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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports



## Follow-up on Toxic-Shock Syndrome

Continuing epidemiologic and microbiologic studies at CDC firmy CotadishLURABERY
 (TSS) in menstruating women. The possible factors contributing to these roles and to the apparently increasing incidence of the disease are still being studied. However, preliminary results of the most recent CDC study, detailed below, suggest that there are differences in the brand of tampons used by TSS patients and controls. In particular, there is an increased risk associated with the use of Rely tampons among TSS patients as compared with controls.

TSS is a severe illness characterized by sudden onset of high fever with vomiting, diarrhea, and myalgia, followed by the development of hypotension and, in severe cases, shock $(1,2)$. An erythematous, "sunburn-like" rash is present during the acute phase of the illness; about 10 days after onset, there is desquamation of the skin, particularly of the palms and soles (Table 1).

Cases of TSS continue to be reported to CDC. Since January 1980, 299 cases of TSS have been reported; 285 (95\%) of these cases have been in women; there have been 25 ( $8.4 \%$ ) deaths. Although cases have been recognized since 1975, reporting has increased over time. Fifty-two (17\%) cases had onset of illness in July or August 1980, and had been reported to CDC by September 8.

Approximately $95 \%$ of all reported cases of TSS in women have occurred during a menstrual period. A previously reported retrospective case-control study was conducted by CDC in June 1980 to examine factors underlying the almost exclusive occurrence of TSS in menstruating women (2). A significant association between tampon use, particularly continuous use during the menstrual period, and the development of TSS was found. The association with tampon use was corroborated by a separate study conducted by the Wisconsin State Health Department.

In the June 1980 CDC study, potentially important differences were found between cases and controls in the use of individual tampon brands but none of these differences were statistically significant. The identification of significant differences among tampon brands may have been hindered by 1) the small number of cases and single-matched controls, 2) the possibility that "best friend" controls would be likely to use the same brands, 3) important changes in brand of tampon used over time since new products and new component materials have been introduced, and 4) differences in accuracy of recall of tampon brands used by cases and controls (cases were questioned about brands used at the time of onset of disease, which may have been many months or, in some cases, years before the study, while controls were asked about their most recent periods).

Because of the problem of accuracy of recall, the earlier study could not be expanded
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to examine the possible association of individual tampon brands with TSS. CDC, therefore, continued its investigation by designing a second retrospective case-control study that focused on tampon brands and was based only on recent cases. Of the 52* TSS patients who had onset of illness in July or August, 1980, 50 were contacted by telephone. None of these women had been included in the earlier study. These women were questioned about tampon, napkin, or minipad use, brand of tampon used, and pattern of use for the menstrual cycle in which they became ill.

## TABLE 1. Toxic-shock syndrome case definition

1. Fever (temperature $\geqslant 38.9 \mathrm{C}[102 \mathrm{~F}]$ ).
2. Rash (diffuse macular erythroderma).
3. Desquamation, 1-2 weeks after onset of illness, particularly of palms and soles.
4. Hypotension (systolic blood pressure $\leqslant 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$. for adults or <5th percentile by age for children $<16$ years of age, or orthostatic syncope).
5. Involvement of 3 or more of the following organ systems:
A. Gastrointestinal (vomiting or diarrhea at onset of illness).
B. Muscular (severe myalgia or creatine phosphokinase level $\geqslant 2 \times$ ULN*).
C. Mucous membrane (vaginal, oropharyngeal, or conjunctival hyperemia).
D. Renal (BUN ${ }^{\dagger}$ or $\mathrm{Cr} \ddagger \geqslant 2 \times$ ULN or $\geqslant 5$ white blood cells per high-power field-in the absence of a urinary tract infection).
E. Hepatic (total bilirubin, SGOT§, or SGPT $\mathbb{I} \geqslant 2 \times$ ULN).
F. Hematologic (platelets $\leqslant 100,000 / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ).
G. Central nervous system (disorientation or alterations in consciousness without focal neuralogic signs when fever and hypotension are absent).
6. Negative results on the following tests, if obtained:
A. Blood, throat, or cerebrospinal fluid cultures.
B. Serologic tests for Rocky Mountain spotted fever, leptospirosis, or measles.

* Twice upper limits of normal for laboratory.
tBlood urea nitrogen level.
$\ddagger$ Creatinine level.
§Serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase level.
ISerum glutamic pyruvic transaminase level.
These women were asked to provide the names of 3 female friends or acquaintances within 3 years of their own age who lived within the same geographic area. Relatives and members of the same household were not accepted as controls. The controls were asked the same questions as TSS patients for the menstrual period that the patient became ill. If knowledge of the TSS patient's illness had caused a change in the control's tampon use, the control was questioned about the menstrual period in the previous month. Both patients and controls were asked to read the labels on their tampon boxes to substantiate brand and absorbency of tampons used.

Fifty of 50 cases (100\%) used tampons during the menstrual period associated with their illness, as compared to 124 of 150 controls ( $83 \%$ ) ( $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ by chi-square for studies with matched cases and controls (3). Cases and those controls who used tampons were examined for differences in brand use. The proportions of cases and controls using a single brand of tampon exclusively during the menstrual period are shown in Table 2. The risk associated with specific brands of tampons was further evaluated taking into account the number of days of menstrual flow; a matched linear logistic regression
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model was used (4). The odds ratio (an estimate of relative risk) associated with use of Rely tampons was statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P}<.0001$, relative risk $=7.9 ; 95 \%$ confidence limits $=2.8$ to 22.2). An increased risk was observed for both product lines of RelySuper and Regular. Similar differences in the frequency of products used by patients and controls were seen when brands were examined for (1) predominant use during the menstrual period or (2) use at least once during the period.

TABLE 2. Distribution of tampon brands among toxic-shock syndrome cases and controls using only one tampon brand

| Tampon <br> brand | Cases <br> $(\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{4 2})$ | Controls <br> $(\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{1 1 4})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rely | $71 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Playtex | $19 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Tampax | $5 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Kotex | $2 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| OB | $2 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

Although no previous study has demonstrated a statistically significant difference in brand use, no other study has been designed to look at brands used by cases and controls over a recent and comparable time period. The Minnesota State Department of Health, however, has reported preliminary results of a study of cases of TSS occurring since early 1979. In this study, 10 ( $35 \%$ ) of 29 cases and $9(18 \%)$ of 50 matched controls used Rely tampons. These trends are similar to the results of the CDC study.

Investigation of the role of Staphylococcus aureus in TSS continues. In a recent CDC study, S. aureus was isolated from the vaginas of 4 ( $7 \%$ ) of 55 unmatched control women who visited family planning clinics during their menstrual periods. In contrast, S. aureus was isolated from 43 ( $98 \%$ ) of 44 appropriately cultured TSS patients included in this tampon brand study ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Moreover, in male cases and in female cases not associated with menstruation, $S$. aureus has been isolated from focal lesions of the skin, bone, and lung. To date, all isolates of $S$. aureus from TSS patients that have been examined in CDC laboratories have been penicillin resistant. $S$. aureus has not been recovered from unused tampons, including those from tampon boxes used by TSS patients.
Reported by Acute Disease Epidemiology Section, Minnesota State Dept of Health; Toxic-Shock Syndrome Task Force, and Special Pathogens Br, Bacterial Diseases Div, Family Planning Evaluation Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Editorial Note: From earlier studies, the incidence of TSS was estimated to be 3/100,000 women of menstrual age per year, based on surveillance data from Wisconsin (2). It now appears that that rate underestimates the true incidence of the disease in menstruating women for 3 reasons: 1) there is incomplete reporting of cases, 2) the rate was based on severe cases meeting a strict case definition that requires evidence of hypotension and involvement of 3 or more organ systems, and 3) not all women of menstrual age are actually menstruating. While the rate is still low, the severity of the illness and the casefatality ratio make TSS a cause for concern, particularly since TSS occurs almost exclusively in previously healthy young women.

The results of this and previous studies support the conclusion that there is a significant association between tampons and TSS in menstruating women. Of the menstrually associated TSS cases, all have occurred in women using tampons. Furthermore, the present study demonstrates that the brand of tampon a woman uses is likely to affect
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her risk of developing TSS. While cases of TSS have occurred with tampons produced by all 5 of the major U.S. tampon manufacturers, a substantially greater proportion of cases than controls in the present study used Rely tampons. Consistent with this finding is the fact that consumer use of Rely tampons has increased as the apparent incidence of TSS has increased. However, other tampon manufacturers have changed the formulation of their products during the same period of time, and consumer buying practices have been changing rapidly.

Moreover, it is possible that tampons are associated with TSS only because they serve as a proxy for some as yet uncharacterized risk factor. If so, the risk of various brands cannot be fully evaluated until such factors are identified and controlled. It is also possible that tampon use might be a proxy for some other risk factor only in women using Rely. For instance, it is possible that the frequency of vaginal colonization with $S$. aureus may be greater among Rely users than among women using other products either by chance or because of some unidentified characteristic of Rely users.

Although the use of tampons is undoubtedly an important factor in the development of TSS in menstruating women, the pathogenesis of TSS is not yet fully understood. The isolation rates of $S$. aureus in cases and controls presented here document an association between $S$. aureus and TSS. This association is consistent with an etiologic role for $S$. aureus in this disease. Studies are in progress to try to identify a marker other than penicillin resistance which would distinguish strains capable of producing TSS. Studies to date suggest that tampons play a contributing role, perhaps by carrying the organism from the fingers or the introitus into the vagina in the process of insertion, by providing a favorable environment for growth of the organism or elaboration of toxin regardless of the manner in which the organism is introduced, or by traumatizing the vaginal mucosa and thus facilitating local infection with $S$. aureus or absorption of toxin from the vagina.

Whatever roles $S$. aureus and tampons play in the development of TSS, certain preventive measures can be identified. Women can almost entirely eliminate their risk of TSS by not using tampons. Women who choose to use tampons can reduce their risk by using them intermittently during each menstrual period (that is, not use them all day and all night throughout the period). Until the reasons underlying the increased risk of TSS in users of Rely tampons are more clearly understood, women may choose to use another product. Which, if any, of these preventive measures a woman chooses is an individual decision. If a woman chooses to use tampons and develops a high fever and vomiting or diarrhea during her menstrual period, she should discontinue tampon use and consult a physician immediately.

Proper management of women suspected of having TSS includes a careful vaginal examination with removal of any retained tampons, inclusion of cervical and vaginal cultures for $S$. aureus among other cultures performed, and aggressive fluid replacement. Physicians should probably use beta-lactamase resistant antistaphylococcal antibiotics after appropriate cultures have been obtained. Such antibiotics are indicated in view of the evidence supporting their efficacy in preventing recurrences. In addition, because of the recurrence rate of $30 \%$, CDC continues to recommend that women who have had an episode of TSS not use tampons at least until $S$. aureus has been eradicated from the vagina.
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## Acute Hemorrhagic Conjunctivitis in Refugees - United States

In mid-July, an outbreak of conjunctivitis among Southeast Asian refugees arriving in Oakland, California, was reported (1). After that report, a surveillance system was established on all charter flights arriving in Oakland and, later in the month, at all U.S. quarantine stations. As of September 7, 528 arriving refugees out of the 9,376 surveyed were found to have clinical conjunctivitis. Cases were found on 24 of the 220 flights carrying refugees.

Most of the cases were characterized by conjunctival injection, swelling of eyelids, and scanty white discharge in 1 or both eyes, with no systemic symptoms (1). However, 21 of the 528 cases had hemorrhagic manifestations. Since initial serologic studies and clinical data suggested a viral etiology, viral cultures were taken from each refugee with hemorrhagic conjunctivitis and from a sample of refugees with no clinical evidence of hemorrhagic conjunctivitis. Of 62 specimens examined thus far at CDC, 4 have revealed picornaviruses. One of these 4 has been identified, by homologous-antibody neutralization, as enterovirus 70, the agent predominantly responsible for acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis (AHC). This organism has not been previously isolated from a patient in the Western Hemisphere.

The refugee who was culture positive for enterovirus 70 is living in California. He is 1 of 4 members of a family that arrived in Los Angeles on a commercial flight from Singapore. One of the other members of his family also had conjunctivitis upon arrival, involving both eyes. The illness began while he was in transit and resolved without residual ophthalmologic problems in 3 to 4 days. No other family members or friends reported conjunctivitis with onset after arrival in the United States.

Twelve refugees who had been cultured at the port of entry were surveyed by telephone. In most cases, both eyes were affected; the duration of symptoms was 3 to 10 days. None reported seeing a physician for this problem nor did any patient report persistent eye problems. No clinical evidence of conjunctivitis occurred among 60-70 contacts of these persons following arrival in the United States.

In the period July $15-30,479$ of 3,257 refugees surveyed on 10 flights had conjunctivitis; 17 ( $3.5 \%$ ) of the 479 had hemorrhagic signs. On July 30, medical and quarantine officials in Singapore and Bangkok began to screen refugees for hemorrhagic conjunctivitis at Hawkins Road, Lumpini, and Rangsit transit centers before departures. During the last week in July, out of a sample of 2,356 refugees surveyed in Bangkok, 200 ( $8.5 \%$ ) had conjunctivitis; 116 ( $58 \%$ ) of these were hemorrhagic. All families with members having signs of hemorrhagic conjunctivitis were detained until it was clinically resolved. All refugees with non-hemorrhagic conjunctivitis were kept separated from those without conjunctivitis while in flight to the United States.

After screening procedures were begun in Thailand and Singapore, the number of conjunctivitis cases seen at the quarantine stations in the United States declined rapidly. Between July 31 and September 7, only 49 cases of conjunctivitis were seen, and only
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3 of the 49 ( $6.1 \%$ ) had hemorrhagic signs. Recent reports from Bangkok, however, indicate that conjunctivitis is still occurring in virtually all of the refugee camps. Screening of refugees in the transit camps and surveillance at the U.S. quarantine stations are both continuing.
Reported by P DeLay, MD, U.S. Public Health Service Hospital, San Francisco; J Chin, MD, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health Services; Respiratory Virology Br, Virology Div, Bur of Laboratories, Quarantine Div, Respiratory and Special Pathogens Br, Viral Diseases Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Editorial Note: AHC was first recognized in Western Africa in 1969. Outbreaks were seen in North Africa, England, continental Europe, India, and Southeast Asia shortly thereafter. By 1971 large epidemics had been described in Singapore, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, the Philippines, India, Korea, Thailand, Sri Lanka, Viet Nam, and the Republic of China (Taiwan). Enterovirus 70 has been the most frequently isolated etiologic agent in these epidemics, although a Coxsackievirus A24 variant and adenovirus 11 have also been implicated (2).

AHC is characterized by a short incubation period (under 24 hours), rapid involvement of both eyes, swelling of the eyelids, congestion and watering of the conjunctivae, and in a large percentage of the patients, subconjuctival hemorrhages. Follicular conjunctivitis and occasional punctate epithelial keratitis may also be seen on examination. There are usually no permanent ocular complications; however, radiculomyelitis has been noted on rare occasions (3). Enterovirus 70 is very contagious and is thought to be transmitted
(Continued on page 451)

| TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States [Cumulative totals include revised and delaved reports through previous weeks.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISEASE | 37th WEEK ENDING |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & \text { 1975-1979 } \end{aligned}$ | CUMULATIVE, FIAST 37 WEEKS |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Septambar } 13 . \\ 1980 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Saptambar } 15, \\ 1979^{*} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Saptember 13, } \\ 1980 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Saptember } 15, \\ 1979^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ 1975-1979 \end{gathered}$ |
| Aseptic meningitis | 254 | 400 | 185 | 4,126 | 4,819 | 3.025 |
| Brucallosis | 4 | 8 | 8 | 134 | 118 | 164 |
| Chickenpox | 299 | 254 | 254 | 156,398 | 171.645 | 150,436 |
| Diphtheria | - | - | - | 3 | 7 | 67 |
| Encaphalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne $\mathbf{\&}^{\text {e }}$ unspec.) | 41 | 39 | 63 | 580 | 679 | 802 |
| Post-infectious | 3 | 6 | 3 | 155 | 180 | 180 |
| Hepatitis, Viral: Type B | 341 | 306 | 306 | 12.173 | 10,249 | 10.580 |
| Type A | 558 | 597 | 597 | 19,366 | 20.956 | 21.851 |
| Type unspecified | 184 | 222 | 158 | 8.352 | 7.139 | 5.900 |
| Malaria | 37 | 11 | 12 | 1,367 | 495 | 390 |
| Measles (rubeola) | 38 | 43 | 90 | 12.843 | 12.053 | 23,944 |
| Meningococcal infections: Toral | 26 | 30 | 29 | 1.920 | 1.982 | 1.317 |
| Civilian | 26 | 30 | 28 | 1.913 | 1.964 | 1,308 |
| Military | - | - | - | 7 | 18 | 18 |
| Mumps | 50 | 71 | 103 | 7.107 | 11,167 | 16,051 |
| Pertussis | 57 | 42 | 41 | 1.122 | 995 | 1.085 |
| Rubella (German measies) | 31 | 37 | 64 | 3,294 | 10.687 | 14,826 |
| Tetanus | 4 | 2 | 2 | 50 | . 49 | 53 |
| Tuberculosis | 513 | 502 | 567 | 19.413 | 19.654 | 21.383 |
| Tularamia | 6 | 9 | 1 | 139 | 153 | 105 |
| Typhoid fever | 9 | 14 | 11 | 307 | 348 | 292 |
| Typhus fever, tick-borne (Rky. Mt spotted) | 49 | 20 | 27 | 941 | 878 | 874 |
| Venareal disases: <br> Gonarthea: Civilian |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gonorrhaa: Civilian Military | $\begin{array}{r} 20,408 \\ 517 \end{array}$ | 23.195 396 | $\begin{array}{r} 20.985 \\ 495 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 696,132 \\ 19,369 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 700.978 \\ 19.590 \end{array}$ | $19.590$ |
| Syphilis, primary \& secondary: Civilian | 543 | 498 | 475 | 18,650 | 17.204 | 17,096 |
| Military | ${ }^{3}$ | 10 | 6 | 226 | 226 | 226 |
| Rabies in animals | 103 | 97 | 63 | 4.680 | 3.605 | 2,201 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | CUM. 1980 |  | CUM. 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax (Colo. 1) | 1 | Poliomyelitis: Tatal | 6 |
| Botulism(Calif. 1) | 46 | Paralytic | 4 |
| Cholera | 8 | Psittacosis (Miss 1, Utah 1, Calif. 2) | 72 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 45 | Rabies in man | - |
| Leprosy (N.J. 1, Tex. 1) | 132 | Trichinosis (Cann. 1، Ups. N.Y. 1) | 86 |
| Leptospirosis (R.I. 1, Fla. 1, Tenn. 1, Hawaii 1) | 52 | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murina) | 47 |
| Plague (N. Mex. 2) | 15 |  |  |

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending September 13, 1980, and September 15, 1979 (37th week)

| REPORTING AREA | ASEPTICMENIN.GITIS | BRU- <br> CEL- <br> LOSIS <br> 1980 | CHICKEN. <br> PQX <br> 1980 | DIPHTHERIA |  | ENCEPHALITIS |  |  | HEPATITIS (VIRAL), BY TYPE |  |  | MALARIA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary |  | Post-in- <br> fectious <br> 1980 | $\frac{B}{1980}$ | $\frac{A}{1980}$ | Unspecified <br> 1980 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1980 | CUM. <br> 1980 | 1980 | 1979* |  |  |  |  | 1980 | CUM. <br> 1980 |
| UNITED STATES | 254 | 4 | 299 | - | 3 | 41 | 39 | 3 | 341 | 558 | 184 | 37 | 1,367 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 20 | - | 24 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 16 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 83 |
| Maine | 2 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 14 |
| N.H. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Vt. | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Mass. | 4 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | 5 | - | 41 |
| R.I. | 10 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7 | - | - | 8 |
| Conn. | 4 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 72 | - | 46 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | - | 57 | 56 | 21 | 6 | 178 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 20 | - | 16 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 12 | 13 | 8 | - | 29 |
| N.Y. City | 6 | - | 30 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 45 |
| N.J. | 31 | - | NN | - | - | - | 1 | - | 16 | 16 | 8 | - | 49 |
| Pa. | 15 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 24 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 55 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 24 | - | 129 | - | 1 | 2 | 13 | - | 45 | 67 | 21 | 1 | 70 |
| Ohio | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 8 | 12 | 4 | - | 8 |
| Ind. | - | - | 22 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 14 | 29 | 8 | - | 7 |
| III, | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 28 |
| Mich. | 20 | - | 41 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 17 | 16 | 6 | - | 19 |
| Wis. | 4 | - | 56 | - | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 8 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 14 | - | 17 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 10 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 58 |
| Minn. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19 |
| lowa | 3 | - | 12 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 12 | $\cdots$ | - | 7 |
| Mo. | 2 | - | . | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | 12 |
| N. Dak. | 1 | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 5 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | 3 |
| Nebr. | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 7 |
| Kans. | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| S. AtLANTIC | 41 | - | 46 | - | - | 5 | 6 | 1 | 76 | 93 | 21 | 3 | 143 |
| Del. |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Md. | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 24 |
| D.c. | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Va . | 10 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 9 | 6 | 2 | - | 53 |
| W. Va. | 3 | - | 12 | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| N.C. | 14 | - | NN | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 9 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 11 |
| S.C. | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 5 | 2 | - | 5 |
| Ga . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 22 | - | $\square$ | 14 |
| Fla | 8 | - | 29 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 21 | 51 | 8 | 1 | 30 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 23 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 6 | - | 10 | 30 | 4 | - | 10 |
| $\mathbf{K y} .$ | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | , |  | - | 2 |
| Tenn. | 4 | 1 | NN | - | - | - | 2 | - | 8 | 16 | 1 | - | - |
| Ala. | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | 6 |
| Miss. | 16 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 11 |  | - | 2 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 21 | 3 | 11 | - | - | 23 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 95 | 49 | 10 | 132 |
| Ark. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 8 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| La. | 1 | 1 | NN | - | - | 8 | - | - | 6 | 27 | 3 | - | 42 |
| Okla. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 5 | 5 | - | 12 |
| Tex. | 17 | 2 | 11 | - | - | 15 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 55 | 38 | 8 | 70 |
| MOUNTAIN | 5 | - | 8 | - | - | 1 | 5 | - | 13 | 46 | 25 | 5 | 73 |
| Mont. | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Wyo. | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | NA | NA | Na | NA | 2 |
| Colc. | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 27 |
| N. Mex. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| Ariz. | - | - | NN | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 11 | 18 | 1 | 16 |
| Utah | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 14 | 2 | - | 15 |
| Nev. | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 |  | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| PACIFIC | 34 | - | 17 | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 80 | 137 | 34 | 9 | 620 |
| Wash. | - | - | 6 | - | - |  |  | - | 4 | 14 | - |  | 45 |
| Oreg. | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 30 | 1 | - | 32 |
| Calif. | 29 | - |  | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 61 | 91 | 33 | 9 | 522 |
| Alaska | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | 6 |
| Hawaii | 1 | - | 10 | - | - |  |  | - | - | 2 | - | - | 15 |
| Guam | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | NA | NA | Na | Na | 3 |
| P.R. | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | - | 3 |
| V.I. | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | NA | NA | NA | NA | $-$ |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | NA | NA | Na | NA | - |

NN: Not notifiable.
'Delayed reports received for 1979 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
September 13, 1980, and September 15, 1979 ( 37 th week)

| REPORTING AREA | MEASLES (RUBEOLA) |  |  | MENINGOCOCCAL infectionstotal |  |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | hubella |  | tetanus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1979^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CuM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cum. } \\ & \text { 1979 } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { сим. } \\ & \text { 1980 } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { сим. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 38 | 12,843 | 12,053 | 26 | 1.920 | 1.982 | 50 | 7.107 | 57 | 31 | 3,294 | 50 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 2 | 669 | 288 | 1 | 104 | 107 | 3 | 555 | 4 | 1 | 213 | 2 |
| Maine | - | 33 | 17 | - | 5 | 5 | - | 285 | - | - | 68 | 1 |
| N.H. | - | 326 | 33 | - | 8 | 9 | - | 19 | 2 | - | 35 | - |
| V t. | - | 226 | 119 | - | 13 | 6 | 1 | 11 | - | $\cdots$ | 3 | - |
| Mass. | - | 58 | 13 | 1 | 35 | 41 | 1 | 122 | 2 | 1 | 80 | - |
| R.I. | - | 2 | 102 | - | 7 | 7 | - | 22 | - | - | 9 | 1 |
| Сопп. | 2 | 24 | 4 | - | 36 | 39 | 1 | 96 | - | - | 18 | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 7 | 3,769 | 1,476 | 2 | 348 | 297 | 8 | 803 | 11 | 4 | 550 | 7 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 4 | 690 | 618 | - | 113 | 106 | 1 | 114 | 5 | 1 | 208 | 2 |
| N.Y. City | 3 | 1. 179 | 756 | - | 89 | 74 | 2 | 92 | 2 | 3 | 95 | 2 |
| N.J. | - | 827 | 57 | 1 | 72 | 71 | 3 | 99 | - | - | 101 | - |
| Pa. | - | 1.073 | 45 | 1 | 74 | 46 | 2 | 498 | 4 | - | 146 | 3 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 3 | 2.417 | 3,140 | 2 | 219 | 212 | 10 | 2.693 | 15 | 6 | 793 | 3 |
| Ohio | 1 | 377 | 266 | - | 72 | 87 | 3 | 1.122 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 1 |
| Ind. | - | 91 | 203 | - | 36 | 42 | 2 | 118 | 1 | 1 | 331 | - |
| III. | 2 | 337 | 1,406 | 2 | 43 | 11 | 1 | 354 | - | 2 | 161 | - |
| Mich. | - | 235 | 823 | - | 55 | 54 | 3 | 797 | 1 | - | 126 | 1 |
| Wis. | - | 1,377 | 442 | - | 13 | 18 | 1 | 302 | 6 | - | 168 | 1 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 4 | 1,315 | 1,722 | 1 | 71 | 63 | 5 | 247 | - | - | 197 | 3 |
| Minn. | 4 | 1,101 | 1.214 | - | 20 | 11 | 2 | 15 | - | - | 27 | 1 |
| lowa | - | 1 | 16 | - | 9 | 9 | 2 | 42 | - | - | 8 | - |
| Mo. | - | 64 | 409 | - | 28 | 33 | - | 71 | - | - | 45 | 1 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | 20 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 5 | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Nabr. | - | 83 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Kans. | - | 67 | 61 | - | 日 | 5 | 1 | 104 | - | - | 109 | 1 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 6 | 1,880 | 1,841 | 11 | 473 | 482 | 11 | 964 | 7 | 5 | 334 | 10 |
| Dal. | - | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | - | 39 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Md. | - | 71 | 15 | - | 46 | 41 | - | 315 | - | - | 70 | 1 |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Va . | 1 | 303 | 269 | 1 | 46 | 69 | 3 | 64 | - | - | 51 | 3 |
| W. Va | - | 15 | 54 | 1 | 17 | 8 | 1 | 90 | - | 1 | 24 | 1 |
| N.C. | 1 | 129 | 112 | 2 | 91 | 75 | 3 | 92 | 1 | - | 46 | 1 |
| S.C. | - | 159 | 150 | 1 | 54 | 59 | 2 | 205 | - | - | 51 | 2 |
| Ga. | 1 | 811 | 450 | 3 | 82 | 68 | - | 3 | 6 | - | - | 1 |
| Fla. | 3 | 389 | 790 | 3 | 134 | 157 | 2 | 152 | - | 4 | 90 | 1 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | 340 | 201 | 2 | 174 | 146 | 3 | 854 | 3 | 1 | 81 | 4 |
| Ky. | - | 55 | 37 |  | 53 | 29 | 2 | 750 | - | - | 37 | 1 |
| Tenn. | - | 179 | 56 | 1 | 46 | 41 | 1 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 39 | 2 |
| Ala. | - | 22 | 84 | 1 | 48 | 36 | - | 21 | - | - | 3 | 1 |
| Miss. | - | 84 | 24 | - | 27 | 40 | - | 58 | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 2 | 927 | 889 | 1 | 202 | 307 | 2 | 255 | 6 | 1 | 119 | 13 |
| Ark. | - | 14 | 7 | - | 18 | 24 | - | 20 | 1 | - | 4 | 1 |
|  | - | 11 | 247 | - | 75 | 116 | - | 65 | 1 | - | 10 | 3 |
| Okla. | - | 745 | 22 | - | 17 | 29 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 1 |
| Tex. | 2 | 157 | 613 | 1 | 92 | 138 | 2 | 170 | 3 | 1 | 101 | 8 |
| MOUNTAIN | 6 | 484 | 310 | 3 | 67 | 78 | 1 | 192 | 3 | 3 | 141 | - |
| Mont | - | 2 | 53 | - | 3 | 8 | - | 55 | - | - | 43 | - |
| Idatio | - | - | 18 | - | 4 | 8 | - | 15 | 2 | 1 | 19 | - |
| Wyo. | NA | - | 36 | - | 2 | 1 | NA | - | NA | Na | 1 | - |
| Colo. | Na | 24 | 64 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 52 | 1 | - | 11 | - |
| N. Max. | - | 13 | 38 | - | 8 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| Ariz. | 6 | 390 | 72 | - | 12 | 33 | - | 34 | - | 1 | 31 | - |
| Utah | - | 47 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 8 | - | 27 | - | - | 25 | - |
| Nev. | - | 8 | 11 |  | 15 | 11 | - | 9 | - | 1 | 6 | - |
| PACIFIC | 8 | 1,042 | 2,186 | 3 | 262 | 290 | 7 | 544 | 8 | 10 | 866 | 8 |
| Wash. | - | 177 | 1,126 | - | 49 | 46 | - | 129 |  | 4 | 80 | - |
| Oreg. | - | 17 | 1.61 | - | 46 | 25 | 1 | 67 | - | - | 50 | - |
| Calif. | 7 | 853 | 918 | 3 | 159 | 203 | 6 | 321 | 8 | 5 | 719 | 8 |
| Alaska | 1 | 6 | 17 | - | 8 | 6 | - | 11 | - | 1 | 12 | - |
| Hawnii | - | 6 | 64 | - |  | 10 | - | 16 | - |  | 5 | - |
| Guam | NA | 5 | 11 | - | 1 | 1 | NA | 9 | NA | NA | - | - |
| P.R. | - | 131 | 338 | - | 9 | 5 | 4 | 134 | - | - | 18 | 10 |
| V.I. | NA | 6 | 5 | - | 1 | 3 | NA | 2 | NA | NA | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | NA | 6 | 8 | - | - | 1 | NA | 17 | NA | NA | 1 | - |

[^1]- Delayed reports received for 1979 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending September 13, 1980, and September 15, 1979 ( 37 th week)

| Reporting area | TUBERCULOSIS |  | tula. REMIA | TYPHOID FEVER |  | TYPHUS FEVER (Tick-borne) (RMSF) |  | Venereal diseases (Civilan) |  |  |  |  |  | RABIES (in Animals) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | GONORRHEA |  |  | SYPHILIS (Prii \& Sec. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  | 1988 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 1980 } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM } \\ & \text { 1978. } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1979 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 513 | 19,413 | 139 | 9 | 307 | 49 | 941 | 20,408 | 696,132 | 700.978 | 543 | 18.650 | 17,204 | 4,680 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 11 | 551 | 6 | - | 8 | 2 | 11 | 604 | 17,491 | 17,368 | 7 | 427 | 338 | 47 |
| Maine | 2 | 42 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 27 | 1.001 | 1.218 |  | 5 | 10 | 21 |
| N.H. | 1 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 647 | 654 | - | 1 | 16 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | - | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 411 | 413 | - | 5 | 1 | - |
| Mass. | 7 | 305 | 4 | - | 5 | - | 5 | 305 | 7,366 | 6,862 | 6 | 274 | 190 | 12 |
| R.1. | 1 | 56 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 32 | 1,134 | 1,431 | - | 24 | 11 | - |
| Conn. | - | 122 | 1 | - | , | 2 | 4 | 215 | 6.952 | 6,790 | 1 | 118 | 110 | 8 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 109 | 3,166 | 2 | 2 | 63 | - | 39 | 2.769 | 75,957 | 75,959 | 59 | 2,617 | 2,587 | 56 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 19 | 6.64 | 1 | - | 9 | - | 13 | 307 | 14.134 | 12.564 | - | 219 | 177 | 29 |
| N.Y. City | 42 | 1,133 | 1 | 1 | 28 | - | 3 | 1,625 | 29.003 | 30.297 | 31 | 1,700 | 1.759 | - |
| N.J. | 18 | 664 | - | 1 | 13 | - | 14 | 131 | 14.049 | 13,554 | 9 | 314 | 339 | 12 |
| Pa | 30 | 745 | - | - | 13 | - | 9 | 706 | 18.771 | 19.544 | 13 | 384 | 312 | 15 |
| En. CENTRAL Ohio | 54 | 2,807 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 25 | 2,769 | 107,985 | 108,037 | 43 | 1,744 | 2.287 | 706 |
| Ind. | 6 | 497 285 | - | - | 6 | - | 12 | 813 260 | 28.325 11.061 | 29,873 9,272 | 4 | 261 141 | 462 | 64 |
| III. | 18 | 997 | - | 1 | 11 | - | 6 | 581 | 33,957 | 33,547 | 25 | 987 | 1,282 | 383 |
| Mich. | 27 | 865 | 1 | - | 6 | - | 3 | 782 | 24,428 | 25,552 | 10 | 291 | 329 | 12 |
| Wis. | 6 | 163 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 2 | 333 | 10,214 | 9,793 | - | 64 | 68 | 205 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 30 | 722 | 23 | - | 21 | - | 50 | 1.248 | 33,421 | 34,490 | 12 | 242 | 229 | 1,517 |
| Minn. | 4 | 140 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 140 | 5,409 | 5,850 | 8 | 85 | 62 | 154 |
| lowa | - | 61 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 130 | 3.589 | 4,124 | - | 14 | 27 | 321 |
| Mo. | 11 | 329 | 19 | - | 15 | - | 32 | 728 | 15.052 | 14.802 | 4 | 118 | 104 | 310 |
| N. Dak. | 5 | 39 | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 488 | 576 | - | 3 | 2 | 176 |
| S Dak. | 4 | 37 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 28 | 993 | 1.164 | - | 2 | 2 | 347 |
| Nabr. | 1 | 29 | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | 114 | 2,546 | 2,431 | - | 6 | 3 | 83 |
| Kans. | 5 | 87 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 10 | 86 | 5,364 | 5,543 | - | 14 | 29 | 126 |
| S ATLANTIC | 110 | 4.287 | 9 | - | 36 | 40 | 608 | 5.510 | 174.909 | 170.361 | 138 | 4.456 | 4,093 | 365 |
| Mel. | - | 56 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 55 | 2,467 | 2,816 | - | 10 | 21 | 1 |
| D.C. | 16 | 540 261 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 63 | 477 | 18.836 | 20,411 | ${ }^{8}$ | 324 | 272 | 24 |
| Va . | NA | 430 | - | - | 6 | 10 | 85 | 413 | 15.876 | 16.1698 | 8 | 400 | 347 | 13 |
| W. Va. | 3 | 157 | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | 55 | 2,350 | 2.344 | - | 15 | 41 | 19 |
| N.C. | 25 | 772 | 3 | - | 2 | 14 | 268 | 909 | 25.059 | 24,443 | 7 | 305 | 322 | 19 |
| Sc. | 9 | 390 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 132 | 563 | 16,554 | 16,083 | 11 | 251 | 210 | 47 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}_{\text {a }}$ | 18 | 580 | 4 | - | - | 13 | 51 | 1.360 | 33.965 | 32,316 | 55 | 1.295 | 1,130 | 180 |
| Fla | 33 | 1,101 | - | - | 15 | - | 4 | 963 | 47,400 | 44.481 | 35 | 1,525 | 1,431 | 62 |
| Es CENTRAL | 34 | 1,764 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 89 | 1.967 | 57.169 | 60,121 | 61 | 1,535 | 1,117 | 256 |
| KY. | 11 | 396 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 16 | 263 | 8,365 | 7.922 | - | 103 | 122 | 110 |
| Tenn. | 8 | 589 | 6 | - | - | 2 | 52 | 861 | 20,730 | 21.682 | 31 | 847 | 471 | 108 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{a}}$. | 11 | 460 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 12 | 619 | 16,899 | 17.938 | 11 | 320 | 208 | 38 |
| Miss. | 4 | 319 | 2 | - | 4 | 1 | 9 | 224 | 11,175 | 12,579 | 19 | 465 | 316 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 60 | 2,159 | 60 | 3 | 40 | 3 | 101 | 2.739 | 89,546 | 90.759 | 109 | 3.728 | 3,143 | 1,114 |
| Ask. | 5 | 232 | 38 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 21 | 264 | 7.646 | 7,152 | 6 | 139 | 101 | 147 |
| La | 6 | 403 |  | - | - | - | 2 | 488 | 16,182 | 16,053 | 25 | 901 | 775 | 8 |
| Okla. | - | 213 | 16 | - | 4 | - | 56 | 325 | 8,967 | 8,716 | - | 69 | 68 | 192 |
| Tex. | 49 | 1.311 | 6 | 2 | 31 | 1 | 22 | 1.662 | 56,751 | 58,838 | 78 | 2,619 | 2.199 | 767 |
| Mountain | 10 | 508 | 24 | 1 | 21 | - | 14 | 652 | 27,012 | 28,145 | 2 | 451 | 333 | 187 |
| Mont | - | 20 | 7 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 10 | 1.020 | 1.392 | - | 1 | 8 | 36 |
| Idaho | - | 22 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 62 | 1,201 | 1.224 | - | 24 | 21 | 2 |
| Wyo. | NA | 16 | 3 | NA | - | NA | 2 | NA | 775 | 798 | NA | 8 | 5 | 13 |
| Colo. | - | 73 | 5 | 1 | 7 | - | 3 | 197 | 7,306 | 7.495 | 1 | 116 | 71 | 46 |
| N. Mex. | - | 101 | - | - | 2 | - | 4 | 86 | 3.340 | 3,511 | - | 78 | 62 | 37 |
| Ariz. | 5 | 215 | 1 | - | 7 | - | - | 101 | 7.193 | 7,831 | - | 154 | 94 | 49 |
| Utah | 2 | 35 | 5 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 60 | 1,361 | 1.427 | - | 11 | 3 | 3 |
| Nev. | 3 | 26 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 136 | 4.816 | 4.467 | 1 | 59 | 69 | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 95 | 3.443 | 5 | 1 | 82 | - | 4 | 2.150 | 112.642 | 115,738 | 112 | 3.450 | 3. 077 | 432 |
| Wash. | 7 | 312 | - | - | 3 | - | - | NA | 9.075 | 10,142 | NA | 154 | 159 | - |
| Oreg. | 5 | 128 | 1 | - | 9 | - | 1 | 183 | 7,828 | 7.458 | 1 | 72 | 126 | 4 |
| Calif. | 79 | 2,892 | 3 | 1 | 10 | - | 3 | 1.780 | 90.567 | 92.319 | 109 | 3.101 | 2. 702 | 384 |
| Alaska | - | 41 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 95 | 2.827 | 3.633 | - | 7 | 21 | 44 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 70 | - | - | - | - | - | 92 | 2.345 | 2,186 | 2 | 116 | 69 | - |
| Guarn | NA | 30 | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | 12 | 86 | NA | 4 | - | - |
| P.R. | 1 | 127 | - | - | 21 | - | - | 52 | 1,940 | 1,476 | 10 | 423 | 369 | 42 |
| V.I. | NA | - | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | 108 | 123 | NA | 10 | 6 | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | NA | 30 | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | 295 | 334 | NA | - | 1 | - |

[^2]"Delayed reports received for 1979 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending
September 13, 1980 (37th week)

| heporting Area | ALL CAUSES, by age (yEARS) |  |  |  |  | F\& $10 *$ total | REPORTING AREA | ALL CAUSES, by age (YeARS) |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Ps I }{ }^{* *} \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ALL AGES | $>65$ | 4564 | 25.44 | $<1$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 65$ | 45.64 | 25-44 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 645 | 423 | 151 | 28 | 22 | 36 | S. ATLANTIC | 1.069 | 610 | 272 | 100 | 33 | 40 |
| Boston, Mass. | 168 | 94 | 44 | 11 | 11 | 10 | Atlanta, Ga- | 131 | 66 | 37 | 22 | - | 1 |
| Bridgaport, Conn. | 42 | 29 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 5 | Baltimore, Md. | 153 | 80 | 44 | 16 | 3 | 1 |
| Cambridga, Mass. | 26 | 14 | 7 | 2 | - | 4 | Charlotte, N.C. | 64 | 41 | 15 | 5 | , | 5 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 31 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | Jacksonville, Fla | 116 | 69 | 32 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 51 | 26 | 19 | 3 | - | - | Miami, Fla. | 65 | 36 | 13 | 11 | 3 | 2 |
| Lowall. Mass. | 19 | 13 | 4 | - | - | 1 | Norfolk, Va. | 61 | 38 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 16 | 12 | 4 | - | - | - | Richmond, Va. | 96 | 53 | 29 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 21 | 17 | 3 | - | - | - | Sevannah, Ga | 37 | 23 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Naw Havan, Conn. | 43 | 24 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 1 | St. Patarsburg. Fla. | 67 | 48 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Providence, R.I. | 83 | 53 | 22 | 1 | 4 | 3 | Tampa, Fla. | 68 | 43 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 6 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 2 | Washington, D.C. | 154 | 78 | 46 | 18 | 7 | 1 |
| Springfiald, Mass. | 63 | 41 | 19 | 1 | I | 2 | Wilmington, Dal. | 57 | 35 | 14 | 6 | - | 1 |
| Watarbury, Conn. | 36 | 31 | 4 | 1 | - | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 43 | 39 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTRAL | 761 | 445 | 208 | 61 | 13 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala. | 109 | 55 | 36 | 10 | 3 | 2 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2.415 | 1.571 | 547 | 161 | 61 | 79 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 77 | 43 | 21 | 6 | - | 5 |
| Albany. N.Y. | 45 | 35 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | Knoxvilla, Tenn. | 45 | 30 | 12 | 2 | - | - |
| Allentown, Pa. | 19 | 12 | 7 | - | - | - | Louisville, Ky. | 100 | 60 | 28 | 6 | 5 | 8 |
| Buffalo. N.Y. | 133 | 84 | 37 | 8 | 3 | 8 | Memphis, Tenn. | 207 | 123 | 59 | 15 | 1 | 4 |
| Camden, N.J. | 39 | 24 | 11 | 4 | - | 1 | Mobile, Ala. | 53 | 37 | 11 | 3 | - | 3 |
| Elizabath, N.J. | 26 | 19 | 3 | - | - | - | Montgomery, Ala. | 41 | 30 | 日 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Erie, Pa. $\dagger$ | 35 | 26 | 5 | - | 2 | - | Nashville, Tenn. | 129 | 67 | 33 | 17 | 3 | 2 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 41 | 33 | 4 | 2 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nawark, N.J. | 47 | 23 | 12 | 9 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N. Y. | 1,309 | 859 | 213 | 109 | 32 | 40 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1. 284 | 710 | 322 | 120 | 52 | 36 |
| Patarson, N.J. | 21 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | Austin, Tex. | 44 | 33 | 8 | 3 | - | 2 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 217 | 133 | 64 | 11 | 5 | 9 | Baton Rouga, La. | 49 | 29 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Pitsburgh, Pa. ${ }^{+}$ | 115 | 67 | 28 | 8 | 3 | 3 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 32 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 3 | - |
| Reading, Pa. | 32 | 26 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | Dallas, Tex. | 200 | 126 | 40 | 16 | 7 | 2 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 100 | 59 | 25 | 1 | 7 | 6 | El Paso, Tex. | 56 | 33 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 22 | 13 | 7 | - | - | 2 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 103 | 61 | 27 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Scranton, Pa.t | 28 | 20 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Houston, Tex. | 346 | 165 | 101 | 42 | 8 | 5 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 106 | 67 | 31 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Little Rock, Ark. | 63 | 31 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| Trenton, N.J. | 39 | 24 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Naw Orieans, La | 73 | 38 | 18 | 11 | 1 | - |
| Urics, N.Y. | 16 | 11 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | San Antonio, Tex. | 162 | 88 | 41 | 14 | 8 | 7 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 25 | 21 | 4 | - | - | 1 | Shraveport, La. | 89 | 51 | 16 | 5 | 10 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tulsa, Okla. | 67 | 39 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2.220 | 1.269 | 582 | 173 | 100 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Akron, Ohio | 50 | 33 | 9 | 4 | 1 | - | MOUNTAIN | 638 | 358 | 156 | 60 | 30 | 19 |
| Canton, Ohio | 40 | 24 | 12 | 2 | - | 1 | Albuquerqua, N. Mex. | . 61 | 17 | 21 | 15 | - | 1 |
| Chicago, III. | 534 | 290 | 156 | 52 | 22 | 6 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 39 | 27 | 8 | 2 | - | 3 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 115 | 69 | 28 | 11 | 3 | 3 | Denver, Colo. | 151 | 85 | 40 | 11 | 10 | 5 |
| Claveland, Ohio | 173 | 99 | 48 | 9 | 10 | 3 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 62 | 28 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 125 | 62 | 45 | 10 | 3 | 2 | Ogden, Utah | 25 | 13 | 8 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 115 | 6 B | 30 | 7 | 5 | 2 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 133 | 84 | 27 | 9 | 10 | 1 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 277 | 146 | 62 | 38 | 13 | 4 | Puablo, Colo. | 27 | 20 | 3 | 4 | - | 2 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 54 | 34 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 3 | Salt Laka City, Utah | 46 | 27 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 50 | 32 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Tucson, Ariz. | 94 | 57 | 17 | 12 | 1 | 2 |
| Gary, Ind. | 21 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 53 | 33 | 12 | - | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 173 | 84 | 53 | 13 | 12 | 2 | PACIFIC | 1.683 | 1.037 | 407 | 123 | 55 | 58 |
| Madison, Wis. | 24 | 13 | 7 | - | 1 | - | Berkeley, Calif. | 20 | 11 | 3 | 4 | - | $\stackrel{-}{7}$ |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 131 | 92 | 21 | 4 | 9 | 2 | Fresno, Calif. | 86 | 53 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Peoria, III. | 44 | 30 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | Glandale, Calif. | 15 | 12 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Rockford, III. | 39 | 19 | 16 | 2 | - | 4 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 59 | 27 | 16 | 9 | 2 | 6 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 56 | 38 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 3 | Long Baach, Calif. | 90 | 53 | 27 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| Tolado, Ohio | 93 | 62 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | Los Angeles, Calit. | 451 | 281 | 99 | 37 | 14 | 10 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 53 | 36 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 1 | Oakland, Calif. | 76 | 46 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 32 | 26 | 4 | - | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Orag. | 158 | 91 | 45 | 10 | 4 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 740 | 457 | 176 | 39 | 36 | 17 | Sacramento, Calif. | 75 | 54 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Das Moines, Iowa | 69 | 44 | 19 | 4 | 2 | 1 | San Diago. Calif. $\dagger \dagger$ | 126 | 76 | 33 | 9 | 5 | 1 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 26 | 13 | 10 | - | 2 | 1 | San Francisco, Calif. | 130 | 90 | 29 | 9 | - | 2 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 36 | 21 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 3 | San Jose, Calif. | 137 | 77 | 39 | 10 | 6 | 2 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 119 | 15 | 33 | 2 | 5 | 3 | Seattle, Wash. | 142 | 91 | 33 | 11 | 4 | 6 |
| Lincoln, Nabr. | 38 | 20 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Spokane, Wash. | 51 | 25 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 86 | 59 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 2 | Tacoma, Wash. | 35 | 24 | 9 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 86 | 47 | 27 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 183 | 114 | 36 | 14 | 11 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 60 | 43 | 13 | 1 | 2 | - | TOTAL 1 | 11.455 | 6,880 | 2. 821 | 865 | 402 | 355 |
| Wichita, Kans. | 37 | 21 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]
## Conjunctivitis - Continued

primarily by fomites from contaminated fingers, clothing, or towels. Large epidemics most frequently occur in densely populated, crowded, humid areas. Small outbreaks attributed to cross contamination in medical facilities or physicians' offices have occurred in London, Moscow, and France.

Epidemic conjunctivitis due to enterovirus 70 infection (as well as adenovirus 11 and 8) occurred in 1975 on Guam among Southeast Asian refugees awaiting transportation to the United States (4). Although conjunctivitis of unknown etiology occurred rarely among American medical personnel in intimate contact with those patients, no documented AHC infection was subsequently reported in the United States. This fact, and the crowded and less-than-adequate hygienic conditions usually associated with outbreaks of AHC, make the likelihood of secondary spread in the United States minimal.
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## Dengue - Texas

Dengue virus type 1 has been isolated from a patient in Brownsville, Texas, by the San Juan Laboratories, CDC. This is the first report of dengue transmission within the continental United States since 1945.

The patient, a 5 -year-old girl, had had a febrile illness for 4 days when the sample of her serum that contained the virus was collected on August 25 by a clinic participating in the Texas surveillance system. Her illness, characterized by fever, rash, myalgia, and petechiae was brief.

Although no increase in dengue-like illness has been observed in Brownsville, federal, state, and local health officials are investigating human and vector populations to determine if dengue virus is still being transmitted in the area. A neighborhood campaign to eliminate breeding sites of Aedes aegypti, the mosquito vector, is being intensified. Additional serologic studies are pending.
Reported by R Landsberg, DO, WR Meyers, MD, Brownsville; R Davis, RPE, J Dickens, RS, C Marshall, MD, C Webb, Jr, MD, State Epidemiologist, Texas Dept of Health; San Juan Laboratories, Bur of Laboratories, Vector Biology and Control Div, Bur of Tropical Diseases, Viral Diseases Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Editorial Note: Because of the proximity of dengue activity in northeastern Mexico (1), areas of the southeastern United States that are infested with A. aegypti are at risk of dengue introduction and transmission during the remaining warm months. State and local health officials are coordinating surveillance and control measures to minimize the spread of dengue infection.
Reference
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## Measles - New York

Subpopulations of unimmunized children can serve as a focus for the spread of measles, as the following outbreak illustrates.

In the period March 10 -June 14, 1980, 112 cases of measles were reported from Erie County, New York. All cases were characterized by a typical measles rash, fever of $\geqslant 38.5 \mathrm{C}$ for at least 2 days, and at least 1 of the following: cough, coryza, or conjunctivitis. Most of the cases occurred from April 9 through May 11 (Figure 1). The highest proportion of cases occurred in 10 - to 14 -year-olds ( $43.8 \%$ ), followed by 5 - to 9 -year-olds ( $34.8 \%$ ), 15- to 19 -year-olds ( $10.7 \%$ ), and 0 - to 4 -year-olds ( $8.9 \%$ ). Only 2 cases ( $1.8 \%$ ) occurred in persons over 19 years of age. Of the total cases, 75 occurred in adjacent school districts in the southern part of the county, and 37 were reported from other sections of the county.

Thirty-nine of the 112 (35\%) ill patients were members of a religious group which though not specifically against immunization, will support individual members' beliefs against it. Nine of the 39 (23\%) ill church members had a history of measles vaccination after 1 year of age. In contrast, 52 of the 73 ( $71 \%$ ) ill persons who were not church members had similar vaccination histories. At least 17 of the 39 ( $44 \%$ ) church members who became ill attended a church pageant on April 12 (Figure 1). Of the 37 measles cases that occurred outside the southern part of the county, $30(81 \%)$ were in church members.

During the outbreak, over 1,300 susceptible students received measles vaccine at special school-based or community clinics or from private physicians. Nearly 300 students were temporarily excluded from school until they provided evidence of measles immunity or until 2 weeks had elapsed since onset of the last measles case in their school.
Reported by RG Judelsohn, MD, ML Fleissner, MD, Erie County Dept of Health; R Cavallo, I Mills, R Rothenberg, MD, State Epidemiologist, New York Dept of Health; Immunization Div, Bur of State Services, CDC.
Editorial Note: In this outbreak, most of the cases outside the southern part of the county were in members of a specific church and most were unvaccinated. In a similar outbreak of measles in Maryland in 1978, 26\% of cases occurred in unvaccinated children who were exempt from school immunization laws because of religious belief (1).

During the 1979-80 school year New York State conducted audits of the immunization records for all school children, kindergarten to 12 th grade. During the 1980-81 school year, all enrolled children who do not provide evidence of measles immunity or who do not have valid grounds for exemption will be excluded. Individuals who are truly exempt from the school law should be identified at the start of the school year. Effective control of measles may require exclusion of these children from school when measles is occurring in the community.

When evaluated, the efficacy of measles vaccine has generally been shown to be 90\% or better, even in outbreaks such as this one, where a substantial proportion of the patients reported that they were vaccinated after 1 year of age $(2,3)$. In this instance, $46 \%$ of the patients were either unvaccinated or vaccinated before they were 1 year old. These cases might have been prevented if the persons had been appropriately vaccinated or revaccinated before measles was introduced into the community.
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Measles - Continued
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FIGURE 1. Reported cases of measles, by onset, Erie County, New York, March 7June 17, 1980


## Current Trends

## Influenza - United States, Worldwide

Influenza A(H3N2) virus, previously reported to have caused an outbreak in Tucson, Arizona, in July (1), has also been isolated from sporadic cases in Illinois, Texas, and Washington. From April through July, reports of influenza $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~N} 2)$ isolation were made from Asia (Japan, Malaysia, the People's Republic of China, the Philippines, the Republic of China [Taiwan], Thailand), South America (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, French Guyana), Australia and New Zealand, and South Africa. In some areas (Johannesburg, South Africa, for example), outbreaks have been considered severe, with deaths among the elderly and/or industrial absenteeism being reported. In other regions, the virus had much less impact.

At the World Health Organization (WHO) Collaborating Centers for Influenza in Atlanta and London, isolates from several countries, including those with severe morbidity, have been tested. In general, the isolates have been well inhibited by both $A / T e x a s / 1 / 77$ and $A / B$ angkok/1/79 antisera.

## Influenza - Continued

From April through July, continued circulation of influenza $A(H 1 N 1)$ strains was also reported; isolates were recovered in Asia (Japan, Mongolia), Europe (Hungary, the Netherlands), and South America (Chile and Peru). Influenza B was also isolated during this period in Australia, Japan, the People's Republic of China, Indonesia, the Republic of China (Taiwan), and Thailand.
Reported by P Glezen, MD, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston; State Laboratory Directors in Illinois, Texas, and Washington; WHO Collaborating Center for Influenza, Virology Div, Bur of Laboratories, Immunization Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Reference
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## International Notes

## Rabies - Continental Europe, United States, 1979

Continental Europe: In 1979, animal rabies was reported from 18 European countries participating in the European Rabies Surveillance System. Finland, Norway, Sweden, and the United Kingdom continued to be rabies free, and no cases were reported from Bulgaria or Portugal. One rabies case was reported by the Netherlands in a dog imported from India, and one by Spain in a cat. No data were available from Rumania or, for the third quarter of 1979, from the German Democratic Republic (GDR).

Four human and 16,816 cases of animal rabies were reported in 1979. Of the animals, there were 11,791 ( $70.1 \%$ ) cases in foxes, and 3,468 ( $20.6 \%$ ) cases in domesticated animals. The corresponding figures for 1978 were similar (Table 3).

TABLE 3. Rabies in continental Europe, 1978-79

| Category | 1978 | 1979 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Human rabies | 4 | 4 |
| Animal rabies | 16,835 | $16,86^{*}$ |
| $\quad$ domesticated animals | $3,383(20.1 \%)$ | $3,468(20.6 \%)$ |
| wild animals | $13,452(79.7 \%)$ | $13,348(79.4 \%)$ |
| foxes | $11,851(70.4 \%)$ | $11,791(70.1 \%)$ |

*Does not include GDR, third quarter.
Countries with a particularly high proportion of rabies in foxes were Hungary (92.4\%), Yugoslavia (93.0\%), and Czechoslovakia (85.3\%). After foxes, the animal species most frequently reported to have rabies were dogs (7.7\%), cattle ( $6.2 \%$ ), deer ( $4.1 \%$ ), mustelids (e.g., badgers) ( $4.1 \%$ ), and cats ( $4.0 \%$ ). Most of the rabid dogs were from Turkey. "Urban" rabies is prevalent in that country, with $61.1 \%$ of the total cases being in dogs and only $1.5 \%$ involving wild species. Turkey is also the only country in continental Europe where the fox did not account for the greatest number of cases. Other countries with a higher-than-average proportion of canine rabies were Czechoslovakia (4.5\%), GDR (4.8\%), and Poland (5.7\%). In GDR, Poland, and Turkey the proportion of cases in cats was higher than average $-7.8 \%, 7.9 \%$, and $6.7 \%$, respectively.

The badger was a major victim in Austria (6.3\% of Austrian total), Italy (12.6\%), and Switzerland ( $4.7 \%$ ), and in Denmark and the Federal Republic of Germany mustelids other than badgers accounted for $5.5 \%$ and $4.3 \%$ of cases, respectively.

## Rabies - Continued

In Turkey, there were 385 cases of rabies in cattle ( $24.1 \%$ of the total). Other countries with a higher-than-average incidence in cattle were the Federal Republic of Germany $(5.7 \%)$ and France $(7.7 \%)$. There was a higher proportion of cases in deer in GDR (7.6\%), Switzerland (5.6\%), and Austria (8.1\%).

The geographic extent of rabies in 1979 changed little from 1978. In France, Italy, and Denmark, some rabies cases were recorded in new departments and, along the Yugoslavian border with Hungary, a number of new districts became infected. However, in most European countries, the geographic distribution of cases did not alter markedly, though the incidence of rabies may have changed. Austria was an exception: in 1979 the number of cases there declined throughout the year and the infected geographic area contracted.

United States: A total of 5,150 laboratory-confirmed cases of rabies were reported in the United States and its territories in 1979. This represents an increase of 1,852 cases above the 1978 total, and is $67 \%$ above the average for the preceding 5 years. Forty-eight states and Puerto Rico reported infected animals in 1979; only the District of Columbia, Idaho, Guam, Hawaii, and the Virgin Islands reported no cases. Seven kinds of animals accounted for $98 \%$ of the reported cases: skunks, $59 \%$; bats, $15 \%$; raccoons, $10 \%$; cattle, $4 \%$; dogs, $4 \%$; cats, $3 \%$; and foxes, $3 \%$. Wild animal species accounted for $87.5 \%$ of the total reported cases, and domestic species accounted for $12.3 \%$. Five human cases were reported in 1979 (Table 4); no human cases have been reported in 1980, to date. The sharpest increase in reported cases-67\% over 1978-was for skunks.
TABLE 4. Rabies, United States, 1978-1979

|  | 1978 | 1979 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Human rabies | 4 | 5 |
| Animal rabies | 3,298 | 5,150 |
| domestic animals | 469 | 636 |
| wild animals | 2,825 | 4,509 |
| skunks | 1,657 | 3,031 |

The geographic distribution of rabies in 1979 was similar to that seen in the previous 5 years. Bats continue to be the most widely distributed vector, with confirmed cases occurring in 45 states, followed by skunks, which were reported from 32 states.
Reported by the Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre in the Communicable Disease Report 80/26, July 4, 1980 (as adapted from the Rabies Bulletin Europe 5/79); and the Respiratory and Special Pathogens Br, Viral Diseases Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
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[^0]:    *Information could not be obtained from 2 of these women.

[^1]:    NA: Not available.

[^2]:    NA: Not available.

[^3]:    ${ }^{*}$ Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is
    reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

    - Preumonia and in fluenza
    $\dagger$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
    t†Data not available this week. Figures are estimates based on average percent of regional totals.

