Emergence of Multidrug-resistant Salmonella Paratyphi B dT+, Canada
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We document an increase in the number of multidrug-resistant Salmonella enterica serovar Paratyphi B dT+ identified in Canada. Most of these strains harbor Salmonella genomic island 1 (SGI1). Further studies are needed to determine factors contributing to the observed emergence of this multidrug-resistant strain.

Salmonella genomic island 1 (SGI1) was first characterized in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium definitive phage type 104 (DT104). It consists of a 43-kb DNA segment harboring genes responsible for the pentarresistance phenotype ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfonamide, and tetracycline (ACSSuT) and is inserted into the chromosome at the end of the thdF gene (1). Complete nucleotide sequence of this region revealed 44 open reading frames, of which some displayed homology to genes associated with plasmid transfer, which suggests SGI1 may be at least partially plasmidic in origin (2). The SGI1 is associated with the multidrug-resistant DT104 clone that has disseminated worldwide (3). Recently, a number of reports have described SGI1 and variants in other Salmonella serovars, including S. Agona, S. Albany, and S. Paratyphi B dT+ (2,4–6). The worldwide dissemination of the DT104 clone has led some investigators to suggest SGI1 contains genes that may provide a selective advantage to the organism (2,4). We document the rapid increase of S. Paratyphi B dT+ isolates harboring SGI1 in Canada and provide further evidence to support that other unknown genetic factors may contribute to the rapid dissemination of multidrug-resistant strains of Salmonella serotypes globally.

The Study
This report is a result of a collaborative effort between the National Microbiology Laboratory (NML), Health Canada, and the Provincial Public Health Laboratories (PPHLs) in Canada. The PPHLs represent every province in Canada and also include the Yukon and North West Territories. All S. Paratyphi B dT+ identified at the PPHLs were forwarded to the NML for additional characterization. Between 1998 and 2002, 252 S. Paratyphi B dT+ strains were submitted to the NML, of which 246 were from a human source. Distribution of the strains over time is shown in Figure 1. Incidence of S. Paratyphi B dT+ has generally increased since 1998; the spike in S. Paratyphi B dT+ in the third and fourth quarters of 1999 can be attributed to an outbreak in British Columbia, Alberta, and Saskatchewan caused by contaminated alfalfa sprouts (7). In addition to this large outbreak, additional outbreaks were reported between 1998 and 2002; however, each outbreak was small, and most involved fewer than six persons.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed on all strains by using the disk-diffusion method as described by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (8). Susceptibilities were determined for ampicillin (A), chloramphenicol (C), ciprofloxacin (Cp), streptomycin (S), sulfamethoxazole (Su), tetracycline (T), and trimethoprim (Tm). Of the 237 strains examined for susceptibility, 123 (52%) were susceptible to all antimicrobial agents tested. Sixty-seven strains (28%) displayed the pentarresistance phenotype (ACSSuT), and the second most prevalent resistance profile was Su (n = 41, 17%). Single strains displayed the phenotypes A, T, CSSu, ASuTm, ASSu, and ACSuTTm. A significant increase was observed in ACSSuT strains over the time period from 1998 to 2002 (p < 0.001). Rates for the years are as follows: 1998, n = 2 (2%); 1999, n = 4 (18%); 2000, n = 2 (10.5%); 2001, n = 23 (39%); and 2002, n = 36 (58%). No large outbreaks were reported during this time period. To determine if the pentarresistance phenotype was caused by SGI1, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to detect integrons and the left (thdF-S001) and right (SO44-yidT) junctions of SGI1 as previously described (2). Of the 67 strains identified with the ACSSuT phenotype, 63 contained 1.0-kb and 1.2-kb integrons and left and right junctions of SGI1, which suggests that these strains contained SGI1 inserted into the same location on the chromosome as was described for DT104 (1). One strain with the ACSSuT phenotype contained 1.0-kb and 1.2-kb integrons and gave a PCR product for the left junction amplification reaction but not the right junction, which suggests that a portion of SGI1 downstream of the integrons was missing.
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Three strains with the ACSSuT phenotype did not give the characteristic size products for integron (0.7 kb, n = 2; 2.0 kb, n = 1), and all were negative for the junction PCR, which suggests that these strains most likely harbored other resistance genes giving the ACSSuT phenotype. Only four strains with the ACSSuT phenotype were identified from a nonhuman source (one poultry, three environmental). Although the three environmental isolates contained SG1, the ACSSuT strain isolated from poultry did not; instead, it contained a 2-kb integron. Of the human isolates for which source was reported for the ACSSuT phenotype, 29 of 33 (88%) were isolated from stool, with the exception of three that were isolated from blood. To ensure that the resistance is of chromosomal origin (12), three strains with the ACSSuT phenotype did not give the ACSSuT fingerprints. Of the five strains that did not harbor SGI1 as described above.

Cluster 1 contained 32 strains that represented 10 subtypes. Cluster 2 contained 17 strains that represented 7 subtypes, all of which showed <7 band differences between strains from cluster 1. Cluster 3 contained 15 strains that represented 6 subtypes, all of which showed fewer than seven band differences between subtypes in cluster 2, but had more than seven band differences between the cluster 1 fingerprints. In addition, six other strains identified before 2000 were subtyped. Five were other ACSSuT identified in 1998 (n = 1) and 1999 (n = 4), and all were identified in cluster 2. In addition, one S. Paratyphi B dT+ recently shown to harbor SG1 that was isolated in Singapore from a fish was grouped into cluster 3 (4). The Canadian isolates in clusters 1 to 3 have been identified from multiple provinces, including British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, Ontario, and Québec, representing western and central regions of Canada.

Conclusions

The emergence of multidrug-resistant S. Paratyphi B dT+ was documented recently in the Netherlands and Scotland (11,12). Some isolates had the ACSSuT susceptibility pattern and did not harbor any plasmids, which suggests the resistance is of chromosomal origin (12).

Table. PCR primer to detect various regions of SG1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’ to 3’)</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St1</td>
<td>U9-L1</td>
<td>TACTACAAGCGAGAATAACGC</td>
<td>2771–2790</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P1-R1</td>
<td>TAGAAACGCAAAAGCGGCTG</td>
<td>3660–3679</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St3</td>
<td>P134-L1</td>
<td>AATCGAAGCGGGCTGTATT</td>
<td>16350–16369</td>
<td>957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P134-R2</td>
<td>CTTCCCATAATGCGCCAATG</td>
<td>17287–17306</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St4</td>
<td>P134-L1</td>
<td>TGACCCATATCCAGGGCTCAC</td>
<td>16784–16803</td>
<td>1490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P134-R1</td>
<td>GTTGGTGGCCAGATCCCG</td>
<td>17820–17839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St5</td>
<td>St2-GP21</td>
<td>AATAACGGCGAGTTCCGTTTC</td>
<td>20173–20192</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St2-GP6</td>
<td>CGATGGACGGCCACAAATTT</td>
<td>21089–21108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St6</td>
<td>St2-GP24</td>
<td>TCAAAGTCTCTATCAACGAGG</td>
<td>24363–24382</td>
<td>838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St2-GP28</td>
<td>AGAGTTACTAGAACAAGC</td>
<td>25182–25201</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coordinates from accession no. AF261825.
However, in neither study was the presence of SGI1 or other resistance genes examined. We demonstrate the rapid emergence of multidrug-resistant S. Paratyphi B dT+ in Canada. The increase is due to three clusters, all of which contain the multidrug-resistant genomic island SGI1. That three closely related clonal groups were present suggests SGI1 may have inserted into the genome of S. Paratyphi B dT+ in three separate events, as shown by clusters 1, 2, and 3, or the insertion may have occurred once, with strains diverging over time. We also identified three strains with the ACSSuT phenotype that did not contain SGI1 sequences, which emphasizes the need to monitor genotypic resistance factors and not just phenotypic resistance traits to understand the dissemination of antimicrobial resistance.

The emergence of multidrug-resistant enteric pathogens is a concern because of the lack of suitable antimicrobial agents available to treat invasive infections. One organism that emerged in the 1990s is multidrug-resistant S. Typhimurium DT104, which harbors SGI1 (3). Along with the multidrug-resistant phenotype, reports suggest the strain may be more virulent than other salmonellae (13,14). However, in vitro studies have not shown any increase in invasiveness or survival in mammalian cells (15,16). Whether multidrug-resistant DT104 is more virulent remains to be determined, the underlying question remains: why has this clone of DT104 emerged as a major pathogen? Selective pressure, resulting from the widespread use of antimicrobial drugs in animals for growth promotion or prophylaxis, may have played a role in disseminating this organism. However, this factor may not completely account for its prevalence, because other multidrug-resistant strains of DT104 have emerged but have not disseminated internationally. Other factors may contribute to the international dissemination of this clone. For example, additional determinants in SGI1 may contribute to the fitness or virulence of Salmonella strains harboring it. In the present study, three closely related clusters of S. Paratyphi B dT+ carrying SGI1 have emerged in Canada and make up 46% (64 of 139) of all strains identified in 2001 and 2002. Furthermore, three additional S. Paratyphi B dT+ strains with the ACSSuT phenotype were identified that do not harbor SGI1 and do not appear to be rapidly increasing in Canada. In this hypothesis, SGI1 may predict the next emerging Salmonella serotype. Other factors, such as processing food products and the structure of the food distribution system, could play a role in disseminating these organisms. We continue to monitor this pathogen and are designing studies to improve understanding of the epidemiology of S. Paratyphi B dT+ in Canada. We suggest all strains of Salmonella with the ACSSuT phenotype be examined for SGI1.
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