2017 Nat S

Introduction: Welcome to the 2017 National and State HAI Data Report using the 2015 baseline by comparing the number of observed device days to the number of predicted devi This report is created by CDC staff with the National Healthcare Safety Network (N

Scope of report:

Device Days Types

Central line days (CLDs) by locations Urinary catheter days (UCDs) by locations Ventilator days (VDs) by locations

tional and State HAI Progress Report tandardized Utilization Ratios

Critical Access Hospitals

and risk adjustment calculations. Standardized utilization ratios (SURs) are used to describe device utili ice days. HSN).

		CAH	
	National		State
þ		þ	
þ		þ	
þ		þ	

zations

Development of the NHSN Standardized Utilization Ratio (SUR): Methodology

Rationale

Traditionally, NHSN has been providing a crude measure of device utilization rate to the healthcare facilit standardized to compare with a reference baseline population as well as over time. Accordingly, CDC has

Development of SUR models

SUR models were developed for the following measures: central line days, urinary catheter days and ven inpatient rehabilitation facilities (IRF), long-term acute care hospitals (LTACH) (and NICU for central line Using the NHSN data (2017) in sync with rebaseline work, CDC has developed multivariable logistic regree "Extra-binomial Variation in Logistic Linear Models," Applied Statistics, 31, 144–148.). Unit of analysis in a

STEPS to compute SUR at the location level

1: First, calculate the logit scale value of p_hat, using parameter estimates of corresponding SUR model. Logit p_hat= intercept + x1 + X2 + X3 + (Risk factors are provided in appendices for individual matrix of measure and healthcare setting)

2: Then, compute the probability of device use p_hat = [e^logit(p_hat)] / [1+ e^logit(p_hat)]

3: Calculate predicted device days as follows: Predicted Device Days = p-hat * In-patient days

4: Finally, derive SUR value at the location by dividing number of observed device days with number of p SUR = Observed Device Days / Predicted Device Days

Note that SUR will not be calculated if Predicted Device Days is <1 due to minimum precision criteria of 1

STEPS to compute SUR at higher level above location

Do the same computation as in step 1, 2, 3 at location level.

Sum the observed device days and predicted device days up to the level of aggregation desired (e.g., fac Then, derive SUR value at the desired aggregate level by dividing number of observed device days with r

SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guide-508.pdf

ties. To monitor the progress of healthcare acquired infections (HAI) prevention efforts, device utilization in any h s developed statistical models to make SUR values available for different measures (e.g., central line days, uring

itilator days. They were available for the healthcare setting of acute care hospitals (ACH), critical access hospita days).

ession models that correct over dispersion by the Williams' method (Reference: Williams, D. A. (1982), all the SUR models are at the location level.

redicted device days;

1.0.

cility-level). number of predicted device days. realthcare setting/location needs to be ary catheter days) at various healthcare settings.

ls (CAH),

2017 Annual National and State HAI Progress Report

Critical access hospitals: Full series of tables for all national and state data

Table 1	National standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SURs Central line days (CLDs) Urinary catheter days (UCDs) Ventilator days (VDs)
Table 2	State-specific SURs for CLDs from Critical access hospitals 2a. All locations combined 2b. Critical care locations only 2c. Ward (non-critical care) locations only
Table 3	State-specific SURs for UCDs from Critical access hospitals 3a. All locations combined 3b. Critical care locations only 3c. Ward (non-critical care) locations only
Table 4	 State-specific SURs for VDs from Critical access hospitals 4a. All locations combined 4b. Critical care locations only 4c. Ward (non-critical care) locations only
Table 5	Changes in national SURs, 2017 compared to 2016 Central Line Days (CLDs) Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs) Ventilator days (VDs)
Table 6	Changes in state SURs, 2017 compared to 2016 6a. Central Line Days (CLDs) 6b. Urinary Catheter Days (UCDs) 6c. Ventilator Days (VDs)
Appendix A	Factors used in NHSN risk-adjusted SUR calculation of the device utilization in Critical acce
Additional Resources	s Technical Appendix HAI Progress Report Home Page SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guid

ss hospitals (CAHs)

le-508.pdf

No. of Facilities	<u>No. of Device days</u>			<u>95% CI</u>
Reporting ¹	Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
738 209 716	154,403 14,127 140,276	139,494.8237 16,431.3803 123,063.4434	1.1069 0.8598 1.1399	1.1014 0.8457 1.1339
867	294,796	335,989.8498	0.8774	0.8742
210 847	37,237 257,559	45,097.4957 290,892.3541	0.8257 0.8854	0.8173 0.8820
197	4,128	3,970.6094	1.0396	1.0083
133	3,359	3,684.0363	0.9118	0.8813 2.4987
	Reporting ¹ 738 209 716 867 210 847 197	Reporting ¹ Observed 738 154,403 209 14,127 716 140,276 867 294,796 210 37,237 847 257,559 197 4,128 133 3,359	Reporting ¹ Observed Predicted 738 154,403 139,494.8237 209 14,127 16,431.3803 716 140,276 123,063.4434 867 294,796 335,989.8498 210 37,237 45,097.4957 847 257,559 290,892.3541 197 4,128 3,970.6094 133 3,359 3,684.0363	Reporting ¹ Observed Predicted SUR 738 154,403 139,494.8237 1.1069 209 14,127 16,431.3803 0.8598 716 140,276 123,063.4434 1.1399 867 294,796 335,989.8498 0.8774 210 37,237 45,097.4957 0.8257 847 257,559 290,892.3541 0.8854 197 4,128 3,970.6094 1.0396 133 3,359 3,684.0363 0.9118

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there are 2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or less

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of device d

4. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are reported fr

5. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs. Data contained in thi

6. Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down, mixed acuity, and specialty care areas [inc

d utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary SURs using device days data reported to NHSN du Table 1a. Central line days (

for SUR							
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1	No. Facilities with SUR No. Facilities with SUR					
	Predicted Device Days	Significantly >	National SUR	Significantly <	National SUR	5%	10%
	24,90	N	% ²	N		• /0	
1.1124	736	226	31	400	54	0.0000	0.0436
0.8740	207	69	33	75	36	0.0000	0.1827
1.1458	715	219	31	390	55	0.0000	0.0433
0.8806	867	304	35	392	45	0.2089	0.3103
0.8341	210	89	42	57	27	0.2502	0.3963
0.8889	847	297	35	384	45	0.2089	0.3098
1.0717	180	32	18	98	54	0.0000	0.0000
0.9430		28	22	66	52	0.0000	0.0000
2.8782		5	7	60	86	0.0000	0.0000

less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion and inc than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq 1.0 predicted devi lays in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calculated om acute care hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are excluded.

is table are reported from critical access hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are excluded. luding hematology/oncology, bone marrow transplant]). Data contained in this table are reported from critical access uring 2017 for Critical access hospitals (CAHs), by device type and patient population CLDs), urinary catheter days (UCDs), and ventilator days (VDs).

Percentile Distribution of Facility-specific SURs³

							Median		
15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%	55%	60%
0.1653	0.3021	0.4191	0.5012	0.6075	0.7058	0.7955	0.8756	0.9605	1.0791
0.2786	0.3193	0.3897	0.4704	0.5539	0.6105	0.6996	0.7670	0.8628	1.0028
0.1635	0.2809	0.4237	0.5244	0.6098	0.7129	0.7977	0.8877	0.9808	1.0852
0.0000	0 4075	0 5047	0.0400	0.0504	0 7400	0.7000	0 0070	0.0700	0.0007
0.3896	0.4675	0.5317	0.6100	0.6594	0.7180	0.7668	0.8078	0.8722	0.9287
0.4595	0.5283	0.5938	0.6777	0.7143	0.7489	0.8005	0.9035	0.9675	1.0642
0.3897	0.4675	0.5322	0.6118	0.6594	0.7176	0.7640	0.8168	0.8727	0.9348
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0465	0.0988	0.1586	0.2507	0.3507	0.5058
0.0000	0.0000	0.0620	0.1030	0.1601	0.2098	0.3258	0.4033	0.5065	0.6674
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

lusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. ice days in 2017.

nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

ss hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are excluded.

65%	70%	75%	80%	85%	90%	95%
1.1942	1.3162	1.4753	1.6610	1.8625	2.1863	2.9059
1.1060	1.1963	1.3077	1.6215	1.7992	2.1775	2.5420
1.2113	1.3501	1.4877	1.6740	1.9024	2.2474	2.9295
0.9935	1.0679	1.1492	1.2394	1.3501	1.5093	1.7425
1.1615	1.2173	1.3244	1.3963	1.4669	1.6423	1.9012
1.0060	1.0865	1.1501	1.2537	1.3662	1.5416	1.7586
0.5721	0.7269	0.8899	1.2106	1.7164	2.1964	4.9788
0.7693	0.8890	1.1524	1.5081	1.7951	2.2878	3.1524
0.0000	0.0000	0.1749	0.5523	0.5681	1.1492	27.6533

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Dev	vice days		95% CI
	Reporting ¹	Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
Alaska	3				
Alabama	3				
Arkansas	12	2,124	1,854.6352	1.1452	1.0973
Arizona	4				
California	32	7,608	6,306.5250	1.2064	1.1795
Colorado	16	1,626	2,469.4660	0.6584	0.6270
Connecticut	0				
D.C.	0	•	•	•	•
Delaware Florida	0	1,756	2,872.0344	0.6114	0.5833
Georgia	8 14	5,058	3,768.2923	1.3423	1.3056
Guam	0	5,050	5,700.2925	1.0420	1.5050
Hawaii	2	•	•		
lowa	48	7,694	7,448.4143	1.0330	1.0101
Idaho	6	1,259	993.0079	1.2679	1.1992
Illinois	40	8,949	6,899.5644	1.2970	1.2703
Indiana	35	6,490	6,480.6293	1.0014	0.9773
Kansas	55	10,513	7,120.5453	1.4764	1.4484
Kentucky	15	4,325	3,315.0714	1.3046	1.2662
Louisiana	6	2,369	1,301.2919	1.8205	1.7483
Massachusetts	3				
Maryland	0	:			
Maine	15	5,404	4,791.6713	1.1278	1.0980
Michigan	27	2,696	4,184.9625	0.6442	0.6202
Minnesota	31	4,866	4,159.6093	1.1698	1.1373
Missouri	20	5,163	3,422.5302	1.5085	1.4678
Mississippi Montana	9	1,163 1,856	1,565.7380 2,009.4188	0.7428 0.9237	0.7010 0.8823
North Carolina	10	2,306	2,009.4188	0.9237 0.8271	0.8823
North Dakota	10	1,677	1,674.8139	1.0013	0.9542
Nebraska	17	2,307	1,833.2886	1.2584	1.2079
New Hampshire	13	3,019	3,795.0341	0.7955	0.7675
New Jersey	0				
New Mexico	9	1,870	1,834.6803	1.0193	0.9738
Nevada	2				
New York	5	560	1,133.4850	0.4941	0.4544
Ohio	19	4,393	4,393.1928	1.0000	0.9707
Oklahoma	12	1,920	1,640.3119	1.1705	1.1190
Oregon	20	5,033	4,680.2495	1.0754	1.0460
Pennsylvania	15	5,354	4,681.4483	1.1437	1.1133

Table 2. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary STable 2a. Central line days (CL

Puerto Rico	o				
Rhode Island	0	•	•	•	•
	0				
South Carolina	5	959	1,110.5432	0.8635	0.8102
South Dakota	15	1,046	1,178.5436	0.8875	0.8350
Tennessee	6	1,093	722.0180	1.5138	1.4260
Texas	26	5,218	4,106.1366	1.2708	1.2366
Utah	5	384	383.8021	1.0005	0.9041
Virginia	5	2,009	1,679.9827	1.1958	1.1444
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	8	2,200	2,878.4713	0.7643	0.7329
Washington	37	11,407	8,106.9874	1.4071	1.3814
Wisconsin	58	13,273	10,725.7126	1.2375	1.2166
West Virginia	16	2,692	3,298.2749	0.8162	0.7858
Wyoming	14	669	1,469.3993	0.4553	0.4217
All US	738	154,403	139,494.8237	1.1069	1.1014

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when the

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of de

4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). Data contained in this table are

URs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for critical access hospitals (CAHs), by device t .Ds), all locations⁴

<u>for SUR</u>	Facility-specific SURs						Percentile Distribut		
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1	No. Facilitie	s with SUR	No. Facilitie	s with SUR				
	Predicted Device Days			Significantly <	National SUR	10%	25%		
		Ν	%²	N					
	•					•	•		
1.1947	12	5	42	5	42				
1.2337	32	10	31	20	63	0.0436	0.2722		
0.6910			25	7	44				
		•	•			•			
0.6405		•	•	•		•	•		
0.6405 1.3796			21	9	64		•		
1.0790			21	5			•		
1.0562	48		31	17	35	0.0538	0.7193		
1.3394	6								
1.3241	39		44	19	49	0.0650	0.4189		
1.0260			20	21	60	0.3041	0.5641		
1.5048			36	28	51	0.0000	0.3041		
1.3440			47	8	53	•	•		
1.8949	6	•	•	•					
						•	•		
1.1582	15	5	33	6	40	•	•		
0.6689			11	21	78	0.0000	0.2810		
1.2030			33	16	53	0.0000	0.3465		
1.5501	20		60	5	25	0.3990	1.0906		
0.7864	7								
0.9664	9								
0.8614	10		10	7	70				
1.0501	10	5	50	5	50				
1.3106			41	6	35				
0.8243	13	2	15	9	69				
1.0662	9	•	•						
0.5363	5					•	•		
1.0298			16	14	74	•	•		
1.2238			33	4	33	•	·		
1.1054			25	13	65	0.2083	0.5370		
1.1746			47	7	47				
					1		-		

1					1		
	•	•	•	•	•	•	
			•				
0.9195	5						
0.9426	15	6	40	8	53		
1.6056	6						
1.3056	26	10	38	12	46	0.0000	0.4774
1.1045	5						
1.2490	5					•	
•							
0.7967	8						
1.4331	37	16	43	16	43	0.0523	0.6799
1.2587	58	14	24	34	59	0.0000	0.2171
0.8474	16	2	13	10	63		
0.4908	14	1	7	13	93		
1.1124	736	226	31	400	54	0.0436	0.4191

re are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion ϵ or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq 1.0 predicte evice days in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calc reported from critical access hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are excluded.

sype and patient population:

tion of Facility-specific SURs³

Median

50%	75%	90%
0.7702	1.5995	2.3038
	•	
· ·		
1.0509	1.5544	2.0573
0.9075 0.8494 0.9492	1.7813 1.1346 1.6773	2.8709 2.0119 2.7810
0.6826 0.7380 1.5098	0.9037 1.5658 1.8230	1.3149 2.5327 2.3536
	•	
	•	
· ·		
0.8551	1.4886	2.0725

•	
1.9493	2.9777
•	
1.9024 1.2536	2.6716 2.3337
	2.1863
	1.9024

and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. ed device days in 2017. culated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

|

Alaska 1 Observed Predicted SUR Lower Alabama 1 .	State	No. of Facilities	No. of Device days			<u>95% CI</u>	
Alabama 1 Arkansas 1 Arizona 1 California 15 2,221 1,405.4382 1.5803 1.5156 Colorado 4 .			Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower	
Alabama 1 Arkansas 1 Arizona 1 California 15 2,221 1,405.4382 1.5803 1.5156 Connecticut 0 .							
Alabama 1 Arkansas 1 Arizona 1 California 15 2,221 1,405.4382 1.5803 1.5156 Connecticut 0 .	Alaska	1					
Arkansas 1 .<		1					
California 15 2,221 1,405.4382 1.5803 1.5156 Colorado 4 .		1					
Colorado 4 .<	Arizona	1					
Connecticut 0 . <th< td=""><td></td><td>15</td><td>2,221</td><td>1,405.4382</td><td>1.5803</td><td>1.5156</td></th<>		15	2,221	1,405.4382	1.5803	1.5156	
D.C 0 .		4					
Delaware 0 .<					•	•	
Florida 2 . </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td>		-			•		
Georgia 2 . </td <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>•</td>		0	•	•		•	
Guam 0 Hawaii 1 .		2			•		
Hawaii 1 Iowa 3 Idaho 2 Illinois 16 568 1,063.5843 0.5340 0.4915 Indiana 16 717 859.0085 0.8347 0.7752 Kansas 5 654 872.8879 0.7492 0.6934 Kentucky 3 Louisiana 1 .		2	•	•	•	•	
Iowa 3 .		1	•		•	•	
Idaho 2 . <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td>		3	•		•	•	
Illinois 16 568 1,063.5843 0.5340 0.4915 Indiana 16 717 859.0085 0.8347 0.7752 Kansas 5 654 872.8879 0.7492 0.6934 Kentucky 3 Louisiana 1 Massachusetts 2 . <t< td=""><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		2					
Indiana 16 717 859.0085 0.8347 0.7752 Kansas 5 654 872.8879 0.7492 0.6934 Kentucky 3 Louisiana 1 .		16	568	1,063.5843	0.5340	0.4915	
Kentucky 3 Louisiana 1 .	Indiana						
Louisiana 1 .	Kansas	5	654	872.8879	0.7492	0.6934	
Massachusetts 2 . <	Kentucky	3					
Maryland 0 .<		1					
Maine 3 . <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		2					
Michigan8307368.32980.83350.7441Minnesota5232294.50050.78780.6912Missouri6386285.75301.35081.2211Mississippi1Montana3North Carolina4North Dakota3Nebraska3New Hampshire6290459.53750.63110.5615New Mexico5356306.39721.16191.0458New York2Ohio11573922.22400.62130.5720Oklahoma1Oregon131,5051,002.46821.50131.4269	-	0					
Minnesota5232294.50050.78780.6912Missouri6386285.75301.35081.2211Mississippi1Montana3North Carolina4North Dakota3Nebraska3New Hampshire6290459.53750.63110.5615New Jersey0New Mexico5356306.39721.16191.0458New York2Ohio11573922.22400.62130.5720Oklahoma1Oregon131,5051,002.46821.50131.4269		3	•	•	•	•	
Missouri6386285.75301.35081.2211Mississippi1Montana3North Carolina4North Dakota3Nebraska3New Hampshire6290459.53750.63110.5615New Jersey0New Mexico5356306.39721.16191.0458New York2Ohio11573922.22400.62130.5720Oklahoma1Oregon131,5051,002.46821.50131.4269	-	-					
Mississippi 1 . <td< td=""><td></td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		5					
Montana 3 North Carolina 4 <		6	386	285.7530	1.3508	1.2211	
North Carolina 4 .	••	1				•	
North Dakota 3 . <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td></t<>				•	•	•	
Nebraska 3 .<			·	•		•	
New Hampshire 6 290 459.5375 0.6311 0.5615 New Jersey 0 .			•		•	•	
New Jersey 0 .			290	459 5375	0.6311	0 5615	
New Mexico 5 356 306.3972 1.1619 1.0458 Nevada 2 .			200	400.0070	0.0011	0.0010	
Nevada 2 . <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>356</td> <td>306.3972</td> <td>1.1619</td> <td>1.0458</td>	-	-	356	306.3972	1.1619	1.0458	
Ohio 11 573 922.2240 0.6213 0.5720 Oklahoma 1 . <t< td=""><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		2					
Oklahoma 1 .<		2					
Oregon 13 1,505 1,002.4682 1.5013 1.4269	Ohio	11	573	922.2240	0.6213	0.5720	
	Oklahoma	1					
Pennsylvania 7 413 466.4189 0.8855 0.8031		13					
	Pennsylvania	7	413	466.4189	0.8855	0.8031	

 Table 2. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary S

 Table 2b. Central line days (CLDs),

·	. 1				
Puerto Rico	0	•			
Rhode Island	0				
South Carolina	2				
South Dakota	0				
Tennessee	1				
Texas	9	142	521.1202	0.2725	0.2304
Utah	0				
Virginia	4				
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	4				
Washington	9	1,284	949.3997	1.3524	1.2800
Wisconsin	12	373	471.5508	0.7910	0.7137
West Virginia	7	263	335.5557	0.7838	0.6933
Wyoming	2			-	
All US	209	14,127	16,431.3803	0.8598	0.8457

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when the

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of de

4. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs. Data containe

URs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for critical access hospitals (CAHs), by device t critical care locations⁴

for SUR	SUR Facility-specific SURs						
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted	No. Facilities with ≥1 No. Facilities with SUR No. Facilities with SUR					
	Device Days	Significantly > N	National SUR	Significantly < N	National SUR	10%	25%
						•	· ·
		•	•	•		•	•
1.6471	15	9	60	3	20		
0.5793 0.8975 0.8083	16	4	13 25	4 5	27 31		
0.9308 0.8942 1.4908	4						
		· .				•	•
0.7069	6						·
1.2874	5						
0.6738	11	1	9	6	55		·
1.5786 0.9741	13 7	7	54	3	23		

0.8740	207	69	33	75	36	0.1827	0.3897
0.8829	7						
0.8744	12	4	33	5	42		
1.4280	9						
•							
0.3202	9						

re are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion ϵ or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq 1.0 predicte evice days in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calce in this table are reported from critical access hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are exclude

sype and patient population:

Median

50%	75%	90%
•	•	
•	•	
	•	
•	•	
•	•	•
•		
	•	
•	•	
•	•	
•	•	•
	_	

•	•	
	•	
-	-	
	•	•
•	•	·
•	•	· .
0.767	1.3077	2.1775
0./0/	1.3077	2.1//5

and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. ed device days in 2017.

sulated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Device days			<u>95% CI</u>	
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower	
Alaska	3					
Alabama	3					
Arkansas	12	2,092	1,825.1268	1.1462	1.0979	
Arizona	4					
California	31	5,387	4,901.0868	1.0991	1.0701	
Colorado	14	1,468	2,268.5058	0.6471	0.6146	
Connecticut	0					
D.C.	0	•	•	•	•	
Delaware Florida	0	1 200	2 616 4906		0 5021	
	8 13	1,388 4,861	2,616.4896 3,451.1648	0.5305 1.4085	0.5031 1.3693	
Georgia Guam	0	4,001	5,451.1040	1.4005	1.5095	
Hawaii	2	•		•	•	
lowa	48	7,678	7,408.2923	1.0364	1.0134	
Idaho	5	1,102	814.9080	1.3523	1.2742	
Illinois	35	8,381	5,835.9801	1.4361	1.4056	
Indiana	35	5,773	5,621.6208	1.0269	1.0007	
Kansas	53	9,859	6,247.6574	1.578	1.5471	
Kentucky	14	4,068	2,988.9631	1.361	1.3197	
Louisiana	6	2,329	1,265.9519	1.8397	1.7662	
Massachusetts	2					
Maryland	0					
Maine	15	5,273	4,672.8214	1.1284	1.0983	
Michigan Minnesete	27	2,389	3,816.6327	0.6259	0.6012	
Minnesota Missouri	31 20	4,634 4,777	3,865.1087 3,136.7772	1.1989 1.5229	1.1648 1.4802	
Mississippi	6	1,118	1,502.1725	0.7443	0.7016	
Montana	9	1,728	1,854.2957	0.9319	0.8887	
North Carolina	9	1,756	2,133.3597	0.8231	0.7853	
North Dakota	10	1,569	1,492.0486	1.0516	1.0005	
Nebraska	16	2,058	1,405.0090	1.4648	1.4025	
New Hampshire	13	2,729	3,335.4966	0.8182	0.7879	
New Jersey	0		•			
New Mexico	9	1,514	1,528.2831	0.9907	0.9417	
Nevada	2					
New York	5	486	1,025.8319	0.4738	0.433	
Ohio	19	3,820	3,470.9687	1.1006	1.0661	
Oklahoma	12	1,904	1,591.7922	1.1961	1.1433	
Oregon	20	3,528	3,677.7813	0.9593	0.928	
Pennsylvania	15	4,941	4,215.0294	1.1722	1.1399	

Table 2. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary STable 2c. Central line days

Puerto Rico	o				
Rhode Island	0	•	·	•	•
	0	•		•	
South Carolina	0	•	•	•	•
South Dakota	15	1,046	1,178.5436	0.8875	0.835
Tennessee	6	1,088	704.8728	1.5435	1.4539
Texas	23	5,076	3,585.0164	1.4159	1.3773
Utah	5	384	383.8021	1.0005	0.9041
Virginia	5	1,786	1,331.0142	1.3418	1.2807
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	7	1,926	1,651.7162	1.1661	1.1148
Washington	37	10,123	7,157.5877	1.4143	1.387
Wisconsin	58	12,900	10,254.1618	1.258	1.2365
West Virginia	16	2,429	2,962.7192	0.8199	0.7877
Wyoming	13	611	1,404.5509	0.435	0.4015
All US	716	140,276	123,063.4434	1.1399	1.1339

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when the

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of de

4. Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down, mixed acuity, and specialty care are

URs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for critical access hospitals (CAHs), by device t (CLDs), wards⁴

<u>for SUR</u>	Facility-specific SURs						
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1	No. Facilitie	s with SUR	No. Facilitie	s with SUR		
	Predicted Device Days	Significantly > N	National SUR	Significantly < N	National SUR	10%	25%
						•	
1.1961	12	5	42	6	50		•
1.1288	31	9	. 29	19	61	0.0436	0.2239
0.6809	14	4	29	7	50		
		•				•	•
	•	•		•		•	•
0.559	8	•	·	•			
1.4485	13	3	23	9	69		
1.0598	48	14	29	18	38	0.0538	0.7193
1.434 1.4671	5 35	16	46	15	43	0.0650	0.4214
1.0537	35	6	40	20	43 57	0.3041	0.4214
1.6094	53		36	29	55	0.0000	0.3041
1.4033	14	7	50	7	50		
1.9155	6						
							•
				. 7	47	•	•
1.1592 0.6514	15 27	5 2	33 7	7 21	47 78	0.0000	0.2579
1.2338	30	10	33	16	53	0.0000	0.2375
1.5665		12	60	4	20	0.4088	1.1203
0.7888	6						
0.9766							
0.8623	9						•
1.1046			50	5	50	•	•
1.5291 0.8493	16 13		44 15	5 10	31 77	•	•
0.0493	13	2	15	10		•	•
1.0415	9						
	-						
0.5173	5						
1.1359	19		21	13	68		
1.2508			33	4	33 65		0 4454
0.9913 1.2052			25 40	13 7	65 47	0.2083	0.4451
1.2002	10	0	40	ľ	-7	•	•

0.9426	15	6	40	8	53		
1.6374	6						
1.4552	23	8	35	11	48	0.0872	0.3816
1.1045	5						
1.4052	5						
1.219	7						
1.442	37	15	41	16	43	0.0523	0.6799
1.2798	58	14	24	34	59	0.0000	0.2171
0.8529	16	2	13	10	63		
0.4706	13	1	8	12	92		
1.1458	715	219	31	390	55	0.0433	0.4237

.

.

re are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion ϵ or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq 1.0 predicte evice days in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calc sas [including hematology/oncology, bone marrow transplant]). Data contained in this table are reported from critical satisfies the satisfies of the satisfies the satisfies of the satis

sype and patient population:

Median

50%	75%	90%
0.6811	1.4042	
0.0011	1.4042	2.2474
•	•	
1.0509	1.5544	2.0573
1.2961 0.8586	1.8459 1.1346	2.8709 2.1192
0.9492	1.6773	2.9103
•	•	
0.6423	0.8510	1.2083
0.7432 1.4975	1.5373 1.8496	2.5327 2.3077
•	•	
•	•	
	•	
	1.4396	1.0054
0.8391	1.4390	1.8951

	•	
	•	
1.2067	1.9493	2.5400
•	•	
		-
	•	
1.0997	1.9680	2.6716
0.6993	1.2438	2.3203
0.8877	1.4877	2.2474

and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details.

ed device days in 2017.

sulated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

al access hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are exclude

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Device days			<u>95% CI</u>
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
Alaska	5	1,723	2,965.5830	0.5810	0.5540
Alabama	4				
Arkansas	13	4,886	4,242.9317	1.1516	1.1196
Arizona California	4 30	16,840	13,809.1358	1.2195	1.2012
Colorado	20	4,208	5,721.4702	0.7355	0.7135
Connecticut	0	4,200	5,721.4702	0.7000	0.7155
D.C.	0	•	•	•	
Delaware	0	•	•	•	•
Florida	8	4,739	6,099.1961	0.7770	0.7551
Georgia	15	4,314	8,260.1555	0.5223	0.5069
Guam	0	.,			
Hawaii	2				
lowa	64	17,698	21,002.8276	0.8426	0.8303
Idaho	7	3,269	2,570.0026	1.2720	1.2290
Illinois	40	13,212	14,645.5398	0.9021	0.8868
Indiana	35	13,884	13,989.6798	0.9924	0.9760
Kansas	62	14,434	17,061.4279	0.8460	0.8323
Kentucky	15	7,474	7,089.4488	1.0542	1.0306
Louisiana	6	2,115	2,791.8205	0.7576	0.7258
Massachusetts	3				
Maryland	0				
Maine	15	8,265	10,357.5741	0.7980	0.7809
Michigan	29	6,937	9,115.5444	0.7610	0.7432
Minnesota	76	17,908	23,188.3658	0.7723	0.7610
Missouri	22	6,061	7,908.5655	0.7664	0.7473
Mississippi	8	2,245	3,247.7156	0.6913	0.6631
Montana	10	3,957	4,621.9638	0.8561	0.8298
North Carolina	10	5,778	6,633.4640	0.8710	0.8488
North Dakota	12	4,563	4,176.1091	1.0926	1.0613
Nebraska	25	4,226	6,257.3787	0.6754	0.6552
New Hampshire	13	7,333	7,943.2944	0.9232	0.9022
New Jersey	0	•	•	•	•
New Mexico	9	4,787	4,170.2366	1.1479	1.1157
Nevada	2				
New York	6	2,046	2,665.6557	0.7675	0.7348
Ohio	20	9,600	10,195.5253	0.9416	0.9229
Oklahoma	13	4,134	3,664.8404	1.1280	1.0940
Oregon	25	12,719	12,195.2467	1.0429	1.0249
Pennsylvania	15	9,563	9,687.0458	0.9872	0.9676
Puerto Rico	0	•	•	•	•

Table 3. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific suTable 3a. Urinary catheter days (I

Rhode Island	0				
South Carolina	5	1,575	2,410.9064	0.6533	0.6216
South Dakota	37	4,956	7,589.1495	0.6530	0.6351
Tennessee	6	1,322	1,470.0232	0.8993	0.8518
Texas	32	10,248	10,087.5908	1.0159	0.9964
Utah	7	904	1,268.6639	0.7126	0.6672
Virginia	5	3,125	3,732.4337	0.8373	0.8083
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	3				
Washington	37	18,175	18,118.7220	1.0031	0.9886
Wisconsin	58	20,314	22,935.1211	0.8857	0.8736
West Virginia	19	5,570	7,757.4237	0.7180	0.6994
Wyoming	15	2,682	3,118.8112	0.8599	0.8278
All US	867	294,796	335,989.8498	0.8774	0.8742

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when there

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than or

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of dev

4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). This excludes NICUs. Data conta

Immary SURs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for critical access hospitals (C UCDs), all locations⁴

for SUR		Faci	ity-specific SU	IRs		
			ny-specific 30			
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted Device Days	No. Facilities		No. Facilitie Significantly <		10%
	_	N		N		
0.6089	5	-				•
1.1842	13	7	54	. 2	15	
1.2380	30	17	57	13	43	0.3186
0.7580	20	9	45	8	43	0.3338
						•
0.7994	8				70	•
0.5380	15	2	13	11	73	•
		•		•		•
0.8552	64	26	41	24	38	0.4596
1.3162	7					
0.9176	40	21	53	12	30	0.4293
1.0090	35	12	34	15	43	0.4218
0.8599	62	22	35	26	42	0.2284
1.0784	15	6	40	4	27	•
0.7904	6					•
		•				•
0.8153	15	1	7	8	53	
0.7791	29	5	17	19	66	0.2319
0.7837	76	17	22	45	59	0.2386
0.7859	22	6	27	9	41	0.4744
0.7203	8					
0.8831	10	5	50	4	40	•
0.8937	10	4	40	4	40	
1.1247	12	6	50	3	25	. 0 1000
0.6960 0.9445	25 13	3 7	12 54	16 2	64 15	0.1283
0.9443	10	1	54	Z	10	•
1.1808	9					
0.8014	6					
0.9606	20	8	40	7	35	0.5446
1.1628	13	6	46	6	46	•
1.0612	25	13	52	10	40	0.5074
1.0071	15	6	40	4	27	
•	•				•	•

0.88	06 867	304	35	392	45	0.3103
0.89		4	27	6	40	<u> </u>
0.73		4	21	13	68	
0.898		18	31	29	50	0.3920
1.01		⁷ 18	49	14	38	
		· ·				
0.86	70 5	; .				
0.76	02 7					
1.03	57 32	. 17	53	12	38	0.2832
0.948	38 6					
0.67	14 37	6	16	21	57	0.1483
0.68	51 5					

 \Rightarrow are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to excl r less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had ≥ 1.0 g rice days in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neith ained in this table are reported from critical access hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs CAHs), by device type and patient population:

	Median		
25%	50%	75%	90%
0.5665 0.4834	1.1351 0.8417	1.4229 1.2559	1.7493 1.5039
	·	•	
•		•	
0.6426	0.8530	1.2318	1.4539
0.5639 0.6919	1.0387 0.8519	1.3812 1.0612	1.6895 1.9611
0.4711	0.8071	1.1836	1.5416
	•	•	
0.5207	0.7208	0.9281	1.4795
0.4611 0.6144	0.6704 0.7967	0.9642 1.0172	1.2543 1.3501
0.0144	0.7907	1.0172	1.5501
		•	
0.3845	0.5916	0.8589	1.0791
•	•	•	
•		•	
		•	
0.7645	0.8508	1.1186	1.2695
0.6962	0.9857	1.3365	1.5637
		•	

0.5317	0.8078	1.1492	1.5093
•	•	•	
0.5347	0.7934	1.0284	1.2070
0.6654	0.9368	1.2168	1.5107
0.5493	1.0200	1.4671	1.7318
0.3348	0.6499	0.9086	1.4510

lusion and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. predicted device days in 2017. Ther calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

are excluded.

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Dev	<u>95% CI</u>		
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
Alaska	1				
Alabama	1				
Arkansas	1				
Arizona	1	•	•	•	•
California	14	4,832	3,768.0066	1.2824	1.2466
Colorado	3				
Connecticut	0				
D.C.	0	•	•	•	•
Delaware	0	•	•	•	•
Florida	2		•	•	
Georgia	2		•	•	•
Guam Hawaii	0			•	
lowa	2	·		•	•
Idaho	3	·	•	•	•
Illinois	15	1,470	3,002.1516	0.4896	0.4651
Indiana	16	2,409	2,426.0310	0.9930	0.9539
Kansas	4	2,400	2,420.0010	0.0000	0.0000
Kentucky	3	·	•	•	•
Louisiana	1	•	•		•
Massachusetts	2				
Maryland	0				
Maine	3				<u>.</u>
Michigan	8	900	1,040.2452	0.8652	0.8101
Minnesota	10	1,121	1,654.9056	0.6774	0.6386
Missouri	7	808	814.9356	0.9915	0.9249
Mississippi	1				
Montana	3				
North Carolina	4				
North Dakota	3				
Nebraska	4				
New Hampshire	6	929	1,297.8360	0.7158	0.6709
New Jersey	0				
New Mexico	5	1,225	865.3338	1.4156	1.3380
Nevada	2			•	
New York	2				
Ohio	11	1,672	2,604.5658	0.6419	0.6117
Oklahoma	1				
Oregon	13	2,932	2,831.1930	1.0356	0.9986
Pennsylvania	7	1,163	1,317.2706	0.8829	0.8332

Table 3. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary STable 3b. Urinary catheter days (UCDs)

	al				
Puerto Rico	0				
Rhode Island	0				
South Carolina	0				
South Carolina	2				
Tennessee	1				
Texas	9	766	1,471.7592	0.5205	0.4846
Utah	0				
Virginia	4				
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	2				
Washington	9	2,814	2,681.3160	1.0495	1.0113
Wisconsin	12	1,346	1,331.7642	1.0107	0.9577
West Virginia	7	877	947.6838	0.9254	0.8657
Wyoming	2				
All US	210	37,237	45,097.4957	0.8257	0.8173

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when the

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of de

4. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs. Data containe

URs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for critical access hospitals (CAHs), by device t s), critical care locations⁴

for SUR Facility-specific SURs							
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted Device Days	acilities with ≥1 No. Facilities with SUR No. Facilities with S redicted Device			10%	25%	
	,	Ň		Ň			
		•		•			
1.3189	14	9	64	2	14		
1.3109			. 04	2			· .
			•			•	•
•	•		•		•	•	•
0.5152	15	7	47	4	27	•	•
1.0333			44	1	6		
						•	•
			•			•	•
0.9231	8						
0.7179			40	4	40		
1.0616							·
	•		•		•	•	•
0.7630						•	
1.4966	5		•	· ·		· ·	
		•		•			•
0.6733	11	2	18	7	64		
1.0736	13	5	38	2	15	•	•
0.9347	13 7						

. .	0.8341	210	89	42	57	27	0.3963	0.5938
. .				•	•			
. .	0.9882	7.						
. .			4	33	0	0		
. 								
. .								
0.5583 9							•	•
. .							•	•
. .						•		
. 	0.5583	9.						
. 		•					•	•
							•	•
		•	•	•	•			•
		•	•	•	•	•		•
		•		•	•		•	•

re are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion a or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had ≥ 1.0 predicte evice days in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calc ed in this table are reported from critical access hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are exclude

sype and patient population:

Median

50%	75%	90%	
			•
		•	•
		•	•
		•	•
		-	
		•	•
		•	•
			•
	•	•	•
	•	-	•
		-	
	•	-	•
		•	•
		•	•
•			
			•
		•	•
•	•	•	
	•	•	•
•		•	

	· ·	
· ·	· ·	
0.9035	1.3244	1.6423

and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. ed device days in 2017.

culated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Dev	<u>95% CI</u>		
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
		esserved	i iouiotou	CON	201101
Alaska	5	1,607	2,848.6460	0.5641	0.5371
Alabama	4	1,007	2,040.0400	0.0041	0.0071
Arkansas	13	4,725	4,159.5935	1.1359	1.1039
Arizona	4	•	•	•	
California	28	12,008	10,041.1292	1.1959	1.1746
Colorado	19	3,776	5,262.6160	0.7175	0.6949
Connecticut	0				
D.C.	0			•	
Delaware	0				. 7000
Florida	8	3,999	5,377.4807	0.7437	0.7209
Georgia Guam	14	3,757	7,364.5169	0.5101	0.4940
Hawaii	02	•	•	•	·
lowa	64	17,618	20,889.5140	0.8434	0.8310
Idaho	6	2,917	2,067.0088	1.4112	1.3607
Illinois	36	11,742	11,643.3882	1.0085	0.9903
Indiana	35	11,475	11,563.6488	0.9923	0.9743
Kansas	62	13,319	15,165.0721	0.8783	0.8634
Kentucky	14	6,219	6,168.4464	1.0082	0.9833
Louisiana	6	1,972	2,692.0123	0.7325	0.7007
Massachusetts	2				
Maryland	0				
Maine	15	7,989	10,021.9155	0.7972	0.7798
Michigan	29	6,037	8,075.2992	0.7476	0.7289
Minnesota	75	16,787	21,533.4602	0.7796	0.7678
Missouri	22	5,253	7,093.6299	0.7405	0.7207
Mississippi	7	2,149	3,068.1926	0.7004	0.6713
Montana North Caroline	10	3,720	4,183.8618	0.8891	0.8609
North Carolina North Dakota	9 12	4,268	4,784.2123	0.8921 1.1511	0.8656
Nebraska	24	4,213 3,480	3,659.9393 4,980.9537	0.6987	1.1167 0.6757
New Hampshire	13	6,404	6,645.4584	0.0987	0.9403
New Jersey	0	0,404	0,043.4304	0.9037	0.9403
New Mexico	9	3,562	3,304.9028	1.0778	1.0429
Nevada	2	0,002	0,001.0020	1.0110	1.0120
New York	6	1,634	2,361.6195	0.6919	0.6589
Ohio	20	7,928	7,590.9595	1.0444	1.0216
Oklahoma	13	3,926	3,527.8100	1.1129	1.0785
Oregon	25	9,787	9,364.0537	1.0452	1.0246
Pennsylvania	15	8,400	8,369.7752	1.0036	0.9823
-					

Table 3. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary STable 3c. Urinary catheter day

Puerto Rico	0				
Rhode Island	0				
South Carolina	5	1,348	1,968.8516	0.6847	0.6488
South Dakota	37	4,956	7,589.1495	0.6530	0.6351
Tennessee	6	1,251	1,421.6014	0.8800	0.8322
Texas	29	9,482	8,615.8316	1.1005	1.0785
Utah	7	904	1,268.6639	0.7126	0.6672
Virginia	5	2,240	2,746.8689	0.8155	0.7822
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	2				
Washington	37	15,361	15,437.4060	0.9951	0.9794
Wisconsin	58	18,968	21,603.3569	0.8780	0.8656
West Virginia	19	4,693	6,809.7399	0.6892	0.6697
Wyoming	14	2,506	2,935.6648	0.8536	0.8207
All US	847	257,559	290,892.3541	0.8854	0.8820

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when the

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of de

4. Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down, mixed acuity, and specialty care are

URs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for critical access hospitals (CAHs), by device t ^{rs} (UCDs), wards⁴

for SUR		Fac	ility-specific S	URs			
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted Device Days	No. Facilities	with SUR	No. Facilities Significantly <		10%	25%
0.5922	5	Ν		N			
		· · ·					
1.1687	13	7	54	2	15	•	•
1.2175	28	16	57	10	36	0.2593	0.5792
0.7407	19	8	42	7	37		
	·					•	•
0.7670	8						
0.5267	14	2	14	11	79		
	·		•			•	•
0.8559	64	25	39	26	41	0.4596	0.6426
1.4632	6						
1.0268	36	20	56	10	28	0.4326	0.5622
1.0106	35	11	31	16	46	0.4218	0.6919
0.8933 1.0335	62 14	22 6	35 43	27 4	44 29	0.2284	0.4711
0.7654	6	0	43	4	23	•	•
0.8148	15	2	13	8	53		•
0.7666	29	5	17	18	62	0.2319	0.4205
0.7914	75	16	21	45	60	0.2386	0.4775
0.7608 0.7305	22 7	5	23	10	45	0.4744	0.6144
0.9180	10	5	50	4	40	•	•
0.9191	9						
1.1862	12	6	50	3	25		
0.7222	24	3	13	16	67	0.1283	0.3645
0.9875	13	8	62	2	15	•	
1.1136	9						
1.1130	9	•	•	•		•	•
0.7261	6						
1.0676	20	9	45	6	30	0.5707	0.7889
1.1481	13	6	46	6	46		
1.0661	25	13 7	52	10	40	0.5074	0.6780
1.0252	15	1	47	5	33		

1					1		
	•		·	•		•	•
· · ·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
0.7220	5	•				-	
0.6714	37	6	16	23	62	0.1483	0.3348
0.9298	6						
1.1229	29	14	48	9	31	0.2533	0.5914
0.7602	7						
0.8498	5						
1.0109	37	19	51	13	35	0.3209	0.6654
0.8906	58	18	31	28	48	0.3920	0.5347
0.7091	19	3	16	13	68		
0.8875	14	4	29	7	50		
0.8889	847	297	35	384	45	0.3098	0.5322

re are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion a or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had ≥ 1.0 predicte evice days in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calc as [including hematology/oncology, bone marrow transplant]). Data contained in this table are reported from critica sype and patient population:

=

=

Median		
50%	75%	90%
1.1318	1.4816	1.7360
•	•	
•	•	
0.8530	1.2318	1.4691
1.1018	1.4260	1.7321
0.7844	1.1200	2.1273
0.0170		1.0407
•		
•	•	
0.6955 0.6831	0.9011 0.9552	1.4795 1.2938
0.7790	0.9854	1.3501
0.6044	0.8628	1.0766
0.9495	1.1188	1.5221
0.9886	1.4277	1.5637

1.0465	1.4080	1.7318
· ·	· ·	
1.0125 0.7990	1.2168 1.0284	1.5719 1.3176

and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. ed device days in 2017.

sulated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

al access hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are exclude

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Device days			<u>95% Cl</u>	
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower	
Alaska	2	•		•	•	
Alabama	0	•	•	•	•	
Arkansas	3	•	•		•	
Arizona						
California Colorado	14	905	387.9924	2.3325	2.1843	
Connecticut	3	•	•	•	•	
D.C.	0	•	•	•	•	
D.C. Delaware	0	•	•	•	•	
Florida	4	•	•	•		
Georgia	1	•		•	•	
Guam	0	•		•	•	
Hawaii	0	•	•	•	·	
lowa	3	•		•	•	
Idaho	2					
Illinois	6	8	50.5830	0.1582	0.0735	
Indiana	20	102	359.1885	0.2840	0.2327	
Kansas	4					
Kentucky	2					
Louisiana	1					
Massachusetts	1					
Maryland	0					
Maine	5	25	61.0401	0.4096	0.2709	
Michigan	13	53	153.2490	0.3458	0.2617	
Minnesota	4					
Missouri	4					
Mississippi	0					
Montana	4				•	
North Carolina	5	106	211.1690	0.5020	0.4130	
North Dakota	2		•			
Nebraska	0					
New Hampshire	6	120	174.6748	0.6870	0.5721	
New Jersey	0			•		
New Mexico	4			•	•	
Nevada	2	•	•	•	•	
New York	2				0.7560	
Ohio Oklahama	12	244	283.7782	0.8598	0.7569	
Oklahoma Oregon	2 10	170	188.2454	0.9031	0.7748	
Oregon Pennsylvania	9	652	198.1922	0.9031 3.2897	3.0444	
i ennoyivailla	9	052	130.1922	5.2091	5.0444	

Table 4. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary STable 4a. Ventilator days (VD

	al				
Puerto Rico	0	•	•	•	
Rhode Island	0				
South Carolina	3				
South Dakota	0				
Tennessee	2				
Texas	8	46	45.3540	1.0142	0.7512
Utah	0				
Virginia	3				
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont					
Washington	8	391	327.3117	1.1946	1.0805
Wisconsin	14	72	181.4164	0.3969	0.3128
West Virginia	5	89	133.9145	0.6646	0.5369
Wyoming	3				
All US	197	4,128	3,970.6094	1.0396	1.0083

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when the

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of de

4. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). This excludes NICUs. Data con

URs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for critical access hospitals (CAHs), by device t is), all locations⁴

for SUR		Fac	ility-specific S	<u>URs</u>			
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted Device Days	No. Facilities Significantly > I	s with SUR	No. Facilitie		10%	25%
	_	N		N			
		•	•			•	•
2.4883	11	9	82	2	18		
2.4000							
		•		•		•	•
		•					
0.3003	5	•		•		•	•
0.3433			0	14	70	0.0000	0.0000
•				•		•	•
						•	
0.5957	5	•		•		•	
0.4489		2	18	8	73		
				•		•	•
0.6046	5			•		•	
0.00+0		•					
0.8185	6	•	•			•	•
				•		•	•
0.9729	11	2	18	7	64	•	
1.0467							
3.5497	9 9	•		•	:		

1					1		
	•	•	•	•	-	•	•
	•	•	•	•		-	
					-		•
					-		
1.3411	6						
	•	•	•	•		•	•
						•	•
1.3175	7						
0.4969	14	1	7	7	50		
0.8139	5						
1.0717	180	32	18	98	54	0.0000	0.0000

re are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion a or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had ≥ 1.0 predicte evice days in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calc Itained in this table are reported from critical access hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are ex

sype and patient population:

٦

Median		
50%	75%	90%
	•	
•	•	
•	•	
•	•	
•	•	
0.0775	0.4824	0.8793
•	•	
•	•	
•	•	•
•	•	
•	•	
•	•	
•	•	
•	•	:

	•	
•		
•		

and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. ed device days in 2017.

culated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Dev	<u>vice days</u>		<u>95% CI</u>
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
Alaska	0	•		•	•
Alabama	0	•	•	•	•
Arkansas	0		•		•
Arizona California	10				
Colorado	10 2	905	379.3356	2.3858	2.2341
Connecticut	0	·	•		•
D.C.	0	•	•	•	•
Delaware	0		•	•	•
Florida	2	•		•	•
Georgia	1	·	•	•	·
Guam	0	•	•	•	
Hawaii	0				
lowa	1				
Idaho	1				
Illinois	4				
Indiana	16	99	338.1514	0.2928	0.2392
Kansas	2				
Kentucky	2				
Louisiana	1				
Massachusetts	1				
Maryland	0				
Maine	3			•	
Michigan	6	47	134.0668	0.3506	0.2605
Minnesota	2				
Missouri	4		•	•	•
Mississippi	0				
Montana	2				
North Carolina	3	•	•	•	•
North Dakota	2	•	•		•
Nebraska	0				
New Hampshire	5	120	162.0739	0.7404	0.6166
New Jersey New Mexico	0	•		•	
Nevada	3	·		•	•
New York	2	·	•		
Ohio	8	132	265.5165	0.4971	0.4176
Oklahoma	0	152	200.0100	0.4371	0.4170
Oregon	8	168	174.3787	0.9634	0.8258
Pennsylvania	5	129	173.0931	0.7453	0.6247
	и Ч	120	110.0001	0.1700	0.0247

Table 4. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary STable 4b. Ventilator days (VDs), cl

I	ام				
Puerto Rico	0	•	•	•	•
Rhode Island	0				
South Carolina	2				
South Dakota	0				
Tennessee	1				
Texas	6	45	38.659	1.164	0.8592
Utah	0				
Virginia	3				
Virgin Islands	0				
Vermont	0				
Washington	7	391	324.1019	1.2064	1.0912
Wisconsin	9	66	155.4624	0.4245	0.331
West Virginia	4				
Wyoming	2				
All US	133	3,359	3,684.0363	0.9118	0.8813

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when the

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of de

4. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs. Data containe

URs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for critical access hospitals (CAHs), by device t ritical care locations⁴

for SUR							
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted Device Days	ties ≥1 No. Facilities with S sted ce		ecific SURs SUR No. Facilities with SUR al SUR Significantly < National SUR			25%
	-	N		N		10%	
2.545	10	9	90	1	10		
2.040			90				
				•		•	•
	•		•	•		•	•
			•	•		•	•
0.3549	16	0	0	11	68.75		•
			•			•	
			•				
0.4622	6						·
	•		•	•		•	•
			•	•		•	•
0.8821	5						
		·	•			•	
0.5876	7						
1 1176	7						
1.1176 0.8825	5						

I					1		
	•	•		•	-		
	•	•	•	•		•	•
	•	•	•	•		•	
1.5437	5						
1.3305	7						
0.5367	9						
0.0001	0						
			•	•		•	•
· · ·							
0.943	127	28	22	66	52	0.0000	0.0620

re are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion a or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had ≥ 1.0 predicte evice days in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calc ed in this table are reported from critical access hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are exclude

sype and patient population:

Median

	50%	75%	90%
	•	•	•
	•	•	
	•	•	
	•	•	
	•	•	•
	•	•	
	•	•	
	•		•
· · · ·			
· · · ·			
· · · ·			

•	•	-
		-
•		
•	•	
0.4033	1.1524	2.2878

and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details. ed device days in 2017.

sulated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs. ed.

State	No. of Facilities	No. of Dev	<u>95% CI</u>		
		Observed	Predicted	SUR	Lower
Alaska	2				
Alabama	0				•
Arkansas	3				
Arizona	0				•
California	5	0	8.6568	0.0000	•
Colorado	2	•	•		
Connecticut D.C.	0	•	•	•	•
D.C. Delaware	0	•	•		·
Florida	2	•	•	•	•
Georgia	0		•	•	•
Guam	0	•		•	•
Hawaii	0			•	•
lowa	2				
Idaho	1				
Illinois	2				
Indiana	5	3	21.0372	0.1426	0.0363
Kansas	2				
Kentucky	1				
Louisiana	0				
Massachusetts	0				
Maryland	0				
Maine	2				
Michigan	7	6	19.1822	0.3128	0.1268
Minnesota	3		•	•	
Missouri	0				
Mississippi	0			•	•
Montana North Caroline	2	•	•		•
North Carolina	2		•	•	
North Dakota Nebraska	0	•	•	•	•
New Hampshire	3	•	·	•	•
New Jersey	0	•	·	•	•
New Mexico	2	•	•	•	•
Nevada	0	•		•	•
New York	0	•		•	
Ohio	7	112	18.2616	6.1331	5.0735
Oklahoma	2	•			
Oregon	4				
Pennsylvania	4				
-					

Table 4. State-specific standardized utilization ratios (SURs) and facility-specific summary STable 4c. Ventilator days (

84	769	286.5732	2.6834	2.4987
1				<u>.</u>
3				
8	6	25.954	0.2312	0.0937
2				
0				
0				
0				
0				
2		•		•
1	•	•	•	•
0	•	•	•	•
2	•	•	•	•
0	•	•		•
0	•	•	•	•
	3	0 . 2 . 0 . 1 . 2 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 2 . 8 . 6 . 3 . 1 .	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SUR calculation; SURs are not calculated when the

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted device day that had an SUR significantly greater than

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted number of de

4. Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down, mixed acuity, and specialty care are

URs using device days data reported to NHSN during 2017 for critical access hospitals (CAHs), by device t (VDs), wards⁴

for SUR		Fai	cility-specific S				
	N -	<u>r a</u>	enty-specific c	<u>/0113</u>			
Upper	No. Facilities with ≥1	No. Facilitie	s with SUR	No. Facilitie	es with SUR		
	Predicted Device Days	Significantly >	National SUR	Significantly <	National SUR	10%	25%
	Days	N N		N		1070	20 /0
0.3461	2						
							· ·
		•		•			
•		•	•	•	•		· ·
							· ·
0.3881	5	· ·					
		•	•				· ·
0.6506	5						· ·
		•					
							· ·
7.3511	6	•	•	•	·		· ·
							· ·

2.8782	70	5	7.1429	60	85.7143	0	0
				-		•	
						•	
0.4808	7					•	
						•	
						•	
						•	
			_				

re are less than 5 reporting facilities. This may be different from those reported in the SIR tables due to exclusion a or less than the nominal value of the national SUR. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had ≥ 1.0 predicte evice days in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of device days was <1.0, a facility-specific SUR was neither calc as [including hematology/oncology, bone marrow transplant]). Data contained in this table are reported from critica

sype and patient population:

Median

50%	75%	90%
•		
•	•	
•	•	•
•	•	
]
•	•	
•	•	
•	•	
•		
•		
•	•	
•		
•	•	
•		
		·
•	•	ŀ

•	•	
•	•	
0	0.1749	1.1492

and inclusion criteria. Refer to the technical appendix for details.

ed device days in 2017.

sulated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SURs.

al access hospitals; as such, data from ACHs, IRFs and LTACHs are exclude

Table 5. Changes in national standardized utilization ratios (SURs) using HAI data reported from all NHSNcritical access hospitals reporting during 2017 by HAI and patient population:Central line days (CLDs), urinary catheter days (UCDs), and ventilator days (VDs), 2017 compared to 2016

	2016 SUR	2017 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
CLDs, all locations ¹	1.1240	1.1069	-2%	DECREASE	0.0001
ICU ²	0.9146	0.8598	-6%	DECREASE	0.0000
Ward ³	1.1541	1.1399	-1%	DECREASE	0.0018
UCDs, all locations ¹	0.9174	0.8774	-4%	DECREASE	0.0000
	0.8391	0.8257	-2%	DECREASE	0.0323
	0.9302	0.8854	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000
VDs, all ¹	0.9564	1.0396	9%	INCREASE	0.0004
ICUs ²	0.8255	0.9118	10%	INCREASE	0.0001
Wards ³	2.6305	2.6834	2%	NO CHANGE	0.7106

* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs. This excludes LTAC locations (or facilities) and IRF lu

2. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations), NICUs, LTAC locations (or facilities), and IRF locations (

3. Data from all wards (for this table wards also include step-down and specialty care areas [including hematology/oncology, bone ma

ocations (or facilities). [or facilities]. urrow transplant]. This excludes LTAC locations [or facilities] and IRF locations [or facilities]).

NHSN Critical Access Hospitals 6a. Central line days (CLDs), all locations ¹ All Critical Access Hospitals Reporting to NHSN								
		All Critical	Access Hospita	als Reporting to NHSN				
State ²	2016 SUR	2017 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value			
Alaska	0.9794	0.7977	-19%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Alabama	0.6460	0.4951	-23%	DECREASE	0.0002			
Arkansas	1.2657	1.1452	-10%	DECREASE	0.0011			
Arizona	0.6464	0.8547	32%	INCREASE	0.0002			
California	1.0754	1.2064	12%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Colorado	0.6773	0.6584	3%	NO CHANGE	0.4967			
Connecticut								
D.C.								
Delaware								
Florida	0.3998	0.6114	53%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Georgia	1.3442	1.3423	0%	NO CHANGE	0.9458			
Guam								
Hawaii	2.3410	2.9651	27%	INCREASE	0.0000			
lowa	1.1569	1.0330	-11%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Idaho	1.5933	1.2679	-20%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Illinois	1.1346	1.2970	14%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Indiana	1.1260	1.0014	-11%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Kansas	1.6092	1.4764	-8%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Kentucky	1.3550	1.3046	4%	NO CHANGE	0.1858			
Louisiana	2.1694	1.8205	-16%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Massachusetts	1.8996	0.5346	-72%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Maryland	1 2655	. 1 1 2 7 9			0.000			
Maine Michigan	1.2655 0.5331	1.1278 0.6442	-11% 21%	DECREASE INCREASE	0.0000			
Minnesota	0.9490	1.1698	21%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Missouri	1.3141	1.5085	23 <i>%</i> 15%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Mississippi	0.7391	0.7428	0%	NO CHANGE	0.0000			
Montana	0.7846	0.9237	18%	INCREASE	0.0000			
North Carolina	0.6895	0.8271	20%	INCREASE	0.0000			
North Dakota	0.7103	1.0013	41%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Nebraska	1.1156	1.2584	13%	INCREASE	0.0003			
New Hampshire	1.0956	0.7955	-27%	DECREASE	0.0000			
New Jersey		0.1.000	2170	5201121102	0.0000			
New Mexico	0.5988	1.0193	70%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Nevada	1.3512	0.9984	-26%	DECREASE	0.0000			
New York	1.3426	0.4941	-63%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Ohio	0.5417	1.0000	85%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Oklahoma	1.1104	1.1705	5%	NO CHANGE	0.3154			
Oregon	1.0706	1.0754	0%	NO CHANGE	0.8241			
Pennsylvania	1.1902	1.1437	-4%	DECREASE	0.0393			
Puerto Rico								
Rhode Island								
South Carolina	0.7389	0.8635	17%	INCREASE	0.0030			
South Dakota	0.1281	0.8875	593%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Tennessee	2.9304	1.5138	-48%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Texas	1.1914	1.2708	7%	INCREASE	0.0015			
Utah	0.4838	1.0005	107%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Virginia	1.0233	1.1958	17%	INCREASE	0.0000			
Virgin Islands								
Vermont	1.2622	0.7643	-39%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Washington	1.4150	1.4071	1%	NO CHANGE	0.6717			
Wisconsin	1.2539	1.2375	1%	NO CHANGE	0.3117			
West Virginia	1.0290	0.8162	-21%	DECREASE	0.0000			
Wyoming All US	0.7118 1.1240	0.4553 1.1069	-36% - 2%	DECREASE DECREASE	0.0000			

* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs.

2. States without SUR either in 2016 and/or 2017 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

	6b. Urinary catheter days (UCDs), all locations ¹ All Critical Access Hospitals Reporting to NHSN					
	2016 SUR	2017 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value	
Alaska	0.5433	0.5810	7%	NO CHANGE	0.1068	
Alabama	0.7744	0.6400	-17%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Arkansas	1.3714	1.1516	-16%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Arizona	1.0171	0.8369	-18%	DECREASE	0.0000	
California	1.1764	1.2195	4%	INCREASE	0.0011	
Colorado	0.7653	0.7355	4%	NO CHANGE	0.0889	
Connecticut			•			
D.C.						
Delaware			•			
Florida	0.5852	0.7770	33%	INCREASE	0.0000	
Georgia	0.6478	0.5223	-19%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Guam			•			
Hawaii	1.0353	0.4929	-52%	DECREASE	0.0000	
lowa	0.8901	0.8426	-5%	DECREASE	0.0000	
ldaho	1.1693	1.2720	9%	INCREASE	0.0007	
Illinois	0.9367	0.9021	-4%	DECREASE	0.0028	
Indiana	0.9700	0.9924	2%	NO CHANGE	0.0604	
Kansas	0.9078	0.8460	-7%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Kentucky	1.0453	1.0542	1%	NO CHANGE	0.7002	
Louisiana	1.0171	0.7576	-26%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Massachusetts	1.3101	0.4980	-62%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Maryland						
Maine	0.8207	0.7980	3%	NO CHANGE	0.0715	
Michigan	0.7495	0.7610	2%	NO CHANGE	0.3953	
Minnesota	0.7710	0.7723	0%	NO CHANGE	0.8735	
Missouri	0.7850	0.7664	2%	NO CHANGE	0.2730	
Mississippi	0.4979	0.6913	39%	INCREASE	0.0000	
Montana	0.9039	0.8561	-5%	DECREASE	0.0136	
North Carolina North Dakota	0.9409	0.8710 1.0926	-7% 33%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Nebraska	0.8199 0.6712	0.6754	33% 1%	NO CHANGE	0.8039	
New Hampshire	0.9581	0.0754	-4%	DECREASE	0.0038	
New Jersey	0.9561	0.9232	-4 70	DECREASE	0.0241	
New Mexico	1.0982	1.1479	5%	NO CHANGE	0.0853	
Nevada	0.9307	1.0270	10%	INCREASE	0.0853	
New York	0.9602	0.7675	-20%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Ohio	0.7572	0.9416	24%	INCREASE	0.0000	
Oklahoma	0.9088	1.1280	24%	INCREASE	0.0000	
Oregon	1.0745	1.0429	-3%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Pennsylvania	1.0217	0.9872	-3%	DECREASE	0.0184	
Puerto Rico	1.0217	0.0072	-070	DEGREAGE	0.0104	
Rhode Island			•			
South Carolina	0.6159	0.6533	6%	NO CHANGE	0.1425	
South Dakota	0.9078	0.6530	-28%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Tennessee	0.7247	0.8993	24%	INCREASE	0.0005	
Texas	1.0638	1.0159	-5%	DECREASE	0.0008	
Utah	0.6977	0.7126	2%	NO CHANGE	0.6533	
Virginia	0.9182	0.8373	-9%	DECREASE	0.0002	
Virgin Islands	0.3102	0.0073	-370	DEGITEROL	0.0002	
-					0.000	
Vermont	0.9544	0.5579	-42%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Washington	1.0493	1.0031	-4%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Wisconsin	0.9756	0.8857	-9%	DECREASE	0.0000	
West Virginia	0.8183	0.7180	-12%	DECREASE	0.0000	
Nyoming	0.9604	0.8599	-10%	DECREASE	0.0000	

* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

2. States without SUR either in 2016 and/or 2017 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

	6c. Ventilator days (VDs), all locations ¹						
	All Critical Access Hospitals Reporting to NHSN						
	2016 SUR	2017 SUR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value		
Alaska	0.5409	0.5530	2%	NO CHANGE	0.9977		
Alabama							
Arkansas	0.1076	0.0000	100%	NO CHANGE	0.4787		
Arizona	1.3560	1.1524	15%	NO CHANGE	0.6270		
California	1.8615	2.3325	25%	INCREASE	0.0000		
Colorado	0.5228	0.9247	77%	NO CHANGE	0.0977		
Connecticut							
D.C.							
Delaware							
Florida	0.3682	4.6491	1163%	INCREASE	0.0000		
Georgia	0.1236	0.0792	36%	NO CHANGE	0.6439		
Guam							
Hawaii							
lowa	0.0000	0.0000	0%	DECREASE	0.0000		
Idaho	1.4136	3.1680	124%	INCREASE	0.0000		
Illinois	0.3059	0.1582	48%	NO CHANGE	0.0000		
Indiana	0.5845	0.1382	-51%	DECREASE	0.0000		
Kansas	0.1094	0.2040	-51%	NO CHANGE	0.0000		
	0.1094	0.1352	46%	INCREASE	0.4020		
Kentucky		2.4440		NO CHANGE			
Louisiana	0.9009		171%		0.1430		
Massachusetts	0.0927	0.3259	252%	NO CHANGE	0.1195		
Maryland					0 700		
Maine	0.4488	0.4096	9%	NO CHANGE	0.7381		
Michigan	0.7846	0.3458	-56%	DECREASE	0.0000		
Minnesota	3.5816	0.6135	-83%	DECREASE	0.0000		
Missouri	0.4266	0.4227	1%	NO CHANGE	0.9765		
Mississippi			•				
Montana	0.8406	0.3776	-55%	DECREASE	0.0026		
North Carolina	0.3814	0.5020	32%	NO CHANGE	0.1047		
North Dakota	0.2196	0.4962	126%	INCREASE	0.0347		
Nebraska							
New Hampshire New Jersey	1.3587	0.6870	-49%	DECREASE	0.0000		
New Mexico	1.3200	0.9562	28%	NO CHANGE	0.6105		
Nevada	2.0993	3.5886	71%	INCREASE	0.0063		
New York	0.5832	0.1652	-72%	DECREASE	0.0018		
Ohio	0.1683	0.8598	411%	INCREASE	0.0000		
Oklahoma	0.0000	0.0000	0%	DECREASE	0.0000		
Oregon	0.7566	0.9031	19%	NO CHANGE	0.1222		
Pennsylvania	3.6297	3.2897	9%	NO CHANGE	0.0772		
Puerto Rico							
Rhode Island	•		•				
			-		0 4057		
South Carolina	0.1628	0.0682	58%	NO CHANGE	0.1857		
South Dakota							
Tennessee	0.0000	0.0000	0%	DECREASE	0.0000		
Texas	3.5391	1.0142	-71%	DECREASE	0.0000		
Utah	· ·						
Virginia	0.3711	0.2843	23%	NO CHANGE	0.2156		
/irgin Islands							
/ermont							
Washington	1.3905	1.1946	-14%	DECREASE	0.0394		
Visconsin	0.5426	0.3969	-14%	DECREASE	0.039-		
West Virginia	0.7631	0.6646	13%	NO CHANGE	0.3266		
Nyoming	0.6948	0.1344	-81%	DECREASE	0.0023		
All US	0.9564	1.0396	9%	INCREASE	0.0004		

* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

2. States without SUR either in 2016 and/or 2017 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

Appendix A. Factors used in NHSN risk adjusted standard utilization ratios (SUR) calculation of the device utilization in Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs).

SUR Type	Validated Parameters for Risk Model
	Intercept Location type
	Intercept Location type
	Intercept Location type

Additional Resources

Technical Appendix: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/progress-report/tech-appendix.pdf *Explains the methodology used to procedure the HAI Progress Report.*

HAI Progress Report Home Page: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/progress-report/index.html

The complete HAI Progress Report, including state-specific fact sheets and the Executive Summary, can be fo

The new SUR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sur-guide-508.pdf

ound at the above website.