2017	Nat
	S

Introduction:

Welcome to the 2017 National and State HAI Progress Report using the 2015 base by comparing the number of observed device days to the number of predicted devi This report is created by CDC staff with the National Healthcare Safety Network (N

#### Scope of report:

#### **Device Days Types**

Central line days (CLDs) by locations Urinary catheter days (UCDs) by locations Ventilator days (VDs) by locations

# tional and State HAI Progress Report tandardized Utilization Ratios

## Long Term Acute Care Hospitals

eline and risk adjustment calculations. Standardized utilization ratios (SURs) are used to describe device ice days. IHSN).

	Δ	СН	
	National		State
þ		þ	
þ		þ	
þ		þ	

utilization

#### Development of the NHSN Standardized Utilization Ratio (SUR): Methodology

#### Rationale

Traditionally, NHSN has been providing a crude measure of device utilization rate to the healthcare facilities. I standardized to compare with a reference baseline population as well as over time. Accordingly, CDC has dev

#### Development of SUR models

SUR models were developed for the following measures: central line days, urinary catheter days and ventilate inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRF), long-term acute care hospitals (LTACH) (and NICU for central line days). Using the NHSN data (2017) in sync with rebaseline work, CDC has developed multivariable logistic regressio "Extra-binomial Variation in Logistic Linear Models," Applied Statistics, 31, 144–148.). Unit of analysis in all the

#### STEPS to compute SUR at the location level

1: First, calculate the logit scale value of p\_hat, using parameter estimates of corresponding SUR model. Logit p\_hat= intercept + x1 + X2 + X3 + .......... (Risk factors are provided in appendices for individual matrix of measure and healthcare setting)

2: Then, compute the probability of device use p\_hat = [e^logit(p\_hat)] / [1+ e^logit(p\_hat)]

3: Calculate predicted device days as follows: Predicted Device Days = p-hat \* In-patient days

4: Finally, derive SUR value at the location by dividing number of observed device days with number of predic SUR = Observed Device Days / Predicted Device Days

Note that SUR will not be calculated if Predicted Device Days is <1 due to minimum precision criteria of 1.0.

#### STEPS to compute SUR at higher level above location

Do the same computation as in step 1, 2, 3 at location level.

SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guide-508.pdf

To monitor the progress of healthcare acquired infections (HAI) prevention efforts, device utilization in any health reloped statistical models to make SUR values available for different measures (e.g., central line days, urinary cannot be approximately contact the progress of healthcare acquired infections (HAI) prevention efforts, device utilization in any health reloped statistical models to make SUR values available for different measures (e.g., central line days, urinary cannot be approximately contact the progress of healthcare acquired infections (HAI) prevention efforts, device utilization in any health reloped statistical models to make SUR values available for different measures (e.g., central line days, urinary cannot be approximately contact the progress of th
or days. They were available for the healthcare setting of acute care hospitals (ACH), critical access hospitals (Color not
ted device days;
level). per of predicted device days.

icare setting/location needs to be atheter days) at various healthcare settings.

AH),

#### 2017 Annual National and State HAI Progress Report

Long-Term Acute Care Hospitals: Full series of tables for all national and state data

Table 1 National standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summa

Central line days (CLDs)
Urinary catheter days (UCDs)

Ventilator days (VDs)

Table 2 State-specific SURs for CLDs from Long term acute care hospitals:

All locations combined

Table 3 State-specific SURs for UCDs from Long term acute care hospitals:

All locations combined

Table 4 State-specific SURs for VDs from Long term acute care hospitals:

All locations combined

Table 5 Changes in national SURs, 2017 compared to 2016:

Central Line Days (CLDs)
Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs)

Ventilator days (VDs)

Table 6 Changes in state SURs, 2017 compared to 2016:

6a. Central Line Days (CLDs)6b. Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs)

6c. Ventilator Days (VDs)

Appendix A Factors used in NHSN risk-adjusted SUR calculation of the device utilization in le

Additional Resources Technical Appendix

HAI Progress Report Home Page

SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nh



Device and Patient Population	No. of Facilities	No. of De	vice days
	Reporting <sup>1</sup>	Observed	Predicted
Central line days, all <sup>4</sup>	471	2,526,315	3,170,774.4715
ICUs <sup>5</sup>	81	141,415	191,726.7712
Wards <sup>6</sup>	464	2,384,900	2,979,047.7003
Urinary catheter days, all⁴	471	1,910,985	2,121,229.9804
Wards <sup>6</sup>	81	120,984	150,410.2730
	464	1,790,001	1,970,819.7073
Ventilator days, all⁴	469	1,144,852	1,020,164.9480
	81	102,331	102,690.6085
	455	1,042,521	917,474.3395

- 1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are less
- 2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or less than
- 3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device days i
- 4. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are reported from le
- 5. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs. Data contained in this ta
- 6. Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down, mixed acuity, and specialty care areas [includin

Table 1. National standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility

Tabl

	95% CI fo	or SUR		Facility-specific SUR			<u>URs</u>		
SUR	Lower	Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 No. Facilities with SUR No. Facilities witl		vith SUR				
			Predicted Device Days	Significantly > I		Significantly < SUR			
				N	%	N	%		
0.7968	0.7958	0.7977	471	249	53	184	39		
0.7376	0.7338	0.7415	81	54	67	18	22		
0.8006	0.7996	0.8016	464	245	53	178	38		
0.9009	0.8996	0.9022		236	50		42		
0.8044	0.7998	0.8089	81	53	65	22	27		
0.9083	0.9069	0.9096	464	223	48	202	44		
1.1222	1.1201	1.1242	469	163	35	270	58		
0.9965	0.9904	1.0026	81	53	65	23	28		
1.1363	1.1341	1.1385	455	152	33	269	59		

than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion and incl 1 the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had  $\geq$  1.0 predicted devic in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calculated ong-term acute care hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and CAHs are excluded.

ble are reported from long-term acute care hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and CAHs are excluded. Ig hematology/oncology, bone marrow transplant]). Data contained in this table are reported from long-term ac

-specific summary SURs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for long term acute care ho le 1a. Central line days (CLDs), urinary catheter days (UCDs), and ventilator days (VDs).

#### **Percentile Distribution of Faci**

									Median
5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%
0.4152	0.4993	0.5572	0.6078	0.6589	0.7031	0.7483	0.7860	0.8084	0.8339
0.2980	0.4875	0.6036	0.6464	0.7269	0.7724	0.8456	0.8694	0.9184	0.9404
0.4152	0.4899	0.5572	0.6168	0.6657	0.7058	0.7527	0.7917	0.8144	0.8352
0.4275	0.5626	0.6269	0.6958	0.7362	0.7850	0.8271	0.8564	0.9005	0.9321
0.2364	0.3993	0.5849	0.6871	0.7290	0.7553	0.8634	0.9309	0.9698	1.0271
0.4288	0.5626	0.6269	0.6929	0.7334	0.7813	0.8232	0.8434	0.8925	0.9283
0.2457	0.4721	0.5585	0.6537	0.7258	0.7780	0.8566	0.9207	0.9576	1.0103
0.2580	0.4128	0.5504	0.6688	0.8464	1.0004	1.1044	1.2056	1.2549	1.3392
0.0000	0.2798	0.4972	0.6179	0.7095	0.7700	0.8598	0.9279	0.9722	1.0197

usion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. ce days in 2017.

nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

ute care hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and CAHs are excluded.

#### spitals (LTACHs), by device type and patient population:

### ility-specific SURs<sup>3</sup>

55%	60%	65%	70%	75%	80%	85%	90%	95%
0.8609	0.9146	0.9526	0.9802	1.0275	1.0714	1.1301	1.2171	1.3795
0.9757	1.0037	1.0262	1.0553	1.1028	1.1394	1.1961	1.2222	1.2815
0.8606	0.9153	0.9528	0.9887	1.0292	1.0811	1.1463	1.2302	1.3836
0.9653	1.0081	1.0623	1.1117	1.1573	1.2130	1.2495	1.3384	1.5141
1.0476	1.0666	1.1234	1.1920	1.2289	1.2621	1.3505	1.4793	1.6057
0.9616	1.0074	1.0601	1.1023	1.1588	1.2130	1.2519	1.3463	1.5141
1.0502	1.0909	1.1667	1.2413	1.3052	1.3820	1.5065	1.6260	1.9135
1.3815	1.4119	1.4408	1.5774	1.6626	1.7426	1.8432	2.2819	2.4370
1.0536	1.0889	1.1635	1.2401	1.3090	1.3880	1.5074	1.6260	1.9182

Table 2. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SURs Table 2. C

State	Facilities	No. of Dev	vice days		95% CI 1	
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower	
Alaska						
Alabama	9	32,246	40,561.8189	0.7950	0.7863	
Arkansas	7	28,072	34,372.6047	0.8167	0.8072	
Arizona	7	24,467	33,466.0006	0.7311	0.7220	
California	24	207,150	250,195.4342	0.8280	0.8244	
Colorado	8	28,803	42,225.4370	0.6821	0.6743	
Connecticut	3					
D.C.	2					
Delaware	1					
Florida	28	174,452	232,587.4035	0.7500	0.7465	
Georgia	16	71,313	96,019.5461	0.7427	0.7373	
Guam	0					
Hawaii	1					
Iowa	3					
Idaho	3					
Illinois	9	81,466	103,933.2624	0.7838	0.7785	
Indiana	13	68,410	79,515.1879	0.8603	0.8539	
Kansas	4					
Kentucky	9	52,407	57,783.2203	0.9070	0.8992	
Louisiana	34	154,270	182,221.8533	0.8466	0.8424	
Massachusetts	14	84,621	159,894.5758	0.5292	0.5257	
Maryland	2 0	•			•	
Maine		70.450		. 0.0504		
Michigan	21	72,158	109,653.9106	0.6581	0.6533	
Minnesota Missouri	2	51 201	50 606 0640	0.8757	0.8681	
Mississippi	9	51,391 47,913	58,686.2640 55,685.1612	0.8604	0.8527	
Montana		47,913	33,003.1012	0.0004	0.0321	
North Carolina	10	59,143	57,216.4299	1.0337	1.0254	
North Dakota	2	33,143	37,210.4233	1.0337	1.0234	
Nebraska	4	•	•	•	•	
New Hampshire	o o	•	•	•	•	
New Jersey	12	60,568	76,816.1700	0.7885	0.7822	
New Mexico	3	33,000		0.1 000	0.7022	
Nevada	10	69,140	65,902.0313	1.0491	1.0413	
New York	1					
Ohio	31	153,509	170,434.8106	0.9007	0.8962	
Oklahoma	13	66,933	66,611.5403	1.0048	0.9972	
Oregon	1					
Pennsylvania	22	80,878	123,337.8472	0.6557	0.6512	
Puerto Rico	0					

Rhode Island	1				
South Carolina	6	31,968	37,713.3336	0.8477	0.8384
South Dakota	1				
Tennessee	9	44,308	59,419.8366	0.7457	0.7387
Texas	80	490,344	550,355.7031	0.8910	0.8885
Utah	4				
Virginia	6	28,615	37,036.2132	0.7726	0.7637
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	0				
Washington	3				
Wisconsin	6	31,597	32,848.0014	0.9619	0.9513
West Virginia	4				
Wyoming	0				
All US	471	2,526,315	3,170,774.4715	0.7968	0.7958

- 1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are le
- $2.\ Percent\ of\ facilities\ with\ at\ least\ one\ predicted\ device\ day\ that\ had\ an\ SUR\ significantly\ greater\ than\ or\ less\ that\ that\ had\ an\ SUR\ significantly\ greater\ than\ or\ less\ that\ had\ an\ SUR\ significantly\ greater\ than\ or\ less\ that\ had\ an\ SUR\ significantly\ greater\ than\ or\ less\ that\ had\ an\ SUR\ significantly\ greater\ than\ or\ less\ that\ had\ an\ SUR\ significantly\ greater\ than\ or\ less\ that\ had\ an\ SUR\ significantly\ greater\ than\ or\ less\ that\ had\ support for\ less\ that\ had\ support\ support\$
- 3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device day
- 4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). This excludes NICUs. Data contained ir

using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for long term acute care hospitals (LTACHs), by deventral line days (CLDs), all locations<sup>4</sup>

or SUR	Facility-specific SURs						
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 No. Facilities Predicted Device Days Significantly > N N						25%
					-		
0.8037	9		•		•		•
0.8263		-			•		
0.7403			54	11	46	0	0.6465
0.8315			54	11	40	0.5740	0.6465
0.6900	8		•	•	•	-	•
•		•	•	•	•	•	•
•		•	•	•	•	•	•
0.7536	28	12	43	14	50	0.4633	0.6128
0.7481	16		44	6	38	0.4000	0.0120
0.7 10 1	10	•	, ,	· ·		•	•
			•	•			•
					]		
0.7892	9					_	
0.8668		9	69	2	15	_	
0.9147	9						
0.8508		24	71	7	21	0.4828	0.8150
0.5328	14	4	29	10	71		
0.6629	21	7	33	13	62	0.4586	0.5236
0.8833		5	45	3	27		
0.8682	9					-	
1.0420	10	7	70	2	20		
			•	•		•	•
. 7040						•	•
0.7948	12	7	58	3	25		•
1.0570				2			
1.0570	10	8	80	2	20	•	
0.9052	31	16	52	9	29	0.6982	0.7542
1.0124			69	4	31	0.0802	0.7342
1.0124	13	9	09	4	31	•	•
0.6603	22	5	23	16	73	0.4241	0.5326
0.0000		3	20	10	, 3	0.7271	0.0020
			•	•	-1	•	•

0.7977	471	249	53	184	39	0.4993	0.6589
							<u>.</u>
0.9725	6				-		
						-	
						-	
-						-	
0.7816	6					-	
-						-	
0.8934	80	53	66	23	29	0.5946	0.7075
0.7527	9			•			
			•		-		
0.8570	6		•		-		
				•			

ss than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion and includant the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had ≥ 1.0 predicted device ys in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calculated reported from long-term acute care hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and CAHs are excluded.

#### ice type and patient population:

Median		
Wedian		
50%	75%	90%
0.8526	0.9391	1.1408
0.0020	0.9391	1.1400
0.7653	0.8795	1.0714
•	•	
	•	
	•	
0.9975	1.1791	1.5809
0.6398	0.8874	1.0162
0.8305	1.0616	1.1969
0.0000	1.0010	1.1303
0.6601	0.7939	0.9102
•	•	-

0.9244	1.0576	1.2790
		-
•	•	-
•	•	
•	•	
0.8339	1.0275	1.2171

usion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. e days in 2017.
nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

led.

Table 3. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SURs I Table 3. Cath

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Device days			95% CI	
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower	
Alaska Alabama	1	30,870		1.1296	1.1170	
Arkansas	9 7	25,749	27,329.1105 23,522.4273	1.1290	1.0813	
Arizona	7	20,834	25,156.9673	0.8282	0.8170	
California	24	174,008	174,003.0443	1.0000	0.9953	
Colorado	8	31,603	27,365.5611	1.1548	1.1422	
Connecticut	3	01,000	27,000.0011	1.10-0	1.1722	
D.C.	2	•	•	•	•	
Delaware	1					
Florida	28	156,083	164,959.1665	0.9462	0.9415	
Georgia	16	73,631	69,685.2157	1.0566	1.0490	
Guam	0					
Hawaii	1					
lowa	3					
Idaho	3					
Illinois	9	68,145	70,905.4876	0.9611	0.9539	
Indiana	13	49,751	56,522.8651	0.8802	0.8725	
Kansas	4					
Kentucky	9	38,246	38,555.4241	0.9920	0.9821	
Louisiana	34	110,884	105,540.5998	1.0506	1.0445	
Massachusetts	14	43,330	97,140.2148	0.4461	0.4419	
Maryland	2					
Maine	0					
Michigan	21	57,497	74,562.5059	0.7711	0.7648	
Minnesota	2					
Missouri	11	38,971	42,718.4122	0.9123	0.9032	
Mississippi	9	40,122	37,659.7346	1.0654	1.0550	
Montana North Carolina	10	20 021	20 971 5109	0.9764	0.0667	
North Dakota	2	38,931	39,871.5198	0.9764	0.9667	
Nebraska		•	•		•	
New Hampshire	0	•	•	•	•	
New Jersey	12	47,651	51,749.0766	0.9208	0.9126	
New Mexico	3	47,001	31,743.0700	0.3200	0.9120	
Nevada	10	46,349	44,765.7389	1.0354	1.0260	
New York	1	10,010	11,700.7000	1.0001	1.0200	
Ohio	31	112,861	118,556.9203	0.9520	0.9464	
Oklahoma	13	54,641	44,540.4772	1.2268	1.2165	
Oregon		,	,			
Pennsylvania	22	60,835	83,864.0927	0.7254	0.7197	
Puerto Rico	0					

Rhode Island	1				
South Carolina	6	19,221	26,978.2833	0.7125	0.7025
South Dakota	1				
Tennessee	9	33,994	39,694.8199	0.8564	0.8473
Texas	80	330,308	339,743.6022	0.9722	0.9689
Utah	4				
Virginia	6	23,909	26,012.6909	0.9191	0.9075
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	0				
Washington	3				
Wisconsin	6	19,335	24,217.1135	0.7984	0.7872
West Virginia	4				
Wyoming	0				
AII US	471	1,910,985	2,121,229.9804	0.9009	0.8996

- 1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are less
- 2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or less the
- 3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device day
- 4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). This excludes NICUs. Data contained in

using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 long term acute care hospitals (LTACHs), by leter days (CDs), all locations<sup>4</sup>

for SUR	Facility-specific SURs					
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted Device Days	No. Facilities with SUR Significantly > National SUR			10%	
		N .		N		
1.1422	9					
1.1081	7					
0.8395	7					
1.0047	24	16	67	6	25	0.7046
1.1676	8					
		•	•			•
•	•	•	•	•		•
0.9509	28	14	50	11	39	0.5737
1.0643	16	9	56		19	0.0101
1.0040	10	3	30	0	13	•
	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•
0.9683	9	•	•	•	•	-
0.8880	13	5	38		46	-
0.0000	13	5	30	6	40	•
1.0019	9	•	•	•	•	•
						0
1.0568	34	21	62		29	0.5778
0.4503	14	2	14	11	79	
		•	•			-
0.7774	21	4	19	17	81	0.5626
				:		
0.9214	11	5	45	4	36	•
1.0758	9					
				:	. :	
0.9862	10	6	60	4	40	
0.9291	12	8	67	4	33	
1.0448	10	7	70	3	30	
0.9575	31	16	52		39	0.6929
1.2371	13	9	69	3	23	
0.7312	22	8	36	14	64	0.3635
					_	

0.9022	471	236	50	197	42	0.5626
0.8097	6					
•						
0.9308	6					
0.9755	80	49	61	22	28	0.6254
0.8655						
	·					
0.7226	6					

ss than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion  $\epsilon$  nan the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had  $\geq$  1.0 predicte /s in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calc this table are reported from long-term acute care hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and CAHs are

			I
	Median		
25%	50%	75%	90%
0.8846	0.9667	1.1605	1.2904
			-
0.8293	0.9417	1.1930	1.4813
0.8378	1.0460	1.3319	1.6578
0.6189	0.8079	0.8424	0.9773
		•	
0.7691	0.9309	1.1409	1.2612
0.4447	0.7901	0.9925	1.2341

		•	
0.8313	1.0250	1.2016	1.3070
	•	•	
	•		
•	•	•	
	•		
•	•	٠	
0.7362	0.9321	1 1572	1.3384
0.7362	0.9321	1.1573	1.3364

and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for detailed device days in 2017.

sulated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs excluded.

Table 4. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary S

State	No. of Facilities	No. of De		
		Observed	Predicted	SUR
Alaska				
Alabama	9	12,759	16,490.5926	0.7737
Arkansas	7	11,405	9,646.7123	1.1823
Arizona	7	15,199	12,066.4712	1.2596
California	23	137,105	98,393.7421	1.3934
Colorado	8	10,528	14,140.8849	0.7445
Connecticut	3			
D.C.	2			
Delaware	1			
Florida	28	109,051	83,658.0001	1.3035
Georgia	16	40,515	40,200.9289	1.0078
Guam	0			
Hawaii	1			
Iowa	3			
Idaho	3			•
Illinois	9	53,364	38,736.7389	1.3776
Indiana	13	29,076	28,812.1732	1.0092
Kansas	4		•	
Kentucky	9	26,746	19,164.0302	1.3956
Louisiana	34	18,002	33,619.2274	0.5355
Massachusetts	14	50,296	43,767.5257	1.1492
Maryland	2		•	
Maine	0			
Michigan	21	42,983	37,079.0548	1.1592
Minnesota	2			. 7040
Missouri	11	17,822	23,393.1939	0.7618
Mississippi Montana	9	13,680	15,701.6026	0.8712
Montana North Carolina	10	26,883	20,084.2956	1.3385
North Dakota	2	20,003	20,004.2930	1.3303
Nebraska	4	•	•	•
New Hampshire	0	•	•	•
New Jersey	12	44,566	32,928.5893	1.3534
New Mexico	3	44,500	02,020.0000	1.0004
Nevada	10	24,340	19,429.2467	1.2528
New York		21,010	.0, .20.2 107	0_0
Ohio	31	64,094	65,236.3987	0.9825
Oklahoma	13	15,949	17,606.8812	0.9058
Oregon	1		,	
Pennsylvania	22	45,947	41,969.1937	1.0948

Puerto Rico	0			
Rhode Island	1			
South Carolina	6	11,283	15,136.6837	0.7454
South Dakota	1			
Tennessee	9	30,601	24,372.0769	1.2556
Texas	79	97,563	123,740.0224	0.7885
Utah	4			
Virginia	6	23,050	14,356.7704	1.6055
Virgin Islands	0			
Vermont	0		•	
Washington	3		•	
Wisconsin	6	12,899	12,491.0240	1.0327
West Virginia	4		•	
Wyoming	0		·	<u>.</u>
All US	469	1,144,852	1,020,164.9480	1.1222

- 1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are
- 2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or le
- 3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device
- 4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). This excludes NICUs. Data contain

URs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for long term acute care hospitals (LT/ble 4. Ventilator days (VDs), all locations<sup>4</sup>

95% CI fo	or SUR	Facility-specific SURs				
Lower	Lower Upper			s with SUR		s with SUR
		Predicted Device Days	Significantly > N	National SUR	Significantly < N	National SUR
0.7604	0.7872	9			•	
1.1607	1.2041	7				
1.2397	1.2797	7				
1.3861	1.4008	23	18	78	5	22
0.7304	0.7588	8				
			•			
1.2958	1.3113		17	61	9	32
0.9980	1.0176	16	6	38	10	63
•			•			
•	-	-	•			
1.3660	1.3893					
0.9976	1.0208	13	3	23	9	69
			•			
1.3790	1.4124					
0.5277	0.5433			6		91
1.1392	1.1592	14	8	57	4	29
•	·					•
1.1483	1.1702	21	10	48	8	38
1.1403	1.1702	۷۱	10	40	0	30
0.7507	0.7731	11				82
0.7567	0.7751	11 9	0	0	9	82
0.0307	0.0009	3	•		•	1
1.3226	1.3545	10	7	70	2	20
1.0220	1.0010	10	,	, 0	_	20
						]
						]
1.3409	1.3660	12	10	83	2	17
1.2371	1.2685	10	7	70	2	20
						.].
0.9749	0.9901	31	8	26	20	65
0.8919	0.9200		3	23	10	77
1.0848	1.1048	22	10	45	11	50

1.1201	1.1242	469	163	35	270	58
1.0150	1.0506	6				
1.5849	1.6263	6				
0.7835	0.7934	79	14	18	57	72
1.2416	1.2697	9				
0.7317	0.7593	6				
	.l					.[

re less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to excluss than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had ≥ 1.0 pr adays in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neithed in this table are reported from long-term acute care hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and CAl

**ACHs**), by device type and patient population:

Median								
10%	25%	50%	75%	90%				
1.0229	1.1667	1.4428	1.6806	1.7585				
· ·								
0.7697	1.0172	1.3216	1.5007	1.6917				
0.0000	0.2438	0.4420	0.7580	1.0053				
0.8949	0.9691	1.0916	1.3132	1.4514				
· ·								
· ·								
0.7667	0.8731	1.0122	1.1774	1.2974				
0.8435	0.9989	1.0963	1.5399	1.6637				

I				
•	•	•	•	·
•	•	•		•
•	-	•	•	
	-			
0.4271	0.5456	0.7937	1.0921	1.2976
-	•	•	•	·
•	-	•	•	
•	•	•	•	
		•	•	
0.4721	0.7258	1.0103	1.3052	1.6260

sion and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. edicted device days in 2017.

er calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs. Hs are excluded.

Table 5. Changes in national standardized utilization ratios (SURs) using HAI data reported from all NHSN long term acute care hospitals reporting during 2017 by HAI and patient population:

Central line days (CLDs), urinary catheter days (UCDs), and ventilator days (VDs), 2017 compared to 2016

	2016 SUR	2017 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
CLDs, all locations <sup>1</sup>	0.9004	0.7968	-12%	DECREASE	0.0000
ICU <sup>2</sup>	0.8392	0.7376			
Ward <sup>3</sup>	0.9040	0.8006			0.0000
UCDs, all locations¹	0.9688 0.8512	0.9009 0.8044		_	
	0.9769	0.9083	-7%	DECREASE	
VDs, all¹	1.1198	1.1222	0%	NO CHANGE	0.0923
ICUs <sup>2</sup>	1.0290	0.9965	-3%	DECREASE	0.0000
Wards <sup>3</sup>	1.1291	1.1363	1%	INCREASE	0.0000

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

<sup>1.</sup> Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations). This excludes ACH, CAH, and IRF locations (or facilities).

<sup>2.</sup> Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations), NICUs, and ACH, CAH, and IRF locations (or facilities).

<sup>3.</sup> Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down and specialty care areas [including hematology/oncology, bone marrow transparents]



Table 6. Changes in state-specific standardized infection ratios (SURs) between 2016 and 2017 from NHSN Long Term Acute Care Hospitals
6a. Central line days (CLD), all locations¹

	All Long Term Acute Care Hospitals Reporting to NHSN				
State	2016 SUR	2017 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
Alaska					
Alabama	0.9628	0.7950	-17%	DECREASE	0.0000
Arkansas	0.9669	0.8167	-16%	DECREASE	0.0000
Arizona	1.0029	0.7311	-27%	DECREASE	0.0000
California	0.8254	0.8280	0%	NO CHANGE	0.3258
Colorado	0.7170	0.6821	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
Connecticut		_			
D.C.		_			
Delaware					
Florida	0.9169	0.7500	-18%	DECREASE	0.0000
Georgia	0.8864	0.7427	-16%	DECREASE	0.0000
Guam					
Hawaii					
lowa					
Idaho	•	·		·	
Illinois	0.8860	0.7838	-12%	DECREASE	0.0000
Indiana	0.9768	0.8603	-12%	DECREASE	0.0000
Kansas	0.5700	0.0000	-1270	BEOREROE	0.0000
Kentucky	1.0428	0.9070	-13%	DECREASE	0.0000
Louisiana	0.9566	0.8466	-11%	DECREASE	0.0000
Massachusetts	0.5800	0.5292	-9%	DECREASE	0.0000
	0.3600	0.5292	-970	DECKLASE	0.0000
Maryland Maine	•		•	•	•
	0.8229	0.6581	-20%	DECREASE	0.0000
Michigan	0.0229	0.0561	-20%	DECREASE	0.0000
Minnesota	. 4.0450	0.0757	. 440/	DECDEACE	0.0000
Missouri	1.0159	0.8757	-14%	DECREASE	0.0000
Mississippi	0.9039	0.8604	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
Montana					
North Carolina	1.1998	1.0337	-14%	DECREASE	0.0000
North Dakota		•		•	-
Nebraska		•		•	-
New Hampshire		. 7005			
New Jersey	0.9547	0.7885	-17%	DECREASE	0.0000
New Mexico	·				
Nevada	1.1667	1.0491	-10%	DECREASE	0.0000
New York					
Ohio	0.9406	0.9007	-4%	DECREASE	0.0000
Oklahoma	1.0583	1.0048	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
Oregon					
Pennsylvania	0.8022	0.6557	-18%	DECREASE	0.0000
Puerto Rico					-
Rhode Island					-
South Carolina	1.0380	0.8477	-18%	DECREASE	0.0000
South Dakota		-		•	
Tennessee	0.7897	0.7457	-6%	DECREASE	0.0000
Texas	0.9944	0.8910	-10%	DECREASE	0.0000
Utah			•		
Virginia	1.0219	0.7726	-24%	DECREASE	0.0000
Virgin Islands					
Vermont					
Washington					
Wisconsin	1.0028	0.9619	-4%	DECREASE	0.0000
West Virginia		2.00.0	. 70		2.0000
Wyoming		•			•
All US	0.9004	0.7968	-12%	DECDEASE	0.0000
MI 03	0.5004	0.7 300	-1270	DECREASE	0.0000

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

<sup>1.</sup> Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs.

<sup>2.</sup> States without SUR either in 2016 and/or 2017 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

Table 6. Changes in state-specific standardized infection ratios (SURs) between 2016 and 2017 from NHSN Long Term Acute Care Hospitals

6b. Urinary catheter days (UCD), all locations<sup>1</sup>

	All Long Term Acute Care Hospitals Reporting to NHSN				
State	2016 SUR	2017 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
Alaska					
Alabama	1.2431	1.1296	-9%	DECREASE	0.0000
Arkansas	1.1483	1.0947	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
Arizona	0.9956	0.8282	-17%	DECREASE	0.0000
California	1.0860	1.0000	-8%	DECREASE	0.0000
Colorado	1.2626	1.1548	-9%	DECREASE	0.0000
Connecticut					
D.C.					
Delaware					
Florida	1.1141	0.9462	-15%	DECREASE	0.0000
Georgia	1.1614	1.0566	-9%	DECREASE	0.0000
Guam					
Hawaii					
lowa					
Idaho					
Illinois	1.0641	0.9611	-10%	DECREASE	0.0000
Indiana	0.9072	0.8802	-3%	DECREASE	0.0000
Kansas	0.00.2	0.0002	070	220.12.102	0.000
Kentucky	1.0648	0.9920	-7%	DECREASE	0.0000
Louisiana	1.1366	1.0506	-8%	DECREASE	0.0000
Massachusetts	0.5333	0.4461	-16%	DECREASE	0.0000
Maryland	0.5555	0.4401	-1070	DECKLAGE	0.0000
Maine					
Michigan	0.8002	0.7711	-4%	DECREASE	0.0000
Minnesota	0.6002	0.7711	-4 70	DECREASE	0.0000
Missouri	0.9839	0.9123	-7%	DECREASE	0.0000
Mississippi	1.1188	1.0654	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
Montana	1.1100	1.0054	-576	DECREASE	0.0000
North Carolina	1.1026	0.9764	-11%	DECREASE	0.0000
North Dakota	1.1020	0.9704	-1170	DECKLAGE	0.0000
Nebraska	•		•	•	
New Hampshire	•		•		
·	0.9940	0.9208	7%	DECREASE	0.0000
New Jersey New Mexico	0.9940	0.9206	1 70	DECKEASE	0.0000
Nevada	. 1 1155	1.0354	-7%	DECDEASE	0.0000
	1.1155	1.0354	-170	DECREASE	0.0000
New York	4 0050	0.0500	70/	DECDEACE	0.0000
Ohio	1.0252	0.9520	-7%	DECREASE	0.0000
Oklahoma	1.1860	1.2268	3%	INCREASE	0.0000
Oregon	0.7020	0.7254	70/	DECDEASE	0.0000
Pennsylvania	0.7828	0.7254	-7%	DECREASE	0.0000
Puerto Rico		-			
Rhode Island		. 7405			0.0000
South Carolina	0.7477	0.7125	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
South Dakota					0.0404
Tennessee	0.8407	0.8564	2%	INCREASE	0.0134
Texas	0.9902	0.9722	-2%	DECREASE	0.0000
Utah					
Virginia	1.0048	0.9191	-9%	DECREASE	0.0000
Virgin Islands		-	-		
Vermont				•	
Washington		-			
Wisconsin	0.7947	0.7984	0%	NO CHANGE	0.6443
West Virginia					
Wyoming	_		_		
All US	0.9688	0.9009	7%	DECREASE	0.0000

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

<sup>1.</sup> Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs.

 $<sup>2. \</sup> States \ without \ SUR \ either \ in \ 2016 \ and/or \ 2017 \ and \ therefore \ subsequent \ data \ not \ calculated$ 

Table 6. Changes in state-specific standardized infection ratios (SURs) between 2016 and 2017 from NHSN Long Term Acute Care Hospitals

6c. Ventilator days (VD), all locations<sup>1</sup>

	All Long Term Acute Care Hospitals Reporting to NHSN				
State	2016 SUR	2017 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
Alaska					, raine
Alabama	0.8024	0.7737	-4%	DECREASE	0.0038
Arkansas	1.0070	1.1823	17%	INCREASE	0.0000
Arizona	1.3259	1.2596	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
California	1.3019	1.3934	7%	INCREASE	0.0000
Colorado	0.9016	0.7445	-17%	DECREASE	0.0000
Connecticut	0.00.0	0		220.12/102	0.000
D.C.	•	•	•	•	
Delaware	•	•	•	•	
Florida	1.3239	1.3035	-2%	DECREASE	0.0003
Georgia	1.1119	1.0078	-9%	DECREASE	0.0000
Guam	1.1119	1.0070	-370	DECKEAGE	0.0000
Hawaii	•	•	•	•	-
lowa	•		•	•	•
Idaho	•		•	•	•
Illinois	1.3307	1.3776	4%	INCREASE	0.0000
	1.0324		-2%	DECREASE	0.0000
Indiana	1.0324	1.0092	-270	DECKEASE	0.0051
Kansas	4 2000	4 2050		NO CHANCE	0.7149
Kentucky	1.3999	1.3956	0%	NO CHANGE	-
Louisiana	0.5467	0.5355	-2%	DECREASE	0.0471
Massachusetts	1.0990	1.1492	5%	INCREASE	0.0000
Maryland	•	•		•	-
Maine					
Michigan	1.1053	1.1592	5%	INCREASE	0.0000
Minnesota					
Missouri	0.8283	0.7618	-8%	DECREASE	0.0000
Mississippi	0.8995	0.8712	-3%	DECREASE	0.0073
Montana					
North Carolina	1.1370	1.3385	18%	INCREASE	0.0000
North Dakota					-
Nebraska					-
New Hampshire			:		
New Jersey	1.4178	1.3534	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
New Mexico					
Nevada	1.2202	1.2528	3%	INCREASE	0.0042
New York	-				
Ohio	1.0155	0.9825	-3%	DECREASE	0.0000
Oklahoma	1.0790	0.9058	-16%	DECREASE	0.0000
Oregon		-			-
Pennsylvania	1.1055	1.0948	-1%	NO CHANGE	0.1338
Puerto Rico		-			-
Rhode Island		-			-
South Carolina	1.0205	0.7454	-27%	DECREASE	0.0000
South Dakota	-				
Tennessee	1.2522	1.2556	0%	NO CHANGE	0.7383
Texas	0.8546	0.7885	-8%	DECREASE	0.0000
Utah		-			
Virginia	1.4861	1.6055	8%	INCREASE	0.0000
Virgin Islands					
Vermont					
Washington			_		
Wisconsin	0.9344	1.0327	11%	INCREASE	0.0000
West Virginia	0.007	1.0021	1170	11101121102	0.0000
· ·	•	1		•	-
Wyoming	4 4400	4 4000	-	NO CUANCE	0.0000
AII US	1.1198	1.1222	0%	NO CHANGE	0.0923

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

<sup>1.</sup> Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs.

<sup>2.</sup> States without SUR either in 2016 and/or 2017 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

## Appendix A. Factors used in NHSN risk adjusted standard utilization ratios (SUR) calculation of the device utilization in Long Term Acute Care Hospitals (LTACHs).

Device Type Validated Parameters for Risk Model		
CLD	Intercept Location type Facility bed size* Facility type* LTACH setting** Proportion of admissions with hemodialysis (in percentile) Length of stay in days (in percentile)	
UCD	Intercept Location type Facility bed size* Ventilator days HEMO  LTACH setting** Proportion of admissions with ventilator dependence (in percentile) Proportion of admissions with hemodialysis (in percentile) Length of stay in days (in percentile)	
VD	Intercept Location type proportion of admissions with ventilator dependence (in percentile)	

<sup>\*</sup> Facility bed size and facility type are taken from the Annual LTACH Survey.

<sup>\*\*</sup> LTACH setting categorized as free standing LTACH or LTACH units in Hospitals

#### **Additional Resources**

Technical Appendix: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/progress-report/tech-appendix.pdf Explains the methodology used to procedure the HAI Progress Report.

HAI Progress Report Home Page: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/progress-report/index.html
The complete HAI Progress Report, including state-specific fact sheets and the Executive Summary, can be found

at the above website.