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We assessed the risk for human tick-borne encephalitis (TBE), ixodid tick-borne borrelioses, and double infection from 1994 to 1998 in Perm, which has among the highest rates of reported cases in Russia. We studied 3,473 unfed adult Ixodes persulcatus ticks collected from vegetation in natural foci and 62,816 ticks removed from humans. TBE virus and Borrelia may coexist in ticks.

Tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) and infections of the Lyme borreliosis group, or ixodid tick-borne borrelioses (ITBB) (1), are widespread in Russia. In 1996, 1997, and 1998, cases of these diseases totaled approximately 16,650, 11,350, and 14,700, respectively. Most cases were acquired from the bite of an adult Ixodes persulcatus tick. In some regions west of the Volga River, the I. ricinus tick may also transmit these infections. The geographic distribution and epidemiology of TBE and ITBB in Eurasia are almost identical (2).

These data suggest that double infection by TBE virus and Borrelia may result from transmission of both pathogens from double-infected ticks to humans (2). Such ticks are present in natural foci, and the occurrence of TBE virus and Borrelia is independent in ticks rather than mutually exclusive. The prevalence of Borrelia in unfed I. persulcatus ticks with and without TBE virus is virtually identical, and the same is true for TBE virus prevalence in ticks with and without Borrelia infection. Concentrations of virus and Borrelia in double-infected ticks are not correlated. In the natural mixed foci of TBE and ITBB, interannual changes in the prevalence of virus and spirochetes in ticks are virtually parallel. The coexistence of these microorganisms in their principal vectors, which promotes simultaneous infection in humans bitten by ticks, is apparently an important precondition for the relative autonomy of conjugate parasitic systems formed by TBE virus and Borrelia (3). However, prevalence of both pathogens in ticks collected from vegetation has not previously been compared with their prevalence in ticks removed from humans.

Cases of double infection were identified for the first time during 1986 to 1988 in Austria and northwestern European Russia (4,5). They have been described in the species range of the I. ricinus tick (6) but occur more frequently in areas where I. persulcatus ticks are abundant (7,8). We describe long-term studies assessing the risk for human double infection compared with that for separate TBE and ITBB infection in a region with a consistently high level of both diseases (1).

The Study

The study was performed from 1994 to 1998 in the city of Perm, located in the Pre-Ural region, which has among the highest rates of reported cases in Russia. In this area, the I. persulcatus tick is the only vector transmitting these infections to humans (1,2,9,10). Only two Borrelia species (B. garinii and B. afzelii) circulate in this area, but the frequency of B. garinii in ticks, rodents, and patients is substantially higher (11). Each year, unfed adult ticks were collected from vegetation in several areas where people are exposed to ticks. In most cases (>98%), people are bitten by adult I. persulcatus ticks, and only rarely by nymphs (12). Adult ticks were removed from patients who sought medical treatment at a city laboratory designated for the diagnosis and prophylaxis of TBE and ITBB. We studied 66,289 adult I. persulcatus ticks, including 3,473 collected from vegetation and 62,816 removed from humans. Some ticks remained attached 1 to 6 days before being removed, but in most cases (90%) people came to the laboratory <1 day after the tick attached (12), virtually before it began to feed.

Only living ticks were studied for Borrelia infection by dark-field microscopic analysis of tick gut contents in standard vital preparations at a magnification of X600. Two hundred fifty microscopic fields per preparation were studied, in 1,797 unfed ticks collected from vegetation and 7,442 ticks removed from patients. For virologic analysis, 1,676 unfed ticks were individually homogenized in 1 mL of medium 199 with Earle’s salts (Sigma M3769, St. Louis, MO) containing 25% inactivated normal calf serum. Virus was isolated from the supernatant in cultures of an established pig kidney cell line and identified by the direct fluorescent antibody method and in hemagglutination inhibition and complement fixation tests with specific antibodies from ascitic fluid (13). In addition, all ticks removed from humans were studied on the same day to determine TBE virus by indirect immunofluorescent assay.
Confidence interval based on double sampling error (±2).

Both TBE and ITBB are reportable diseases for official statistics throughout Russia. Diagnosis is established on the basis of clinical signs and serologic evidence. We used these data for the total population of Perm (slightly over 1 million) on the number of TBE and ITBB cases and requests for medical aid to remove attached ticks.

Calculated percentages were analyzed by using a confidence interval based on double sampling error (±2). Significance of differences between mean values (p<0.05) was assessed by the Student t test. The correlation coefficient r was determined by Kendall rank correlation analysis. The degree of independence of phenomena under study was estimated by 2x2 contingency analysis for calculating chi square at p<0.05 and df=1.

*Borrelia* prevalence in I. persulcatus ticks removed from humans varied from 25% to 35% in different seasons (Table 1). A 5-year series of data on the proportion of Borrelia-infected ticks from vegetation in natural foci compared with those removed from people showed good correlation (r = 0.71), indicating that changes in prevalence occurred in parallel.

Table 1. Prevalence of infection by *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato (%) in unfed adult *Ixodes persulcatus* ticks collected from vegetation and removed from humans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Ticks collected from vegetation</th>
<th>Ticks removed from humans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prevalence of infection (p ± 2mp)</td>
<td>Prevalence of infection (p ± 2mp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>31.3 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>34.9 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>46.8 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>25.0 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>27.4 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,797</td>
<td>35.1 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coefficient of correlation was r = 0.7.

Among ticks removed from patients, proportions with double infection from 1994 to 1998 were as follows: 2.2 ±1.0%, 1.2 ±0.7%, 3.3 ±0.7%, 2.3 ±0.8%, and 2.2 ±0.7%, respectively. In general, annual changes in TBE, ITBB, and double infection illness occur in parallel (Figure). Coefficients of correlation (r) between prevalence of these infections are as follows: TBE and ITBB, r = 0.73; ITBB and double infection, r = 0.53; TBE and double infection, r = 0.94; and TBE + ITBB and double infection, r = 0.84.

Based on the number of reported requests for medical treatment after tick bites and the pathogen prevalences in ticks, the probable frequency of contact with infected ticks was estimated (Table 3). These estimates, characterizing only the study group, substantially exceed the actual prevalence of illness for the population of Perm (Figure).

**Conclusions**

Our data provide evidence for a distinct correlation between prevalence of TBE virus and *Borrelia* in *I. persulcatus* ticks collected from vegetation in natural foci and removed from humans. However, we found no evidence that ticks infected by TBE virus attach to people more often than uninfected ticks (15). *Borrelia* prevalence in ticks collected from vegetation and from patients is virtually identical. A trend toward increasing prevalence of spirochetes in unfed ticks in some years may be explained by technical difficulties in visualizing *Borrelia* under a microscope, which increase with engorgement (16).
In fact, in double-infected TBE virus circulation; at the same time, the presence of virus that, in double-infected ticks, individual ticks removed from patients, as well as those of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. seeking medical treatment after tick bite</th>
<th>No. who had probable contact with ticks infected with:</th>
<th>Both (double infection)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2,070</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>1,340</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)TBE = tick-borne encephalitis; ITBB = ixodid tick-borne borreliosis.

The results of our microbiologic and virologic testing of individual ticks removed from patients, as well as those of unfed *I. persulcatus* ticks from a natural focus (3), showed that the prevalences of their infection by TBE virus and *Borrelia* are independent. Our data do not support the concept that, in double-infected ticks, *Borrelia* circulation restricts TBE virus circulation; at the same time, the presence of virus in ticks may even promote *Borrelia* transmission (15, 17, 18). In fact, in double-infected *I. persulcatus* ticks, *Borrelia* and TBE virus do not interfere with one another.

This is why the prevalences of TBE, ITBB, and double infection illness correlate well, and human cases of double infection are more frequent in the regions where the incidence of both TBE and borrelioses is especially high. Hence, the hypothesis that TBE is replaced by borreliosis in conjugate foci (18) appears to be erroneous.

Knowing the frequency of human contact with ticks and the prevalence of both TBE virus and *Borrelia* in ticks, the probable annual frequency of combined exposure to these pathogens may be calculated for residents of a certain area. Our data show, however, that such calculations substantially exceed the current level of illness and the actual risk for acquiring not only double infection, but also each infection separately. This discrepancy results because not all bites from infected ticks transmit a dose of pathogen sufficient to cause clinical illness. In TBE, for example, most bites result in asymptomatic infection and immune response, and the mean ratio of clinically manifested to asymptomatic cases is 1:60 (19). However, this ratio varies widely by regions and year. Because most people remove ticks promptly (within <1 day [12]), a physiologic phenomenon called reactivation, which is required for some microorganisms before infectivity is attained, does not have time to develop. The risk for clinical illness of TBE and borreliosis is largely determined by highly infected ticks (20), which always account for only a few of all infected ticks in a population (21). In addition, among *I. persulcatus* ticks infected by *B. garinii* and *B. afzelii*, no more than half contain spirochetes in the salivary glands after a blood meal and are capable of transmitting them to bitten people (16). At the same time, only a fraction of bitten people seek medical help in removing an attached tick, and the actual number of people bitten by ticks is many times greater than reported (1). Therefore, prevalences of risk for human TBE, ITBB, and double infection may be no more than estimates. Nevertheless, the epidemiologic and clinical aspects of widespread tick-borne coinfections merit close attention from researchers and clinicians.
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