2017 National and State HAI Prc Report

Long-Term Acute Care Hospitals

Introduction: Welcome to the 2017 National and State HAI Progress Report using the 2015 baseline and risk adjustment calculations. Standardiz are used to describe different HAI types by comparing the number of observed infections to the number of predicted infections. The This report is created by CDC staff within the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN).

This workbook includes national and state-specific SIR data for long-term acute care hospitals (LTACHs).

Scope of report:	HAI Type	LT/	ACH					
		National	State					
	Central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI) by locations	þ	þ					
	Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) by locations							
	Ventilator-associated events (VAE) by locations	þ	þ					
	Hospital-onset methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) bacteremia by facility-wide reporting	þ	þ					
	Hospital-onset Clostridioides difficile (CDI) by facility-wide reporting	þ	þ					

gress

ed infection ratios (SIRs) 2017 SIRs are compared to previous year's SIRs.

2017 Annual National and State HAI Progress Report Long-term Acute Care Hospitals: Full series of tables for all national and state-specific data

Table 1	 National standardized infection ratios (SIRs) for the following HAIs from Long-term Acute Ca 1a. Central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI) 1a. Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) 1a. Ventilator-associated events (VAE) 1a. Infection-related ventilator-associated complication and possible ventilator-associated pi 1b. Hospital-onset methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) bacteremia 1b. Hospital-onset Clostridioides difficile (CDI)
Table 2	State-specific SIRs for CLABSI from LTACHs for all locations combined
Table 3	State-specific SIRs for CAUTI from LTACHs for all locations combined
Table 4	State-specific SIRs for VAE from LTACHs
Table 5	State-specific SIRs for hospital-onset MRSA bacteremia from LTACHs
Table 6	State-specific SIRs for hospital-onset CDI from LTACHs
Table 7	Changes in national SIRs for CLABSI, CAUTI, VAE, hospital-onset MRSA bacteremia, and
Table 8	Changes in state-specific SIRs between 2016 and 2017 from LTACHs 8a. CLABSI, all locations combined 8b. CAUTI, all locations combined 8c. VAE, all locations combined 8d. Hospital-onset MRSA bacteremia 8e. Hospital-onset CDI
Appendix A	Factors used in NHSN risk adjustment of the device-associated HAIs (CLABSI, CAUTI, VAE
Appendix B	Factors used in NHSN risk adjustment of the MRSA Bacteremia and CDI negative binomial

- Additional Resources SIR Guide Technical Appendix HAI Progress Report Home Page
- **NOTE:** Tables contain data from Long-term Acute Care Hospitals (LTACHs); as such, they exclude

are Hospitals (LTACHs):

neumonia (IVAC-Plus)

hospital-onset CDI between 2016 and 2017 from LTACHs

E) negative binomial regression models from LTACHs

regression models from LTACHs

data from Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities (IRFs), Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs), and Acute Care Hospitals (At

CHs).

HAI and Patient Population	R	eporting Hospitals			<u>Standardize</u>	
	No. of Long Term Acute Care Hospitals Reporting ¹	Total Patient Days	Total Device Days	Observed Events	Predicted Events	
CLABSI, all⁴	470	5,467,837	2,526,315	2,382	2,826.560	
ICUs⁵	81	279,818	141,415	256	301.640	
Wards ⁶	463	5,188,019	2,384,900	2,126	2,524.920	
CAUTI, all ⁷	470	5,430,821	1,910,985	2,862	3,040.180	
	81	278,011	120,984	169	263.220	
	463	5,152,810	1,790,001	2,693	2,776.960	
VAE. all ⁷	458	4.875.024	1.144.852	1.732	1.945	
,	80	268.659	102.331	268	206	
	430	4,606,365	1,042,521	1,464	1,739	

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SIR calculation.

2. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted infection (event) that had an SIR significantly greater than or less than the nominal value of the national value of the na

3. Facility-specific percentiles are only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted HAI in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of HAIs was <

4. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations), and NICUs.

5. Data from all ICUs; excludes wards (and other non-critical care locations) and NICUs. For VAE, pediatric locations are excluded from SIR since p

6. Data from all wards (for this table, wards also include step-down and specialty care areas [including hematology/oncology, bone marrow transplan

7. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations). This excludes NICUs. For VAE, pediatric locations are excluded from SIR sin IVAC-plus includes those events identified as infection-related ventilator-associated condition (IVAC) and possible ventilator-associated pneumoni

NOTE: Risk factors used in the calculation of the number of predicted device-associated infections are listed in Appendix A.

Table 1a. National standardized infection ratios (SIRs) and facility-specific summary SIRs using HAI data reported to NHSN during 2017 by Central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs), catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) and ventilate

ed Infection Ratio Data									
SIR	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted Infection (Event)	No. Facilitie Significantly >	es with SIR • National SIR	No. Facilities Significantly <	s with SIR National SIR		
	-			Ν	%²	Ν		5%	10%
0.843	0.809	0.877	452	51	11%	58	13%	0.000	0.000
0.849	0.749	0.958	75	10	13%	6	8%	0.000	0.000
0.842	0.807	0.878	444	48	11%	54	12%	0.000	0.000
0.941	0.907	0.976	456	69	15%	63	13%	0.000	0.000
0.642	0.551	0.745	74	3	4%	3	4%	0.000	0.000
0.970	0.934	1.007	447	64	14%	63	14%	0.000	0.000
0.891	0.849	0.933	308	54	18%	55	18%	0.000	0.000
1.300	1.151	1.463	52	11	21%	9	17%	0.000	0.000
0.842	0.800	0.886	284	48	17%	50	18%	0.000	0.000

onal SIR for the given HAI type. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \ge 1.0 predicted HAI in 2017.

<1.0, a facility-specific SIR was neither calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SIRs.

ediatric and neonatal locations are excluded from VAE surveillance.

t]). For VAE, pediatric locations are excluded from SIR since pediatric and neonatal locations are excluded from VAE surveillance. nee pediatric and neonatal locations are excluded from VAE surveillance. Total VAE includes IVAC-plus events.

a (pVAP). IVAC-plus events are a subset of the total VAE, meaning the IVAC-plus events are included in the total VAE SIR as well.

Percentile Distribution of Facility-specific SIRs³

							Median					
15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%	55%	60%	65%	70%	75%
0.000	0.204	0.300	0.375	0.482	0.559	0.644	0.713	0.804	0.890	0.982	1.114	1.267
0.035	0.231	0.270	0.326	0.454	0.497	0.612	0.665	0.739	0.808	1.026	1.150	1.469
0.000	0.189	0.298	0.365	0.475	0.571	0.637	0.712	0.775	0.877	0.973	1.123	1.281
0.161	0.273	0.355	0.446	0.559	0.635	0.723	0.776	0.881	0.976	1.104	1.231	1.377
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.202	0.383	0.455	0.518	0.612	0.680	0.815	0.864	0.887
0.156	0.265	0.354	0.471	0.576	0.637	0.731	0.789	0.903	0.995	1.130	1.253	1.461
0.000	0.000	0.031	0.173	0.300	0.424	0.506	0.624	0.729	1.011	1.278	1.455	1.625
0.000	0.000	0.187	0.248	0.428	0.435	0.760	0.918	1.070	1.196	1.499	2.030	2.463
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.124	0.235	0.369	0.440	0.536	0.657	0.864	1.129	1.342	1.557

80%	85%	90%	95%
1.411	1.705	2.016	2.647
1.608	1.794	2.115	2.766
1.461	1.708	1.987	2.648
1.607	1.877	2.180	2.796
0.962	1.298	1.543	2.136
1.675	1.929	2.201	2.752
1.909	2.305	2.753	3.842
2.552	3.842	3.893	4.958
1.714	2.099	2.581	3.316

HAI and Patient Population		Reporting Hospitals					
		Total Admissions ²	Total Patient Days³	Community-onset events	Observed Hospital- onset Events⁴		
MRSA bacteremia, facility-wide ⁴	468	226,589	5,536,825	91	712		
Hospital-onset <i>C. difficile,</i> facility-wide⁴	466	227,854	5,577,059	543	4,233		

1. The number of reporting facilities included in the SIR calculation.

2. Total inpatient admissions reported from all inpatient locations.

3. Total patient days reported from all inpatient units, excluding counts from CMS-certified rehabilitation and psychiatric locations. Patient days for C. dil

4. Hospital-onset events are defined as those that were identified in an inpatient location on the 4th day (or later) after admission to the facility.

5. Calculated from a negative binomial regression model. Risk factors used in the calculation of the number of predicted events are listed in Appendix B

6. Percent of facilities with at least one predicted event that had an SIR significantly greater than or less than the nominal value of the national SIR for the

7. Percentile distribution of facility-specific SIRs. This is only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted HAI in 2017. If a facility's predicted nur

 Table 1b. National standardized infection ratios (SIRs) and facility-specific summary SIRs using HAI data reported to NHSN during 2017 t

 hospital-onset methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) bacteremia and hospital-onset Clostridioic

Standardized	Standardized Infection Ratio Data			Facility SIRs Compared to National SIR					
Predicted Hospital- onset Events⁵	SIR	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	No. Facilities with ≥1 Predicted Event	No. Facilitie Significantly >	es with SIR National SIR	No. Facilitie Significantly <	es with SIR National SIR	
					Ν	% ⁶	Ν		5%
755.397	0.943	0.875	1.014	319	40	13%	12	4%	0.000
5,503.278	0.769	0.746	0.793	458	59	13%	68	15%	0.000

ficile further excludes counts from NICUs and well-baby units.

e given HAI type. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had \geq 1.0 predicted HAI in 2017. nber of events was <1.0, a facility-specific SIR was neither calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SIRs.

Percentile Distribution of Facility-specific SIRs⁷

				Median								
10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%	55%	60%	65%	70%
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.057	0.449	0.574	0.700	0.782	0.889	1.049	1.349
0.150	0.251	0.341	0.408	0.501	0.563	0.619	0.689	0.733	0.797	0.846	0.923	0.990

75%	80%	85%	90%	95%
1.610	1.909	2.040	2.387	2.868
1.064	1.177	1.308	1.553	1.854

Table 2. State-specific standardized infection ratiNHSN Long-Term Acute Care Ho

Central line-associated bloodstream ir

				No. of Infections			<u>95% CI</u>
State	State NHSN Mandate ²	Any Validation³	No. of LTACHs Reporting⁴	Observed	Predicted	SIR	Lower
Alaska	No	No	1				
Alabama	No	Yes	9	16	34.534	0.463	0.274
Arkansas			7	16	25.977	0.616	0.365
Arizona	No	No	7	8	29.568	0.271	0.126
California	Yes	Yes	24	314	307.693	1.020	0.912
Colorado	Yes	No	8	21	35.101	0.598	0.380
Connecticut	Yes	No	3				
D.C.	Yes	Yes	2		•	•	
Delaware			1		•	•	
Florida	No	No	28	138	216.338	0.638	0.538
Georgia	Yes	Yes	16	78	83.854	0.930	0.740
Guam	No	No	1				
Hawaii	Yes	No	1				
lowa	No	No	3				
Idaho	No	No	3	-			
Illinois	Yes	No	9	120	109.245	1.098	0.915
Indiana	Yes	No	13	99	85.668	1.156	0.944
Kansas	No	No	4				
Kentucky	Yes	No	9	87	52.550	1.656	1.334
Louisiana	No	Yes	34	106	127.250	0.833	0.685
Massachusetts	No	No	14	73	81.625	0.894	0.706
Maryland	No	No	2				
Maine	No	No	1				
Michigan	No	No	21	69	75.659	0.912	0.715
Minnesota	No	No	2				
Missouri			11	40	52.710	0.759	0.549
Mississippi	Yes	No	9	26	46.077	0.564	0.376
Montana	No	No	1				
North Carolina	No	No	10	54	69.778	0.774	0.587
North Dakota	NO	No	2		•	•	•
Nebraska	Yes	No	4		•	•	•
New Hampshire	NO	NO	1				
New Jersey	NO	NO	12	67	75.711	0.885	0.691
	NO	NO	3				
Nevada	Yes	Yes	10	42	71.693	0.586	0.428
New York			1				
Ohio	No	Yes	31	115	1/1.406	0.671	0.556
			13	49	53.258	0.920	0.688
Oregon	Yes	Yes					
Pennsylvania	Yes	Yes	22	91	86.482	1.052	0.852
Puerto Rico			1		•	•	
Rhode Island	No No	No	1	l .	•	•	•

All US			470	2,382	2,826.560	0.843	0.809
Wyoming	No	No	1				
West Virginia	Yes	No	4				
Wisconsin	No	Yes	6	22	34.098	0.645	0.415
Washington	Yes	No	3				
Vermont	No	No	1				
Virgin Islands	No	No	1				
Virginia	No	No	6	41	34.176	1.200	0.872
Utah	Yes	No	4				
Texas	No	No	80	430	520.512	0.826	0.751
Tennessee	Yes	Yes	9	49	54.666	0.896	0.670
South Dakota	No	Yes	1				
South Carolina	Yes	Yes ^a	6	28	35.641	0.786	0.532

1. Includes data reported from all locations (i.e., adult and pediatric critical care units and wards) within LTACHs.

2. Yes indicates the presence of a state mandate to report CLABSI data from any location to NHSN at the beginnir No indicates that a state mandate did not exist during 2017.

3. Yes indicates that the state health department reported the completion of all of the following validation activities: assessment of missing or implausible values on at least six months of 2017 NHSN data prior to July 1, 2018, an Yes^A indicates that the state also conducted an audit of facility medical or laboratory records prior to July 1, 2018 varies by state). Information on validation efforts was requested from all states, regardless of the presence of a reporting of a given HAI to the state health department have performed validation on NHSN data that is voluntar

4. The number of LTACHs that reported 2017 CLABSI data and are included in the SIR calculation. SIRs and acc from at least one location in 2017.

5. Percent of facilities with ≥1.0 predicted CLABSI that had an SIR significantly greater or less than the nominal val ≥ 1.0 predicted CLABSI in 2017.

6. Facility-specific key percentiles were only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted CLABSI in 2017. nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SIRs.

ios (SIRs) and facility-specific SIR summary measures, spitals (LTACHs) reporting during 2017

for SIR	Fac	cility-specific SI	Facility-specific SIRs at Key Percer				
Upper	No. of facs with at least 1 predicted CLABSI	% of facs with SIR sig higher than national SIR⁵	% of facs with SIR sig lower than national SIR⁵	10%	25%	Median (50%)	75%
0.736	8	•			•		
0.979	7			•	•	•	•
0.014	1	20%	0%		0.531	0.743	1 / 20
1.130 0.800	23	30%	970	0.090	0.551	0.743	1.439
0.033	1				•	•	
0.751	28	7%	29%	0.000	0.179	0.347	0.871
1.155	15	7%	0%				
						•	-
					•		
1.309	9				•	•	-
1.401	13	31%	0%		•	•	
0.000					•	•	-
2.032	9	170/	170/				1 612
1.003	29	90/	17 %	0.000	0.000	0.497	1.013
1.110	15	070	1370	•	•	•	-
				•	•	•	•
1.147	21	14%	10%	0.000	0.149	0.507	1.126
1.023	11	9%	27%				
0.815	9						
1.002	10	0%	10%				
						•	-
					•		
						•	
1.117	11	0%	9%				
0 704	10	100/	10%	•	•	•	•
0.704	10	10%	10%		•		
0 802	31	3%	19%	0.000	0.000	0.682	1 161
1 206	12	17%	8%	0.000	0.000	0.002	1.101
1.200			0,0				
1.286	22	18%	5%	0.038	0.334	0.707	1.731

nfections (CLABSIs) in LTACHs, all locations¹

0.877	408	11%	13%	0.000	0.300	0.713	1.267
						•	
				•	•	•	•
0.961	6						
1.612	6						
0.907	76	11%	13%	0.105	0.391	0.805	1.209
1.175	9			•	•		
1.120	6						

ng of 2017. M indicates midyear implementation of a mandate.

state health department had access to 2017 NHSN data, state health department performed an d state health department contacted identified facilities.

8 to confirm proper case ascertainment (although intensity of auditing activities

legislative mandate for the particular HAI type. Some states without mandatory

ily shared with them by facilities in their jurisdiction.

ompanying statistics are only calculated for states in which at least 5 LTACHs reported CLABSI data

lue of the 2017 national LTACH CLABSI SIR of 0.843. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had

If a facility's predicted number of CLABSI was <1.0, a facility-specific SIR was neither calculated

<u>tiles⁶</u>]
90%	-
2.133	3
1.297	7
2.485	
2.586	
	·
•	
1.527	, ,
•	
2.957	



Table 3. State-specific standardized infection ratiNHSN Long-Term Acute Care Ho

Catheter-associated urinary tract inf

				<u>No. of In</u>	fections		<u>95% CI</u>
State				Observed	Predicted	SIR	Lower
Alaska	No	No	1				
Alabama	No	Yes	9	38	34.732	1.094	0.785
Arkansas			7	38	32.958	1.153	0.828
Arizona	No	No	7	31	34.349	0.903	0.624
California	No	No	24	346	360.093	0.961	0.864
Colorado	No	No	8	86	62.842	1.369	1.101
Connecticut	Yes	No	3			-	
D.C.	Yes	Yes	2			-	
Delaware			1			-	
Florida	No	No	28	180	273.302	0.659	0.568
Georgia	Yes	Yes	16	172	133.781	1.286	1.104
Guam		No				-	
Hawaii	Yes	No				-	
lowa	No	No	3				
Idaho	No	No	3				
Illinois	No	No	9	116	124.254	0.934	0.775
Indiana	Yes	No	13	103	78.584	1.311	1.075
Kansas	No	No	4				
Kentucky	Yes	No	9	62	65.286	0.950	0.734
Louisiana	No	Yes	34	84	132.085	0.636	0.510
Massachusetts	No	No	14	109	79.154	1.377	1.136
Maryland	Yes	No	2				
Maine	No	No					
Michigan	No	No	21	76	79.377	0.957	0.760
Minnesota	No	No	2				
Missouri	No		11	60	56.899	1.055	0.812
Mississippi	Yes	No	9	20	52.996	0.377	0.237
Montana	No	No	1				
North Carolina	No	No	10	40	59.076	0.677	0.490
North Dakota	No	No	2				
Nebraska	Yes	No	4				
New Hampshire	No	No					
New Jersey	No	No	12	96	76.158	1.261	1.027
New Mexico	No	No	3				
Nevada	No	No	10	68	62.444	1.089	0.852
New York			1				
Ohio	No	Yes	31	167	168.850	0.989	0.847
Oklahoma			13	45	70.557	0.638	0.471
Oregon	Yes	Yes	1				
Pennsylvania	Yes	Yes	22	96	80.581	1.191	0.970
Puerto Rico							
Rhode Island	No	No	1				

South Carolina	No	No	6	36	36.614	0.983	0.699
South Dakota	No	Yes	1				
Tennessee	Yes	Yes	9	48	53.060	0.905	0.675
Texas	No	No	80	338	480.054	0.704	0.632
Utah	No	No	4				
Virginia	No	No	6	36	47.449	0.759	0.539
Virgin Islands	No	No					
Vermont	No	No				-	
Washington	No	No	3				
Wisconsin	No	Yes	6	41	32.662	1.255	0.913
West Virginia	Yes	No	4				
Wyoming	No	No					
All US			470	2,862	3040.180	0.941	0.907

1. Includes data reported from all locations (i.e., adult and pediatric critical care units and wards) within LTACHs.

2. Yes indicates the presence of a state mandate to report CAUTI data from any location to NHSN at the beginnin No indicates that a state mandate did not exist during 2017.

3. Yes indicates that the state health department reported the completion of all of the following validation activities: assessment of missing or implausible values on at least six months of 2017 NHSN data prior to July 1, 2018, an

varies by state). Information on validation efforts was requested from all states, regardless of the presence of a reporting of a given HAI to the state health department have performed validation on NHSN data that is voluntar

- 4. The number of LTACHs that reported 2017 CAUTI data and are included in the SIR calculation. SIRs and acco from at least one location in 2017.
- 5. Percent of facilities with ≥1.0 predicted CAUTI that had an SIR significantly greater or less than the nominal valu ≥ 1.0 predicted CAUTI in 2017.
- 6. Facility-specific key percentiles were only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted CAUTI in 2017. If nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SIRs.

ios (SIRs) and facility-specific SIR summary measures, spitals (LTACHs) reporting during 2017

fections (CAUTIs	s) in LTACHs, all locations ¹	

for SIR	Fa	cility-specific SI	<u>Rs</u>				
Upper	No. of facs with at least 1 predicted CAUTI			10%	25%		75%
1.486	8						
1.566	7						
1.265	7						
1.066	24	21%	17%	0.283	0.398	0.817	1.067
1.682	8						
0.760	28	14%	29%	0.000	0.245	0.601	0.929
1.489	15	33%	7%				
			-				
1.116	9						
1.583	13	23%	0%				
1.209	9						
0.783	30	0%	13%	0.000	0.000	0.754	1.045
1.655	14	43%	14%				
	•						
1.192	20	10%	20%	0.000	0.000	0.353	1.200
1.348	11	9%	9%				
0.573	9						
0.913	10	0%	20%				
1.532	11	36%	9%				
1.372	10	20%	20%				
1.148	31	16%	13%	0.000	0.341	0.697	1.407
0.846	11	0%	0%				
1.448	22	27%	5%	0.225	0.370	0.987	2.064
							-

0.976	456	15%	13%	0.000	0.355	0.776	1.377
							-
1.687	6						-
							-
1.039	6						
0.782	77	4%	13%	0.000	0.339	0.628	0.861
1.189	9						-
1.346	6						

g of 2017. M indicates midyear implementation of a mandate.

state health department had access to 2017 NHSN data, state health department performed an id state health department contacted identified facilities.

legislative mandate for the particular HAI type. Some states without mandatory ily shared with them by facilities in their jurisdiction. mpanying statistics are only calculated for states in which at least 5 LTACHs reported CAUTI data

ie of the 2017 national LTACH CAUTI SIR of 0.941. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had

¹ a facility's predicted number of CAUTI was <1.0, a facility-specific SIR was neither calculated

90%
1 847
1.047
1.778
1 309
1.000
1.837
·
· · · ·
. 2.463
2.999

	1.38	6
	2.18	0

Table 4. State-specific standardized infection rationNHSN Long-Term Acute Care Ho

Ventilator-associated event

StateObservedPredictedSIRLowAlaskaNoNo1AlabamaNoNo91623.9110.669Arkansas72625.0091.040ArizonaNoN71224.7830.484CaliforniaNoNo23184267.4960.688ColoradoNoNo81416.0900.870ConnecticutNoYes2D.C.NoYes2Delaware1FloridaNoNo166674.3260.888GuamNoNo0HawaiiYesNo0IowaNoNo3	<u>5% CI</u>
State Observed Predicted SIR Low Alaska No No No 1 . . . Alabama No No No 9 16 23.911 0.669 Arkansas . . 7 26 25.009 1.040 Arizona No No No 7 12 24.783 0.484 California No No 23 184 267.496 0.688 Colorado No No 8 14 16.090 0.870 Connecticut No No 8 14 16.090 0.870 Dcl. No Yes 2 Delaware 1 Florida No No 28 205 194.498 1.054 Georgia No No 0 . .	
Alaska No No 1 Alabama No No No 9 16 23.911 0.669 Arkansas 7 26 25.009 1.040 Arizona No N 7 12 24.783 0.484 California No No No 23 184 267.496 0.688 Colorado No No No 8 14 16.090 0.870 Connecticut No No Yes 2 D.C. No Yes 2 Delaware 1 Florida No No 28 205 194.498 1.054 Georgia No No 16 66 74.326 0.888 Guam No No 0 Hawaii Yes No 0	ər
Alabama No No 9 16 23.911 0.669 Arkansas 7 26 25.009 1.040 Arizona No N 7 12 24.783 0.484 California No No No 23 184 267.496 0.688 Colorado No No No 8 14 16.090 0.870 Connecticut No No Yes 2 . . . D.C. No Yes 2 Delaware 1 Florida No No 28 205 194.498 1.054 Georgia No No 16 66 74.326 0.888 Guam No No 0 . . . Hawaii Yes No 0 . . . Iowa No No 3 . . . <td></td>	
Arkansas No N 7 26 25.009 1.040 Arizona No N 7 12 24.783 0.484 California No No 23 184 267.496 0.688 Colorado No No No 8 14 16.090 0.870 Connecticut No 2 D.C. No Yes 2 Delaware 1 Florida No No 28 205 194.498 1.054 Georgia No No 16 66 74.326 0.888 Guam No No 0 . . . Hawaii Yes No 0 . . . Iowa No No 3 . . .	0.396
Arizona No No No 7 12 24.783 0.484 California No No No 23 184 267.496 0.688 Colorado No No No 8 14 16.090 0.870 Connecticut No 2 D.C. No Yes 2 Delaware 1 Florida No No No 28 205 194.498 1.054 . Georgia No No No 16 66 74.326 0.888 . Guam No No 0 0 Iowa No No No No Iowa No No No No 	0.694
California No No 23 184 267.496 0.688 Colorado No No No 8 14 16.090 0.870 Connecticut No 2 D.C. No Yes 2 Delaware 1 Florida No No No 28 205 194.498 1.054 . Georgia No No No 16 66 74.326 0.888 . Guam No No 0 0 Hawaii Yes No 0 Iowa No No 3 	0.262
Colorado No No 8 14 16.090 0.870 Connecticut No 2 . <t< td=""><td>0.594</td></t<>	0.594
Connecticut No 2 . <t< td=""><td>0.495</td></t<>	0.495
D.C. No Yes 2 . . Delaware 1 . . . Florida No No 28 205 194.498 1.054 Georgia No No 16 66 74.326 0.888 Guam No No 0 . . . Hawaii Yes No 0 . . . Iowa No No 3 . . .	•
Delaware No No No 28 205 194.498 1.054 Georgia No No No 16 66 74.326 0.888 Output Output	•
Florida No No 28 203 194.496 1.034 Georgia No No 16 66 74.326 0.888 Guam No No 0 . . . Hawaii Yes No 0 . . . Iowa No No 3 . . .	
Georgia No No <t< td=""><td>0.917</td></t<>	0.917
Hawaii Yes No 0 . <	0.092
lowa No No 3	•
	•
	•
Illinois Ves No 9 116 80 871 1 434	1 101
Indiana No No 13 75 50 570 1 483	1 175
Kansas No No 4	1.170
Kentucky Ves No 9 58 46 280 1 253	0.960
Louisiana No No 29 27 10.046 2.688	1 807
Massachusetts No No 13 59 73 381 0 804	0.618
Massachuseus No No 1	0.010
Maine No No O	•
Michigan No No 21 85 66 549 1 277	. 1 027
Minnesota No No 2	1.027
Missouri 11 31 31 608 0 981	. 678
Mississippi Yes No 9 60 23 152 2 592	1 995
Montana No No 1	
North Carolina No No 10 37 47.908 0.772	0.552
North Dakota No No 2	
Nebraska Yes No 4	
New Hampshire No No 0	
New Jersev No No 11 31 76.095 0.407	0.282
New Mexico No No 3	
Nevada No No 10 7 23.640 0.296	0.130
New York 1	
Ohio No No 31 109 117.686 0.926	0.764
Oklahoma 12 21 18.084 1.161	0.738
Oregon No No 1	
Pennsylvania Yes Yes 22 69 67.178 1.027	0.805
Puerto Rico 0	
Rhode Island No No 1	

All US			458	1,732	1,945	0.891	0.849
Wyoming	No	No	0				
West Virginia	Yes	No	4				
Wisconsin	No	Yes	6	32	22.563	1.418	0.987
Washington	No	Yes	3				
Vermont	No	No	0				
Virgin Islands	No	No	0				
Virginia	No	No	6	13	52.707	0.247	0.137
Utah	No	No	4				
Texas	No	No	79	149	96.575	1.543	1.310
Tennessee	Yes	No	9	33	64.082	0.515	0.360
South Dakota	No	Yes	1				
South Carolina	Yes	No	6	26	28.292	0.919	0.613

1. Includes data reported from all locations (i.e., adult critical care units and wards) within LTACHs.

- 2. Yes indicates the presence of a state mandate to report VAE data from any location to NHSN at the beginning c No indicates that a state mandate did not exist during 2017.
- 3. Yes indicates that the state health department reported the completion of all of the following validation activities: assessment of missing or implausible values on at least six months of 2017 NHSN data prior to July 1, 2018, an

varies by state). Information on validation efforts was requested from all states, regardless of the presence of a reporting of a given HAI to the state health department have performed validation on NHSN data that is voluntar

- 4. The number of LTACHs that reported 2017 VAE data and are included in the SIR calculation. SIRs and accomp from at least one location in 2017.
- 5. Percent of facilities with ≥1.0 predicted VAE that had an SIR significantly greater or less than the nominal value ≥ 1.0 predicted VAE in 2017.
- 6. Facility-specific key percentiles were only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted VAE in 2017. If a nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SIRs.

ios (SIRs) and facility-specific SIR summary measures, spitals (LTACHs) reporting during 2017

s (VAEs) in LTACHs, all locations¹

for SIR	Facility-specific SIRs						
Upper	No. of facs with at least 1 predicted VAE			10%	25%		75%
1.063	6						
0.823	4	·	•	•		•	
0.023	22	9%	36%	0.000	0 137	0.629	1 387
1.425	5					0.020	
1.206	27	30%	15%	0.000	0.200	0.864	2.027
1.123	13	0%	0%	•	•	•	
				•	•	•	•
-		•	-	•	•	•	•
1.714	9						
1.849	9						
1.609	7						
3.856	3				•	•	
1.030	9						
		•		•			•
1 571	17	12%	12%				
1.571	17	1270	1270	•	·	•	•
1.375	9						
3.313	5						
1.053	8						
				•	•		•
				•	•	•	
0.571					•	•	
0.571		9%	04 70	•		•	
0.586	8			•	•	•	•
1.113	26	12%	12%	0.000	0.000	0.532	1.693
1.745	3						
1.292	15	20%	13%				
				•	•	•	
	l .						

0.933	308	18%	18%	0.000	0.031	0.624	1.625
					•		
1.978	5						
0.411	4						
		•			•	•	
1.806	30	27%	3%	0.000	0.226	1.017	2.790
0.715	8						
1.327	6						

of 2017. M indicates midyear implementation of a mandate.

state health department had access to 2017 NHSN data, state health department performed an id state health department contacted identified facilities.

legislative mandate for the particular HAI type. Some states without mandatory ily shared with them by facilities in their jurisdiction.

panying statistics are only calculated for states in which at least 5 LTACHs reported VAE data

of the 2017 national LTACH VAE SIR of 0.891. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had

facility's predicted number of VAE was <1.0, a facility-specific SIR was neither calculated



4.956	

Table 5. State-specific standardized infection ratiNHSN Long-Term Acute Care Ho

Hospital-onset methicillin-resistant Staphyl

				No. of Events					
State				Observed	Predicted	SIR	Lower		
Alaska	No	No	1						
Alabama	No	No	9	12	12.257	0.979	0.530		
Arkansas			7	6	7.215	0.832	0.337		
Arizona	No	No	7	5	10.616	0.471	0.173		
California	Yes	Yes	24	110	69.030	1.594	1.316		
Colorado	No	No	8	3	10.820	0.277	0.071		
Connecticut	Yes	No	3			-			
D.C.	Yes	Yes	2	-					
Delaware			1						
Florida	No	No	28	78	60.188	1.296	1.031		
Georgia	Yes	Yes	16	23	30.213	0.761	0.494		
Guam	No	No	0			-			
Hawaii	Yes	No	1						
lowa	No	No	3						
Idaho	No	No	3						
Illinois	Yes	No	9	31	26.681	1.162	0.803		
Indiana	No	No	13	28	17.208	1.627	1.103		
Kansas	No	No	4			-			
Kentucky	Yes	No	9	25	13.856	1.804	1.194		
Louisiana	No	Yes	33	19	30.22	0.629	0.390		
Massachusetts	No	No	13	22	47.315	0.465	0.299		
Marvland	No	No	2						
Maine	No	No	0						
Michigan	No	No	21	27	25.321	1.066	0.717		
Minnesota	No	No	2						
Missouri			11	13	15.158	0.858	0.477		
Mississippi	Yes	No	9	7	11.979	0.584	0.256		
Montana	No	No	1						
North Carolina	No	Yes	10	26	14.315	1.816	1.212		
North Dakota	No	No	2						
Nebraska	Yes	No	4						
New Hampshire	No	No	0						
New Jersev			12	25	24.078	1.038	0.687		
New Mexico	No	No	3						
Nevada	Yes	No	10	. 8	11.637	0.687	0.319		
New York			1		111001	0.001	0.010		
Ohio	No	Yes	31	26	41 505	0.626	0 418		
Oklahoma			13	11	13 355	0.824	0 433		
Oregon			1		.0.000	0.024	0.100		
Pennsylvania	Yee	Yee	22	ΔΔ	27 401	1 606	1 181		
Puerto Rico				-++		1.000	1.101		
Rhode Island	No	No	1		•	·			
			I I	I ·	•	•			

All US			468	712	755.397	0.943	0.875
Wyoming	No	No	0				-
West Virginia	Yes	No	4				
Wisconsin	No	Yes	6	1	8.868	0.113	0.006
Washington	No	No	3				
Vermont	No	Yes	0				
Virgin Islands	No	No	0				
Virginia	No	No	6	11	12.182	0.903	0.475
Utah	Yes	No	4				
Texas	No	No	79	64	95.392	0.671	0.521
Tennessee	Yes	Yes	9	27	20.625	1.309	0.880
South Dakota			1				
South Carolina	Yes	Yes	6	8	9.120	0.877	0.407

1. Includes data reported from all locations (i.e., adult and pediatric critical care units and wards) within LTACHs.

2. Yes indicates the presence of a state mandate to report MRSA bacteremia data from any location to NHSN at the No indicates that a state mandate did not exist during 2017.

3. Yes indicates that the state health department reported the completion of all of the following validation activities: assessment of missing or implausible values on at least six months of 2017 NHSN data prior to July 1, 2018, an

varies by state). Information on validation efforts was requested from all states, regardless of the presence of a reporting of a given HAI to the state health department have performed validation on NHSN data that is voluntar

- 4. The number of LTACHs that reported 2017 MRSA bacteremia data and are included in the SIR calculation. SIF MRSA bacteremia data from at least one location in 2017.
- 5. Percent of facilities with ≥1.0 predicted MRSA bacteremia that had an SIR significantly greater or less than the r ≥ 1.0 predicted MRSA bacteremia in 2017.
- 6. Facility-specific key percentiles were only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted MRSA bacteremia was neither calculated nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SIRs.

ios (SIRs) and facility-specific SIR summary measures, spitals (LTACHs) reporting during 2017

for SIR	<u>Facility</u>	-specific SIRs					
Upper	No. of facs with at least 1 predicted MRSA			10%	25%		75%
1.664	7	•		•		•	
1.730	3				•	•	
1.044	4						
1.913	22	13%	0%	0.421	0.671	1.096	2.064
0.755	5			•	•	•	•
•	•	·		•	•	•	
		•		•	•	•	•
1.609	27	21%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.682	2.163
1.124	13	0%	0%				
		•					
1.629	9						
2.320	6	•		•	•	•	
	· ·						
2.624	5	•		•	•	•	•
0.964	9					•	
0.692	12	0%	31%	•	•	•	•
	•	·		•	•	•	
1 530	15	14%	0%	•	·	•	•
1.000			0,0				
1.430	9						
1.156	6						
2.623	7						
		•		•	•	•	
				•	•	•	
1.510	10	17%	8%	•		•	
1.205	E	•				•	
1.305	5	•		•		•	
0 905	19	0%	0%	•	•	•	•
1 432	7	070	0 /0	•	•	•	
				•	•	•	
2.136	16	9%	0%				

ococcus aureus (MRSA) bacteremia, facility-wide¹

1.014	319	12%	4%	0.000	0.000	0.700	1.610
							<u>.</u>
0.556	6						
					•		•
					•	•	•
1.569	3				•		•
					•	•	•
0.851	48	0%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.431	1.288
1.878	8				•		•
					•	•	•
1.666	6						

ne beginning of 2017. M indicates midyear implementation of a mandate.

state health department had access to 2017 NHSN data, state health department performed an d state health department contacted identified facilities.

legislative mandate for the particular HAI type. Some states without mandatory

ily shared with them by facilities in their jurisdiction.

Is and accompanying statistics are only calculated for states in which at least 5 LTACHs reported

nominal value of the 2017 national LTACH MRSA SIR of 0.943. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities ha

a in 2017. If a facility's predicted number of MRSA bacteremia was <1.0, a facility-specific SIR

-	
	90%
-	
	2 396
	2.000
	2.749
	•
	•
	•
	•
	•



ıd

Table 6. State-specific standardized infection rationNHSN Long-Term Acute Care Ho

Hospital-onset Clostridio

				<u>No. of Events</u>			<u>95% CI</u>
State				Observed	Predicted	SIR	Lower
Alaska	No	No	1				
Alabama	No	No	9	27	63.201	0.427	0.287
Arkansas			7	33	50.562	0.653	0.457
Arizona	No	No	7	32	49.922	0.641	0.446
California	Yes	Yes	24	633	547.288	1.157	1.069
Colorado	Yes	No	8	72	77.929	0.924	0.728
Connecticut	Yes	No	3				
D.C.	No	Yes	2				
Delaware			1				
Florida	No	No	28	301	424.060	0.710	0.633
Georgia	Yes	Yes	16	116	195.824	0.592	0.492
Guam	No	No	0				
Hawaii	Yes	No	0				
lowa	No	No	3				
Idaho	No	No	3			-	
Illinois	Yes	No	9	160	206.988	0.773	0.660
Indiana	No	No	13	114	116.950	0.975	0.808
Kansas	No	No	4			-	
Kentucky	Yes	No	9	65	91.753	0.708	0.551
Louisiana			33	131	222.542	0.589	0.494
Massachusetts	No	No	13	252	416.171	0.606	0.534
Maryland	No	No	2				
Maine			0			-	
Michigan	No	No	21	136	165.402	0.822	0.693
Minnesota	No	No	2				
Missouri			11	71	102.425	0.693	0.545
Mississippi	Yes	No	9	35	79.270	0.442	0.312
Montana	No	No	1				
North Carolina	No	Yes	10	84	102.140	0.822	0.660
North Dakota	No	No	2				
Nebraska	Yes	No	4				
New Hampshire	No	No	0				
New Jersey	No	No	12	113	161.787	0.698	0.578
New Mexico	No	No	3				
Nevada	No	No	10	102	80.844	1.262	1.034
New York			2				
Ohio	No	Yes	31	194	294.203	0.659	0.571
Oklahoma			13	62	93.520	0.663	0.513
Oregon	Yes	Yes	1				
Pennsylvania	Yes	Yes	22	228	192.434	1.185	1.038
Puerto Rico			0				
Rhode Island	No	No	1				

All US			466	4,233	5,503.278	0.769	0.746
Wyoming	No	No	0				
West Virginia	Yes	No	4				
Wisconsin	No	Yes	6	39	65.108	0.599	0.432
Washington	Yes	Yes	3				
Vermont	No	Yes	0				
Virgin Islands	No	No	0				
Virginia	No	No	6	59	75.600	0.780	0.599
Utah	Yes	No	4				
Texas	No	No	77	615	718.308	0.856	0.791
Tennessee	Yes	Yes	9	70	112.493	0.622	0.489
South Dakota	No	Yes	1				
South Carolina	Yes	Yes	6	38	64.308	0.591	0.424

1. Includes data reported from all locations (i.e., adult and pediatric critical care units and wards) within LTACHs.

2. Yes indicates the presence of a state mandate to report CDI data from any location to NHSN at the beginning of No indicates that a state mandate did not exist during 2017.

3. Yes indicates that the state health department reported the completion of all of the following validation activities: assessment of missing or implausible values on at least six months of 2017 NHSN data prior to July 1, 2018, an

varies by state). Information on validation efforts was requested from all states, regardless of the presence of a reporting of a given HAI to the state health department have performed validation on NHSN data that is voluntar

- 4. The number of LTACHs that reported 2017 CDI data and are included in the SIR calculation. SIRs and accomp from at least one location in 2017.
- 5. Percent of facilities with ≥1.0 predicted CDI that had an SIR significantly greater or less than the nominal value c ≥ 1.0 predicted CDI in 2017.
- 6. Facility-specific key percentiles were only calculated if at least 20 facilities had ≥1.0 predicted CDI in 2017. If a the nor included in the distribution of facility-specific SIRs.

ios (SIRs) and facility-specific SIR summary measures, spitals (LTACHs) reporting during 2017

ides difficile (CDI), facility-wide¹

for SIR	Facility-specific SIRs						
Upper	No. of facs with at least 1 predicted CDI			10%	25%		75%
0.613	8						
0.906	7						
0.894	6					•	•
1.249	24	54%	4%	0.527	0.744	1.160	1.634
1.157	8			•	•	•	•
•		•				•	•
		•		•	•	•	•
0.793	28	7%	29%	0.184	0.275	0.563	0.979
0.708	15	7%	20%				
0.900	9						
1.167	13	15%	0%		•	•	•
0.007							
0.897	30	0%	130/	0.000	0.304	0.669	1 0 1 1
0.090	13	31%	46%	0.000	0.304	0.000	1.041
0.004	10	5170	4070			•	•
0.969	20	10%	10%	0.000	0.281	0.622	0.941
0.869	11	0%	0%		•	•	
0.607	9						
1.013	10	0%	10%		•	•	•
				•	•	•	•
•		•				•	•
0.836	12	8%	17%	•	•	•	•
0.000	12	0,0	1770			•	
1.525	10	0%	10%				
0.757	31	0%	16%	0.155	0.348	0.686	0.891
0.844	13	0%	15%				
1.346	22	0%	5%	0.627	0.787	1.201	1.534

0.793	458	13%	15%	0.150	0.408	0.733	1.064
0.811	6					•	
					•		
1.000	6						
0.926	76	0%	12%	0.245	0.441	0.737	1.090
0.781	9						
0.803	6					•	

f 2017. M indicates midyear implementation of a mandate.

state health department had access to 2017 NHSN data, state health department performed an id state health department contacted identified facilities.

legislative mandate for the particular HAI type. Some states without mandatory ily shared with them by facilities in their jurisdiction.

anying statistics are only calculated for states in which at least 5 LTACHs reported CDI data

of the 2017 national LTACH CDI SIR of 0.769. This is only calculated if at least 10 facilities had

facility's predicted number of CDI was <1.0, a facility-specific SIR was neither calculated

90% 1.973 1.207 1.321 1.176	
90% 1.973 1.207 1.321 1.176	
90% 1.973 1.207 1.321 1.176	
1.973 1.207 1.321 1.176	90%
1.973 1.207 1.321 1.176	
1.973 1.207 1.321 1.176	•
1.973 1.207 1.321 1.176	
1.207 1.321 1.176	1.973
1.207	
1.207	
1.207	
1.321	1.207
1.321	•
1.321	
1.321	
1.321	
1.321	•
1.321	
1.321	
1.176	1.321
1.176	•
1.176	
1 0 9 4	1.176
1 0 9 4	
1 0 9 4	•
1 0 9 4	
1 094	
1 094	
1 094	
1 09/	
1 09/	
1 09/	•
1.004	1.084
1 077	1 077
1.877	1.877

1.641	
1.553	

 Table 7. Changes in national standardized infection ratios (SIRs) using HAI data reported from all NHSN Long-Term

 Central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs), catheter-associated urinary tra

 methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) bacteremia and Clostridic

HAI and Patient Population	2016 SIR	2017 SIR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
CLABSI, all locations ¹	0.960	0.843	-12%	Decrease	0.0000
CAUTI, all locations ¹	0.977	0.941	4%	No change	0.1481
VAE, all locations	1.054	0.891	-15%	Decrease	0.000
Hospital-onset MRSA bacteremia, facility-wide ²	0.944	0.943	0%	No change	0.9706
Hospital-onset <i>C. difficile</i> infections, facility-wide ²	0.850	0.769	-10%	Decrease	0.0000

* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations). This excludes IRF locations (or facilities).

2. Hospital-onset is defined as an event detected on the 4th day (or later) after admission to an inpatient location within the facility.

Acute Care Hospitals (LTACHs) reporting during 2017 by HAI and patient population: ct infections (CAUTIs), ventilator-associated events (VAEs), *bides difficile* infections, 2016 compared to 2017

Table 8. Changes in state-specific standardized infection ratios (SIRs) between 2016 and 2017 from					
8a. C	entral line-assoc	iated bloodstr	ream infections	(CLABSI), all locations	1
	AI	I Long-Term A	Acute Care Hos	pitals Reporting to NHS	N
A			Percent	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical	
State ²	2016 SIR	2017 SIR	Change	Significance	p-value
Alaska	. 0.712		250/	Na abanga	. 0 1612
Alabama	0.712	0.403	30%	No change	0.1013
Arizona	0.910	0.010	-62%	No change Decrease	0.2143
California	1 4 5 6	1 020	-02 %	Decrease	0.0007
Colorado	0.617	0.598	3%	No change	0.0000
Connecticut		0.000		i të shange	010100
D.C.					
Delaware					
Florida	1.119	0.638	-43%	Decrease	0.0000
Georgia	1.201	0.930	23%	No change	0.0732
Guam					
Hawaii					
lowa					
Idaho					
Illinois	1.416	1.098	-22%	Decrease	0.0292
Indiana	1.163	1.156	1%	No change	0.9632
Kansas					
Kentucky	0.752	1.656	120%	Increase	0.0000
Louisiana	0.741	0.833	12%	No change	0.3882
Massachusetts	0.975	0.894	8%	No change	0.5707
Maryland	· ·				
Maine					
Michigan	1.043	0.912	13%	No change	0.3991
Minnesota					
Missouri	0.923	0.759	18%	No change	0.3236
IVIISSISSIPPI	1.153	0.564	-51%	Decrease	0.0015
North Carolina	1 011	0.774	26%	No chango	0.0922
North Dakata	1.044	0.774	20%	No change	0.0622
Nehraska	· ·	•	•	•	
New Hampshire					
New Jersey	0.838	0.885	6%	No change	0 7433
New Mexico	0.000	0.000	0,0	rio ondrige	0.7400
Nevada	0 789	0.586	26%	No change	0 1400
New York		0.000		i të shange	
Ohio	0.865	0.671	-22%	Decrease	0.0340
Oklahoma	0.764	0.920	20%	No change	0.3486
Oregon					
Pennsylvania	1.094	1.052	4%	No change	0.7774
Puerto Rico					
Rhode Island					
South Carolina	1.189	0.786	34%	No change	0.0798
South Dakota					
Tennessee	0.673	0.896	33%	No change	0.1688
Texas	0.818	0.826	1%	No change	0.8752
Utah					
Virginia	0.750	1.200	60%	No change	0.0570
Virgin Islands					
Vermont	· ·		•	•	
Washington	· ·		76%	No change	0.1019
Wisconsin	0.845	0.645	24%	No change	0.3219
vvest Virginia	· ·				
vv yoming	· · ·				
AII US	I 0.960	0.843	-12%	Decrease	0.0000

1. Data from all ICUs, wards (and other non-critical care locations).

Table 8. Changes in state-specific standardized infection ratios (SIRs) between 2016 and 2017 from NHSN Long-Term Acute Care Hospitals					
8b.	8b. Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI), all locations ¹				
	AI	I Long-Term A	Acute Care Hos	pitals Reporting to NHS	N
	2016 SIR	2017 SIR	Percent	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	n-value
Alaska		2017 0110		orginiteanee	p-vulue
Alabama	0.864	1.094	27%	No change	0.2971
Arkansas	0.873	1.153	32%	No change	0.2514
Arizona	0.467	0.903	93%	Increase	0.0166
California	1.057	0.961	9%	No change	0.1944
Colorado	1.414	1.369	3%	No change	0.8307
Connecticut					
D.C.					
Delaware					
Florida	0.879	0.659	-25%	Decrease	0.0027
Georgia	1.367	1.286	6%	No change	0.5573
Guam					
Hawaii					
lowa					
Idaho					
Illinois	1.044	0.934	11%	No change	0.3681
Indiana	1.208	1.311	9%	No change	0.5576
Kansas					
Kentucky	1.251	0.950	24%	No change	0.0915
Louisiana	0.663	0.636	4%	No change	0.7813
Massachusetts	1.358	1.377	1%	No change	0.9069
Maryland					
Maine					
Michigan	0.947	0.957	1%	No change	0.9452
Minnesota					
Missouri	1.246	1.055	15%	No change	0.3098
Mississippi	0.708	0.377	-47%	Decrease	0.0173
Montana					
North Carolina	0.571	0.677	19%	No change	0.4297
North Dakota					
Nebraska					
New Hampshire					
New Jersey	1.016	1.261	24%	No change	0.1369
New Mexico					
Nevada	0.984	1.089	11%	No change	0.5480
New York					
Ohio	1.296	0.989	-24%	Decrease	0.0071
Oklahoma	0.798	0.638	20%	No change	0.2613
Oregon					
Pennsylvania	1.143	1.191	4%	No change	0.7715
Puerto Rico					
Rhode Island	· ·				
South Carolina	1.245	0.983	21%	No change	0.2853
South Dakota	· ·				
Tennessee	1.016	0.905	11%	No change	0.5507
Texas	0.735	0.704	4%	No change	0.5568
Utah	· ·				
Virginia	0.791	0.759	4%	No change	0.8592
Virgin Islands					
Vermont	· ·				
Washington	•		· ·		
Wisconsin	1.096	1.255	15%	No change	0.5477
West Virginia	· ·				
Wyoming	· ·			<u> </u>	
AILUS	J 0.977	0.941	4%	No change	0.1481

1. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations).

Table 8. Changes in state-specific standardized infection ratios (SIRs) between 2016 and 2017 from NHSN Long-Term Acute Care Hospitals						
	8c. Ventilator-associated events (VAE), all locations ¹					
	AI	Long-Term A	cute Care Hos	pitals Reporting to NHS	5N	
	2016 SIR	2017 SIR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value	
Alaska			-			
Alabama	0.696	0.669	4%	No change	0.914	
Arkansas	0.966	1.040	8%	No change	0.833	
Arizona	0.589	0.484	18%	No change	0.617	
California	0.747	0.688	8%	No change	0.433	
Colorado	1.592	0.870	45%	No change	0.066	
Connecticut	·	•	•		•	
D.C.		•	•			
Delaware						
Florida	1.404	1.054	-25%	Decrease	0.002	
Georgia	0.999	0.888	11%	No change	0.479	
Guam	•	•	•	•		
Hawaii	· ·	•				
lowa	· ·					
Idaho	· · ·					
Illinois	1.525	1.434	6%	No change	0.624	
Indiana	1.577	1.483	6%	No change	0.698	
Kansas						
Kentucky	1.008	1.253	24%	No change	0.244	
Louisiana	1.9/2	2.688	36%	No change	0.254	
Massachusetts	0.858	0.804	6%	No change	0.717	
Maryland	· ·	•				
Maine	· · ·					
Michigan	1.055	1.277	21%	No change	0.276	
Minnesota						
Missouri	1.140	0.981	14%	No change	0.539	
Mississippi	2.695	2.592	4%	No change	0.828	
Montana						
North Carolina	1.499	0.772	-48%	Decrease	0.001	
North Dakota	•	•	•		•	
Nebraska	•	•	•		•	
New Hampshire				Na sharra		
New Jersey	0.438	0.407	7%	No change	0.767	
			-			
Nevada	1.097	0.296	-73%	Decrease	0.002	
				D		
Ohio	1.617	0.926	-43%	Decrease	0.000	
Oklahoma	1.280	1.161	9%	No change	0.759	
Dependueria				No oborro		
Pennsylvania	1.315	1.027	22%	No change	0.122	
Puerto Rico	·	•				
Rhode Island		. 0.010		Deereese	. 0.000	
South Carolina	1.589	0.919	-42%	Decrease	0.020	
South Dakota	. 1.241	0.515	600/	Dooroooo	. 0.000	
Toyoo	1.341	0.015	-02%	Decrease	0.000	
l tob	1.470	1.543	5%	No change	0.005	
Virginia	0.205	0.247	200/	No obonco	0 659	
Virgin Islanda	0.205	0.247	20%	No change	0.008	
Virgin Islands Vermont		•		•		
Washington						
Wisconsin	2 200	1 /10	. 200/	Dooroooo		
West Virginia	2.299	1.418	-36%	Decrease	0.045	
Wyoming	·	•	•	•		
	1 054	0 801	-15%	Decreses	0.000	
	1.004	0.031	-13/6	Deciedse	0.000	

1. Data from all ICUs and wards (and other non-critical care locations).

 Table 8. Changes in state-specific standardized infection ratios (SIRs) between 2016 and 2017 from

 NHSN Long-Term Acute Care Hospitals

8d. Hospital-ons	8d. Hospital-onset methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) bacteremia, facility-wide ¹				
	All Long-Term Acute Care Hospitals Reporting to NHSN				N
		0047 CID	Percent	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical	
Alaska	2010 315	2017 315	Change	Significance	p-value
Alahama	0.918	0 979	7%	No change	0 8869
Arkansas	0.731	0.832	14%	No change	0.8410
Arizona	1.355	0.471	-65%	Decrease	0.0293
California	1.559	1.594	2%	No change	0.8719
Colorado	0.488	0.277	43%	No change	0.4615
Connecticut		-			
D.C.	.				
Delaware					
Florida	1.738	1.296	25%	No change	0.0506
Georgia	0.448	0.761	70%	No change	0.1264
Guam					
Hawaii					
lowa					
Idaho					
Illinois	1.184	1.162	2%	No change	0.9403
Indiana	1.388	1.627	17%	No change	0.5626
Kansas					
Kentucky	1.342	1.804	34%	No change	0.3270
Louisiana	0.718	0.629	12%	No change	0.6745
Massachusetts	0.345	0.465	35%	No change	0.3622
Maryland	.				
Maine	.				
Michigan	1.080	1.066	1%	No change	0.9632
Minnesota	· ·				
Missouri	0.937	0.858	8%	No change	0.8172
Mississippi	0.878	0.584	33%	No change	0.4103
Montana					
North Carolina	0.934	1.816	94%	Increase	0.0385
North Dakota					
Nebraska					
New Hampshire					
New Jersey	1.039	1.038	0%	No change	0.9981
				Na sharra	0.5140
Nevada	0.467	0.007	41 70	No change	0.5149
		0.626		Na shanga	0.2960
Ohio	0.700	0.020	2U70 120/	No change	0.3009
Okianoma	0.730	0.0∠4	1370	NO Change	0.7071
Oregon	1 104	1 606	45%	No change	0 1013
Perinsylvania Duorto Rico	1.104	1.000	4070	NU Change	0.1015
Phode Island			·	•	
South Carolina	1 698	0 877	48%	No change	0 1312
South Dakota	1.000	0.0	-070	no onango	0.10.2
Tennessee	0.853	1.309	53%	No change	0.1747
Texas	0.869	0.671	23%	No change	0 1133
l Itah	0.000	0.0.	20.0	100010	0.1100
Virginia	0.798	0.903	13%	No change	0.7829
Virgin Islands		0.011			
Vermont	l j				
Washington					
Wisconsin	0.549	0.113	79%	No change	0.1328
West Virginia				. to shange	
Wvoming					
Alí US	0.944	0.943	0%	No change	0 97061

* Statistically significant, p < 0.0500

1. Hospital-onset is defined as event detected on the 4th day (or later) after admission to an inpatient location within the facility.

Table 8. Changes in state-specific standardized infection ratios (SIRs) between 2016 and 2017 from NHSN Long Term Acute Care Hospitals					
8e. Hospital-onset <i>Clostridioides difficile</i> infection (CDI), facility-wide ¹					
	/	All Long Term	Acute Care Hos	pitals Reporting to NHSN	
	2016 SIR	2017 SIR	Percent Change	Direction of Change, Based on Statistical Significance	p-value
Alaska					
Alabama	0.559	0.427	24%	No change	0.2931
Arkansas	0.841	0.653	22%	No change	0.2795
Arizona	0.871	0.657	25%	No change	0.1880
California	1.140	1.157	1%	No change	0.7903
	0.748	0.924	24%	No change	0.2207
D.C.					
Delaware					
Florida	0.738	0.710	4%	No change	0.6285
Georgia	0.819	0.572	-30%	Decrease	0.0031
Guam					
Hawaii					
lowa	•				
Idaho	•		•		
Illinois	0.799	0.773	3%	No change	0.7590
Indiana	1.047	0.975	7%	No change	0.5689
Kansas					
Kentucky	0.696	0.708	2%	No change	0.9172
Louisiana	0.681	0.589	14%	No change	0.2167
Massachusetts	0.655	0.629	4%	No change	0.6354
Maryland					
Maine					
Michigan	0.951	0.822	14%	No change	0.2160
Minnesota					
Missouri	0.912	0.693	24%	No change	0.0729
Mississippi	0.657	0.442	33%	No change	0.0618
Montana				5	
North Carolina	0.890	0.823	8%	No change	0.5957
North Dakota					
Nebraska	•		·	•	
New Hampshire	•		·	•	
New Jorsov	0.581	0 698	20%	No change	0 1847
New Jersey	0.001	0.050	2070	No change	0.1047
New Mexico	1 28/	1 262	204	No chango	0.8077
	1.204	1.202	2 70	No change	0.0977
	0.002			Deereese	.0.0017
Onio Oktobiorna	0.883	0.659	-25%	Decrease	0.0017
Okianoma	1.057	0.003	-37%	Decrease	0.0032
Oregon	4.005			N	
Pennsylvania	1.265	1.185	6%	No change	0.4659
Puerto Rico	•		•		
Rhode Island					
South Carolina	0.942	0.591	-37%	Decrease	0.0252
South Dakota					
Tennessee	0.719	0.622	13%	No change	0.3683
Texas	0.938	0.858	9%	No change	0.0998
Utah					
Virginia	1.025	0.780	24%	No change	0.1160
Virgin Islands					
Vermont					
Washington					
Wisconsin	1.157	0.599	-48%	Decrease	0.0008
West Virginia					
Wyoming					
All US	0.850	0.769	-10%	Decrease	0.0000

Hospital-onset is defined as event detected on the 4th day (or later) after admission to an inpatient location within the facility.
 States without SIR either in 2016 and/or 2017 and therefore subsequent data not calculated

Appendix A. Factors used in NHSN risk adjustment of the device-associated HAIs (CLABSI, CAUTI, VAE, IVAC-Plus) negative binomial regression models¹ from Long-Term Acute Care Hospitals

HAI Type	Validated Parameters for Risk Model
CLABSI	Intercept Location Type Facility Bed Size* Average Length of Stay**
CAUTI	Intercept Average Length of Stay** Setting [†] Location Type
VAE	Intercept Facility bed size* Proportion of admissions on hemodialysis*** Location Type Average Length of Stay**

1. SIR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sir-guide.pdf

* Facility bed size is taken from the Annual LTACH Survey.

** Average length of stay is taken from the Annual LTACH Survey. It is calculated as: total # of annual patient da *** Proportion of annual admissions on a ventilator (or hemodialysis) is taken from the Annual LTACH Survey. It is calculated as: number of admissions on a ventilator (or hemodialysis) / total # of annual admissions.

+ LTACH Setting (free-standing vs. within a hospital) is taken from the Annual LTACH Survey.

iys / total # of annual admissions.

Appendix B. Factors used in NHSN risk adjustment of the MRSA Bacteremia and *C. difficile* negative binomial regression models¹ from Long-Term Acute Care Hospitals

HAI Type	Validated Parameters for Risk Model
MRSA bacteremia	Percent of admissions on ventilator*
C. difficile infections	Inpatient CO prevalence rate** Percent of admissions on ventilator* CDI test type^ Percent of single occupancy rooms [‡]

* Percent of annual admissions on a ventilator is taken from the Annual LTACH Survey. It is calculate ventilator / total # annual admissions) x 100

** Inpatient community-onset prevalence is calculated as: (# of inpatient community-onset CDI events The prevalence rate for each quarter is used in the risk adjustment.

^ CDI test type is reported on the FacWideIN MDRO denominator form on the 3rd month of each quart

[‡] Percent of beds located in single occupancy rooms is taken from the Annual LTACH Survey. It is ca rooms / total number of beds x 100.

d as: (# admissions on a

/ total # admissions) x 100.

ter. Iculated as: # of single occupancy

Additional Resources

SIR Guide: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/nhsn-sir-guide.pdf

Technical Appendix (2017 Report): http://www.cdc.gov/hai/progress-report/index.html *Explains the methodology used to produce the HAI Report.*

HAI Data Report Home Page: http://www.cdc.gov/hai/progress-report/index.html

The complete HAI Report, including the Executive Summary and previous reports, can be found at the above

website.