



# Foodborne Active Disease Surveillance Network (FoodNet) Surveillance Report 2007



U.S. Department of Health & Human Services  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention



The following persons from the FoodNet Team of the Enteric Diseases Epidemiology Branch contributed substantially to compiling this report:

Cherie Long, MPH  
Anurag Jain, MS  
Liane Ong, MPH  
Mary Patrick, MPH  
L. Hannah Gould, MS, PhD  
Olga Henao, PhD  
Barbara Mahon, MD

**Suggested Citation:**

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. FoodNet 2007 Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2009.

<i><b>Table of Contents</b></i>	Page
Executive summary .....	3
Background .....	5
Objectives .....	5
Surveillance area .....	5
Methods.....	8
<b>Narrative Report</b>	
2007 Surveillance Results.....	13
Cases Reported .....	13
Seasonality.....	14
Incidence.....	16
Incidence by Age.....	19
Incidence by Sex.....	20
Hospitalizations .....	20
Deaths.....	21
International Travel .....	21
Outbreak-related Cases.....	22
Progress Towards National Health Objectives .....	23
Modeled 2007 Incidence .....	23
Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome Surveillance.....	29
Cases Reported, 2006 .....	29
Results, 1997-2006.....	29
Pediatric HUS, 1997-2006.....	31
Discussion .....	33
Limitations .....	35
Other FoodNet Data Sources .....	36
Burden of Illness.....	36
Routes of Transmission of Foodborne Pathogens.....	37
Other FoodNet Activities in 2007.....	38
Publications and Abstracts in 2007.....	39
Materials Available Online.....	43
FoodNet Working Group, 2007.....	44
Listing of Summary Tables and Figures .....	45



## *Executive Summary*

The Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) is the principal foodborne disease component of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC's) Emerging Infections Program (EIP). FoodNet is a collaborative project among CDC, ten state health departments, the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), and the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN) and the Center for Veterinary Medication (CVM) of the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FoodNet is an active sentinel surveillance network designed to produce stable and accurate national estimates of the burden and sources of foodborne diseases in the United States through active surveillance and additional studies. This enhanced surveillance and investigation conducted by FoodNet are integral to developing and evaluating new prevention and control strategies to improve the safety of our food and the public's health.

In 2007, the FoodNet surveillance area included 45.9 million persons, or 15.2% of the United States population. FoodNet ascertained 18,039 laboratory-confirmed infections of *Campylobacter*, *Cryptosporidium*, *Cyclospora*, *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) O157, STEC non-O157, *Vibrio* and *Yersinia*. Most infections were due to *Salmonella* (38%) or *Campylobacter* (33%). Infections were equally distributed between genders, and the highest incidence of infection with many pathogens occurred among children <1 year of age (173 cases/100,000 population). Twenty-one percent of the persons reported with infections were hospitalized, and 64 (0.4%) persons died. The greatest number of deaths occurred in persons with *Salmonella* infections. Five percent of cases were outbreak-related; of these, 54% were associated with foodborne outbreaks. A history of international travel was obtained for *Salmonella* and STEC O157 cases; 9% of *Salmonella* infections and 3% STEC O157 infections were related to international travel.

Compared with the 1996-1998 period, in 2007, the estimated incidence rates of *Campylobacter*, *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, STEC O157, and *Yersinia* infections were significantly lower. However, most of the declines in the incidence of these infections occurred before 2007. Compared with the previous 3 years (2004-2006), the estimated 2007 incidence of *Campylobacter*, *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, STEC O157, *Vibrio*, and *Yersinia* infections did not significantly change. The estimated 2007 incidence of *Cryptosporidium* infections was significantly higher compared with the 2004-2006 period.

The incidence of *Salmonella* infections substantially exceeds its national target for 2010. Of the most common *Salmonella* serotypes, only the estimated 2007 incidence of serotypes Typhimurium and Heidelberg infections decreased significantly compared with the 1996-1998 period. Compared with the previous 3 years (2004-2006), only serotype Typhimurium decreased significantly, while significant increases occurred in the reported incidence with infections of serotypes I 4,[5],12:i:- and Newport.

Due to the time required to complete hospital discharge data review for HUS cases, there is a one-year delay in the reporting of final HUS surveillance results compared with FoodNet active surveillance results. In 2006, FoodNet ascertained 109 post-diarrheal HUS cases. Ninety-three cases (85%) were reported in persons less than 18 years of age. Overall, the crude reported incidence rates of pediatric STEC O157 infection and HUS demonstrate a general correlation in trends



## ***Background***

Foodborne infections are an important public health challenge. In 1999, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimated that foodborne infections caused 76 million illnesses, 325,000 hospitalizations, and 5,000 deaths each year. CDC, the Emerging Infections Program (EIP) sites, the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), and the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN) and the Center for Veterinary Medication (CVM) of the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) are actively involved in preventing foodborne diseases. In 1997, the interagency national Food Safety Initiative was established to address the public health challenge of foodborne diseases. CDC's principal role in the Food Safety Initiative has been to enhance surveillance and investigation of infections caused by pathogens transmitted commonly through food. The Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) has been the program primarily responsible for accomplishing this mission.


## ***Objectives***

The objectives of FoodNet are to determine the burden of foodborne diseases in the United States, monitor trends in the burden of specific foodborne illnesses over time; attribute the burden of foodborne illnesses to specific foods and settings; and develop and assess interventions to reduce the burden of foodborne illness. To meet these objectives, FoodNet conducts active surveillance and related epidemiologic studies. By monitoring the burden of foodborne diseases over time and attributing foodborne disease to specific sources, FoodNet can provide information to assess the effectiveness of new food safety initiatives, such as the USDA Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) system, in decreasing the burden of foodborne disease in the United States.

## ***Surveillance Area***

FoodNet was established in 1996 and initially conducted population-based active surveillance in five sites; Minnesota, Oregon, and selected counties in California, Connecticut, and Georgia. By 2004, the FoodNet surveillance area had expanded to include 10 sites: Connecticut, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, New Mexico, Oregon, and Tennessee, and selected counties in California, Colorado, and New York (Figure 1). The FoodNet surveillance area in 2007 included 45.9 million persons, which represented 15.2% of the United States population (Table 1). The gender, race and ethnic distribution of the FoodNet surveillance population was similar to that of the United States population as whole, except for an under-representation of the Hispanic population (Table 2).

**Figure 1. FoodNet surveillance sites, 2007**



**California:** Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco

**Colorado:** Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Broomfield, Denver, Douglas, Jefferson

**New York:** Albany, Allegany, Cattaraugus, Chautauqua, Chemung, Clinton, Columbia, Delaware, Erie, Essex, Franklin, Fulton, Genesee, Greene, Hamilton, Livingston, Ontario, Orleans, Otsego, Monroe, Montgomery, Niagara, Rensselaer, Saratoga, Schenectady, Schoharie, Schuyler, Seneca, Steuben, Warren, Washington, Wayne, Wyoming, Yates

**Table 1. United States population under FoodNet surveillance, 2007**

<b>FoodNet Site</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>California</b>	3,248,818	7.1
<b>Colorado</b>	2,701,357	5.9
<b>Connecticut</b>	3,502,309	7.6
<b>Georgia</b>	9,544,750	20.8
<b>Maryland</b>	5,618,344	12.2
<b>Minnesota</b>	5,197,621	11.3
<b>New Mexico</b>	1,969,915	4.3
<b>New York</b>	4,267,305	9.3
<b>Oregon</b>	3,747,455	8.2
<b>Tennessee</b>	6,156,719	13.4
<b>Total</b>	45,954,593	
<b>FoodNet population as percentage of U.S. population</b>		15.2



**Table 2. Comparison of FoodNet surveillance population to United States population, 2007**

	FoodNet Surveillance population		United States population	
	N	(%)	N	(%)
<b>Total population</b>	45,954,593		301,621,157	
<b>Gender</b>				
Male	22,611,400	(49.2)	148,658,898	(49.3)
Female	23,343,193	(50.8)	152,962,259	(50.7)
<b>Age</b>				
<1	637,602	(1.4)	4,257,020	(1.4)
1-9	5,459,088	(11.9)	36,316,733	(12.0)
10-19	6,276,951	(13.7)	41,787,999	(13.9)
20-20	6,312,241	(13.7)	42,090,102	(14.0)
30-39	6,316,101	(13.7)	40,709,680	(13.5)
40-49	7,043,235	(15.3)	44,846,202	(14.9)
50-59	6,155,410	(13.4)	39,249,646	(13.0)
60+	7,753,965	(16.9)	52,363,775	(17.4)
<b>Race</b>				
Non-Hispanic white	31,391,142	(68.3)	199,091,567	(66.0)
Non-Hispanic black	6,941,857	(15.1)	37,037,204	(12.3)
Non-Hispanic other	3,048,982	(6.6)	19,988,075	(6.6)
Hispanic	4,572,612	(10.0)	45,504,311	(15.1)

## Methods

### *FoodNet Active Surveillance*

FoodNet conducts surveillance for all laboratory-confirmed isolations of *Campylobacter*, *Cryptosporidium*, *Cyclospora*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella*, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC)—including STEC O157 and STEC non-O157—*Shigella*, *Vibrio*, and *Yersinia* infections in residents of the FoodNet surveillance area. A case is defined as isolation (for bacteria) or identification (for parasites) of an organism from a clinical specimen. For simplicity, in this report all isolations are referred to as infections, although not all strains of all pathogens have been proven to cause illness in humans. To identify cases, FoodNet personnel communicated with each of the 650 clinical laboratories serving the surveillance area either weekly or monthly, depending on laboratory volume.

Once a case is identified, FoodNet personnel at each site complete a set of core FoodNet variables and enter this information into an electronic database. Standardized definitions for hospitalization, patient outcome (alive or dead) and international travel are used. Hospitalization status in the seven days before or after specimen collection is noted. Patient outcome is recorded seven days after specimen collection, or if patient is hospitalized, at the time of hospital discharge. International travel within seven days of illness onset is captured routinely for all *Salmonella* and STEC O157 cases.

The number of FoodNet sites has doubled, and the population under surveillance has more than tripled, since FoodNet began in 1996 (Table 3). Because of the substantial variation in incidence of infection due to various pathogens among the sites, adding new sites in itself influences the overall crude incidence. To account for the increase in the FoodNet surveillance area and for variation in the incidence of infections across sites, a main-effects, log-linear Poisson regression model (negative binomial model) was used to estimate the statistical significance of changes in the incidence of pathogens over time (*I*). To create a comparison period, the average annual incidence of each pathogen for the FoodNet surveillance periods of 2004-2006 and 1996-1998 (1997-1998 for *Cryptosporidium*) was calculated. The estimated change in incidence (relative rate) between these comparison periods and 2007 was calculated, along with a 95% confidence interval (CI). Using the average incidence for a three-year comparison period, rather than the incidence in the single year of 1996, as in previous reports, yielded more stable and precise relative rate estimates.

---

1 Hardnett FP, Hoekstra RM, Kennedy M, Charles L, Angulo FJ; Emerging Infections Program FoodNet Working Group. Epidemiologic issues in study design and data analysis related to FoodNet activities. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;38(Suppl 3):S121--6

Table 3. Population under surveillance, by site, FoodNet, 1996-2007

FoodNet Site	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
California	2,087,032	2,113,195	2,142,806	2,162,359	3,181,762	3,222,065	3,216,115	3,207,738	3,200,118	3,203,175	3,217,413	3,248,818
Colorado	-	-	-	-	-	2,155,950	2,508,240	2,531,078	2,559,719	2,594,899	2,644,648	2,701,357
Connecticut	1,622,809	2,453,483	<b>3,272,563</b>	<b>3,282,031</b>	<b>3,411,990</b>	<b>3,429,770</b>	<b>3,451,867</b>	<b>3,472,964</b>	<b>3,481,890</b>	<b>3,486,490</b>	<b>3,495,753</b>	<b>3,502,309</b>
Georgia	2,720,443	3,632,206	3,744,022	<b>7,788,240</b>	<b>8,230,919</b>	<b>8,422,127</b>	<b>8,591,169</b>	<b>8,740,008</b>	<b>8,921,371</b>	<b>9,107,719</b>	<b>9,342,080</b>	<b>9,544,750</b>
Maryland	-	-	2,441,279	2,450,566	2,516,588	4,244,743	<b>5,433,822</b>	<b>5,494,136</b>	<b>5,537,662</b>	<b>5,573,163</b>	<b>5,602,017</b>	<b>5,618,344</b>
Minnesota	<b>4,647,723</b>	<b>4,687,726</b>	<b>4,726,411</b>	<b>4,775,508</b>	<b>4,934,185</b>	<b>4,984,100</b>	<b>5,020,624</b>	<b>5,052,497</b>	<b>5,085,626</b>	<b>5,113,824</b>	<b>5,154,586</b>	<b>5,197,621</b>
New Mexico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>1,892,182</b>	<b>1,916,331</b>	<b>1,942,302</b>	<b>1,969,915</b>
New York	-	-	1,105,062	2,084,453	2,111,038	2,112,091	3,318,186	3,957,749	4,295,382	4,281,110	4,272,538	4,267,305
Oregon	<b>3,195,087</b>	<b>3,243,254</b>	<b>3,282,055</b>	<b>3,316,154</b>	<b>3,431,096</b>	<b>3,472,224</b>	<b>3,521,520</b>	<b>3,556,956</b>	<b>3,583,027</b>	<b>3,629,959</b>	<b>3,691,084</b>	<b>3,747,455</b>
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	2,826,127	2,859,308	2,889,526	<b>5,853,371</b>	<b>5,912,063</b>	<b>5,989,309</b>	<b>6,074,913</b>	<b>6,156,719</b>
Total	14,273,094	16,129,864	20,714,198	25,859,311	30,643,705	34,902,378	37,951,069	41,866,497	44,469,040	44,895,979	45,437,334	45,954,593
<b>FoodNet population as percentage of U.S. population</b>	5.4	6.0	7.7	9.5	10.9	12.2	13.2	14.4	15.2	15.2	15.2	15.2

**Bold** indicates active surveillance was conducted statewide, including all counties within a state; otherwise surveillance was conducted in select counties.

“-” Indicates state was not a FoodNet site during indicated year.

### ***HUS Surveillance***

FoodNet conducts surveillance for cases of hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). Active surveillance is conducted for pediatric HUS (persons younger than 18 years of age at disease diagnosis) through a network of pediatric nephrologists and infection control practitioners who report all cases of HUS that they identify. FoodNet also conducts passive surveillance for adult HUS cases (persons 18 years of age or older).

In 2000, FoodNet sites began hospital discharge data review for pediatric HUS cases to validate HUS surveillance activities and identify additional HUS cases. HUS cases are identified using ICD-9 codes specifying HUS, acute renal failure with the hemolytic anemia and thrombocytopenia, or thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura with diarrhea caused by STEC (or another unknown pathogen). The time needed for hospital discharge data review and validation of the HUS diagnosis through medical record reviews results in a one-year lag in complete reporting of HUS surveillance results compared with FoodNet active surveillance results.

## **Narrative Report**



## 2007 Surveillance Results

### Cases Reported

In 2007, FoodNet sites identified 18,039 laboratory-confirmed infections caused by the pathogens under surveillance. Of 16,801 bacterial infections, most were caused by *Salmonella* (41%), followed by *Campylobacter* (35%), *Shigella* (17%), STEC O157 (3%), STEC non-O157 (2%), *Yersinia* (0.98%), *Listeria* (0.73%), *Vibrio* (0.65%), STEC O Antigen Undetermined (0.07%), STEC O Antigen Rough (0.04%), and STEC O Antigen not tested (0.01%) (Table 4A). Of the 922 cases of parasitic infections, 99% were caused by *Cryptosporidium* and 1% by *Cyclospora* (Table 4B).

Of 6,447 (94%) serotyped *Salmonella* isolates, the seven most commonly identified serotypes were Enteritidis (1,081; 16%), Typhimurium (1,019; 15%), Newport (671; 10%), I 4,[5],12:i:- (360; 5%), Javiana (351; 5%), Heidelberg (249; 4%), and Montevideo (220; 3%). Of 104 (95%) *Vibrio* isolates speciated, the most commonly identified species were *V. parahaemolyticus* (61; 56%), *V. alginolyticus* (18; 16%), and *V. vulnificus* (13; 12%). Of the 2,714 (95%) *Shigella* isolates serotyped, the most commonly identified serotypes were *S. sonnei* (2,337; 81%) and *S. flexneri* (359; 13%). Of the 252 (93%) STEC non-O157 isolates for which an O antigen was determined, the most commonly identified O antigens were O103 (52; 21%), O26 (50; 20%), O121 (44; 17%), O111 (37; 15%), and O45 (12; 5%).

**Table 4A. Number of laboratory-confirmed infections caused by specific bacterial pathogens reported, by site, FoodNet, 2007**

Pathogen	CA	CO	CT	GA	MD	MN	NM	NY	OR	TN	Total
<i>Campylobacter</i>	923	421	493	688	414	907	350	522	705	448	5,871
<i>Listeria</i>	8	9	13	31	15	6	4	11	9	16	122
<i>Salmonella</i>	478	316	431	2047	870	711	283	521	320	851	6,828
<i>Shigella</i>	188	79	44	1638	109	237	107	38	66	363	2,869
STEC O157	39	32	45	47	22	166	10	58	73	54	546
STEC non-O157	9	55	26	42	35	41	23	12	5	24	272
STEC O Ag* Rough	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	6
STEC O Ag Undetermined	1	5	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	11
STEC O Ag not tested	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
<i>Vibrio</i>	13	4	16	23	26	8	0	9	8	3	110
<i>Yersinia</i>	15	4	18	44	8	24	3	16	19	13	164
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,674</b>	<b>925</b>	<b>1,087</b>	<b>4,562</b>	<b>1,501</b>	<b>2,102</b>	<b>782</b>	<b>1,187</b>	<b>1,205</b>	<b>1,776</b>	<b>16,801</b>

\*Antigen.

**Table 4B. Number of laboratory-confirmed infections caused by specific parasitic pathogens reported, by site, FoodNet, 2007**

Pathogen	CA	CO	CT	GA	MD	MN	NM	NY	OR	TN	Total
<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	40	102	42	231	33	302	120	89	129	137	1,225
<i>Cyclospora</i>	1	0	3	3	1	0	2	2	0	1	13
<b>Total</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>1,238</b>

**Seasonality**

The number of infections reported varied by pathogen and month (Figures 2A, 2B, and 2C). In general, more infections with pathogens under FoodNet surveillance occur during the summer months. In 2007, 69% of *Cyclospora* infections occurred during June and July; 44% of *Campylobacter* infections occurred from June through August; 56% *Listeria* infections and 58% *Salmonella* infections occurred from June through October; 48% of *Vibrio* and 45% STEC non-O157 infections occurred from July through August; and 66% of *Cryptosporidium* and 64% of STEC O157 infections occurred from July through October.

**Figure 2A. Cases of *Campylobacter*, *Cryptosporidium*, *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* infection, by month, FoodNet, 2007**






Figure 2B. Cases of STEC O157 and STEC non-O157 infection, by month, FoodNet, 2007





Figure 2C. Cases of *Cyclospora*, *Listeria*, *Vibrio*, and *Yersinia* infection, by month, FoodNet, 2007



## Incidence

To compare the number of infections with pathogens under FoodNet surveillance across sites, incidence per 100,000 population was calculated. The incidence figures reported in Tables 5A and 5B and Figures 3A, 3B, and 3C were calculated using the 2007 census population counts. The incidence of infections in 2007 was highest for *Salmonella* (14.86/100,000 population), followed by *Campylobacter* (12.78), *Shigella* (6.24), *Cryptosporidium* (2.67), STEC O157 (1.19), STEC non-O157 (0.59), *Yersinia* (0.36), *Listeria* (0.27), *Vibrio* (0.24), *Cyclospora* (0.03), STEC O Antigen Undetermined (0.02), STEC O Antigen Rough (0.01), and STEC O Antigen not tested (0.004).

**Table 5A. Incidence\* of laboratory-confirmed infections caused by specific bacterial pathogens reported, by site, FoodNet, 2007**

Pathogen	CA	CO	CT	GA	MD	MN	NM	NY	OR	TN	Overall
<i>Campylobacter</i>	28.41	15.58	14.08	7.21	7.37	17.45	17.77	12.23	18.81	7.28	12.78
<i>Listeria</i>	0.25	0.33	0.37	0.32	0.27	0.12	0.20	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.27
<i>Salmonella</i>	14.71	11.70	12.31	21.45	15.48	13.68	14.37	12.21	8.54	13.82	14.86
<i>Shigella</i>	5.79	2.92	1.26	17.16	1.94	4.56	5.43	0.89	1.76	5.90	6.24
STEC O157	1.20	1.18	1.28	0.49	0.39	3.19	0.51	1.36	1.95	0.88	1.19
STEC non-O157	0.28	2.04	0.74	0.44	0.62	0.79	1.17	0.28	0.13	0.39	0.59
STEC O Ag <sup>†</sup> Rough	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.01
STEC O Ag Undetermined	0.03	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02
STEC O Ag not tested	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.004
<i>Vibrio</i>	0.40	0.15	0.46	0.24	0.46	0.15	0.00	0.21	0.21	0.05	0.24
<i>Yersinia</i>	0.46	0.15	0.51	0.46	0.14	0.46	0.15	0.37	0.51	0.21	0.36

\*Cases per 100,000 population.


<sup>†</sup>Antigen.

**Table 5B. Incidence\* of laboratory-confirmed infections caused by specific parasitic pathogens reported, by site, FoodNet, 2007**


Pathogen	CA	CO	CT	GA	MD	MN	NM	NY	OR	TN	Overall
<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	1.23	3.78	1.20	2.42	0.59	5.81	6.09	2.09	3.44	2.23	2.67
<i>Cyclospora</i>	0.03	0.00	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.10	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.03

\*Cases per 100,000 population.


**Figure 3A. Incidence of *Campylobacter*, *Cryptosporidium*, *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* infections per 100,000 population, by site, FoodNet, 2007**



**Figure 3B. Incidence of STEC O157 and STEC non-O157 infections per 100,000 population, by site, FoodNet, 2007**




**Figure 3C. Incidence of *Cyclospora*, *Listeria*, *Vibrio*, and *Yersinia* infections per 100,000 population, by site, FoodNet, 2007**




**Incidence by Age**

The incidence of infections caused by pathogens under FoodNet surveillance varied by age group (Figure 4A and 4B). The incidence of infections in children <1 year of age was substantially higher for *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter*, *Yersinia*, and *Listeria* compared to other age groups (118.26 per 100,000 population versus 13.39 per 100,000 population; 31.52 versus 12.51; 5.80 versus 0.28; and 2.51 versus 0.23, respectively). The incidences of *Shigella*, *Cryptosporidium*, and STEC O157 infections were highest among children 1-9 years of age (29.31 per 100,000 population versus 3.87 per 100,000 population; 6.17 versus 0.30; and 3.26 versus 1.12, respectively).

**Figure 4A. Incidence of *Campylobacter*, *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* infections, by age group, FoodNet, 2007**



**Figure 4B. Incidence of *Cryptosporidium*, STEC O157, and *Yersinia* infections, by age group, FoodNet, 2007**



**Incidence by Sex**

The incidence of *Vibrio*, *Campylobacter*, STEC non-O157, and *Yersinia* infections was higher among males; whereas the incidence of *Cyclospora*, *Listeria*, STEC O157, *Cryptosporidium*, *Shigella*, and *Salmonella* infections was somewhat higher among females (Table 6).

**Table 6. Sex-specific incidence per 100,000 population, by pathogen, FoodNet, 2007**

Pathogen	Male	Female
<i>Campylobacter</i>	14.49	11.09
<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	2.59	2.72
<i>Cyclospora</i>	0.02	0.03
<i>Listeria</i>	0.24	0.29
<i>Salmonella</i>	14.68	14.96
<i>Shigella</i>	6.10	6.37
STEC O157	1.12	1.26
STEC non-O157	0.65	0.53
<i>Vibrio</i>	0.35	0.13
<i>Yersinia</i>	0.38	0.33

**Hospitalizations**

Hospitalization status was determined for 95% (17,124) of FoodNet cases (Table 7). Overall, 21% of persons with a laboratory-confirmed infection were hospitalized. The percentage of persons hospitalized was highest for *Listeria* (92% of reported cases), followed by STEC O157 (46%), *Vibrio* (31%), *Yersinia* (28%), *Salmonella* (26%), *Cryptosporidium* (18%), STEC O Antigen Rough (17%), *Shigella* (14%), *Campylobacter* (14%), STEC non-O157 (13%), STEC O Antigen Undetermined (9%). No hospitalizations were reported among *Cyclospora* cases.

**Table 7. Frequency of hospitalization status, by pathogen, FoodNet, 2007**

Pathogen	Hospitalized		Outpatient		Total cases with hospitalization information		Unknown hospitalization status		Total cases reported
	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.
<i>Campylobacter</i>	833	(14)	4,551	(78)	5,384	(92)	487	(8)	5,871
<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	226	(18)	947	(77)	1,173	(96)	52	(4)	1,225
<i>Cyclospora</i>	0	(-)	12	(92)	12	(92)	1	(8)	13
<i>Listeria</i>	112	(92)	8	(7)	120	(98)	2	(2)	122
<i>Salmonella</i>	1,786	(26)	4,773	(70)	6,559	(96)	269	(4)	6,828
<i>Shigella</i>	402	(14)	2,386	(83)	2,788	(97)	81	(3)	2,869
STEC O157	249	(46)	295	(54)	544	(99)	2	(0.3)	546
STEC non-O157	35	(13)	230	(85)	265	(97)	7	(3)	272
STEC O Ag* Rough	1	(17)	4	(67)	5	(83)	1	(17)	6
STEC O Ag Undetermined	1	(9)	9	(82)	10	(91)	1	(9)	11
STEC O Ag not tested	0	(-)	2	(100)	2	(100)	0	(-)	2
<i>Vibrio</i>	34	(31)	73	(66)	107	(97)	3	(3)	110
<i>Yersinia</i>	46	(28)	109	(66)	155	(95)	9	(5)	164
<b>Total</b>	3,725	(21)	13,399	(74)	17,124	(95)	915	(5)	18,039

\*Antigen.

## Deaths

In 2007, 64 persons with laboratory-confirmed infections were reported to have died. Of these, 26 were infected with *Salmonella*, 19 with *Listeria*, 7 with *Campylobacter*, 5 with *Cryptosporidium*, 4 with *Vibrio*, 2 with *Shigella*, and 1 with STEC O157. No deaths were reported for *Cyclospora*, STEC non-O157, STEC O Antigen Rough, STEC O Antigen Undetermined, or *Yersinia*. *Listeria* had the highest case-fatality rate (16%; Table 8).

**Table 8. Frequency of patient outcome, by pathogen, FoodNet, 2007**

Pathogen	Outcome		Total cases with outcome information		Unknown		Total cases reported	Case fatality rate (CFR)*
	No.	No.	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	
<i>Campylobacter</i>	4,920	7	4,927	(84)	944	(16)	5,871	0.12
<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	1,154	5	1,159	(95)	66	(5)	1,225	0.41
<i>Cyclospora</i>	12	0	12	(92)	1	(8)	13	-
<i>Listeria</i>	102	19	121	(99)	1	(1)	122	15.57
<i>Salmonella</i>	6,319	26	6,345	(93)	483	(7)	6,828	0.38
<i>Shigella</i>	2,460	2	2,462	(86)	407	(14)	2,869	0.07
STEC O157	541	1	542	(99)	4	(1)	546	0.18
STEC non-O157	265	0	265	(97)	7	(3)	272	-
STEC O Ag <sup>†</sup> Rough	6	0	6	(100)	0	-	6	-
STEC O Ag Undetermined	10	0	10	(91)	1	(9)	11	-
STEC O Ag not tested	2	0	2	(100)	0	(0)	2	-
<i>Vibrio</i>	100	4	104	(95)	6	(5)	110	3.64
<i>Yersinia</i>	146	0	146	(89)	18	(11)	164	-
<b>Total</b>	16,037	64	16,101	(89)	1,938	(11)	18,039	0.35

\*CFR = (number of patients reported to have died/total number cases) x 100.

<sup>†</sup>Antigen.

## International Travel

Of the 514 (94%) STEC O157 cases for whom travel information was available, 3% reported international travel, and, of 5,177 (76%) *Salmonella* infection for whom travel information was available, 11% reported international travel (Table 9).

**Table 9. Frequency of international travel among persons with *Salmonella* and STEC O157 infections, by pathogen, FoodNet, 2007**

Pathogen	Yes		No		Total cases with travel information		Unknown		Total cases reported
	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.
<i>Salmonella</i>	584	(11)	4,593	(89)	5,177	(76)	1,651	(24)	6,828
STEC O157	16	(3)	498	(97)	514	(94)	32	(6)	546

**Outbreak-related Cases**

Five percent of the cases reported to FoodNet were known to be outbreak-related; 54% of these outbreaks were foodborne (Table 10). The most common outbreak-related etiologies were *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, and STEC O157, which accounted for 90% of all outbreak-related cases. Of the 6,828 *Salmonella* cases ascertained, 416 (6%) were identified as being outbreak-related. Of these, 86% were foodborne, 11% were nonfoodborne, and for 3% the mode of transmission was unknown. Of the 2,869 *Shigella* cases ascertained, 283 (10%) were identified as being outbreak-related; none of these outbreaks was determined to be foodborne. Of the 546 STEC O157 cases ascertained, 97 (18%) were identified as being outbreak-related. Of these, 72% were foodborne and 28% were nonfoodborne.

**Table 10. Frequency of outbreak-related cases, by pathogen, FoodNet, 2007**

Pathogen	Outbreak-related cases		Foodborne related		Nonfoodborne related		Unknown	
	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)
<i>Campylobacter</i>	7	(0.1)	4	(57)	0	(-)	3	(43)
<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	32	(3)	2	(6)	30	(94)	0	(-)
<i>Salmonella</i>	416	(6)	357	(86)	47	(11)	12	(3)
<i>Shigella</i>	283	(10)	0	(-)	283	(100)	0	(-)
STEC O157	97	(18)	70	(72)	27	(28)	0	(-)
STEC non-O157	45	(17)	43	(96)	2	(4)	0	(-)
STEC O Ag* Undetermined	1	(9)	0	(-)	1	(100)	0	(-)
<i>Vibrio</i>	1	(1)	1	(100)	0	(-)	0	(-)
<b>Total</b>	<b>882</b>	<b>(5)</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>(54)</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>(44)</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>(3)</b>

\*Antigen.



**Progress Towards  
National Health  
Objectives**

Healthy People 2010 national health objectives exist for four of the FoodNet pathogens under surveillance; *Campylobacter*, *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, and STEC O157. Although the incidence of infections with *Campylobacter*, *Listeria* and STEC O157 are close to meeting their respective objectives the incidence of *Salmonella* infections substantially exceeds its objective (Table 11).

**Table 11. Comparison of 2007 incidence\* with the Healthy People 2010 Objectives**

<b>Pathogen</b>	<b>2007 Crude Rate</b>	<b>National health objective</b>
<i>Campylobacter</i>	12.78	12.30 <sup>†</sup>
<i>Listeria</i>	0.27	0.25 <sup>‡</sup>
<i>Salmonella</i>	14.86	6.80 <sup>†</sup>
STEC O157	1.19	1.00 <sup>†</sup>

\*Cases per 100,000 population.

<sup>†</sup>2010 Healthy People objective.

<sup>‡</sup>2005 objective.

**Modeled 2007  
Incidence**

The incidence of several infections in 2007 differed significantly when compared with 1996-1998 period. The incidence of infections caused by *Campylobacter*, *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, STEC O157, and *Yersinia* was significantly lower (Table 12 and Figures 5A, 5B, 5C).

The estimated incidence of *Yersinia* was 49% lower (95% CI=-59% to -35%), *Listeria* was 43% lower (95% CI=-55% to -28%), *Shigella* was 37% lower (95% CI=-56% to -10%), *Campylobacter* was 31% lower (95% CI=-36% to -24%), STEC O157 was 26% lower (95% CI=-39% to -10%), and *Salmonella* was 8% lower (95% CI=-15% to -2%). The estimated incidence of *Cryptosporidium* and *Vibrio* was not significantly different from the 1996-1998 comparison period.

Compared with the previous 3 years (2004-2006), however, the estimated 2007 incidence of infections caused by *Campylobacter*, *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, STEC O157, *Vibrio*, and *Yersinia* did not change significantly from the comparison period (Figure 6). The estimated 2007 incidence of *Cryptosporidium* infections was 44% higher (95% CI=8% to 90%) compared with the 2004-2006 time period.

Of the most common *Salmonella* serotypes reported in 2007, only serotype Typhimurium declined (52% lower; 95% CI=-58% to -46%) compared with the 1996-1998 period (Table 13 and Figure 7). Significantly higher estimated incidences were seen for serotype Newport (71% higher; 95% CI=31% to 123%), Javiana (59% higher; 95% CI=2% to 149%), and Enteritidis (24% higher; 95% CI=1% to 52%). For serotypes Heidelberg or Montevideo, the estimated incidence in 2007 was similar to that of the 1996-1998 comparison period.

Compared with 2004-2006, there were only significant declines in the estimated 2007 incidence of *Salmonella* serotypes Typhimurium (19% lower; 95% CI=-27% to -10%) and Heidelberg (18% lower; 95% CI=-32% to -1%) (Figure 8). Significant higher estimated incidences were seen for serotypes I 4,[5],12:i:- (75% higher; 95% CI=24% to 147%) and Newport (28% higher; 95% CI=5% to 55%).


**Table 12. Percent change in incidence\* of laboratory-confirmed infections with pathogens under surveillance in FoodNet, by pathogen, 2007 compared with 1996-1998**

<b>Pathogen</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>95% confidence interval</b>
<b>Bacterial</b>		
<i>Campylobacter</i>	-31%	-36% to -25%
<i>Listeria</i>	-43%	-55% to -28%
<i>Salmonella</i>	-8%	-15% to -2%
<i>Shigella</i>	-37%	-56% to -10%
STEC O157	-26%	-39% to -0%
<i>Vibrio</i>	29%	-6% to 78%
<i>Yersinia</i>	-49%	-59% to -36%
<b>Parasitic</b>		
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> <sup>†</sup>	31%	-14% to 100%


\*Cases per 100,000 population.

<sup>†</sup>2007 compared with 1997-1998.


**Figure 5A. Relative rates of laboratory-confirmed infections with *Campylobacter*, *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, and STEC O157, *Vibrio* compared with 1996-1998 rates, by year, FoodNet, 1996-2007**




**Figure 5B. Relative rates laboratory-confirmed infections with *Shigella*, and *Yersinia* compared with 1996-1998 rates, by year, FoodNet, 1996-2007**



**Figure 5C. Relative rates of laboratory-confirmed infections with *Cryptosporidium* compared with 1996-1998 rates, by year, FoodNet, 1997-2007**



**Figure 6. Percent change in incidence of laboratory-confirmed bacterial and parasitic infections in 2007 compared with average annual incidence during 2004-2006, by pathogen, FoodNet**



\* Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*.

**How to interpret the graph**


- Significant decrease** = The estimate and 95% confidence intervals are both BELOW the no change line
- Significant increase** = The estimate and 95% confidence intervals are both ABOVE the no change line
- No change** = No significant increase or no significant decrease observed

**Table 13. Percent change in incidence\* of laboratory-confirmed infections with selected *Salmonella* serotypes under surveillance in FoodNet, by serotype, 2007 compared with 1996-1998**


Serotype	Change	95% confidence interval
Enteritidis	24%	1% to 52%
Heidelberg	-19%	-35% to 2%
Javiana	59%	2% to 149%
Montevideo	-5%	-33% to 36%
Newport	71%	31% to 123%
Typhimurium	-52%	-58% to -46%

\*Cases per 100,000 population.

**Figure 7. Relative rates of laboratory-confirmed infections with selected *Salmonella* serotypes compared with 1997-1998 rates, by year, FoodNet, 1996-2007**



**Figure 8. Percent change in incidence of laboratory-confirmed selected *Salmonella* serotype infections in 2007 compared with average annual incidence during 2004-2006, by serotype, FoodNet**



**How to interpret the graph**

**Significant decrease** = The estimate and 95% confidence intervals are both BELOW the no change line

**Significant increase** = The estimate and 95% confidence intervals are both ABOVE the no change line

**No change** = No significant increase or no significant decrease observed

## ***Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome Surveillance***

Hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) is a life-threatening illness characterized by microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, and acute renal failure. Most cases of HUS in the United States are preceded by diarrhea due to infection with STEC. STEC O157 is the most frequently isolated STEC serotype among HUS cases, but other serotypes can also cause HUS.

Data from HUS surveillance are reported one year later than data from FoodNet Active Surveillance because of the time required for review of medical records and hospital discharge data for HUS cases.

### ***Cases Reported, 2006***

In 2006, FoodNet ascertained 118 HUS cases, including 109 (92%) post-diarrheal cases. Among post-diarrheal HUS cases, 3 (3%) persons died. Ninety-three (85%) pediatric (in persons less than 18 years of age) post-diarrheal HUS cases were reported; among these 65 (60%) cases were in children less than five years of age. Sixty-eight percent of HUS cases were diagnosed during June through September.

### ***Results, 1997-2006***

A total of 771 HUS cases were reported from 1997 through 2006, 681 (88%) of these cases were post-diarrheal (Table 14). Most post-diarrheal HUS cases occurred in females (58%), and the median age of patients was four years. Ninety-six percent of the cases were hospitalized, with a median length of hospitalization of 12 days.

Stool specimens were obtained from 649 (95%) post-diarrheal HUS cases; 614 (95%) were cultured for STEC O157, and STEC O157 was isolated from 354 (58%) stools. Only 278 (43%) stool specimens were tested for Shiga toxin and, of these, 189 (68%) tested positive for the presence of Shiga toxin. Stool specimens from 34 (5%) post-diarrheal HUS cases were reported to have been cultured for non-O157 STEC. Non-O157 STEC was isolated from nine (26%) stools and one urine sample; two infections were caused by O111, three were caused by O145, and one was caused by O121. Three additional cases were reported in which a non-O157 STEC was identified but the O antigen was not determined (Table 15). Serum samples from 53 post-diarrheal cases were tested for antibodies to O157, O111 or O26 lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Thirty-eight (72%) cases had antibodies to O157 LPS, one case had antibodies to O111LPS, and no cases had antibodies to O26 LPS.

**Table 14. Summary of post-diarrheal HUS cases, FoodNet, 1997-2006**

Number of post-diarrheal HUS cases	681
Median Age (age range)	4.5 (0-89)
Percent female	58%
Median Hospitalization (days)	12 days
Deaths (%)	41 (6)

**Table 15. Results of microbiologic testing for STEC infection among post-diarrheal HUS cases, FoodNet, 1997-2006**

Diarrhea in three weeks before HUS diagnosis / Total patients	681/771	88%
Stool specimen obtained / Total patients	649/681	95%
Stool cultured for <i>E. coli</i> O157 / Patients with stool specimen obtained	614/649	95%
<i>E. coli</i> O157 isolated from stool / Patients with stool cultured for <i>E. coli</i> O157	354/614	58%
Stool tested for Shiga toxin / Patients with stool specimen obtained	278/649	43%
Stool Shiga toxin-positive / Patients with stool tested for Shiga toxin	189/278	67%
Non-O157 STEC tested from stool / Patients tested for Shiga toxin	34/189	18%
Non-O157 STEC isolated from stool / Non-O157 STEC tested from stool	9/34	26%
Stool yielding <i>E. coli</i> O157, non-O157 STEC and/or Shiga toxin + / Total patients with stool cultured for <i>E. coli</i>	372/614	61%



***Pediatric HUS,  
1997-2006***

FoodNet identified 550 (81%) post-diarrheal HUS cases in children less than 18 years of age. The overall incidence rate was 0.65 per 100,000 population and was highest in children under five years of age (1.60 per 100,000 population) (Table 16).

Hospital discharge data review was used to validate pediatric HUS diagnoses and to identify additional HUS cases. Between 2000 and 2006, 39% of the post-diarrheal pediatric HUS cases reported to FoodNet were identified through active surveillance alone, 12% were identified through hospital discharge data review alone, and 45% were identified by both active surveillance and hospital discharge data review (Table 17).

HUS surveillance can be used to corroborate incidence patterns of STEC O157 seen in FoodNet. A comparison of the crude incidence of pediatric STEC O157 and pediatric HUS cases is seen in Figure 9. Overall, the crude incidence rates of pediatric STEC O157 infection and HUS demonstrate a general correlation in trends.

**Table 16. Number and incidence rate\* of pediatric post-diarrheal HUS cases, by site and age group, FoodNet, 1997-2006**

State	Age <5 years		Age 5-14 years		Age 15-17 years		Total	
	Cases	Rate	Cases	Rate	Cases	Rate	Cases	Rate
CA	26	1.42	16	0.44	0	0.00	42	0.65
CO <sup>†</sup>	21	1.88	8	0.39	1	0.16	30	0.79
CT	25	1.19	20	0.43	2	0.15	47	0.58
GA	47	0.82	14	0.13	3	0.09	64	0.32
MD <sup>†</sup>	22	0.90	13	0.25	0	0.00	35	0.38
MN	80	2.41	42	0.59	1	0.04	123	0.97
NM <sup>†</sup>	4	0.95	1	0.12	0	0.00	5	0.33
NY <sup>†</sup>	27	1.69	12	0.32	3	0.25	42	0.65
OR	64	2.86	17	0.36	3	0.20	84	1.00
TN <sup>†</sup>	54	2.50	23	0.53	1	0.08	78	0.99
<b>Total</b>	370	1.60	166	0.35	14	0.10	550	0.65

\*Cases per 100,000 population.


<sup>†</sup>HUS surveillance started in CO in 2001, MD in 1999, NM in 2004, and TN in 2000.

**Table 17. Method of identification of post-diarrheal pediatric HUS cases, by year, FoodNet, 2000-2006**

	2000		2001		2002		2003		2004*		2005*		2006*		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
<b>Active Surveillance Only</b>	26	42	42	56	30	48	18	33	16	33	19	29	28	32	179	39
<b>Hospital Discharge Data Only (HDD)</b>	10	16	8	11	6	10	7	13	3	6	10	15	9	10	53	12
<b>Active and HDD</b>	15	24	24	32	26	42	26	48	29	60	37	56	47	53	204	45
<b>Unknown</b>	11	18	1	1	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	5	6	20	4
<b>Total cases</b>	62		75		62		54		48		66		89		456	

\*NM is excluded because they do not conduct hospital discharge data review.

**Figure 9. Comparison of pediatric incidence rates of STEC O157 and HUS, FoodNet, 1997-2006**



## ***Discussion***

### **Declines in incidence of Foodborne pathogens**

Although significant declines in the incidence of certain foodborne pathogens have occurred since 1996, these declines all occurred before 2004. Comparing 2007 with 2004-2006, the estimated incidence of infections caused by *Campylobacter*, *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, STEC O157, *Vibrio*, and *Yersinia* did not decline significantly, and the incidence of *Cryptosporidium* infections increased. The incidence of *Salmonella* infections in 2007 was the furthest from the national target for 2010.

### **Salmonella**

Transmission of *Salmonella* to humans can occur by many routes, including consumption of food animal products, raw produce contaminated with animal waste, contact with animals and their environment, and contaminated water. Outbreaks caused by contaminated peanut butter, frozen pot pies, and a puffed vegetable snack that occurred in 2007 underscore the need to prevent contamination of commercially produced foods. An outbreak associated with turtle exposure highlights the importance of animals as a nonfood source of human infections. To reduce the incidence of *Salmonella* infections, concerted efforts are needed throughout the food supply chain, from farm to processing plant to kitchen. Recognizing the need to prevent *Salmonella* contamination of poultry products and other meats, the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food Safety and Inspection Service (USDA FSIS) launched a *Salmonella* initiative in 2006, with enhancements in 2008 (2). A USDA FSIS testing program reported recent declines in the percentage of broiler chicken carcasses that yielded *Salmonella*, from 16.3% in 2005 to 11.4% in 2006 and 8.5% in 2007 (3).

### **STEC**

Declines in the incidence of STEC O157 infections in 2003 and 2004 have not been maintained. Although the USDA FSIS and the beef processing industry have implemented interventions to reduce ground beef contamination, 21 beef product recalls due to possible contamination with STEC O157 were issued in 2007. Beef from 10 of these was highly associated with illness. This represents an increase compared with previous years. USDA FSIS launched an STEC O157 initiative in fall 2007 and hosted a public meeting in spring 2008 to explore solutions to the challenges this pathogen presents. Additional efforts are needed to control STEC O157 in cattle and to prevent its spread to other food animals and food products, such as produce.

---

2 CDC. Multistate outbreak of human *Salmonella* infections associated with exposure to turtles---United States, 2007--2008. MMWR 2008; 57:69--72.

3 CDC. Multistate outbreak of *Salmonella* serotype Tennessee infections associated with peanut butter---United States, 2006--2007. MMWR 2007;57:521--4.

### **Cryptosporidium**

The increase in reported *Cryptosporidium* infections compared with 2004-2006 might reflect, at least in part, an increase in diagnostic testing stimulated by licensing of a new treatment (nitazoxanide). The incidence of *Campylobacter*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, and STEC O157 infections remains highest among children aged <5 years, highlighting the need for targeted interventions. Identified risk factors for bacterial enteric illness in young children include riding in a shopping cart next to raw meat or poultry, attendance at day care, visiting or living on a farm, and living in a home with a reptile (4,5). Recent *Salmonella* outbreaks associated with exposure to small turtles (carapace lengths of <4 inches) highlight the importance of enforcing a 1975 prohibition on the sale and distribution of such turtles in the United States (3).

### **National Health Objectives**

Much remains to be done to reach the national health objectives for foodborne illnesses. Enhanced measures are needed to understand the complex ecologies that link pathogens to animals and plants; to control or eliminate pathogens in food sources; to reduce or prevent contamination during food growing, harvesting, and processing; and to educate restaurant workers and consumers about infection risks and prevention measures. Such measures can be more focused when the sources of human infections are known. More outbreaks can be recognized through more rapid and complete subtyping of pathogens and interviewing of ill persons and controls when clusters of illness are recognized.

### **How consumers can reduce risk**

Consumers can reduce their risk for foodborne illness by following safe food-handling recommendations and by avoiding consumption of unpasteurized milk, raw or undercooked oysters, raw or undercooked eggs, raw or undercooked ground beef, and undercooked poultry. The risk for foodborne illness also can be decreased by choosing in-shell pasteurized eggs, irradiated ground meat, and high-pressure-treated oysters.

---

4 Fullerton KE, Ingram LA, Jones TF, et al. Sporadic *Campylobacter* infection in infants a population-based surveillance case-control study. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2007;26:19--24.

5 Jones TF, Ingram LA, Fullerton KE, et al. A case-control study of the epidemiology of sporadic *Salmonella* infection in infants. *Pediatrics* 2006;118:2380--7.

## ***Limitations***

The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. First, FoodNet case definitions rely on laboratory diagnoses. However, many foodborne illnesses are unreported, either because ill persons do not seek medical care, or because stool cultures or other diagnostic tests are not ordered. Second, protocols for isolation of certain enteric pathogens (e.g., STEC non-O157) in clinical laboratories vary and are not uniform either within or among FoodNet sites (6). Both of these situations lead to an under-estimation of the true number of cases. Third, reported illnesses might have been acquired through nonfoodborne transmission: reported incidence rates do not reflect foodborne transmission exclusively. Finally, results may not be generalizable to the entire population. However, the Foodnet surveillance population is demographically similar to the United States population, except for an under-representation of Hispanics.

---

6 Voetsch AC, Angulo FJ, Rabatsky-Ehr T, et al. Laboratory practices for stool-specimen culture for bacterial pathogens, including *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, in the FoodNet sites, 1995–2000. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2004;38(suppl 3):S190–7.


## Other FoodNet Data Sources

### Burden of Illness

Cases reported through active surveillance are only a fraction of the true number of cases occurring in the community. To better estimate the number of cases of foodborne disease in the community, FoodNet conducts surveys of laboratories and the general population in the FoodNet sites (Figure 10). Using these data, we can determine the proportion of persons in the general population who experience a diarrheal illness over a given period of time, and, among those, the number who seek medical care for the illness and who submit a specimen for laboratory testing. We can evaluate how variations in laboratory testing for bacterial pathogens influence the number of laboratory-confirmed cases. Using FoodNet and other data, CDC estimated, in 1999, that 76 million foodborne illnesses, 325,000 hospitalizations, and 5,000 deaths occurred in the United States (7).

This model can be used to estimate the burden of illness caused by each foodborne pathogen. For example, data from this model suggest that during 1996-1999 there were 1.4 million nontyphoidal *Salmonella* infections per year, resulting in 113,000 physician office visits and 36,242 culture-confirmed cases in this country. Laboratory-confirmed cases alone resulted in an estimated 8,500 hospitalizations and 300 deaths; additional hospitalizations and deaths occur among persons whose illness is not laboratory diagnosed (8).

**Figure 10. Burden of illness pyramid**



7 Mead P, Slutsker L, Dietz V, et al. Food-related illness and death in the United States. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 1999;5:607-25.

8 Voetsch A, Van Gilder T, Angulo F, et al. FoodNet estimate of burden of illness caused by nontyphoidal *Salmonella* infection in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2004;38(3):S127-134.

***Routes of  
Transmission  
of Foodborne  
Pathogens***

FoodNet conducts case-control studies to determine the proportion of foodborne diseases that are caused by consumption of specific foods or are related to specific food preparation and handling practices. To date, FoodNet has conducted case-control studies of STEC O157; *Salmonella* serotypes Enteritidis, Heidelberg, Newport, and Typhimurium; *Campylobacter*; *Cryptosporidium*; and *Listeria* infection as well as infant *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* infections. By determining the contribution to these foodborne diseases made by specific foods or food preparation and handling practices, prevention efforts can be targeted to groups appropriately.

***Other FoodNet  
Activities in 2007***

- Published 14 peer reviewed publications
- Presented 35 abstracts at scientific meetings
- Continued to conduct and improve the quality of surveillance data
  - Updated FoodNet surveillance protocol
  - Updated FoodNet performance standards
  - Revised FoodNet variable list
  - Reviewed quality of new STEC variables capturing Shiga toxin information
  - Evaluated ascertainment of STEC cases in light of changing laboratory practices
- Completed business rules and requirements for development of new FoodNet application (FoodNet 2.0)
- Continued integration of FoodNet surveillance data with other Enteric Diseases Epidemiology Branch data sources.
  - Completed pilot project linking data from PulseNet and NARMS
- Continued efforts to improve reporting of outbreaks in FoodNet sites to eFORS
  - Presented preliminary analysis of contributing factor supplemental data
  - Presented preliminary analysis of ill food-worked supplemental data
- Continued to improve analysis of trends in FoodNet surveillance
  - Initiated use of new previous 3 year's comparison period.
  - Evaluated the exclusion of outbreak-related cases and international travel-related cases on FoodNet pathogen incidence rates for 2004 to the present.
- Presented preliminary analysis results from 1<sup>st</sup> FoodNet community-acquired *C. difficile* surveillance pilot data.
- Completed data collection for the 2006-2007 FoodNet Population Survey.
- Completed pilot *Campylobacter* Grocery Store survey and presented preliminary analysis results.
- Continued to improve estimated of burden of Foodborne illness.
- Continued attribution efforts to estimate the proportion of Foodborne illness caused by specific food commodities.
- Expert consultancies
  - WHO initiative to estimate the global burden of foodborne disease
  - FoodNet-like projects in Canada and Malaysia
- Presented to industry, consumer groups and international visitors



## ***Publications and Abstracts, 2007***

A list of FoodNet publications and presentations is also available at the following FoodNet Web site:

<http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet/pub.htm>

### ***Publications***

1. Stevenson JE, Gay K, Barrett TJ, Medalla F, Chiller T, Angulo FJ. Increase in Nalidixic Acid Resistance among Non-Typhi *Salmonella enterica* Isolates in the United States from 1996-2003. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2007;51(1):195-197.
2. Aragon TJ, Vugia DJ, Shallow S, Samuel MC, Reingold A, Angulo FJ, Bradford WZ. A Case-Control Study of Shigellosis in San Francisco: The Role of Sexual Transmission and HIV Infection. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2007;44(3):327-334.
3. Nelson JM, Chiller T, Powers J, Angulo FJ. Fluoroquinolone-Resistant *Campylobacter* Species and the Withdrawal of Fluoroquinolones from Use in Poultry: A Public Health Success Story. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2007;44:977-980.
4. Scallan E. Activities, Achievements, and Lessons Learned During the First 10 Years of FoodNet. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2007;44(5):718-725.
5. Varma JK, Samuel MC, Marcus R, Hoekstra RM, Medus C, Segler SD, Anderson BJ, Jones TF, Shiferaw B, Haubert N, Megginson M, McCarthy PV, Graves LM, Van Gilder T. *Listeria Monocytogenes* Infection From Food in the Regulatory Era: A Case-Control Study of Risk Factors for Sporadic Illness in the United States. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2007;44(4):521-528.
6. Voetsch AC, Angulo FJ, Jones TF, Moore MR, Nadon C, McCarthy PV, Shiferaw B, Megginson M, Hurd S, Anderson BJ, Cronquist AB, Vugia DJ, Medus C, Segler SD, Graves. Reduction in the Incidence of Invasive *Listeriosis* in the FoodNet Sites, 1996-2003. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2007;44(4):513-520.
7. Majowicz SE, Hall G, Scallan E, Adak G, Gauci C, Jones TF, O'Brien S, Henao OL, Sockett P. A common, symptom-based case definition for gastroenteritis. *Epidemiology and Infection* 2007 Aug 9; : 1-9.
8. Marcus R, Varma JK, Medus C, Boothe E, Anderson BJ, Crume TL, Fullerton KE, Moore MR, White PL, Lyszkowicz E, Voetsch AC, Angulo FJ, EIP FoodNet Working Group. Re-assessment of Risk Factors for Sporadic *Salmonella* Enteritidis Infections: A Case-Control Study in Five FoodNet Sites, 2002-2003. *Epidemiology and Infection* 2007;135(1):84-92.
9. Gerner-Smidt P, Whichard JM, Scallan E. Foodborne disease trends and reports. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease* 2007;4(1):1-4.
10. Jones TF, Scallan E, Angulo FJ. FoodNet: Overview of a Decade of Achievement. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease* 2007;4(1): 60-66.
11. Scallan E, Angulo FJ. Surveillance for foodborne diseases. *Infectious Disease Surveillance*.

12. Samuel MC, Vugia DJ, Koehler KM, Marcus R, Deneen VC, Damaske B, Shiferaw B, Hadler JL, Henao OL, Angulo FJ. Consumption of Risky Foods Among Adults at High Risk for Severe Foodborne Diseases: Room for Improved Targeted Prevention Messages. *Journal of Food Safety* 2007;27(2):219-232.
13. Fullerton KE, Ingram A, Jones TF, Anderson BJ, McCarthy PV, Hurd S, Shiferaw B, Vugia DJ, Haubert N, Hayes T, Wedel S, Scallan E, Henao OL, Angulo FJ, EIP FoodNet Working. Sporadic *Campylobacter* Infection in Infants: A Population-Based Surveillance Case-Control Study. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2007;26(1):19-24.

### **Abstracts**

14. Limbago BM, Angulo FJ, McDonald C, Long C, Thompson A. Isolation and Characterization of *Clostridium difficile* Responsible for Community-Associated Disease. Presented at ASM, 2007.
15. Limbago BM, Long C, Thompson, AD, Killgore G, Hannett G, Havill N, Mickelson S, Jones TF, Park M, Harriman K, Lathrop S, Cronquist AB, McDonald C, Angulo FJ. Isolation and Characterization of *Clostridium difficile* Responsible for Community-Associated Disease. Presented at American Society for Microbiology, 2007.
16. Ailes E, Demma L, Hurd S, Hatch J, Jones TF, Vugia DJ, Cronquist AB, Tobin-D'Angelo M, Larson K, Laine ES, Edge K, Zansky SM, Scallan E. Trends in Incidence of *Campylobacter* in the United States, FoodNet, 1996-2006. Presented at CHRO, 2007.
17. Demma L, Tong X, Wilmore S, Fitzgerald M, Medus C, Hoefler D, Hurd S, Hatch J, Shin S, Edge K, Cronquist AB, Blythe D, Tobin-D'Angelo M, Angulo FJ. *Campylobacter* species in FoodNet and NARMS 1997-2004: is the incidence of *Campylobacter coli* infection increasing? Presented at CHRO, 2007.
18. Demma L, Hurd S, Tong X, Hatch J, Hanna SS, Shin S, Segler SD, Kielbauch J, Cronquist AB, Hoefler D, Laine ES, Griffin PM, Fitzgerald C. Clinical Laboratory Practices for the Isolation and Identification of *Campylobacter* in FoodNet Sites. Presented at CHRO, 2007.
19. Sharapov U, Long C, Purviance K, Mickelson S, Ingram A, Segler S, Dumyati G, Lathrop S, Cronquist A, Shin S, Harriman K, Thompson A, Killgore G, McDonald C, Limbago B, Angulo F. An epidemic hospital strain as a cause of community-associated *Clostridium difficile*-associated disease: FoodNet pilot study, 2006. Presented at EIS, 2007.
20. Barton C, Henao OL, Long C, Vugia DJ, Marcus R, Thomas SM, Swanson E, Anderson BJ, Jones TF, Scallan E, FoodNet Working Group. Deaths Due to Bacterial Pathogens Commonly Transmitted Through Food in the Foodborne Disease Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet), 1996-2005. Presented at EIS, 2007.
21. Barton Behravesh C. Multistate Outbreak of *Salmonella* Typhimurium Infections Associated with Consumption of Restaurant results of 243 *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from patients in the USA, 2000-2005. Presented at IDSA, 2007.

22. Edge K, Lathrop S, Bareta J. Increase in Shiga Toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) in New Mexico, 2004-2006. Presented at IDSA, 2007.
23. Henao OL. Trends in the incidence of Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* 0157 infections, Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, 1996-2006. Presented at IDSA, 2007.
24. Long C, Jones TF, Zansky SM, Shin S, Cronquist AB, Turner R, Marcus R, Hayes T, Shiferaw B, Edge K, Scheftel J, Ryan PA, Demma L. Decline in *Yersinia enterocolitica* infections in FoodNet, 1998-2006. Presented at IDSA, 2007.
25. Mickelson S, Cieslak PR, Cronquist AB, Lindsay LC, Lyons C, Medus C, Mohle-Boetani JC, Patrick ME, Smith G, Thomas SM. *Salmonella* Bacterium in FoodNet Sites, 1996-2006. Presented at IDSA, 2007.
26. Moyer LB, Holman R, Ingram LA, Tobin-D'Angelo M, Laine E, Edge K, Hoefler D, Ryan PA, Vugia DJ, Marcus R, Henao OL. National Incidence of Hospitalization of Patients with *Campylobacter*, *Listeria* and *Salmonella* Infections, 1998-2004. Presented at IDSA, 2007.
27. Ong KL, Apostol M, Burnite S, Hurd S, Hayes T, Mickelson S, Scheftel J, Zansky SM, Beletshachew S, Boothe E, Henao OL, Demma L, FoodNet EIP Working Group. Trends in Incidence of Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome, Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network 2000-2005. Presented at IDSA, 2007.
28. Patrick ME, Tong X, Jones TF, Tobin-D'Angelo M, Zansky SM, Vugia DJ, Larson K, Cronquist AB, Hurd S, Laine E, Lathrop S, Scallan E. Age and Gender Trends in Incidence of Sporadic *Campylobacter* Infections, FoodNet, 1996-2006. Presented at IDSA, 2007.
29. Scallan E, Norton DM, Cronquist AB, Marcus R, Segler SD, Ryan PA, Fuller C, Zansky SM, Beletshachew S, Jones TF, McCarthy PV, Angulo FJ, FoodNet EIP Working Group. Burden of Acute Gastrointestinal Illness among Immunocompromised Persons. Presented at IDSA, 2007.
30. Henao OL, Vugia DJ, Hurd S, Tobin-D'Angelo M, Medus C, Anderson BJ, Shiferaw B, Jones TF, Scallan E. Pregnancy associated listeriosis, Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet), 1996-2005. Presented at International Symposium on Problems of Listeriosis, 2007.
31. Ong KL, Sharar A, Queensberry H. FSIS Regulation for *Listeria monocytogenes*. Presented at International Symposium on Problems of Listeriosis, 2007.
32. Scallan E, Nelson JM, Anderson BJ, Nadle J, Clogher P, Gillespie J, Daniels A, Plantega M, Ingram A, Edge K, Furuno JP, FoodNet EIP Working Group. Implementation of safe food policies for preventing *Listeria monocytogenes* infections at long-term care facilities, FoodNet 2005. Presented at International Symposium on Problems of Listeriosis, 2007.
33. Teates K, Jones TF, Vugia DJ, Hurd S, Anderson BJ, Cronquist AB, Farley MM, Plantenga M, Henao OL. High incidence of laboratory-confirmed *Listeria* infections in persons > 65

years of age, Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet), 1996-2005. Presented at International Symposium on Problems of Listeriosis, 2007.

34. Rosenblum IE, Vugia DJ, Cronquist AB, Phan Q, Burnett C, Larson K, Swanson E, Nicholas D, Keene WE, Lynch M, McCarthy PV, Jones TF, EIP FoodNet Working Group. A decline in the proportion of foodborne outbreaks with undetermined etiology following increased specimen collection and more rapid investigation: FoodNet 2001-2005. Presented at OutbreakNet, 2007.
35. Limbago BM, Long C, Purviance S, Mickelson S, Ingram, LA, Segler SD, Dumyati G, Lathrop S, Cronquist AB, Shin S, Harriman K, Thompson A, Killgore G, McDonald C, Sharapov U, Angulo FJ. An epidemic hospital strains as a cause of community-associated *Clostridium difficile*-associated disease: FoodNet pilot study, 2006. Presented at Second International *Clostridium difficile* Symposium "*Clostridium difficile*: organism, control, and prevention," 2007.

**Further information concerning FoodNet, including previous surveillance reports, *MMWR* articles, and other FoodNet publications, can be obtained by contacting the Enteric Diseases Epidemiology Branch at (404) 639-2206.**

## ***Materials Available Online***

### **List of Final FoodNet Surveillance Reports:** <http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet/reports.htm>

CDC. 1998 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 1998.  
CDC. 1997 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 1998.  
CDC. 1998 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 1998.  
CDC. 1999 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2000.  
CDC. 2000 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2002.  
CDC. 2001 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2002.  
CDC. 2002 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2003.  
CDC. 2003 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2005.  
CDC. 2004 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2006.  
CDC. FoodNet 2005 Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2008.  
CDC. FoodNet 2006 Surveillance Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2009.

### **List of FoodNet MMWR articles:** <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/>

CDC. Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, 1996. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 1997;46(12):258–61.  
CDC. Incidence of Foodborne Illnesses—FoodNet, 1997. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 1998;47(37):782–786.  
CDC. Incidence of Foodborne Illnesses: Preliminary Data from the Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet)—United States, 1998. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 1999;48(09):189–94.  
CDC. Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Foodborne Illnesses—Selected Sites, United States, 1999. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2000;49(10):201–205.  
CDC. Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Foodborne Illnesses—Selected Sites, United States, 2000. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2001;50(13):241–246.  
CDC. Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Foodborne Illnesses—Selected Sites, United States, 2001. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2002;51(15):325–329.  
CDC. Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Foodborne Illnesses—Selected Sites, United States, 2002. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2003;52(15):340–343.  
CDC. Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Infection with Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food—Selected Sites, United States, 2003. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2004;53(16):338–343.  
CDC. Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Infection with Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food—10 Sites, United States, 2004. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2005;54(14):352–356.  
CDC. Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Infection with Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food—10 States, United States, 2005. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2006;55(14):392–395.  
CDC. Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Infection with Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food—10 States, United States, 2006. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2007;56(14):336–339.  
CDC. Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Infection with Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food—10 States, United States, 2007. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2008;57(14):366–370.

### **List of FoodNet News newsletters:** <http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet/news.htm>

FoodNet News. Volume 1, No. 1, Fall 1998	FoodNet News. Volume 5, No. 1, Fall/Winter 2003
FoodNet News. Volume 1, No. 3, Fall 1999	FoodNet News. Volume 5, No. 1, Spring 2005
FoodNet News. Volume 1, No. 2, Winter 1999	FoodNet News. Volume 1, Issue 1, Fall 2007
FoodNet News. Volume 3, No. 1, Spring 2000	FoodNet News. Volume 2, Issue 1, Winter 2008
FoodNet News. Volume 3, No. 2, Winter 2000	FoodNet News. Volume 2, Issue 2, Spring 2008
FoodNet News. Volume 4, No. 1, Fall 2002	FoodNet News. Volume 2, Issue 3, Summer 2008
FoodNet News. Volume 4, No. 2, Spring 2003	FoodNet News. Volume 2, Issue 4, Fall 2008

### **Additional FoodNet publications and presentations are available at:**

[http://www.cdc.gov/enterics/publications\\_search.html](http://www.cdc.gov/enterics/publications_search.html)

### **Additional information about the pathogens under FoodNet surveillance are available at:**

[http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet/surveillance\\_pages/pathogens\\_conditions.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet/surveillance_pages/pathogens_conditions.htm)  
[http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/diseaseinfo/foodborneinfections\\_g.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/diseaseinfo/foodborneinfections_g.htm)

## ***FoodNet Working Group, 2007***

### **CDC**

Frederick Angulo  
Timothy Barrett  
Ezra Barzilay  
Michael Beach  
Nancy Bean  
Richard Bishop  
Patricia Fields  
Peter Gerner-Smidt  
Hannah Gould  
Sharon Greene  
Patricia Griffin  
Olga Henao  
Mike Hoekstra  
Anurag Jain  
Jeff Jones  
Kevin Joyce  
Cherie Long  
Laura Moyer  
Liane Ong  
Robert Pinner  
Mary Patrick  
Ashton Potter  
Cathy Rebmann  
Ida Rosenblum  
Elaine Scallan  
Bala Swaminathan  
Kathryn Teates  
Robert Tauxe  
Jean Whichard  
Sridevi Wilmore

### **California**

Richard Alexander  
Mirasol Apostol  
Susan Brooks  
Claudia Crandall  
Pam Daily  
Janet Mohle-Boetani  
Joelle Nadle  
Dawn Norton  
Jan O'Connell  
Nytzia Perez  
Will Probert  
Gretchen Rothrock  
Sam Shin  
Duc Vugia  
Katie Wymore

### **Colorado**

Deb Aragon  
James Beebe  
Steve Burnite  
Nicole Comstock  
Shaun Cosgrove  
Alicia Cronquist  
Allison Daniels  
Ken Gershman  
Joyce Knutsen

Hugh Maguire

### **Connecticut**

Matthew Cartter  
Paula Clogher  
John Fontana  
James Hadler  
Robert Heimer  
Sharon Hurd  
Kati Kelley  
Aristea Kinney  
Carol Lyons  
Mona Mandour  
Laurin Mank  
Ruthanne Marcus  
Patricia Mshar  
Quyuan Phan  
Charles Welles

### **Georgia**

Wendy Baughman  
Cindy Burnett  
Leigh Ann Clark  
Stacy Crim  
Monica Farley  
Betty Franko  
Jennifer Gillespie  
Tameka Hayes  
Amy Holst  
Elisabeth Honorat  
Susan Lance  
Paul Malpiedi  
Pat Martell-Cleary  
Kyle Openo  
Mahin Park  
Andrew Parsons  
Magan Pearson  
Lynett Poventud  
Susan Ray  
Suzanne Segler  
Stepy Thomas  
Melissa Tobin-  
D'Angelo

### **Maryland**

David Blythe  
Alvina Chu  
Leslie Edwards  
Kim Holmes  
Julie Kiehlbauch  
Kirsten Larson  
Stephanie Mickelson  
J. Glenn Morris, Jr.  
Robert Myers  
Adam Newirth  
Dale Rohn  
Patricia Ryan  
Shenia Young

### **Minnesota**

John Besser  
April Bogard  
Karen Everstine  
Candace Fuller  
Erin Hedican  
Dawn Kaehler  
Ellen Swanson Laine  
Trisha McDonald  
Carlota Medus  
Stephanie Meyer  
Joni Scheftel  
Kirk Smith  
Theresa Weber

### **New Mexico**

Joan Baumbach  
Karen Edge  
Lisa Butler  
Karen Johnson  
Joanne Keefe  
Sarah Lathrop  
Kathy Villa

### **New York**

Hwa-Gan Chang  
Nellie Dumas  
Dina Hoefler  
Jillian Karr  
Dale Morse  
Kim Musser  
David Nicholas  
Candace Noonan-  
Toly  
Tim Root  
Dianna  
Schoonmaker-Bopp  
Glenda Smith  
Perry Smith  
Suzanne Solghan  
Nancy Spina  
Shelley Zansky

### **Oregon**

Hillary Booth  
Cathy Ciaffoni  
Paul Cieslak  
Emilio DeBess  
Julie Hatch  
Bill Keene  
Matt Jaqua  
James Mack  
Melissa Plantenga  
Beletshachew  
Shiferaw  
Janie Tierheimer  
Rob Vega

### **Tennessee**

Robyn Atkinson  
Effie Boothe  
Allen Craig  
John Dunn  
Samir Hanna  
Henrietta Hardin  
Amanda Ingram  
Timothy Jones  
Ryan Mason  
Marcy McMillian  
Nupur Sashti  
Deborah Wojnarek

### **USDA-FSIS**

Janice Adams-King  
McKenzie Andre  
Kristina Barlow  
Patricia Bennett  
Wu San Chen  
L. Victor Cook  
Moshe Dreyfuss  
Denise Eblen  
Peter Evans  
Myra Gardner  
David Goldman  
Chuanfa Guo  
Elisabeth Hagen  
Kristin Holt  
Timothy Ihry  
Priya Kadam  
Bonnie Kissler  
Priscilla Levine  
Evelyn Mbandi  
Heather Quesenberry  
Kis Robertson  
James Rogers  
Reza Roodsari  
Parmesh Saini  
Bernard Salamone  
Wayne Schlosser  
Carl Schroeder  
Scott Seys  
Kristal Southern  
Rosemary Turner  
Harry Walker  
Patricia White

### **FDA-CFSAN**

Jack Guzewish  
Patrick McCarthy  
Morris Potter

### **FDA-CVM**

David White  
Patrick McDermott

## ***Listing of Summary Tables and Figures***

Page

### **Bacterial Pathogens**

Figure 1. <i>Campylobacter</i> Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	47
Figures 1a-1j. <i>Campylobacter</i> Annual Summary (By Site) .....	48-57
Figure 2. <i>Listeria</i> Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	58
Figure 3. <i>Salmonella</i> , all serotypes Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	59
Figures 3a-3j. <i>Salmonella</i> , all serotypes Annual Summary (By Site) .....	60-69
Figure 4. <i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	70
Figures 4a-4j. <i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium Annual Summary (By Site) .....	71-80
Figure 5. <i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	81
Figures 5a-5j. <i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis Annual Summary (By Site) .....	72-91
Figure 6. <i>Salmonella</i> Newport Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	92
Figures 6a-6j. <i>Salmonella</i> Newport Annual Summary (By Site) .....	93-102
Figure 7. <i>Salmonella</i> Heidelberg Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	103
Figures 7a-7j. <i>Salmonella</i> Heidelberg Annual Summary (By Site) .....	104-113
Figure 8. <i>Salmonella</i> Javiana Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	114
Figures 8a-8j. <i>Salmonella</i> Javiana Annual Summary (By Site) .....	115-124
Figure 9. <i>Salmonella</i> , all other serotypes Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	125
Figure 10. <i>Shigella</i> , all species Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	126
Figures 10a-10j. <i>Shigella</i> , all species Annual Summary (By Site) .....	127-136
Figure 11. <i>Shigella sonnei</i> Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	137
Figures 11a-11j. <i>Shigella sonnei</i> Annual Summary (By Site) .....	138-147
Figure 12. <i>Shigella flexneri</i> Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	148
Figures 12a-12j. <i>Shigella flexneri</i> Annual Summary (By Site) .....	149-158
Figure 13. <i>Shigella</i> , all others Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	159
Figure 14. STEC O157 Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	160
Figures 14a-14j. STEC O157 Annual Summary (By Site) .....	161-170
Figure 15. <i>Vibrio</i> , all species Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	171
Figure 16. <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	172
Figure 17. <i>Vibrio vulnificus</i> Annual Summary (All Sites) .....	173

Figure 18. *Vibrio*, all others Annual Summary (All Sites).....174

Figure 19. *Yersinia* Annual Summary (All Sites) .....175

**Parasitic Pathogens**

Figure 20. *Cryptosporidium* Annual Summary (All Sites) .....176

Figure 21. *Cyclospora* Annual Summary (All Sites) .....177

**Frequency by Serotype or Species**

Table 1. Top 20 *Salmonella* Serotypes (All Sites).....178

    Tables 1a-1j. Top 20 *Salmonella* Serotypes (By Site)..... 179-188

Table 2. *Shigella* species by Site.....189

**Case Information by Pathogen**

Figure 22. Age Distribution in U.S. Census (By Site).....190

Figure 23. Age Distribution by Pathogen (All Sites).....191

    Figures 23a-23j. Age Distribution by Pathogen (By Site)..... 192-201

Table 3. Sex Distribution in U.S. Census, by Site .....202

Table 4. Sex Distribution by Pathogen (All Sites) .....203

    Tables 4a-4j. Sex Distribution by Pathogen (By Site) ..... 204-208

Figure 24. Ethnicity and Race Distribution in U.S. Census (By Site) .....209

Figure 25. Ethnicity and Race Distribution by Pathogen (All Sites) .....210

    Figures 25a-25j. Ethnicity and Race Distribution by Pathogen (By Site) ..... 211-220

Figure 26. Specimen Source by Pathogen (All Sites) .....221

    Figures 26a-26j. Specimen Source by Pathogen (By Site)..... 222-231

Table 5. Case Status by Pathogen (All Sites).....232

    Tables 5a-5j. Case Status by Pathogen (By Site)..... 233-237

Table 6. Case Outcome by Pathogen (All Sites).....238

    Tables 6a-6j. Case Outcome by Pathogen (By Site)..... 239-243