kentucky	0.8394	0.7954	-5%	DECREASE	0.0001
Louisiana	1.0636	0.7954	-19%	DECREASE	0.0001
Massachusetts	0.9999	0.8821	-12%	DECREASE	0.0000
	0.9999	0.0021	-1270	DECREASE	0.0000
Maryland		0.7000		DECDEACE	0.0044
Maine	0.7789	0.7092	-9%	DECREASE	0.0014
Michigan	0.7922	0.6912	-13%	DECREASE	0.0000
Minnesota	0.9694	0.8928	-8%	DECREASE	0.0001
Missouri	0.9477	0.7778	-18%	DECREASE	0.0000
Mississippi	0.6495	0.6538	1%	NO CHANGE	0.7848
Montana		0.7313			
North Carolina	0.5926	0.4883	-18%	DECREASE	0.0000
North Dakota	1.3294	-			
Nebraska	1.2459	1.1316	-9%	DECREASE	0.0000
New Hampshire	0.7067	0.6606	-7%	DECREASE	0.0036
New Jersey	1.1040	0.8345	-24%	DECREASE	0.0000
New Mexico	1.1184	0.9879	-12%	DECREASE	0.0000
Nevada	1.1831	1.0697	-10%	DECREASE	0.0000
New York	0.6635	0.5473	-18%	DECREASE	0.0000
Ohio	0.9393	0.8541	-9%	DECREASE	0.0000
Oklahoma	0.9456	0.8119	-14%	DECREASE	0.0000
Oregon	0.7080	0.6295	-11%	DECREASE	0.0009
Pennsylvania	0.8883	0.8513	-4%	DECREASE	0.0000
Puerto Rico	0.5637	0.4106	-27%	DECREASE	0.0000
Rhode Island	0.7932	0.8467	7%	NO CHANGE	0.0965
South Carolina	0.6105	0.5218	-15%	DECREASE	0.0000
South Dakota					
Tennessee	0.8039	0.7585	-6%	DECREASE	0.0000
Texas	1.0363	0.9889	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
Utah	0.8246	0.7468	-9%	DECREASE	0.0001
Virginia	0.9327	0.6943	-26%	DECREASE	0.0001
Virgin Islands	0.3327	0.0343	-20 /0	DEGILAGE	0.0000
•				•	,
Vermont	4 7445	4 0000	0.404	DEODE 4 0 E	0.0000
Washington	1.7115	1.2966	-24%	DECREASE	0.0000
Wisconsin	1.0139	0.8850	-13%	DECREASE	0.0000
West Virginia	0.8785	0.8940	2%	NO CHANGE	0.3527
Wyoming					
AII US	0.9100	0.8190	-10%	DECREASE	0.0000

 $^{^{\}star}$ Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

^{1.} Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

^{2.} States without SUR either in 2017 and/or 2018 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

Table 6. Changes in state-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) between 2017 and 2018 from NHSN Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities

6c. Ventilator days (VDs), all locations1

			ys (VDs), all loc ehabilitation Fa	cilities Reporting to NHSN	l
	2017 SUR	2018 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
Alaska					
Alabama					
Arkansas					
Arizona					
California		-			
Colorado		-	•	•	
Connecticut		-	•		
D.C.			•	•	
Delaware	•				
Florida		-		•	
Georgia Guam	•		•	•	
Hawaii		•		•	
lowa	•	-	•	•	
	•		•	•	•
Idaho	•	-	•	•	
Illinois		-		•	
Indiana			•	•	-
Kansas			•	•	-
Kentucky				•	
Louisiana	•	-	·	•	
Massachusetts				•	
Maryland		-			
Maine		-		•	
Michigan					
Minnesota					
Missouri			-		-
Mississippi		-			
Montana		-		•	
North Carolina					
North Dakota		-		•	
Nebraska					
New Hampshire		-		•	
New Jersey		-		•	
New Mexico					
Nevada					
New York	2.5460	1.7425	-32%	DECREASE	0.0000
Ohio					
Oklahoma					
Oregon					
Pennsylvania	5.3062	5.0391	5%	NO CHANGE	0.1065
Puerto Rico		-			
Rhode Island					
South Carolina					
South Dakota					
Tennessee					
Texas					
Utah					
Virginia					
Virgin Islands					
Vermont					
Washington					
Wisconsin					
West Virginia					
Wyoming					
Alí US	2.2367	1.9189	-14%	DECREASE	0.0000

 $^{^{\}star}$ Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

^{1.} Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

^{2.} States without SUR either in 2017 and/or 2018 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

Appendix A. Factors used in NHSN risk adjusted standard utilization ratios (SUR) calculation of the device utilization in inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRFs).

Device Type	Validated Parameters for Risk Model
CLDs (non-NICU)	Intercept Facility bed size* Primary diagnosis of stroke admission (in percentile) Primary diagnosis of ortho admission (in percentile)
UCDs	Intercept Proportion of stroke admission (in percentile)
VAE	Intercept only

^{*} Facility bed size, facility type and medical school type are taken from the Annual Hospital Survey

Additional Resources

Technical Appendix: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/progress-report/tech-appendix.pdf Explains the methodology used to procedure the HAI Progress Report.

HAI Progress Report Home Page: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/progress-report/index.html
The complete HAI Progress Report, including state-specific fact sheets and the Executive Summary, can be fo

The new SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guide-508.pdf

ound at the above website.