



Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2024 January 09; 33(1): 126–135.

doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-23-0679.

Incident Cardiovascular Disease Risk Among Older Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander Breast Cancer Survivors

Mia Hashibe^{1,2,3}, Mei Wei^{1,4}, Catherine J. Lee^{1,5}, Randa Tao^{1,6}, Alzina Koric^{1,2}, Jing Wang², Anees Daud⁷, Djin Tay⁸, Jincheng Shen⁹, Yuan-chin A. Lee^{1,2}, Chun-Pin E. Chang^{1,2}

¹Huntsman Cancer Institute, Salt Lake City, Utah

²Division of Public Health, Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah

³Utah Cancer Registry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah

⁴Division of Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah

⁵Division of Hematology & Hematologic Malignancies, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah

⁶Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah

⁷Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah

⁸College of Nursing, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah

⁹Division of Biostatistics, Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah

Abstract

Background.—Cardiotoxicity among breast cancer survivors is associated with chemotherapy and radiation therapy. The risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) among Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (ANHPI) breast cancer survivors in the US is unknown.

Methods.—We used the SEER-Medicare linked database to estimate the risk of CVD among older breast cancer survivors. ICD diagnosis codes were used to identify incident CVD outcomes. Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) comparing ANHPI to Non-Hispanic White (NHW) breast cancer patients for CVD, and among ANHPI race and ethnicity groups.

Results.—A total of 7,122 ANHPI breast cancer survivors and 21,365 NHW breast cancer survivors were identified. The risks of incident heart failure and ischemic heart disease were lower among ANHPI compared to NHW breast cancer survivors ($HR_{\text{heart failure}}=0.72$, 95%CI=0.61, 0.84; $HR_{\text{heart disease}}=0.74$, 95%CI=0.63, 0.88). Compared to Japanese breast cancer patients, Filipino, Asian Indian and Pakistani, and Native Hawaiian breast cancer survivors had higher risks

Corresponding Author: Mia Hashibe, PhD, Huntsman Cancer Institute, 2000 Circle of Hope, Salt Lake City, UT 84108, Mia.hashibe@utah.edu, 801-213-5780.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure. None.

of heart failure, ischemic heart disease and death. Among ANHPI breast cancer survivors, risk factors for heart failure included older age, higher comorbidity score, distant cancer stage and chemotherapy.

Conclusions.—Our results support heterogeneity in CVD outcomes among breast cancer survivors among ANHPI race and ethnicity groups. Further research is needed to elucidate the disparities experienced among ANHPI breast cancer survivors.

Impact.—Filipino, Asian Indian and Pakistani, and Native Hawaiian breast cancer patients had higher risks of heart failure, ischemic heart disease and death among ANHPI breast cancer patients.

Introduction

Approximately 58,000 cancer cases were diagnosed in the Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (ANHPI) population in the US in 2016.[1] Breast cancer is the most common cancer diagnosed among ANHPI women, with 11,090 cases diagnosed in 2016. The ANHPI population is incredibly heterogeneous and the Asian population in the US includes individuals with origins in East Asia, Southeast Asia and the Indian subcontinent. The prevalence of cancer risk factors such as tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking and overweight/obesity are generally lower in the ANHPI population than in NHWs.[1] However, issues of concern for ANHPIs are that they are *less likely* to be diagnosed with cancer at localized stage for certain cancers compared to the NHW population, and they have *lower cancer screening* rates for cervical and colorectal cancer. They are also the only race & ethnicity group in the US that experiences cancer as the leading cause of death instead of heart disease.[2]

Cancer survivors may experience incident adverse health outcomes or late effects caused by the cancer treatment such as cardiotoxicity and cardiovascular disease (CVD).[3] Cardiotoxicity among breast cancer survivors is associated with anthracycline and HER2 inhibitor treatment, and radiation therapy.[4] There are very few large-scale cancer survivorship studies including ANHPIs, and previous studies have focused on important areas such as the impact of acculturation on quality of life,[5–7] unmet needs of Asian cancer survivors,[8,9] and survival or cause of death as outcomes.[10–13] Large scale studies of breast cancer survivors, none of which focused on ANHPI cancer survivors, have been conflicting, with some reporting increased CVD risks,[14] modestly increased CVD risks[15] or no CVD risk.[16–18] In a SEER analysis, the risks of cardiovascular mortality were lower overall for ANHPI breast cancer patients compared to NHW breast cancer patients, but for Native Hawaiian breast cancer patients, the cardiovascular mortality risk was higher than NHW breast cancer patients.[11] When comparing US-born ANHPI breast cancer patients to non-US born ANHPI breast cancer patients, increased cardiovascular mortality risks were identified in the US-born ANHPI patients.[11] There is a need to study the incidence instead of mortality due to CVD among ANHPI breast cancer survivors, and to study them by specific race and ethnicity groups among ANHPIs.

In terms of cancer treatment adherence among ANHPI breast cancer survivors, some studies reported no difference in adherence to treatment for breast cancer among ANHPI patients,

[19,20] while one study reported higher rates of adherence to chemotherapy for ANHPI breast cancer patients which was attributed to advanced cancer stage and high grade at diagnosis.[21] When comparing breast conserving surgery vs. mastectomy, ANHPI breast cancer patients were less likely to receive breast conserving surgery, regardless of education level of the patient or tumor size.[22] However, they were more likely to initiate adjuvant endocrine therapy compared to NHW women (OR=1.28, 95%CI=1.03–1.58) in a SEER-Medicare database study.[23] These treatment differences for the ANHPI population may also further contribute to disparities in late effects following breast cancer diagnosis and treatment among ANHPI cancer survivors. The aim of this study is to investigate incident CVD outcomes among older ANHPI breast cancer survivors in the SEER-Medicare data in comparison to older NHW breast cancer survivors, and to compare risks amongst specific ANHPI race and ethnicity groups.

Materials and Methods

We used the SEER-Medicare linked database from 2000 through 2020 to estimate the risk of CVD among breast cancer survivors with a population-based cohort study design. The SEER-Medicare data, consisting of two large population-based sources SEER and Medicare, contains information on demographics, cancer-related characteristics, and healthcare claims among Medicare beneficiaries with cancer. International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes are available in the Medicare claims files including claims from hospitalizations (Part A), physician/supplier bills (Part B), and institutional outpatient providers. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Utah and received approval for waiver of consent. Data from this study are available upon application to SEER-Medicare and IRB approval.

We included women who: 1) were age ≥ 66 years, 2) were diagnosed with a first primary invasive breast cancer between 2000 and 2017, 3) had continuous Medicare Parts A and B throughout the follow up period, and 4) did not have health maintenance organization (HMO) enrollment (Supplemental Figure 1). This study focused on cancer patients who were ANHPI or Non-Hispanic White (NHW). We excluded women who had less than one year of follow up or were diagnosed with cancer based on autopsy or death certificate. A breast cancer diagnosis was classified according to the SEER Site code 26000, which uses the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Version 3 (ICD-O-3 code: C50), excluding mesotheliomas, Kaposi's sarcoma, and lymphomas. In order to calculate baseline comorbidity scores, we started the eligibility at the age of 66 years old and required enrollment in Part A/B to be continuous a year before cancer diagnosis. Each ANHPI cancer patient was matched to up to three NHW cancer patients by exact year of diagnosis and age at the time of cancer diagnosis.

Events of CVD were identified using codes from International Classification of Disease (ICD) version 9 and 10. ICD diagnosis codes were processed with the Chronic Conditions Data Warehouse (CCW) categorizations for acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, ischemic heart disease and stroke/transient ischemic attack (Chronic Conditions Warehouse. 27 CCW Chronic Conditions Algorithms: MBSF_CC_YYYY File. REVISED 02/2022; Chronic Conditions Warehouse. 30 CCW Chronic Conditions Algorithms:

MBSF_CHRONIC_YYYY File. REVISED 02/2022). We also created a composite CVD outcome variable including all 4 of these diseases. Individuals diagnosed with the CVD diagnosis before the start of each analysis time period (1 year after cancer diagnosis) were considered as prevalent cases and were excluded for that specific outcome (Supplemental table 1). Physicians may have recorded a “rule-out” diagnosis or unconfirmed diagnoses in physician and outpatient claims. To avoid over-estimation of the outcomes, conditions ascertained by physicians and outpatient claims were required to occur more than once between 30 to 60 days.

Information on age at cancer diagnosis, year of cancer diagnosis, tumor characteristics, first-course cancer treatment (chemotherapy, hormone-based therapy, radiation, surgery), rural residence, census tract-level socioeconomic status (SES) index, and vital status was obtained from the SEER cancer file. We used the Rural-Urban Continuum codes (RUCC) to define rural counties for the county of residence at cancer diagnosis (<https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes.aspx>). The Yost index, a measure of socioeconomic status, is calculated based on a factor analysis of seven variables including average educational level, median income, poverty rate, median housing value, median rent, unemployment rate, and occupation (% working class).[24] All the breast cancer patients in our database were on Medicare but we excluded patients on supplemental insurance due to the lack of claims data on the portion of services covered by supplemental insurance. The baseline Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was calculated for the one year period prior to the cancer diagnosis.[25] The ICD and CPT codes for tobacco use and obesity were also from the Chronic Conditions Data Warehouse (Chronic Conditions Warehouse. Other Chronic Health, Mental Health, and Potentially Disabling Chronic Conditions Algorithms: MBSF_OTCC_{YYYY} File. REVISED 02/2022).

Statistical analysis

Demographic and clinical characteristics between ANHPI and NHW breast cancer survivors were compared using Pearson’s χ^2 tests. We also compared the demographic characteristics of ANHPI and NHW breast cancer patients, dropping the New York, Massachusetts and Idaho registries since patients from these registries did not have cancer treatment information to contribute to some of the analyses. Patients were scored as censored if they died or did not develop the CVD outcomes by the last follow-up date. We used follow-up periods with a separate model for each, to evaluate the long-term risk of CVD, >1 to 5 years and >5 years after a cancer diagnosis. Cox proportional hazards models stratified on matched pairs were used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) comparing ANHPI to NHW cancer patients for each CVD outcome and for the risk of death with adjustment for potential confounders, including cancer registry, baseline CCI, residence (rural/urban), and socioeconomic status (SES). To explore differences among the ANHPI race/ethnicity groups, we chose Japanese breast cancer patients as the reference group because they were the largest ANHPI group in the data. Risk factors for heart failure were also explored for ANHPI breast cancer patients. Potential confounding factors were selected a priori based on the three properties of confounders determined by a causal model, a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Specifically, baseline CCI, rural residence, income, education and cancer registry region are risk factors for CVD (property 1), and are associated with race

and ethnicity (property 2). While cancer registry region is not a mediator (property 3), the other covariates may be on the path for the association between race and ethnicity and CVD among breast cancer patients. We were interested in the risk through alternate pathways; thus we adjusted for these factors. Baseline CCI included diagnosis of myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular accidents and transient ischemic attack before cancer diagnosis. Since we excluded prevalent events, when we estimate the risk of stroke as an example, patients who had prevalent stroke from the CCI are excluded but the model would adjust for the other previous CVD with the baseline CCI variable. The proportional hazards assumption was tested for each Cox proportional hazards model by including interactions between the predictors and time in the model. For models where the proportional hazards assumption was violated, we used flexible parametric survival models with restricted cubic splines and compared the resulting estimates to those from the Cox proportional hazards model.[26,27] Analyses were performed using SAS software (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Data availability.

The datasets used to conduct this study are available upon approval of a research protocol from the National Cancer Institute. Instructions for obtaining these data are available at <https://healthcaredelivery.cancer.gov/seermedicare/obtain/>

Results

A total of 7,122 ANHPI breast cancer survivors and 21,365 NHW breast cancer patients were identified in the SEER-Medicare database (Table 1). The majority of ANHPI breast cancer patients were from the Hawaii, Greater California, Los Angeles, San Francisco and New York cancer registries. In terms of the specific race/ethnicity groups, the largest proportion of ANHPI breast cancer survivors were Japanese, Chinese, and Filipino. For census-tract income level measures, ANHPI breast cancer survivors had a higher proportion in the highest income category, but a lower proportion of highest education group than NHW breast cancer patients. The highest SES category based on the Yost index had a higher proportion of ANHPI breast cancer survivors than that of NHW breast cancer survivors (31.1% vs. 26.4%), although this variable had a fairly high proportion of missing values. A baseline CCI score of 0 was less common among ANHPI breast cancer survivors (65.1% vs. 56.8%; p-value for chi-square<0.001). Obesity and tobacco use disorders were less common among ANHPI breast cancer patients compared to NHW breast cancer patients. When we compared all ANHPI and NHW breast cancer patients to the patient groups dropping the New York, Massachusetts and Idaho registries, we did not observe any differences in the demographic characteristics proportions nor with the chi-square statistics.

Demographics by specific race/ethnicity groups are shown in Supplemental Figure 2 and Supplemental table 2. The highest proportion in the highest SES groups based on the Yost index, education and income were for Asian Indian and Pakistani breast cancer patients. Lower proportions in the high SES groups were observed for Vietnamese, Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander breast cancer patients. Filipino and Other Pacific Islander breast cancer patients had the highest proportion of 2+ comorbidities. The proportion of breast

cancer patients with obesity and tobacco use were very low for most ANHPI groups compared to NHW breast cancer patients, with the exception of other Pacific Islander and Native Hawaiian breast cancer patients.

Compared to NHW breast cancer patients, ANHPI breast cancer patients were diagnosed with localized cancer at a slightly higher proportion, had a higher proportion of invasive ductal carcinoma, and a higher proportion of human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER2) positive tumors (Table 2). Conversely, ANHPI breast cancer patients had a lower proportion of ER/PR positive tumors than NHW breast cancer patients. ANHPI breast cancer patients underwent total mastectomies at a higher rate than partial mastectomies and had less radiation treatment compared to NHW breast cancer patients. Partial mastectomies appeared to be low in particular for Filipino, Korean and Vietnamese women (Supplemental Figure 3, Supplemental Table 3). There were no differences in chemotherapy treatment comparing ANHPI and NHW breast cancer patients.

Supplemental Figure 4 shows the incidence rates of the four CVD outcomes, ordered from higher to lower incidence. Japanese, Chinese and Korean breast cancer survivors tended to have lower incidence of most of the CVD outcomes than the other ANHPI race/ethnicity groups. The only exception was Chinese breast cancer patients who had a higher incidence of stroke than most ANHPI race/ethnicity groups at 10 per 1000 person-years. The other Pacific Islander, Asian Indian and Pakistani and Native Hawaiian breast cancer patients had the highest incidence of acute myocardial infarction and heart failure.

Compared to NHW breast cancer patients, overall ANHPI, Japanese, Chinese and other Asian breast cancer patients had a lower risk of heart failure and ischemic heart disease (Table 3). The CVD event numbers by outcome and race and ethnicity are shown in Supplemental Table 4. The proportion of ANHPI breast cancer patients diagnosed with the 4 CVD outcomes and composite CVD within 5 years of cancer diagnosis is also shown by age and race and ethnicity (supplemental table 5). However, CVD outcomes were not different between NHW breast cancer patients and Filipino, Asian Indian and Pakistani, Korean, or Vietnamese breast cancer patients. As a sensitivity analysis, we additionally adjusted for tobacco use disorders and obesity, but the inferences did not change for the CVD outcomes. We also conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding the Japanese breast cancer patients to assure that the results were not dependent on one ANHPI group (supplemental table 6); the protective HRs for heart failure and ischemic heart disease for ANHPI compared to NHW breast cancer patients were still observed.

When Japanese breast cancer patients were taken as the reference group, we observed increased risks of CVD for NHW, Filipino, Asian Indian and Pakistani and Native Hawaiian breast cancer patients >1–5 years. For specific CVDs, higher risks for heart failure and ischemic heart disease were observed for NHW and Filipino breast cancer patients in both follow up periods (Table 4). For heart failure risk >1–5 years after cancer diagnosis, increased risks were observed for Asian Indian and Pakistani, Native Hawaiian and Vietnamese breast cancer patients compared to Japanese breast cancer patients. For ischemic heart disease risk >1–5 years after cancer diagnosis, we observed increased risks for Asian Indian and Pakistani, and other Pacific Islander breast cancer patients compared

to Japanese breast cancer patients. Some of these risks persisted into the >5 year follow up period. Vietnamese breast cancer patients had an almost 8-fold increase in the risk of acute myocardial infarction compared to Japanese breast cancer patients.

Risk factors identified for heart failure among ANHPI breast cancer survivors included older age, higher CCI score, distant cancer stage, and chemotherapy treatment. Protective factors included higher income, higher SES with the Yost index, and breast cancer surgery (Table 5). Histology, HER2 status, ER status, PR status and triple negative breast cancer were not risk factors for heart failure among the ANHPI breast cancer survivors in this data. ANHPI breast cancer survivors who were diagnosed at 81–100+ years of age had a 3-fold increase in risk of heart failure compared to ANHPI breast cancer survivors diagnosed at 66–70 years of age. ANHPI breast cancer survivors diagnosed with distant cancer stage and having 2 or more comorbidities at baseline had a 2.5-fold increase in risk of heart failure.

ANHPI breast cancer patients had an overall lower risk of death compared to NHW breast cancer patients (Table 6). When separated out by ANHPI race/ethnicity groups, compared to NHW breast cancer patients, Japanese, Chinese, Other Asian, and Korean breast cancer patients had a lower risk of death. However, Native Hawaiian breast cancer patients had a higher risk of death (HR=1.33, 95%CI=1.11, 1.59) compared to NHW breast cancer patients. When Japanese breast cancer patients were taken as the reference group, Filipino, Asian Indian and Pakistani, Native Hawaiian, Vietnamese and Other Pacific Islander breast cancer patients had a higher risk of death. Heart failure diagnoses were associated with the risk of death among ANHPI breast cancer patients overall, and specifically among Japanese, Filipino, Other Asian, Asian Indian and Pakistani, and Korean breast cancer patients (Supplemental Table 7).

Discussion

While ANHPI race/ethnicity groups have been analyzed as a group in previous analyses of cancer survivorship, ANHPI are a heterogeneous group in relation to CVD risk factors and SES. The risk of incident CVD diagnosis was lower among ANHPI breast cancer survivors overall, and specifically among Japanese, Chinese, and other Asian breast cancer survivors, when compared to NHW breast cancer survivors. However, comparing amongst the specific ANHPI race/ethnicity groups, we observed heterogeneity in the incidence rates of CVD. Compared to Japanese breast cancer survivors, Filipino, Asian Indian and Pakistani, and Native Hawaiian breast cancer survivors had higher risks of both heart failure and ischemic heart disease. Risk factors for heart failure included older age, higher CCI score, distant stage and chemotherapy treatment among ANHPI breast cancer survivors.

Although obesity and tobacco use disorders before cancer diagnosis were lower in prevalence among ANHPI breast cancer survivors compared to NHW breast cancer survivors, heterogeneity was observed amongst the ANHPI race and ethnicity groups. Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander breast cancer patients had lower proportions of patients in the highest SES group, and higher proportion of obesity and tobacco use disorders than the other ANHPI breast cancer patients. Pacific Islander breast cancer patients also had a lower proportion of local stage and higher proportions receiving chemotherapy compared to

Japanese breast cancer survivors. These risk factors may be correlated with the higher risks of heart failure and ischemic heart disease for Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander breast cancer survivors compared to Japanese breast cancer survivors.

Filipino breast cancer survivors also had high risks of heart failure and ischemic heart disease than Japanese breast cancer survivors, along with higher proportions of 2+ comorbidities and obesity, but lower proportions of smoking. In terms of clinical factors, Filipino breast cancer patients had a lower proportion of local stage, lower proportion with partial mastectomy, and higher proportion having received chemotherapy, which may contribute to their higher risks of heart failure and ischemic heart disease.

Asian Indian and Pakistani breast cancer patients had the highest proportions in the highest education, income and SES categories. Obesity was fairly high and the proportion receiving chemotherapy was higher among Asian Indian and Pakistani breast cancer patients. Obesity and chemotherapy are risk factors for both heart failure and ischemic heart disease and may play a role in the higher risks observed among Asian Indian and Pakistani breast cancer survivors compared to Japanese breast cancer survivors. Previous studies have shown that South Asians are a higher risk of CVD, with higher genetic susceptibility to CVDs.[28, 29] Unfortunately, we did not have data on genetic susceptibility to CVD risk.

Vietnamese breast cancer survivors had lower proportions in the highest education, income and SES categories as well as lower proportions diagnosed at local stage and higher proportion receiving chemotherapy compared to both NHW and Japanese breast cancer survivors. The 2+ comorbidities was higher but obesity and smoking were lower for Vietnamese breast cancer survivors than Japanese breast cancer survivors. Higher risks of heart failure and acute myocardial infarction were observed among Vietnamese breast cancer survivors relative to Japanese breast cancer survivors. The SES difference suggest that potential differences in healthcare access may contribute to higher risks of heart failure and acute myocardial infarction.

The risk of death among ANHPI breast cancer patients were lower compared to NHW breast cancer patients, but amongst the ANHPI race and ethnicity groups, we observed heterogeneity in risks of death. The higher risk of death for Native Hawaiian breast cancer patients compared to NHW breast cancer patients may be due to higher obesity, lower SES, higher baseline comorbidities, tobacco, although we did not observe higher risks of CVD for Native Hawaiians compared to NHW breast cancer patients. The higher risk of deaths for Filipino, Asian Indian and Pakistani, Native Hawaiian, Vietnamese and Other Pacific Islander breast cancer patients compared to Japanese breast cancer patients may partly be due to incident heart failure risks.

Strengths of the study include minimal survival bias due to the longitudinal capture of the CVD outcomes in claims data. Since we do not rely on the patient to recall their disease diagnosis, the study is not subject to recall errors. This is the largest cohort study focusing on older ANHPI breast cancer patients, to our knowledge. We were able to include 1,683 Japanese, 1,404 Chinese and 1,382 Filipino breast cancer survivors who were older and on Medicare insurance. The large sample size allowed us to analyze specific ANHPI race/

ethnicity groups, which has been very limited in previous studies. We were also able to stratify on follow up time and investigate longer term adverse health outcomes among older Asian breast cancer survivors in the >5 years after cancer diagnosis time period.

Limitations of the study include the restriction to cancer patients 66 years of age and older due to the use of the SEER-Medicare data. The results are not generalizable to younger ANHPI breast cancer patients, since they may have different characteristics and generational differences in terms of smoking and obesity rates, socioeconomic status, cancer treatment distributions, as well as acculturation. The results are also not generalizable to ANHPI breast cancer patients who are on supplemental insurance plans, since we restricted to our eligibility to patients who were on Medicare only, to assure that events can be captured in the Medicare claims. Even within Medicare claims, it is possible to have missed claims or inaccurate ICD coding. However, in our previous studies, we validated identification of CVD outcomes in claims data by comparing them to self reported CVD [30–34]. Finally, we are unable to take into account factors such as physical activity, which cannot be captured even with a proxy since self-report would be needed. We added a smoking proxy variable with the tobacco use disorder and obesity as a variable with use of CPT codes. We expect that these proxy variables undercount smoking and obesity and would not capture any overweight cancer survivors. We also cannot evaluate whether there were differences in missing for smoking and obesity. However, we were able to show that obesity was a risk factor for heart failure among ANHPI breast cancer survivors. Some of the registries did not have cancer treatment information and were not included in the analysis of CVD risk factors. We compared the demographic characteristics of all cancer patients to the patient group dropping these registries and did not observe a difference. There could still be differences in cancer treatment patterns by registry that may contribute further to the CVD risk factor analysis. Finally, we do not have clinical values for risk factors such as blood pressure or cholesterol, which would have added important information to our study.

In conclusion, this is the first in-depth study of four major CVD outcomes among ANHPI breast cancer survivors with risk estimation for specific ANHPI race and ethnicity groups in the SEER Medicare data, to our knowledge. While ANHPI breast cancer survivors as a whole appear to have lower risks of CVD outcomes than NHW breast cancer survivors, the lower risks were observed only in specific ANHPI groups. Additionally, heterogeneity was observed in CVD risks, as expected, due to the heterogenous nature of ANHPI race and ethnicity groups. Our results support the expected heterogeneity in baseline and clinical characteristics, and adverse health outcomes among cancer survivors in specific ANHPI race and ethnicity groups. Our findings support that subgroups of ANHPI breast cancer survivors may face greater risks for development of CVD outcomes, which can guide targeted prevention, education, and clinical management of ANHPI breast cancer survivors. Further research is needed to elucidate the disparities experienced in CVD risks among cancer survivors in specific ANHPI race and ethnicity groups.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

Research reported in this publication was supported by the NCI of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01 CA244326 (M. Hashibe). This study used the linked SEER-Medicare database. The interpretation and reporting of these data are the sole responsibility of the authors. The authors acknowledge the efforts of the National Cancer Institute; the Office of Research, Development and Information, CMS; Information Management Services (IMS), Inc.; and the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program tumor registries in the creation of the SEER-Medicare database. The collection of cancer incidence data used in this study was supported by the California Department of Public Health as part of the statewide cancer reporting program mandated by California Health and Safety Code Section 103885; the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program under contract HHSN261201000140C awarded to the Cancer Prevention Institute of California, contract HHSN261201000035C awarded to the University of Southern California, and contract HHSN261201000034C awarded to the Public Health Institute; and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Program of Cancer Registries, under agreement # U58DP003862-01 awarded to the California Department of Public Health. The ideas and opinions expressed herein are those of the author(s) and endorsement by the State of California Department of Public Health, the National Cancer Institute, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or their Contractors and Subcontractors is not intended nor should be inferred. The authors acknowledge the efforts of the National Cancer Institute; the Office of Research, Development and Information, CMS; Information Management Services (IMS), Inc.; and the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program tumor registries in the creation of the SEER-Medicare database.

REFERENCES

1. American Cancer Society. Special Section: Cancer in Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders. Cancer Facts and Figures 2016.
2. Lee RJ, Madan RA, Kim J, Posadas EM, Yu EY. Disparities in Cancer Care and the Asian American Population. *Oncologist* 2021;26(6):453–60. [PubMed: 33683795]
3. Shelburne N, Adhikari B, Brell J, Davis M, Desvigne-Nickens P, Freedman A, et al. Cancer treatment-related cardiotoxicity: current state of knowledge and future research priorities. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2014;106(9).
4. Popat S, Smith IE. Therapy Insight: anthracyclines and trastuzumab--the optimal management of cardiotoxic side effects. *Nat Clin Pract Oncol* 2008;5(6):324–35. [PubMed: 18364726]
5. Ashing-Giwa KT, Padilla G, Tejero J, Kraemer J, Wright K, Coscarelli A, et al. Understanding the breast cancer experience of women: a qualitative study of African American, Asian American, Latina and Caucasian cancer survivors. *Psychooncology* 2004;13(6):408–28. [PubMed: 15188447]
6. Kim J, Ashing-Giwa KT, Kagawa Singer M, Tejero JS. Breast cancer among Asian Americans: is acculturation related to health-related quality of life? *Oncol Nurs Forum* 2006;33(6):E90–9. [PubMed: 17149389]
7. Lim JW, Gonzalez P, Wang-Letzkus MF, Ashing-Giwa KT. Understanding the cultural health belief model influencing health behaviors and health-related quality of life between Latina and Asian-American breast cancer survivors. *Support Care Cancer* 2009;17(9):1137–47. [PubMed: 19050938]
8. Wen KY, Fang CY, Ma GX. Breast cancer experience and survivorship among Asian Americans: a systematic review. *J Cancer Surviv* 2014;8(1):94–107. [PubMed: 24214498]
9. Le MN, Nguyen GT, Pan Z, Maglalang DD, Butt F, Bautista R, et al. Unmet Needs of Asian American and Pacific Islander Cancer Survivors. *J Cancer Educ* 2017;32(2):374–81. [PubMed: 26621507]
10. Lockhart JS, Oberleitner MG, Nolfi DA. The Asian Immigrant Cancer Survivor Experience in the United States: A Scoping Review of the Literature. *Cancer Nurs* 2020;43(3):177–99. [PubMed: 32195706]
11. Solanki PA, Ko NY, Qato DM, Calip GS. Risk of cancer-specific, cardiovascular, and all-cause mortality among Asian and Pacific Islander breast cancer survivors in the United States, 1991–2011. *Springerplus* 2016;5:82 [PubMed: 26844029]
12. Satagopan JM, Stroup A, Kinney AY, Dharamdasani T, Ganesan S, Bandera EV. Breast cancer among Asian Indian and Pakistani Americans: A surveillance, epidemiology and end results-based study. *Int J Cancer* 2021;148(7):1598–607. [PubMed: 33099777]

13. Tantraworasin A, Taioli E, Liu B, Flores RM, Kaufman AJ. The influence of insurance type on stage at presentation, treatment, and survival between Asian American and non-Hispanic White lung cancer patients. *Cancer Med* 2018;7(5):1612–29. [PubMed: 29575647]
14. Khan NF, Mant D, Carpenter L, Forman D, Rose PW. Long-term health outcomes in a British cohort of breast, colorectal and prostate cancer survivors: a database study. *Br J Cancer* 2011;105 Suppl 1:S29–37. [PubMed: 22048030]
15. Armenian SH, Xu L, Ky B, Sun C, Farol LT, Pal SK, et al. Cardiovascular Disease Among Survivors of Adult-Onset Cancer: A Community-Based Retrospective Cohort Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34(10):1122–30. [PubMed: 26834065]
16. Hill DA HN, Isaacs C, Domchek SM, Tomlinson GE, Lowery JT, Kinney AY, et al. Long-term risk of medical conditions associated with breast cancer treatment. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2014;145(1):233–43. [PubMed: 24696430]
17. Lash TL, Thwin SS, Yood MU, Geiger AM, Bosco J, Quinn VP, et al. Comprehensive evaluation of the incidence of late effects in 5-year survivors of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2014;144(3):643–63. [PubMed: 24584822]
18. Park NJ, Chang Y, Bender C, Conley Y, Chlebowski RT, van Londen GJ, et al. Cardiovascular disease and mortality after breast cancer in postmenopausal women: Results from the Women's Health Initiative. *PLoS One* 2017;12(9):e0184174. [PubMed: 28934233]
19. Chen L, Li CI. Racial disparities in breast cancer diagnosis and treatment by hormone receptor and HER2 status. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2015;24(11):1666–72. [PubMed: 26464428]
20. Gonzales FA, Sangaramoorthy M, Dwyer LA, Shariff-Marco S, Allen AM, Kurian AW, et al. Patient-clinician interactions and disparities in breast cancer care: the equality in breast cancer care study. *J Cancer Surviv* 2019;13(6):968–80. [PubMed: 31646462]
21. Killelea BK, Yang VQ, Wang SY, Hayse B, Mougalian S, Horowitz NR, et al. Racial Differences in the Use and Outcome of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Cancer: Results From the National Cancer Data Base. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(36):4267–76. [PubMed: 26598753]
22. Thomas P, Killelea BK, Horowitz N, Chagpar AB, Lannin DR. Racial Differences in Utilization of Breast Conservation Surgery: Results from the National Cancer Data Base (NCDB). *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2016;23(10):3272–83. [PubMed: 27503494]
23. Farias AJ, Du XL. Ethnic differences in initiation and timing of adjuvant endocrine therapy among older women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer enrolled in Medicare Part D. *Med Oncol*. 2016;33(2):19. [PubMed: 26786154]
24. Yost K, Perkins C, Cohen R, Morris C, Wright W. Socioeconomic status and breast cancer incidence in California for different race/ethnic groups. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2001;12(8):703–711. [PubMed: 11562110]
25. Charlson ME, et al. , A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis*, 1987; 40(5): 373–83. [PubMed: 3558716]
26. Lambert PC and Royston P, Further development of flexible parametric models for survival analysis. *Stata Journal*, 2009; 9(2): p. 265–290.
27. Orsini N, Review of Flexible Parametric Survival Analysis Using Stata: Beyond the Cox Model by Patrick Royston and Paul C. Lambert. *Stata Journal*, 2013. 13(1): p. 212–216.
28. Jain A, Puri R, Nair DR. South Asians: why are they at a higher risk for cardiovascular disease? *Curr Opin Cardiol*. 2017 Jul;32(4):430–436. [PubMed: 28505046]
29. Goyal S, Sanghera DK. Genetic and Non-genetic Determinants of Cardiovascular Disease in South Asians. *Curr Diabetes Rev*. 2021;17(9):e011721190373. [PubMed: 33461471]
30. Koric A, Chang CP, Mark B, Rowe K, Snyder J, Dodson M, et al. Cardiovascular disease risk in long-term breast cancer survivors: A population-based cohort study. *Cancer*. 2022; 128(14):2826–2835. [PubMed: 35561317]
31. Ocier K, Abdelaziz S, Kim S, Rowe K, Snyder J, Deshmukh V, et al. Cardiovascular disease risks in younger versus older adult B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors. *Cancer Med*. 2021 Jun;10(12):4117–4126. [PubMed: 33979029]
32. Hu Q, Chang CP, Rowe K, Snyder J, Deshmukh V, Newman M, et al. Disparities in Cardiovascular Disease Risk Among Hispanic Breast Cancer Survivors in a Population-Based Cohort. *JNCI Cancer Spectr*. 2021 Feb 15;5(2):pkab016. [PubMed: 33889806]

33. Park J, Blackburn BE, Ganz PA, Rowe K, Snyder J, Wan Y, et al. Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease Among Thyroid Cancer Survivors: Findings From the Utah Cancer Survivors Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2018 Jul 1;103(7):2468–2477. [PubMed: 29850817]
34. Soisson S, Ganz PA, Gaffney D, Rowe K, Snyder J, Wan Y, et al. Long-term Cardiovascular Outcomes Among Endometrial Cancer Survivors in a Large, Population-Based Cohort Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2018 Dec 1;110(12):1342–1351. [PubMed: 29741696]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Characteristics of older ANHPI and NHW breast cancer patients diagnosed 2000–2017 in the SEER Medicare data

| | ANHPI (n=7,122) | | NHW (n=21,365) | | p-value |
|--|-----------------|------|----------------|------|---------|
| | n | % | n | % | |
| Age at cancer diagnosis ¹ | | | | | 1.000 |
| 66–70 | 2,164 | 30.4 | 6,492 | 30.4 | |
| 71–75 | 1,986 | 27.9 | 5,958 | 27.9 | |
| 76–80 | 1,498 | 21.0 | 4,494 | 21.0 | |
| 81–100+ | 1,474 | 20.7 | 4,421 | 20.7 | |
| Education (census-tract level) | | | | | <0.001 |
| 20%+ non high school grads | 1,740 | 24.4 | 3,359 | 15.7 | |
| 10 to <20% non high school grads | 2,114 | 29.7 | 6,416 | 30.0 | |
| 5 to <10% non high school grads | 1,848 | 25.9 | 6,207 | 29.1 | |
| <5% non high school grads | 1,385 | 19.4 | 4,963 | 23.2 | |
| Unknown | 35 | 0.5 | 420 | 2.0 | |
| Income (census-tract level) | | | | | <0.001 |
| <\$50,000 | 1,881 | 26.4 | 7,061 | 33.0 | |
| \$50,000 to <\$70,000 | 1,977 | 27.8 | 6,050 | 28.3 | |
| \$70,000 to <\$90,000 | 1,507 | 21.2 | 3,676 | 17.2 | |
| \$90,000+ | 1,722 | 24.2 | 4,158 | 19.5 | |
| Unknown | 35 | 0.5 | 420 | 2.0 | |
| Yost socioeconomic (SES) index (census-tract level) | | | | | <0.001 |
| Quintile 1 (lowest SES) | 364 | 5.1 | 1,752 | 8.2 | |
| Quintile 2 | 613 | 8.6 | 2,586 | 12.1 | |
| Quintile 3 | 818 | 11.5 | 3,189 | 14.9 | |
| Quintile 4 | 1,420 | 19.9 | 4,007 | 18.8 | |
| Quintile 5 (highest SES) | 2,217 | 31.1 | 5,639 | 26.4 | |
| Unknown | 113 | 1.6 | 297 | 1.4 | |
| Missing | 1,577 | 22.1 | 3,895 | 18.2 | |
| RUCC | | | | | <0.001 |
| Urban | 6,747 | 94.7 | 17,952 | 84.0 | |
| Rural | 373 | 5.2 | 3,411 | 16.0 | |
| Modified Baseline CCI ² | | | | | <0.001 |
| 0 | 4,045 | 56.8 | 13,919 | 65.1 | |
| 1 | 1,957 | 27.5 | 4,794 | 22.4 | |
| 2+ | 1,120 | 15.7 | 2,652 | 12.4 | |
| Obesity before cancer diagnosis | | | | | <0.001 |
| No | 6,644 | 93.3 | 18,620 | 87.2 | |
| Yes | 478 | 6.7 | 2,745 | 12.8 | |
| Tobacco use disorder before cancer diagnosis | | | | | <0.001 |

| | ANHPI (n=7,122) | | NHW (n=21,365) | | p-value |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|----------------|
| | n | % | n | % | |
| No | 7,004 | 98.3 | 20,195 | 94.5 | |
| Yes | 118 | 1.7 | 1,170 | 5.5 | |
| Race/ethnicity ³ | | | | | <0.001 |
| Japanese | 1,683 | 23.6 | | | |
| Chinese | 1,404 | 19.7 | | | |
| Filipino | 1,382 | 19.4 | | | |
| Other Asian | 875 | 12.3 | | | |
| Asian Indian and Pakistani | 533 | 7.5 | | | |
| Korean | 398 | 5.6 | | | |
| Native Hawaiian | 370 | 5.2 | | | |
| Vietnamese | 312 | 4.4 | | | |
| Other Pacific Islander | 165 | 2.3 | | | |

ANHPI, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander; NHW, Non-Hispanic White; RUCC, Rural-Urban Commuting Area Codes,

¹. NHW breast cancer patients were matched to ANHPI breast cancer patients on age and year of diagnosis,

². Charlson comorbidity Index was modified to exclude CVDs,

³. 1.4% (n=98) of ANHPI were Hispanic

Table 2.

Clinical characteristics of older breast cancer survivors, diagnosed from 2000 to 2017, SEER-Medicare

| | ANHPI (n=7,122) | | NHW (n=21,365) | | p-value |
|--|-----------------|------|----------------|------|---------|
| | n | % | n | % | |
| Cancer stage | | | | | <0.001 |
| Localized | 5,050 | 70.9 | 15,027 | 70.3 | |
| Regional | 1,703 | 23.9 | 4,995 | 23.4 | |
| Distant | 226 | 3.2 | 731 | 3.4 | |
| Unknown | 143 | 2.0 | 612 | 2.9 | |
| Histology | | | | | <0.001 |
| Ductal carcinoma | 5,289 | 74.3 | 14,644 | 68.5 | |
| Lobular | 1,112 | 15.6 | 4,886 | 22.9 | |
| Mucinous or colloid | 296 | 4.2 | 613 | 2.9 | |
| Medullary | 11 | 0.2 | 38 | 0.2 | |
| Other histology type | 414 | 5.8 | 1,184 | 5.5 | |
| HER2^{a, b} | | | | | 0.011 |
| Positive | 423 | 12.3 | 875 | 10.3 | |
| Negative | 2,793 | 81.3 | 7,038 | 82.8 | |
| Borderline | 76 | 2.2 | 186 | 2.2 | |
| Unknown | 144 | 4.2 | 399 | 4.7 | |
| ER status^b | | | | | <0.001 |
| ER+ | 5,041 | 80.0 | 12,539 | 81.7 | |
| ER- | 871 | 13.8 | 1,782 | 11.6 | |
| Borderline | ** | | 15 | 0.1 | |
| Unknown | ** | | 1,020 | 6.6 | |
| PR status^b | | | | | 0.037 |
| PR+ | 4,327 | 68.7 | 10,687 | 69.6 | |
| PR- | 1,549 | 24.6 | 3,536 | 23.0 | |
| Borderline | 29 | 0.5 | 67 | 0.4 | |
| Unknown | 393 | 6.2 | 1,066 | 6.9 | |
| Received surgery^b | | | | | <0.001 |
| None; no surgery of primary site; autopsy only | 429 | 6.8 | 1,078 | 7.0 | |
| Partial mastectomy | 3,309 | 52.5 | 9,224 | 60.1 | |
| Total (simple) mastectomy | 1,227 | 19.5 | 2,288 | 14.9 | |
| Modified radical mastectomy | 1,261 | 20.0 | 2,561 | 16.7 | |
| Other | 54 | 0.9 | 144 | 0.9 | |
| Unknown | 18 | 0.3 | 61 | 0.4 | |
| Received radiotherapy^b | | | | | <0.001 |
| None | 3,213 | 51.0 | 7,422 | 48.3 | |
| External Beam | 2,776 | 44.1 | 6,917 | 45.0 | |
| Other ^c | 156 | 2.5 | 556 | 3.6 | |

| | <u>ANHPI (n=7,122)</u> | | <u>NHW (n=21,365)</u> | | p-value |
|---|------------------------|------|-----------------------|------|---------|
| | n | % | n | % | |
| Unknown | 153 | 2.4 | 461 | 3.0 | |
| Received chemotherapy ^b | | | | | 0.215 |
| No/unknown | 4,999 | 79.4 | 12,303 | 80.1 | |
| Yes | 1,299 | 20.6 | 3,053 | 19.9 | |

Abbreviation: ER, estrogen receptors; PR, progesterone receptors; HR, hormone receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2

^a. Available for the breast cancer patients diagnosed from 2010 to 2017

^b. Excluded patients from Idaho, New York, Massachusetts registries due to missing treatment and HER2 information

^c. Radioactive implants, radioisotopes, combination of beam radiation with radioactive implants or radioisotopes, radiation with method or source not specified

** cell sizes <11 have been suppressed. An additional cell may be suppressed so that the cell size <11 cannot be derived from subtraction

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3.

The risks of incident cardiovascular diseases (HRs^a and 95% CIs) among older ANHPI and NHW breast cancer survivors in SEER-Medicare, stratified by follow-up period

| | NHW | Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander | | | | | Other Asian |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|-------------|
| | | Japanese | Chinese | Filipino | Other Asian | | |
| >1-5 years | | | | | | | |
| Composite CVD | Reference | 0.64 (0.49, 0.84) | 0.78 (0.61, 1.01) | 1.05 (0.82, 1.34) | 0.63 (0.47, 0.84) | | |
| Acute Myocardial Infarction | Reference | 0.60 (0.25, 1.44) | 0.46 (0.22, 0.97) | 1.23 (0.66, 2.31) | 0.64 (0.29, 1.40) | | |
| Heart Failure | Reference | 0.45 (0.32, 0.62) | 0.57 (0.43, 0.77) | 0.97 (0.74, 1.27) | 0.56 (0.39, 0.79) | | |
| Ischemic Heart Disease | Reference | 0.49 (0.35, 0.68) | 0.69 (0.52, 0.93) | 0.93 (0.69, 1.24) | 0.67 (0.46, 0.96) | | |
| Stroke | Reference | 0.74 (0.50, 1.08) | 0.88 (0.63, 1.23) | 1.00 (0.70, 1.44) | 0.70 (0.46, 1.06) | | |
| >5 years | | | | | | | |
| Composite CVD | Reference | 0.57 (0.42, 0.76) | 0.60 (0.44, 0.81) | 0.82 (0.60, 1.13) | 0.56 (0.39, 0.80) | | |
| Acute Myocardial Infarction | Reference | 0.65 (0.36, 1.15) | 0.42 (0.20, 0.87) | 0.68 (0.39, 1.20) | 0.84 (0.39, 1.78) | | |
| Heart Failure | Reference | 0.54 (0.40, 0.72) | 0.66 (0.50, 0.89) | 1.04 (0.78, 1.39) | 0.69 (0.48, 0.98) | | |
| Ischemic Heart Disease | Reference | 0.47 (0.33, 0.67) | 0.47 (0.33, 0.67) | 0.79 (0.54, 1.14) | 0.46 (0.30, 0.71) | | |
| Stroke | Reference | 0.71 (0.49, 1.02) | 0.65 (0.44, 0.96) | 0.91 (0.61, 1.34) | 0.69 (0.43, 1.11) | | |
| Asian Indian and Pakistani | | | | | | | |
| Korean | | | | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian | | | | | | | |
| Vietnamese | | | | | | | |
| Other Pacific Islander | | | | | | | |
| >1-5 years | | | | | | | |
| Composite CVD | 1.31 (0.90, 1.91) | 0.70 (0.44, 1.09) | 0.93 (0.59, 1.45) | 0.91 (0.48, 1.74) | | | |
| Acute Myocardial Infarction | 1.20 (0.56, 2.61) | 0.22 (0.03, 1.91) | 4.41 (0.86, 22.57) | 2.17 (0.54, 8.75) | | | |
| Heart Failure | 1.07 (0.72, 1.58) | 0.72 (0.42, 1.21) | 1.11 (0.65, 1.90) | 0.82 (0.42, 1.61) | | | |
| Ischemic Heart Disease | 1.11 (0.71, 1.76) | 0.64 (0.38, 1.09) | 0.75 (0.43, 1.28) | 1.15 (0.55, 2.42) | | | |
| Stroke | 1.20 (0.70, 2.06) | 0.54 (0.27, 1.08) | 0.92 (0.48, 1.77) | 0.74 (0.28, 1.95) | | | |
| >5 years | | | | | | | |
| Composite CVD | 0.73 (0.44, 1.20) | 0.69 (0.30, 1.19) | 0.79 (0.44, 1.45) | 0.60 (0.27, 1.35) | | | |
| Acute Myocardial Infarction | 0.30 (0.08, 1.09) | 0.96 (0.40, 2.28) | 0.82 (0.30, 2.26) | 0.37 (0.04, 3.63) | | | |
| Heart Failure | 0.80 (0.45, 1.41) | 1.04 (0.60, 1.79) | 0.79 (0.44, 1.43) | 0.67 (0.24, 1.85) | | | |
| Ischemic Heart Disease | 0.91 (0.49, 1.69) | 0.63 (0.33, 1.19) | 0.73 (0.35, 1.52) | 1.17 (0.42, 3.31) | | | |
| Stroke | 1.15 (0.64, 2.07) | 0.50 (0.22, 1.13) | 0.74 (0.36, 1.50) | 0.12 (0.02, 0.92) | | | |

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Abbreviation: HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval

²Models adjusted for baseline Charlson Comorbidity Index, cancer registry, rural residence, census tract income, census tract education

The risks of incident cardiovascular disease (HRs^a and 95% CIs) among older ANHPI and NHW breast cancer survivors in SEER-Medicare, stratified by follow-up period

Table 4.

| | NHW | Japanese | Chinese | Filipino | Other Asian |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| >1-5 years | | | | | |
| Composite CVD | 1.57 (1.20, 2.05) | Reference | 1.23 (0.88, 1.72) | 1.64 (1.19, 2.27) | 0.99 (0.67, 1.45) |
| Acute Myocardial Infarction | 1.58 (0.66, 3.78) | Reference | 0.65 (0.22, 1.89) | 2.91 (0.76, 5.28) | 1.06 (0.34, 3.29) |
| Heart Failure | 2.22 (1.61, 3.08) | Reference | 1.34 (0.89, 2.02) | 2.26 (1.55, 3.32) | 1.31 (0.81, 2.10) |
| Ischemic Heart Disease | 1.96 (1.40, 2.75) | Reference | 1.41 (0.94, 2.13) | 1.83 (1.22, 2.73) | 1.31 (0.81, 2.13) |
| Stroke/Transient Ischemic Attack | 1.36 (0.92, 2.01) | Reference | 1.22 (0.77, 1.95) | 1.42 (0.88, 2.27) | 0.99 (0.57, 1.71) |
| >5 years | | | | | |
| Composite CVD | 1.77 (1.32, 2.37) | Reference | 1.06 (0.73, 1.54) | 1.46 (1.00, 2.12) | 0.99 (0.63, 1.54) |
| Acute Myocardial Infarction | 1.47 (0.82, 2.62) | Reference | 0.58 (0.25, 1.32) | 1.04 (0.24, 1.32) | 1.17 (0.47, 2.94) |
| Heart Failure | 1.89 (1.42, 2.51) | Reference | 1.27 (0.88, 1.84) | 1.92 (1.34, 2.75) | 1.34 (0.86, 2.08) |
| Ischemic Heart Disease | 2.30 (1.62, 3.26) | Reference | 1.08 (0.69, 1.69) | 1.82 (1.16, 2.84) | 1.06 (0.62, 1.81) |
| Stroke/Transient Ischemic Attack | 1.37 (0.95, 1.97) | Reference | 0.92 (0.57, 1.49) | 1.27 (0.79, 2.04) | 0.96 (0.54, 1.69) |
| | | | | | |
| | Asian Indian and Pakistani | Korean | Native Hawaiian | Vietnamese | Other Pacific Islander |
| >1-5 years | | | | | |
| Composite CVD | 2.05 (1.30, 3.24) | 1.09 (0.66, 1.80) | 1.86 (1.15, 3.01) | 1.45 (0.87, 2.42) | 1.43 (0.73, 2.82) |
| Acute Myocardial Infarction | 2.01 (0.63, 6.41) | 0.31 (0.31, 3.08) | 1.66 (0.41, 6.82) | 7.92 (1.27, 49.4) | 3.60 (0.75, 17.23) |
| Heart Failure | 2.46 (1.48, 4.09) | 1.58 (0.88, 2.85) | 2.65 (1.56, 4.52) | 2.50 (1.34, 4.64) | 1.88 (0.91, 3.87) |
| Ischemic Heart Disease | 2.18 (1.24, 3.82) | 1.28 (0.80, 2.34) | 1.63 (0.88, 2.99) | 1.57 (0.85, 2.90) | 2.30 (1.04, 5.07) |
| Stroke/Transient Ischemic Attack | 1.77 (0.91, 3.42) | 0.74 (0.34, 1.62) | 1.24 (0.65, 2.37) | 1.24 (0.52, 3.00) | 1.05 (0.38, 2.90) |
| >5 years | | | | | |
| Composite CVD | 1.28 (0.72, 2.28) | 1.23 (0.68, 2.21) | 2.02 (1.18, 3.48) | 1.41 (0.73, 2.69) | 1.06 (0.46, 2.46) |
| Acute Myocardial Infarction | 0.41 (0.10, 1.61) | 1.36 (0.52, 3.57) | 0.30 (0.09, 1.02) | 1.17 (0.38, 3.60) | 0.59 (0.06, 5.90) |
| Heart Failure | 1.59 (0.85, 2.96) | 2.01 (1.12, 3.60) | 2.97 (1.71, 5.15) | 2.51 (0.79, 2.86) | 1.26 (0.44, 3.55) |
| Ischemic Heart Disease | 2.05 (1.01, 4.12) | 1.45 (0.72, 2.93) | 2.35 (1.25, 4.45) | 1.60 (0.73, 3.52) | 2.58 (0.88, 7.55) |
| Stroke/Transient Ischemic Attack | 1.59 (0.81, 3.12) | 0.69 (0.29, 1.63) | 1.01 (0.50, 2.05) | 1.00 (0.46, 2.18) | 0.19 (0.03, 1.52) |

Abbreviation: HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval

^aModels adjusted for baseline Charlson Comorbidity Index, cancer registry, rural residence, census tract income, census tract education

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 5.

Risk factors for heart failure among ANHPI breast cancer survivors in SEER Medicare

| | no heart failure (n) | heart failure (n) | HR | 95%CI | p for trend |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|------|--------------|-------------|
| Age of cancer diagnosis ¹ | | | | | |
| 66–70 | 1,853 | 223 | 1.00 | | |
| 71–75 | 1,571 | 262 | 1.38 | (1.15, 1.64) | |
| 76–80 | 1,105 | 246 | 2.03 | (1.69, 2.44) | <0.001 |
| 81–100 | 988 | 240 | 3.05 | (2.52, 3.68) | |
| Education (census-tract level) ² | | | | | |
| 20%+ non high school grads | 1,265 | 284 | 1.00 | | |
| 10 to <20% non high school grads | 1,611 | 206 | 0.86 | (0.72, 1.03) | |
| 5 to <10% non high school grads | 1,466 | 230 | 0.97 | (0.78, 1.20) | 0.685 |
| <5% non high school grads | 1,149 | 148 | 0.93 | (0.70, 1.22) | |
| Income (census-tract level) ³ | | | | | |
| <\$50,000 | 1,373 | 308 | 1.00 | | |
| \$50,000 to <\$70,000 | 1,494 | 311 | 0.98 | (0.83, 1.17) | |
| \$70,000 to <\$90,000 | 1,197 | 187 | 0.85 | (0.68, 1.05) | 0.005 |
| \$90,000+ | 1,426 | 162 | 0.66 | (0.50, 0.87) | |
| Yost socioeconomic index (census-tract level) ⁴ | | | | | |
| Quintile 1 (lowest SES) | 270 | 55 | 1.00 | | |
| Quintile 2 | 467 | 64 | 0.63 | (0.44, 0.90) | |
| Quintile 3 | 629 | 121 | 0.95 | (0.69, 1.32) | 0.004 |
| Quintile 4 | 1,141 | 149 | 0.68 | (0.50, 0.93) | |
| Quintile 5 (highest SES) | 1,833 | 215 | 0.61 | (0.45, 0.84) | |
| Baseline Charlson comorbidity Index ⁵ | | | | | |
| 0 | 3,180 | 445 | 1.00 | | |
| 1 | 1,519 | 292 | 1.39 | (1.19, 1.62) | <0.001 |
| 2+ | 792 | 231 | 2.56 | (2.17, 3.02) | |
| Obesity ⁶ | | | | | |
| No | 5,107 | 889 | 1.00 | | |
| Yes | 290 | 61 | 1.37 | (1.04, 1.80) | |
| Cancer stage ⁶ | | | | | |
| Localize | 3955 | 668 | 1.00 | | |
| Regional | 1273 | 249 | 1.28 | (1.10, 1.49) | |
| Distant | 169 | 202 | 2.59 | (1.82, 3.69) | <0.001 |
| Surgery ⁷ | | | | | |
| None | 253 | 42 | 1.00 | | |
| Local tumor destruction, NOS | 2628 | 439 | 0.62 | (0.44, 0.87) | |
| Subcutaneous mastectomy | 965 | ** | 0.70 | (0.48, 1.01) | |
| Total (simple) mastectomy | 917 | 208 | 0.57 | (0.40, 0.81) | |

| | no heart failure (n) | heart failure (n) | HR | 95%CI | p for trend |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------|--------------|-------------|
| Other | 38 | ** | 1.33 | (0.62, 2.87) | |
| Radiotherapy ⁸ | | | | | |
| None | 2341 | 446 | 1.00 | | |
| External Beam | 2220 | 375 | 0.88 | (0.76, 1.01) | |
| Other | 135 | 11 | 0.59 | (0.32, 1.07) | |
| Chemotherapy ⁹ | | | | | |
| No | 3801 | 656 | 1.00 | | |
| Yes | 1006 | 192 | 1.37 | (1.15, 1.64) | |

Potential risk factors investigated with no association: insurance status, tobacco use disorders, rural residence, histology, HER2, ER, PR

1. adjusted on race, SEER registry region, CCI

2. adjusted on race, SEER registry region, age, income, RUCC,

3. adjusted on race, SEER registry region, age, education, RUCC,

4. adjusted on race, SEER registry region, age, RUCC

5. adjusted on race, SEER registry region, age, income, education, RUCC

6. adjusted on race, SEER registry region, age, income, education, RUCC, CCI, cancer treatment

7. adjusted on race, SEER registry region, age, income, education, RUCC, CCI, stage

8. adjusted on race, SEER registry region, age, income, education, RUCC, CCI

9. adjusted on race, SEER registry region, age, income, education, RUCC, CCI

** cell sizes <11 have been suppressed. An additional cell may be suppressed so that the cell size <11 cannot be derived from subtraction

Table 6.

Risk of death among breast cancer survivors

| | Died | | Alive | | HR (95% CI) for risk of death |
|--------------------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|-------------------------------|
| | N | % | N | % | |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | | |
| NHW | 5,618 | 72.2 | 7,995 | 66.8 | Reference |
| ANHPI | 2,165 | 27.8 | 3,976 | 33.2 | 0.78 (0.71, 0.85) |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | | |
| NHW | 5,618 | 72.2 | 7,995 | 66.8 | Reference |
| Japanese | 609 | 7.8 | 982 | 8.2 | 0.65 (0.56, 0.75) |
| Chinese | 413 | 5.3 | 677 | 5.7 | 0.73 (0.63, 0.85) |
| Filipino | 449 | 5.8 | 801 | 6.7 | 1.01 (0.87, 1.18) |
| Other Asian | 181 | 2.3 | 544 | 4.5 | 0.64 (0.52, 0.79) |
| Asian Indian and Pakistani | 101 | 1.3 | 279 | 2.3 | 0.79 (0.60, 1.04) |
| Korean | 95 | 1.2 | 240 | 2.0 | 0.75 (0.56, 0.99) |
| Native Hawaiian | 169 | 2.2 | 184 | 1.5 | 1.33 (1.11, 1.59)* |
| Vietnamese | 95 | 1.2 | 175 | 1.5 | 0.94 (0.70, 1.27) |
| Other Pacific Islander | 53 | 0.7 | 94 | 0.8 | 0.86 (0.57, 1.31) |
| Race/ethnicity (ANHPI only)** | | | | | |
| Japanese | 626 | 28.0 | 1,006 | 24.7 | Reference |
| Chinese | 425 | 19.0 | 695 | 17.1 | 1.12 (0.98, 1.28) |
| Filipino | 465 | 20.8 | 818 | 20.1 | 1.50 (1.32, 1.70) |
| Other Asian | 187 | 8.4 | 556 | 13.7 | 1.09 (0.91, 1.30) |
| Asian Indian and Pakistani | 102 | 4.6 | 285 | 7.0 | 1.27 (1.02, 1.60) |
| Korean | 101 | 4.5 | 242 | 6.0 | 1.12 (0.90, 1.39) |
| Native Hawaiian | 172 | 7.7 | 189 | 4.6 | 2.14 (1.79, 2.55) |
| Vietnamese | 98 | 4.4 | 178 | 4.4 | 1.47 (1.17, 1.84) |
| Other Pacific Islander | 56 | 2.5 | 97 | 2.4 | 1.71 (1.29, 2.26) |

* Flexible model

** different counts from model above since we included patients without a NHW patient match

Abbreviation: ANHPI, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander; NHW, Non-Hispanic White

All models adjusted for baseline Charlson Comorbidity Index, cancer stage, cancer registry, rural residence, socioeconomic status, cancer diagnosis age, cancer diagnosis year.

Patients from Idaho, New York, Massachusetts registries were excluded due to missing death information.