

## Appendix 2: Cities Readiness Initiative Technical Assistance Review Scores by Metropolitan Statistical Area and Individual Planning Jurisdictions

The Cities Readiness Initiative (CRI), a program of the Division of Strategic National Stockpile within CDC's Office of Public Health Preparedness and Response, focuses on enhancing preparedness in the nation's major metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) where more than 50% of the U.S. population resides. Through the CRI program, state and large metropolitan area public health departments have developed plans to respond to a large-scale bioterrorist event within 48 hours. The initial CRI planning scenario was based on a response to a large-scale anthrax attack.

The U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) defines MSAs by one or more geographical jurisdictions (e.g., cities, counties and municipalities). Occasionally, MSAs extend across state borders, resulting in the representation of several states within one MSA. Technical assistance review (TARs) are conducted in each public health planning jurisdiction associated with those cities, counties, or municipalities. Some cities, counties and municipalities within the OMB-defined MSA were consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure in 2009-10 (see scores with superscripts). Jurisdictional scores are combined to compute an average score for the entire CRI MSA. CDC is responsible for conducting 25% of the TARs (see scores with asterisks) while the state is responsible for the other 75%. The average MSA and individual jurisdiction scores are provided in Table 1 for each of the 72 MSAs.

Scoring Note: On a scale of 0 to 100, a TAR score of 69 or higher in 2007-08 and 2008-09 indicated that a jurisdiction performed within an acceptable range. The acceptable threshold score increased to 79 or higher in 2009-10.

**Table 1:** CRI Technical Assistance Review (TAR) Scores by Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA); 2007-2010

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
	2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)	
Alabama (AL) Birmingham-Hoover, AL	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>76</b>
	Bibb County, AL:	32*	52*	74 <sup>1</sup>
	Blount County, AL:	32*	49*	74 <sup>1</sup>
	Chilton County, AL:	33*	53*	74 <sup>1</sup>
	Jefferson County, AL:	33*	65*	87*
	St. Clair County, AL:	31*	53*	74 <sup>1</sup>
	Shelby County, AL:	30*	59*	74 <sup>1</sup>
	Walker County, AL:	33*	49*	74 <sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Alaska (AK) Anchorage, AK	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>66</b>
	Anchorage Municipality, AK:	74*	92*	92 <sup>**</sup>
	Matanuska-Susitna Borough, AK:	TAR not performed	TAR not performed	39*
Arizona (AZ) Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>95</b>
	Maricopa County, AZ:	92*	96*	96 <sup>**</sup>
	Pinal County, AZ:	52*	82*	94*

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
Arkansas (AR) <b>Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>79</b>
	Faulkner County, AR:	36*	54*	77*
	Grant County, AR:	69	63	87
	Lonoke County, AR:	43	54	76
	Perry County, AR:	34	41	72
	Pulaski County, AR:	63*	49*	80*
	Saline County, AR:	59	49	79
California (CA) <b>Fresno, CA</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>22*</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>74</b>
	Fresno County, CA:	22*	73	74
California (CA) <b>Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana, CA</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>91</b>
	Los Angeles County, CA:	81*	92*	92***
	Orange County, CA:	82	90*	90***
California (CA) <b>Riverside-San Bernardino- Ontario, CA</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>85*</b>	<b>93</b>
	Riverside County, CA:	91	91	95***
	San Bernardino County, CA:	54	74*	91
California (CA) <b>Sacramento--Arden-Arcade-- Roseville, CA</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>94</b>
	El Dorado County, CA:	81	79	95
	Placer County, CA:	38	43	88
	Sacramento County, CA:	40*	87*	91*
California (CA) <b>San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>96</b>
	San Diego, CA:	82	96*	96***
California (CA) <b>San Francisco-Oakland- Fremont, CA</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>88</b>
	Alameda County, CA:	91	96	96**
	Contra Costa County, CA:	68	84*	83*
	Marin County, CA:	71	79	72*
	San Francisco County, CA:	69	84	96
	San Mateo County, CA:	73	86	95
California (CA) <b>San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>91</b>
	San Benito County, CA:	81	92	92**
	Santa Clara County, CA:	73*	90	90**
Colorado (CO) <b>Denver-Aurora, CO</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>78</b>
	Boulder County, CO:	89	89	72*
	Adams County, CO:	87*	89	90 <sup>1</sup>
	Arapahoe County, CO:	87*	89	90 <sup>1</sup>
	Broomfield County, CO:	87	74*	48
	Clear Creek County, CO:	95	91	73*
	Denver County, CO:	90*	89	86
	Douglas County, CO:	87*	89	90 <sup>1</sup>
	Elbert County, CO:	91	81	67*
	Gilpin County, CO:	96	89*	78 <sup>2</sup>
	Jefferson County, CO:	96	89*	78 <sup>2</sup>
	Park County, CO:	79	67	TAR not performed
<sup>1,2</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
Connecticut (CT) <b>Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>82</b>
	Hartford County, CT:	42	48	78
	Middlesex County, CT:	42	70	79
	Tolland County, CT:	42	44	88
Connecticut (CT) <b>New Haven-Milford, CT</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>50*</b>	<b>82</b>
	New Haven County, CT:	70	50	82
Delaware (DE) <b>Dover, DE</b>	<b>Dover, DE:</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>98</b>
	Kent County, DE:	97*	98*	98**,
Florida (FL) <b>Miami-Fort Lauderdale- Pompano Beach, FL</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>94</b>
	Broward County, FL:	78*	93	93**
	Miami-Dade County, FL:	93*	96	96**
	Palm Beach County, FL:	91	92*	92**,
Florida (FL) <b>Orlando-Kissimmee, FL</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>
	Lake County, FL:	89	98	98**
	Orange County, FL:	86*	96*	96**,
	Osceola County, FL:	71	90	90**
	Seminole County, FL:	77*	95	95**
Florida (FL) <b>Tampa-St. Petersburg- Clearwater, FL</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>94</b>
	Hernando County, FL:	90*	95	95**
	Hillsborough County, FL:	89	92*	92**,
	Pasco County, FL:	81*	95	95**
	Pinellas County, FL:	86	89	92**
Georgia (GA) <b>Atlanta-Sandy Springs- Marietta, GA</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>88</b>
	Barrow County, GA:	40*	79	100 <sup>1</sup>
	Bartow County, GA:	100	84*	88 <sup>2</sup>
	Butts County, GA:	24*	TAR not performed	79 <sup>3,*</sup>
	Carroll County, GA:	24*	TAR not performed	79 <sup>3,*</sup>
	Cherokee County, GA:	78	80*	95 <sup>4</sup>
	Clayton County, GA:	82	70*	91 <sup>8</sup>
	Cobb County, GA:	92	95	95 <sup>6,**</sup>
	Coweta County, GA:	24*	TAR not performed	79 <sup>3,*</sup>
	Dawson County, GA:	88	TAR not performed	95 <sup>5,*</sup>
	DeKalb County, GA:	56*	74	93
	Douglas County, GA:	92	95	95 <sup>6,**</sup>
	Fayette County, GA:	24*	TAR not performed	79 <sup>3,*</sup>
	Forsyth County, GA:	88	TAR not performed	95 <sup>5,*</sup>
	Fulton County, GA:	27*	46	86*
	Gwinnett County, GA:	89	95	91 <sup>9</sup>
	Haralson County, GA:	100	76*	88 <sup>2</sup>
	Heard County, GA:	24*	69*	79 <sup>3,*</sup>
	Henry County, GA:	24*	TAR not performed	79*
	Jasper County, GA:	93	93	93 <sup>11,**</sup>
Lamar County, GA:	24*	57	79 <sup>3,*</sup>	
Meriwether County, GA:	24*	49	79 <sup>3,*</sup>	

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
	Newton County, GA:	89	91	91 <sup>9</sup>
	Paulding County, GA:	100	87 <sup>*</sup>	88 <sup>2</sup>
	Pickens County, GA:	78	78 <sup>*</sup>	95 <sup>4</sup>
	Pike County, GA:	24 <sup>*</sup>	TAR not performed	79 <sup>3*</sup>
	Rockdale County, GA:	89	96	91 <sup>9</sup>
	Spalding County, GA:	24 <sup>*</sup>	TAR not performed	79 <sup>3*</sup>
	Walton County, GA:	40 <sup>*</sup>	84	100 <sup>1</sup>
	<sup>1</sup> through <sup>11</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure			
Hawaii (HI) Honolulu, HI	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>80</b>
	Honolulu County, HI:	51 <sup>*</sup>	76 <sup>*</sup>	80
Idaho (ID) Boise City-Nampa, ID	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>66</b>
	Ada County, ID:	75 <sup>*</sup>	32	50 <sup>1*</sup>
	Boise County, ID:	75 <sup>*</sup>	32	50 <sup>1*</sup>
	Canyon County, ID:	75	54 <sup>*</sup>	77 <sup>2</sup>
	Gem County, ID:	75	54 <sup>*</sup>	77 <sup>2</sup>
	Owyhee County, ID:	75	54 <sup>*</sup>	77 <sup>2</sup>
<sup>12</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Illinois (IL) Chicago-Naperville-Joliet, IL- IN-WI	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>94</b>
	City of Chicago, IL:	94 <sup>*</sup>	99 <sup>*</sup>	99 <sup>**</sup>
	Cook County, IL:	77 <sup>*</sup>	94 <sup>*</sup>	94 <sup>**</sup>
	DeKalb County, IL:	77	94	94 <sup>**</sup>
	DuPage County, IL:	92 <sup>*</sup>	100 <sup>*</sup>	100 <sup>**</sup>
	Grundy County, IL:	64	84	93
	Kane County, IL:	93 <sup>*</sup>	99	99 <sup>**</sup>
	Kendall County, IL:	71	95	95 <sup>**</sup>
	Lake County, IL:	95	99 <sup>*</sup>	99 <sup>**</sup>
	McHenry County, IL:	80	94	94 <sup>**</sup>
	Will County, IL:	99	97	97 <sup>**</sup>
	Jasper County, IN:	66	89	92
	Lake County, IN:	52	99 <sup>*</sup>	TAR not performed
	Newton County, IN:	64	70	78
	Porter County, IN:	91	76 <sup>*</sup>	85
Kenosha County, WI:	78	87	95	
Illinois (IL) Peoria, IL	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>85</b>
	Marshall County, IL:	52	69	79
	Peoria County, IL:	46 <sup>*</sup>	74 <sup>*</sup>	88 <sup>*</sup>
	Stark County, IL:	75	76	84 <sup>*</sup>
	Tazewell County, IL:	69	85 <sup>*</sup>	94 <sup>*</sup>
Woodford County, IL:	54	72	80	
Indiana (IN) Indianapolis-Carmel, IN	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>95</b>
	Boone County, IN:	69	82	95 <sup>*</sup>
	Brown County, IN:	91	74	88
	Hamilton County, IN:	89 <sup>*</sup>	100	100
	Hancock County, IN:	86	88	96 <sup>*</sup>
	Hendricks County, IN:	86	92	98

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
	Johnson County, IN:	86	88	97
	Marion County, IN:	95*	96	100
	Morgan County, IN:	68	76	92
	Putnam County, IN:	74	79	88
	Shelby County, IN:	89	83*	95
Iowa (IA) Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>88</b>
	Dallas County, IA:	67	76	97
	Guthrie County, IA:	48	74	82
	Madison County, IA:	35	79	84
	Polk County, IA:	85	75	93*
	Warren County, IA:	33	79	82
Kansas (KS) Wichita, KS	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>90</b>
	Butler County, KS:	53*	94	94
	Harvey County, KS:	51	86	84
	Sedgwick County, KS:	80	90*	90**
	Sumner County, KS:	51	92	92**
Kentucky (KY) Louisville, KY-IN	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>79</b>
	Bullitt County, KY:	54	51	64
	Henry County, KY:	75	73	72 <sup>1</sup>
	Jefferson County, KY:	53*	76*	84*
	Meade County, KY:	75	85	83 <sup>2</sup>
	Nelson County, KY:	75	85	83 <sup>2</sup>
	Oldham County, KY:	61*	51	58
	Shelby County, KY:	75	73	72 <sup>1</sup>
	Spencer County, KY:	75	73	72 <sup>1</sup>
	Trimble County, KY:	75	73	72 <sup>1</sup>
	Clark County, IN:	91	96*	100
	Floyd County, IN:	56	68	88*
	Harrison County, IN:	43	71	86
	Washington County, IN:	70	76	89
<sup>1,2</sup> The jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Louisiana (LA) Baton Rouge, LA	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<i>TAR not performed</i>	<b>89</b>	<b>91</b>
	Ascension Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	88*	91 <sup>1,*</sup>
	East Baton Rouge Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	88*	91 <sup>1,*</sup>
	East Feliciana Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	88*	91 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Iberville Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	88*	91 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Livingston Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	92*	92 <sup>2,*,**</sup>
	Pointe Coupee Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	88*	91 <sup>1,*</sup>
	St. Helena Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	92*	92 <sup>2,*,**</sup>
	West Baton Rouge Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	88*	91 <sup>1,*</sup>
West Feliciana Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	88*	91 <sup>1,*</sup>	
<sup>1,2</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Louisiana (LA) New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, LA	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>93</b>
	Jefferson Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	91*	91 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Orleans Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	91*	91 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Plaquemines Parish, LA:	<i>TAR not performed</i>	91*	91 <sup>1,*,**</sup>

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
	St. Bernard Parish, LA:	TAR not performed	91*	91 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	St. Charles Parish, LA:	TAR not performed	97*	97 <sup>2,*,**</sup>
	St. John the Baptist Parish, LA:	TAR not performed	97*	97 <sup>2,*,**</sup>
	St. Tammany Parish, LA:	TAR not performed	92*	92 <sup>*,**</sup>
	<sup>1,2</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure			
Maine (ME) Portland-South Portland- Biddeford, ME	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>87</b>
	Cumberland County, ME:	25*	62*	87 <sup>1</sup>
	Sagadahoc County, ME:	25*	62*	87 <sup>1</sup>
	York County, ME:	25*	62*	87 <sup>1</sup>
	<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure			
Maryland (MD) Baltimore-Towson, MD	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>92</b>
	Anne Arundel County, MD:	86	88	94*
	Baltimore County, MD:	74*	93*	93 <sup>*,**</sup>
	Carroll County, MD:	85	84	92
	Harford County, MD:	79	87	91
	Howard County, MD:	75	89*	93
	Queen Anne's County, MD:	81	87*	90
	Baltimore City, MD:	58*	91*	91 <sup>*,**</sup>
	<sup>1,2</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure			
National Capital Region Washington-Arlington- Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>79</b>
	Calvert County, MD:	81	93*	93 <sup>*,**</sup>
	Charles County, MD:	80	91	91 <sup>**</sup>
	Frederick County, MD:	96	97*	97 <sup>*,**</sup>
	Montgomery County, MD:	86*	92*	92 <sup>*,**</sup>
	Prince George's County, MD:	79*	88*	80*
	Arlington County, VA:	86	97*	97 <sup>*,**</sup>
	Clarke County, VA:	82	61*	92 <sup>2,*</sup>
	Fairfax County, VA:	94*	80	86 <sup>4</sup>
	Fauquier County, VA:	77	87	90
	Loudoun County, VA:	91	95*	96 <sup>*,**</sup>
	Prince William County, VA:	62	78	50 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Spotsylvania County, VA:	94*	97	46 <sup>3</sup>
	Stafford County, VA:	94*	97	46 <sup>3</sup>
	Warren County, VA:	82	61*	92 <sup>2,*</sup>
	Alexandria City, VA:	94	91	91 <sup>**</sup>
	Fairfax City, VA:	94*	80	86 <sup>4</sup>
	Falls Church City, VA:	94*	80	86 <sup>4</sup>
	Fredericksburg City, VA:	94*	97	46 <sup>3</sup>
	Manassas City, VA:	62	78	50 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Manassas Park City, VA:	62	78	50 <sup>1,*</sup>
Jefferson County, WV:	29	54	80	
<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Massachusetts (MA) Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>80</b>
	Essex County, MA:	72	67	59
	Norfolk County, MA:	76	68	68
	Plymouth County, MA:	83	79	94

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
	Suffolk County, MA:	84*	96	100*
	Middlesex County, MA:	76	68	79
	Rockingham County, NH:	48	54	71
	Strafford County, NH:	90	89	88
Michigan (MI) Detroit-Warren-Livonia, MI	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>92</b>
	City of Detroit, MI:	78*	88*	95*
	Wayne County, MI:	46*	62*	85*
	Lapeer County, MI:	76	86	88
	Livingston County, MI:	86	91	89
	Macomb County, MI:	80*	90	90**
	Oakland County, MI:	93	90*	97
	St. Clair County, MI:	90	93	99
Minnesota (MN) Minneapolis-St. Paul- Bloomington, MN-WI	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>88</b>
	City of Minneapolis, MN:	89*	97*	97*,**
	Anoka County, MN:	92	92	92**
	Carver County, MN:	74	87	85*
	Chisago County, MN:	69	90	90**
	Dakota County, MN:	86	96	96**
	Hennepin County, MN:	94*	98*	98*,**
	Isanti County, MN:	50	74	62*
	Ramsey County, MN:	79*	92*	92*,**
	Scott County, MN:	80	84	89*
	Sherburne County, MN:	65	86	73*
	Washington County, MN:	74	82	73*
	Wright County, MN:	85	90	90**
	Pierce County, WI:	87	82	91
St. Croix County, WI:	82	78	92	
Mississippi (MS) Jackson, MS	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>93</b>
	Copiah County, MS:	88*	93*	93 <sup>1</sup> ,**
	Hinds County, MS:	88*	93*	93 <sup>1</sup> ,**
	Madison County, MS:	88*	93*	93 <sup>1</sup> ,**
	Rankin County, MS:	88*	93*	93 <sup>1</sup> ,**
	Simpson County, MS:	88*	93*	93 <sup>1</sup> ,**
<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Missouri (MO) Kansas City, MO-KS	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>93</b>
	Kansas City Proper, MO:	80*	93*	93*,**
	Bates County, MO:	74	93	93**
	Caldwell County, MO:	87	94	94**
	Cass County, MO:	77	88	94
	Clay County, MO:	78*	91*	91*,**
	Clinton County, MO:	88	93	93**
	Jackson County, MO:	48*	82	98*
	Lafayette County, MO:	84	88	95
	Platte County, MO:	77	86	99
	Ray County, MO:	80	93	93**
Franklin County, KS:	47	80	81	

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions				
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)	
	Johnson County, KS:	71*	92*	92**	
	Leavenworth County, KS:	76	91	91**	
	Linn County, KS:	67	98	98**	
	Miami County, KS:	43	74*	82	
	Wyandotte County, KS:	87*	94	94**	
Missouri (MO) St. Louis, MO-IL	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>87</b>	
	Crawford County, MO:	TAR not performed	TAR not performed	93 <sup>1</sup>	
	Franklin County, MO:	78	84	93 <sup>1</sup>	
	Jefferson County, MO:	84	90	90**	
	Lincoln County, MO:	79	80	80	
	St. Charles County, MO:	77*	71*	68*	
	St. Louis County, MO:	85*	95*	95**	
	Warren County, MO:	67	95	95**	
	Washington County, MO:	91	94	94**	
	St. Louis City, MO:	75*	78*	87*	
	Bond County, IL:	89	87	96	
	Calhoun County, IL:	78	70	85	
	Clinton County, IL:	88	82	88	
	Jersey County, IL:	70	68	88	
	Macoupin County, IL:	47	88	88	
	Madison County, IL:	57*	86*	93*	
	Monroe County, IL:	78	82	59*	
	St. Clair County, IL:	73*	92*	92**	
	<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
	Montana (MT) Billings, MT	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>75</b>
Carbon County, MT:		TAR not performed	21	54*	
Yellowstone County, MT:		80*	89*	96*	
Nebraska (NE) Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>95</b>	
	Cass County, NE:	33	78*	96 <sup>1</sup>	
	Dodge County, NE:	41	91*	93 <sup>2*</sup>	
	Douglas County, NE:	51*	92*	97	
	Sarpy County, NE:	33	78*	96 <sup>1</sup>	
	Saunders County, NE:	41	91*	93 <sup>2*</sup>	
	Washington County, NE:	41	91*	93 <sup>2*</sup>	
	Harrison County, IA:	58	83	95*	
	Mills County, IA:	49	79	96	
	Pottawattamie County, IA:	49	75	95	
<sup>1,2</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure					
Nevada (NV) Las Vegas-Paradise, NV	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>92</b>	
	Clark County, NV:	82*	87*	92*	
New Hampshire (NH) Manchester-Nashua, NH	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>87</b>	
	Hillsborough County, NH:	75*	78*	87*	
New Jersey (NJ) Trenton-Ewing, NJ	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>93</b>	
	Mercer County, NJ:	78	88*	93*	

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.



MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
New Mexico (NM) Albuquerque, NM	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>37</b>
	City of Albuquerque, NM:	TAR not performed	89*	37*
	Bernalillo County, NM:	26*	TAR not performed	TAR not performed
	Sandoval County, NM:	26*	TAR not performed	TAR not performed
	Torrance County, NM:	26*	TAR not performed	TAR not performed
	Valencia County, NM:	26*	TAR not performed	TAR not performed
New York (NY) Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>
	Albany County, NY:	99*	100	100**
	Rensselaer County, NY:	81*	100	100**
	Saratoga County, NY:	91	97	97**
	Schenectady County, NY:	96	100*	100**
	Schoharie County, NY:	91	100*	100**
New York (NY) Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>98</b>
	Erie County, NY:	91	97*	97**
	Niagara County, NY:	79*	99	99**
New York (NY) New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>93</b>
	Bronx County, NY:	99*	100*	100 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Kings County, NY:	99*	100*	100 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	New York County, NY:	99*	100*	100 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Queens County, NY:	99*	100*	100 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Richmond County, NY:	99*	100*	100 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Nassau County, NY:	98	100*	100 <sup>*,**</sup>
	Putnam County, NY:	95	100	100**
	Rockland County, NY:	88*	98	98**
	Suffolk County, NY:	91	99*	99 <sup>*,**</sup>
	Westchester County, NY:	77*	87	100
	Bergen County, NJ:	82	89	84
	Essex County, NJ:	76	88	85
	Hudson County, NJ:	89	93	93
	Hunterdon County, NJ:	86	93*	94
	Middlesex County, NJ:	89*	96	98
	Monmouth County, NJ:	83*	96	97
	Morris County, NJ:	87	90*	91
	Ocean County, NJ:	74	79	85
	Passaic County, NJ:	71	81	80*
	Somerset County, NJ:	76	87	83
	Sussex County, NJ:	98	94	92
	Union County, NJ:	82*	89	81
Pike County, PA:	40	55	89	
<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
North Carolina (NC) Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, NC-SC	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>80</b>
	Anson County, NC:	83	53	87
	Cabarrus County, NC:	85	77	79
	Gaston County, NC:	46	49	64
	Mecklenburg County, NC:	60*	93*	93 <sup>*,**</sup>

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
	Union County, NC:	42	31	68
	York County, SC:	60*	90*	90***
North Dakota (ND) Fargo, ND-MN	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>89</b>
	Cass County, ND:	78*	79*	94*
	Clay County, MN:	62*	63*	83*
	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>77</b>
Ohio (OH) Cincinnati-Middletown, OH- KY-IN	City of Cincinnati, OH:	94	91	TAR not performed
	Brown County, OH:	71	79	TAR not performed
	Butler County, OH:	56*	63*	74*
	Clermont County, OH:	76*	89*	TAR not performed
	Hamilton County, OH:	66	83	86*
	Warren County, OH:	37*	52*	TAR not performed
	Boone County, KY:	58	72	77 <sup>1</sup>
	Bracken County, KY:	52	59	59
	Campbell County, KY:	58	72	77 <sup>1</sup>
	Gallatin County, KY:	43	59	55 <sup>2</sup>
	Grant County, KY:	58	72	77 <sup>1</sup>
	Kenton County, KY:	58	72	77 <sup>1</sup>
	Pendleton County, KY:	43	59	55 <sup>2</sup>
	Dearborn County, IN:	89	80	98
	Franklin County, IN:	61	TAR not performed	96
	Ohio County, IN:	75	84	89*
	<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure			
	Ohio (OH) Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, OH	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>70</b>
City of Cleveland, OH:		92	89	93*
Cuyahoga County, OH:		81	77	87*
Geauga County, OH:		69	46	TAR not performed
Lake County, OH:		67*	73*	TAR not performed
Lorain County, OH:		68*	77*	TAR not performed
Medina County, OH:		46*	57*	TAR not performed
Ohio (OH) Columbus, OH	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>82</b>
	Delaware County, OH:	24*	47*	76
	Fairfield County, OH:	54*	55*	78
	Franklin County, OH:	78	86	89*
	Licking County, OH:	36*	66*	90
	Madison County, OH:	57	61	85
	Morrow County, OH:	54	63	90
	Pickaway County, OH:	56	58	67
Union County, OH:	56	58	77*	
Oklahoma (OK) Oklahoma City, OK	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>95</b>
	Canadian County, OK:	90	90	90
	Cleveland County, OK:	91*	79*	96 <sup>1</sup>
	Grady County, OK:	79	91	94*
	Lincoln County, OK:	86	93	96 <sup>2</sup>

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
	Logan County, OK:	86	93	96 <sup>2</sup>
	McClain County, OK:	91 <sup>*</sup>	79 <sup>*</sup>	96 <sup>1</sup>
	Oklahoma County, OK:	35 <sup>*</sup>	82 <sup>*</sup>	92 <sup>*</sup>
	Pottawatomie County, OK:	77	95 <sup>*</sup>	98
	<sup>1,2</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure			
Oregon (OR) Portland-Vancouver- Beaverton, OR-WA	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>90</b>
	Clackamas County, OR:	37 <sup>*</sup>	71	93
	Columbia County, OR:	50	64	76 <sup>*</sup>
	Multnomah County, OR:	65 <sup>*</sup>	88	83 <sup>*</sup>
	Washington County, OR:	68	70 <sup>*</sup>	95
	Yamhill County, OR:	65	72 <sup>*</sup>	99
	Clark County, WA:	59 <sup>*</sup>	71 <sup>*</sup>	91 <sup>1</sup>
	Skamania County, WA:	59 <sup>*</sup>	71 <sup>*</sup>	91 <sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Pennsylvania (PA) Philadelphia-Camden-Cecil- Wilmington, PA-NJ-MD-DE	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>91</b>
	Bucks County, PA:	82	96	96 <sup>**</sup>
	Chester County, PA:	49	74 <sup>*</sup>	98
	Delaware County, PA:	89	81 <sup>*</sup>	98
	Montgomery County, PA:	35 <sup>*</sup>	76 <sup>*</sup>	91 <sup>*</sup>
	Philadelphia County, PA:	98 <sup>*</sup>	99	99 <sup>**</sup>
	New Castle County, DE:	97 <sup>*</sup>	98 <sup>*</sup>	98 <sup>*,**</sup>
	Cecil County, MD:	58 <sup>*</sup>	73	84
	Burlington County, NJ:	81	93	86
	Camden County, NJ:	77	82 <sup>*</sup>	78 <sup>*</sup>
	Gloucester County, NJ:	88 <sup>*</sup>	87	87
Salem County, NJ:	76	86	86	
Pennsylvania (PA) Pittsburgh, PA	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>70</b>
	Allegheny County, PA:	42 <sup>*</sup>	59 <sup>*</sup>	91 <sup>*</sup>
	Armstrong County, PA:	42 <sup>*</sup>	TAR not performed	66 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Beaver County, PA:	42 <sup>*</sup>	TAR not performed	66 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Butler County, PA:	42 <sup>*</sup>	TAR not performed	66 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Fayette County, PA:	42 <sup>*</sup>	TAR not performed	66 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Washington County, PA:	42 <sup>*</sup>	TAR not performed	66 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Westmoreland County, PA:	42 <sup>*</sup>	TAR not performed	66 <sup>1,*</sup>
<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Rhode Island, (RI) Providence-New Bedford-Fall River, RI-MA	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>91</b>
	Bristol County, RI:	89 <sup>*</sup>	93 <sup>*</sup>	93 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Kent County, RI:	89 <sup>*</sup>	93 <sup>*</sup>	93 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Newport County, RI:	89 <sup>*</sup>	93 <sup>*</sup>	93 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Providence County, RI:	89 <sup>*</sup>	93 <sup>*</sup>	93 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Washington County, RI:	89 <sup>*</sup>	93 <sup>*</sup>	93 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Bristol County, MA:	89 <sup>*</sup>	74	80
<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
South Carolina (SC) Columbia, SC	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>90</b>
	Calhoun County, SC:	83 <sup>*</sup>	90 <sup>*</sup>	90 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Fairfield County, SC:	83 <sup>*</sup>	90 <sup>*</sup>	90 <sup>1,*,**</sup>

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
	Kershaw County, SC:	83*	90*	90 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Lexington County, SC:	83*	90*	90 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Richland County, SC:	83*	90*	90 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Saluda County, SC:	83*	90*	90 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Newberry County, SC:	No Score	90*	90 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure			
South Dakota (SD) Sioux Falls, SD	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>85</b>
	Lincoln County, SD:	74*	67	83*
	McCook County, SD:	74*	79 <sup>1</sup>	85 <sup>1</sup>
	Minnehaha County, SD:	74*	79 <sup>1</sup>	85 <sup>1</sup>
	Turner County, SD:	74*	79 <sup>1</sup>	86
	<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure			
Tennessee (TN) Memphis, TN-MS-AR	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>86</b>
	Fayette County, TN:	60	63*	89 <sup>*,2</sup>
	Shelby County, TN:	59*	63*	94*
	Tipton County, TN:	60	63*	89 <sup>*,2</sup>
	Crittenden County, AR:	47	TAR not performed	51*
	DeSoto County, MS:	87*	92*	92 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Marshall County, MS:	87*	92*	92 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Tate County, MS:	87*	92*	92 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	Tunica County, MS:	87*	92*	92 <sup>1,*,**</sup>
	<sup>1,2</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure			
Tennessee (TN) Nashville-Davidson-- Murfreesboro, TN	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>90</b>
	Cannon County, TN:	56*	97*	100 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Cheatham County, TN:	56*	95*	87 <sup>2,*</sup>
	Davidson County, TN:	56*	93*	95
	Dickson County, TN:	56*	95*	87 <sup>2,*</sup>
	Hickman County, TN:	56*	86*	82
	Macon County, TN:	56*	97*	100 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Robertson County, TN:	56*	95*	87 <sup>2,*</sup>
	Rutherford County, TN:	56*	95*	87 <sup>2,*</sup>
	Smith County, TN:	56*	97*	100 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Sumner County, TN:	56*	95*	87 <sup>2,*</sup>
	Trousdale County, TN:	56*	95*	87 <sup>2,*</sup>
	Williamson County, TN:	56*	95*	87 <sup>2,*</sup>
	Wilson County, TN:	56*	95*	87 <sup>2,*</sup>
<sup>1,2</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Texas (TX) Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>94</b>
	Collin County, TX:	95*	96	95*
	Dallas County, TX:	100*	100	92
	Delta County, TX:	91	88	88
	Denton County, TX:	98*	100	91*
	Ellis County, TX:	79	93	94
	Hunt County, TX:	91	87	94

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
	Johnson County, TX:	84	95	98
	Kaufman County, TX:	87	97	97
	Parker County, TX:	93	96	95
	Rockwall County, TX:	87	89	93
	Tarrant County, TX:	98*	99	94*
	Wise County, TX:	89	96	96
Texas (TX) <b>Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land, TX</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>85</b>
	City of Houston, TX:	70*	86*	71*
	Austin County, TX:	67	86	86
	Brazoria County, TX:	83	86	86
	Chambers County, TX:	86	89	89
	Fort Bend County, TX:	83*	92	84*
	Galveston County, TX:	82	79	79
	Harris County, TX:	93*	86*	80*
	Liberty County, TX:	65	91	91
	Montgomery County, TX:	86*	91*	91*
	San Jacinto County, TX:	94	97	97
	Waller County, TX:	65	86	86
Texas (TX) <b>San Antonio, TX</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>74</b>
	Atascosa County, TX:	43	67	67
	Bandera County, TX:	43	64	65
	Bexar County, TX:	85*	97	82*
	Comal County, TX:	85	83	83
	Guadalupe County, TX:	45*	89	61*
	Kendall County, TX:	43	95	95
	Medina County, TX:	56	67	68
	Wilson County, TX:	43	28	67
Utah (UT) <b>Salt Lake County, UT</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>56</b>
	Salt Lake County, UT:	68*	60*	65*
	Summit County, UT:	TAR not performed	28*	39*
	Tooele County, UT:	TAR not performed	17	63*
Vermont (VT) <b>Burlington-South Burlington, VT</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>95</b>
	Chittenden County, VT:	70*	75*	95 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Franklin County, VT:	70*	75*	95 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Grand Isle County, VT:	70*	75*	95 <sup>1,*</sup>
<sup>1</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Virginia (VA) <b>Richmond, VA</b>	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>86</b>
	Amelia County, VA:	89	91*	77 <sup>1,*</sup>
	Caroline County, VA:	94*	97	46
	Charles City County, VA:	88	80*	91 <sup>2</sup>
	Chesterfield County, VA:	95*	89*	91 <sup>4</sup>
	Cumberland County, VA:	89	91*	77 <sup>1</sup>
	Dinwiddie County, VA:	87	91*	91 <sup>5,*,**</sup>
Goochland County, VA:	88	80*	91 <sup>2</sup>	

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
	Hanover County, VA:	88	80*	91 <sup>2</sup>
	Henrico County, VA:	88	88*	96
	King and Queen County, VA:	96*	86	79 <sup>3</sup>
	King William County, VA:	96*	86	79 <sup>3</sup>
	Louisa County, VA:	70	72	98
	New Kent County, VA:	88	80*	91 <sup>2</sup>
	Powhatan County, VA:	95*	89*	91 <sup>4</sup>
	Prince George County, VA:	87	91*	91 <sup>5,**</sup>
	Sussex County, VA:	87	91*	91 <sup>5,**</sup>
	Colonial Heights City, VA:	95*	89*	91 <sup>4</sup>
	Hopewell City, VA:	87	91*	91 <sup>5,**</sup>
	Petersburg City, VA:	87	91*	91 <sup>5,**</sup>
	Richmond City, VA:	85	59*	86*
<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Virginia (VA) Virginia Beach-Norfolk- Newport News, VA-NC	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>86</b>
	Accomack County, VA:	90*	91	91 <sup>3,**</sup>
	Gloucester County, VA:	96*	86	79 <sup>1</sup>
	Isle of Wight County, VA:	69	70*	81 <sup>2</sup>
	James City County, VA:	91*	71	84 <sup>4</sup>
	Mathews County, VA:	96*	86	79 <sup>1</sup>
	Northampton County, VA:	90*	91	91 <sup>3,**</sup>
	Surry County, VA:	87	91	91 <sup>**</sup>
	York County, VA:	91*	71	84 <sup>4</sup>
	Chesapeake City, VA:	89	84	100*
	Hampton City, VA:	77	83*	87
	Newport News City, VA:	91*	71	84 <sup>4</sup>
	Norfolk City, VA:	76	64*	92*
	Poquoson City, VA:	91*	71	84 <sup>4</sup>
	Portsmouth City, VA:	82	75	97*
	Suffolk City, VA:	69	70*	81 <sup>2</sup>
	Virginia Beach City, VA:	92	88	84
	Williamsburg City, VA:	91*	71	84 <sup>4</sup>
Currituck County, NC:	77	70	67	
<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> These jurisdictions and their TAR scores are consolidated under a combined or regional public health structure				
Washington (WA) Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue, WA	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>77</b>
	King County, WA:	87*	91*	91 <sup>***</sup>
	Snohomish County, WA:	44*	84	59*
	Pierce County, WA:	73	50*	82
West Virginia (WV) Charleston, WV	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>78</b>
	Boone County, WV:	36	46	75
	Clay County, WV:	41*	76	82
	Kanawha County, WV:	70*	67*	71*
	Lincoln County, WV:	60	68	82
	Putnam County, WV:	43	71	82
Wisconsin (WI) Milwaukee-Waukesha-West	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>88</b>
	City of Milwaukee, WI:	72*	86	80*

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.

MSA	TAR Scores for MSAs and Individual Jurisdictions			
		2007-08 (8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period)	2008-09 (8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period)	2009-10 (8/10/2009-8/9/2010 performance period)
Allis, WI	Milwaukee County, WI:	72*	86	89
	Ozaukee County, WI:	89	89	93
	Washington County, WI:	88	84	95
	Waukesha County, WI:	73	72	86*
Wyoming (WY) Cheyenne, WY	<b>MSA Score:</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>84</b>
	Laramie County, WY:	49*	66*	84*
	Natrona County, WY:	TAR not performed	TAR not performed	71*

Directly Funded Localities and Locality Scores	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Chicago (City of), IL:	94*	99*	99**
District of Columbia:	94*	95*	95**
Los Angeles County, CA:	81*	92*	92**
New York City, NY: (includes Bronx, Kings, New York, Queens, and Richmond counties)	99*	100*	100**

Source: CDC, Office of Public Health Preparedness and Response, Division of Strategic National Stockpile; 2007-2008 data: 8/10/2007-8/9/2008 performance period; 2008-09 data: 8/10/2008-8/9/2009 performance period; 2009-10 data: 8/10/2009-2010 performance period

\* CDC conducted the TAR

\*\* Score represents TAR result from 2008-09 performance period. Due to demands of the H1N1 pandemic response, state and local jurisdictions achieving a score of 90 or higher were exempt from performing TAR in 2009-10.