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## Influenza - Wisconsin, Nationwide

Wisconsin: Sporadic cases and local outbreaks of influenza-like ilnese bebe red P
 tributed throughout the state, occurring primarily among school children and young adults. Influenza $B$ has been isolated from oropharyngeal or nasopharyngeal specimens obtained from 100 persons. Demographic data on 44 of these patients show that 18 (41\%) were females, and $26(59 \%)$ were males. Their ages ranged from 10 months to 50 years, with more than one-half being between 5 and 19 years of age.

Duration of symptoms in patients with confirmed influenza B ranged from 3-12 days, with a mean of 7 days. Symptoms included fever ( $100 \%$ ), cough ( $75 \%$ ), sore throat ( $68 \%$ ), rhinorrhea ( $57 \%$ ), headache $(55 \%)$, myalgias ( $34 \%$ ), nausea ( $21 \%$ ), chest pain ( $16 \%$ ), and diarrhea (frequency unknown). Reported complications of illness were otitis, conjunctivitis, pneumonitis, bronchitis, and laryngitis.

Nationwide: Since early November 1979, reports of iafluenza B virus isolations have been received from 19 states; Georgia, Missouri, Montana, and Texas have reported isolates since the last update (1). Outbreaks are generally scattered, although school absenteeism rates of $15 \%-30 \%$ or higher have been reported. Most illnesses have occurred in children and young adults, although 2 outbreaks in residences for the elderly have occurred.

Influenza A(H3N2) virus was isolated in Arkansas from a 59 -year-old patient with onset of illness on January 15, 1980. This is the third H3N2 virus reported in the United States during this season.
Reported by G Sedmak, PhD, Milwaukee Bureau of Laboratories; JP Davis, MD, State Epidemiologist, DB Nelson, J Robertson, W Schell, Wisconsin State Dept of Health and Social Services; J Goins, Missouri State Dept of Social Services; M Skeels, PhD, Montana State Dept of Health and Environmental Services; R Couch, MD, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas; C Nolan, MD, E Moses, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock; and State Epidemiologists from Arkansas, Georgia, Missouri, Montana, New York, Texas, and Washington; Immunization Div, Bur of State Services, World Health Organization Collaborating Center for Influenza, Virology Div, Bur of Laboratories, CDC.
Editorial Note: Influenza surveillance in Wisconsin incorporates both passive and active elements. Passive surveillance involves specimens which have been submitted for viral culture from sources other than those participating in the state's formal influenza surveillance program; examples of these sources include clinics and practicing physicians.

The active surveillance system uses a combination of virologic studies and indices of influenza-associated morbidity: 1) sentinel physicians, 2) nursing homes, 3) school absenteeism, and 4) industrial absenteeism. Each of 12 sentinel physicians is supplied with kits for collecting viral specimens; between November and early spring these physicians report the number of visits for viral, upper-respiratory infections and submit to the state laboratory specimens obtained from persons with febrile, acute respiratory disease.
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## Influenza - Continued

Eleven nursing homes regularly report the incidence of respiratory illness among residents. Thirty-five schools, a school district of 10,000 students, and industries in 4 areas of the state are monitored for absenteeism. Epidemiologic investigations are conducted of apparent outbreaks and persons from whom influenza isolates are obtained.
Reference

1. MMWR 1980;29:23.

## Imported Measles - United States

Forty-nine cases of nieasles* were reported to have been imported into the United States during the last 6 months of 1979 by foreign arrivals incubating measles at the time of entry into the country. During this same period (weeks 26-52), a total of 2,620 measles cases were reported to CDC.

Twenty-eight of the imported cases occurred in travelers from 13 separate countries; these cases were subsequently the source of infection for 23 other persons.

The other 21 cases occurred in Southeast Asian refugees. These cases, in turn, were the source of 8 secondary cases. Finally, 13 other cases occurred in resettled Southeast Asian refugees who acquired disease while in the United States, making a total of 42 refugee-associated cases.
Reported by Immunization Div, Bur of State Services, CDC.
Editorial Note: These data were derived from a weekly telephone reporting system implemented by CDC during weeks 26-52 of 1979 to assist with measles surveillance. The system provided a means to determine the epidemiology of measles activity in each state, including the origin of many of the outbreaks during that period.

Considering the high incidence of measles in many other parts of the world, it is somewhat surprising that more imported cases were not reported. This may be due in part to the young age of infection in most other parts of the world. Though it is not possible to determine exactly how many cases occurred as a result of importations, there was little evidence of spread into the general population, probably because of the high immunization levels currently found in the United States and because of the lack of widespread social contact on the part of many of those coming into the United States. As indigenous measles continues to decrease, a larger proportion of total cases may occur in foreign arrivals.

[^0]
## International Notes

## Immunization Program for Indochinese Refugees

Since late summer, approximately 14,000 Indochinese refugees have arrived in the United States each month. In the end of January, 1980, an immunization program was injtiated in Southeast Asia for refugees departing for the United States. Such refugees will be immunized at the time medical-screening examinations are performed in transit centers in Bangkok, Thailand; Hong Kong; Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; Singapore; and Manila, the Philippines.

Measles, mumps, and rubella vaccines (MMR) and the first immunization in the series for diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis (DTP or Td) and for polio (TOPV) are being given according to the schedule indicated in Table 1. Refugees whose duration of stay in the transit center is prolonged may receive additional doses of vaccines, as recommended.
TABLE 1. Vaccines administered in transit centers, Southeast Asia

| Age of individual | Vaccine* |
| :---: | :--- |
| $2-14$ months | DTP, TOPV |
| 15 months- 6 years | DTP, TOPV, MMR |
| $7-13$ years | Td, TOPV, MMR |
| $14-19$ yearst | Td, TOPV, MMR $\dagger$ |
| 220 years | Td |

*Doses to be given: 1 of MMR and first of series for DTP or Td and TOPV; additional doses in series will depend on the time spent in transit centers.
tMMR will not be given to females age 14-19 years.
An immunization record created especially for the Indochinese refugees is being used. The record is completed at the time of vaccination. One copy is mailed to the local health department at the refugee's destination; another copy is maintained by CDC's Quarantine Division. The refugee retains a third copy, which bears instructions printed in 6 languages, for the completion of immunizations.
Reported by Quarantine Div, Bur of Epidemiology, and Immunization Div, Bur of State Services, CDC. Editorial Note: There is currently little information regarding the fertility of females 14-19 years of age in this refugee population. Because of concerns regarding the use of live-virus vaccines in possibly pregnant women, females 14 to 19 years old are not being offered MMR vaccine in Southeast Asia. Therefore, U.S. public health officials should be aware of possible susceptibility in this subpopulation and the possible need for vaccination after arrival. Serologic surveys indicate that rubella susceptibility among women of childbearing age in Southeast Asian countries is at least equal to that in the United States (10\%-15\%) (1).

The goals of this immunization program are to 1 ) protect the refugees themselves from vaccine-preventable diseases, 2) facilitate the admission of refugee children to day-care centers and schools which have immunization requirements, 3) assist state and local health departments in assessing the need for further immunizations for refugees, and 4) help protect the resident U.S. population from the importation of vaccine-preventable diseases.
Reference

1. Desudchit P, Chatiyanonda K, Bhamornsathit S. Rubella antibody among Thai women of childbearing age. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 1978;9:312-6.

AFor additional copies of this article, write Center for Disease Control, Attn: Ferdinand Tedesco, Quarantine Division BE, Atlanta, Ga. 30333.

## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Nosocomial Listeria monocytogenes Infections - United States

In October 1979, CDC learned of clusters of nosocomial Listeria monocytogenes infections occurring between July 1 and mid-October, 1979, involving 32 adult patients in 11 hospitals. The hospitals were located in 2 states, 10 in a northeastern state and the
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## Nosocomial Infections - Continued

other in the Southeast. A review of data submitted to CDC's National Nosocomial Infection Study (NNIS) subsequently identified 6 more such cases in 6 other hospitals in the same period.

Northeastern state: In September and October 1979, 26 patients with L. monocytoguines infections were identified in 10 northeastern hospitals in the same state. In comparable periods in 1977 and 1978, a total of 2 cases of listeriosis occurred in the same hospitals. L. monocytogenes serotype 4b was isolated from clinical specimens from 20 of the 26 patients. In the investigation, patients infected with $L$. monocytogenes serotype 4b were considered outbreak-associated cases (also called "case patients").

Patients associated with this outbreak tended to be elderly (mean $=69.7$ years); 14 of the 20 were female. Five case patients had received chemotherapy or steroids for underlying malignancy, and 4 others had received steroids for other diseases before onset of infection. Listeria was isolated from blood specimens (from 14 patients), cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) (2), or blood and CSF (4). Six of the 9 case patients on steroids developed Listeria meningitis, a proportion significantly higher than in case patients not on steroid therapy. Seventeen of the case patients had complained of gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain, or anorexia) shortly before or at the time of clinical infection. The frequency of gastrointestinal complaints among the case patients was significantly higher than in patients with sporadic listeriosis identified through microbiology and medical-record review in the outbreak-associated hospitals ( $p<.0003$ ).
(Continued on page 45)

| TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiablu diseases, United States [Cumulative tots/s include revised and delayed reports through previous weeks.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISEASE | 4th WEEK ENDING |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ 1975.1979 \end{gathered}$ | CUMULATIVE, FIAST 4 WEEKS |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jannary 26, } \\ 1980 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | January 27. 1978* |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 28, } \\ 1980 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } 27 . \\ 1978^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { 1975-1979 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Asaptic meningitis | 70 | 56 | 48 | 238 | 230 | 162 |
| Brucellosis | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Chickenpox | 5,301 | 5.413 | 4.864 | 14.771 | 17.990 | 17.996 |
| Diphtheria | - | - | 2 | - | 11 | 11 |
| Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne 8 unspec.) | 12 | 16 | 8 | 38 | 35 | 39 |
| Post-infectious | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 12 |
| Hepatitis. Viral: Typa $\mathbf{B}$ | 318 | 242 | 256 | 991 | 899 | 1.003 |
| Type A | 531 | 620 | 676 | 1.660 | 2.035 | 2,500 |
| Type unspecified | 217 | 202 | 176 | 695 | 728 | 644 |
| Malaria | 17 | 7 | 6 | 73 | 28 | 26 |
| Massles (rubeolal | 190 | 113 | 300 | 356 | 469 | 91.3 |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 64 | 69 | 52 | 210 | 202 | 154 |
| Civilian | 64 | 69 | 52 | 209 | 202 | 154 |
| Military | - |  | , | 1 | 2 | - |
| Mumps | 303 | 303 | 614 | 862 | 936 | 2,146 |
| Pertussis | 20 | 30 | 22 | 58 | 118 | 110 |
| Rubella (Garman measles) | 72 | 134 | 219 | 178 | 383 | 594 |
| Tetanus | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Tuberculosis | 549 | 536 | 536 | 1.586 | 1.798 | 1.790 |
| Tularamia | - | 4 | 1 | 5 | 13 | - 9 |
| Typhoid fevar | 2 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 18 | 18 |
| Typhus fevar, tick-home (Rky. Mt spotted) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| Venereal diseases: <br> Gonormea: Civilian | 20.836 | 18.738 | 19.638 | 70.098 | 71.615 |  |
| Military | 504 | 1245 | 577 | 1.668 | 2.216 | 2,203 |
| Syphilis, primary \& secondary: Civilian | 594 | 427 | 458 | 1,917 | 1.701 | 1.814 |
| Military | 6 | 3 | 7 | 33 | 14 | 24 |
| Rabias in animals | 83 | 56 | 49 | 272 | 169 | 171 |
| TABLE If. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anthrax <br> Botulism <br> Conganital ruballa syndrome (Ala. 1) <br> Leprosy (Ups NY 1. Tex. 1) <br> Laptospirosis (Hawaii 1) <br> Plague | CUM. 1480 |  |  |  |  | CUM. 1980 |
|  |  |  |  | tis: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Paralytic } \dagger\end{aligned}$ |  |  | - |
|  |  | 1 Palion |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  | 6 Psittac | (Mass. 1) |  |  | 6 |
|  |  | 8 Rabia | man |  |  | , |
|  |  | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - |

[^1]TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending January 26, 1980, and January 27, 1979, (4th week)

| REPORTING AREA | ASEPTIC MENINGITIS | BRUCEL. LOSIS | CHICKENPOX | DIPHTHERIA |  | ENCEPHALITIS |  |  | HEPATITIS (VIRAL), BY TVPE |  |  | MALARIA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary |  | Post-in- <br> fertious <br> 1980 | $-\frac{B}{1980}$ | $\begin{gathered} A \\ \hline 1980 \end{gathered}$ | Unspecifiad <br> 1980 |  |  |
|  | 1980 | 1980 | 1980 | 1980 | CUM. 1980 | 1980 | 1879* |  |  |  |  | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM } \\ & 1880 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 70 | 3 | 5,301 | - | - | 12 | 16 | 3 | 318 | 531 | 217 | 17 | 73 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 2 | - | 723 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 4 |
| Maine | - | - | 204 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| N.H. | - | - | 90 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | - | _ | 61 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mass. | - | - | 121 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 3 |
| R.I. | 2 | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Conn. | 2 | - | 227 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 11 | - | 369 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 41 | 42 | 17 | - | 2 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 3 | - | 153 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 9 | 22 | 5 | - | - |
| N.Y. City | 2 | - | 66 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 12 | 8 | 6 | - | 2 |
| N.J. $\dagger$ | 6 | - | NN | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 9 | 4 | - | - |
| Pa. $\dagger$ | - | - | 150 | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 3 | 2 | - | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 10 | - | 2,675 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 46 | 59 | 19 | - | 1 |
| Ohio | - | - | 141 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 6 | 5 | - | 1 |
| Ind. | 1 | - | 274 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| III. | - | - | 617 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 19 | 5 | - | - |
| Mich. | 9 | - | 1,191 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 20 | 29 | 8 | - | - |
| Wis. | - | - | 452 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 5 | - | 575 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 15 | 19 | 10 | - | 2 |
| Minn. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 6 | - | - | 1 |
| lowa | 1 | - | 317 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 |
| Mo. | 3 | - | 90 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 8 | 6 | - | - |
| N. Dak. $\dagger$ |  | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. $\dagger$ | 1 | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | - | - | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Kans. | - | - | 124 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 17 | 2 | 375 | - | - | 2 | 9 | - | 74 | 84 | 41 | 2 | 8 |
| Del. | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | - | - | 24 | - | - | - | 7 | - | 14 | 8 | 16 | - | - |
| D.C. | - | - | 6 | - | _ | - | - | - | 6 | 6 | 1 | - | - |
| Va.t | 4 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| W. Va. | 1 | - | 171 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 5 | 1 | - | 1 |
| N.C. | 6 | - | NN | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | $b$ | 7 | 8 | - | 1 |
| S.C. | 3 | 2 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 7 | 1 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{Ga}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | $\underline{-}$ | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 20 | - | - | - |
| Fla. ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | 3 | - | 131 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 20 | 8 | 1 | 3 |
| ES. CENTRAL | 7 | - | 204 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 21 | 41 | 4 | - | - |
|  | - | - | 191 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 11 | 1 | - | - |
| Tenn. | 3 | - | NN | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 9 | 17 | 1 | - | - |
| Ala. | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 13 | 2 | - | - |
| Miss. | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 4 | - | 166 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 35 | 98 |  | - | - |
| Ark. | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | 1 | _ | 3 | 2 | 7 | - | - |
| La. | - | - | NN | - | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | 24 | - | - | - |
| Okla. | 1 | - | N | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 10 | 7 | - | - |
| Tex. | 3 | - | 162 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 17 | 62 | 35 | - | - |
| MOUNTAIN | 1 | - | 141 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 72 | 33 | 2 | 8 |
| Mont $\dagger$ | - | - | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - |
| Idaho | - | _ | 4 | - | _ | _ | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | - | _ | _ | _ | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Colo. t | 1 | - | 94 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| N. Mex. | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ariz. | - | - | NN | _ | - | - | - | - | 6 | 30 | 26 | - | 3 |
| Utah | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 6 | - | - |
| Nev. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 13 | 1 | 73 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 66 | 104 | 36 | 11 | 48 |
| Wach. $\dagger$ | 2 | - | 65 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Oreg. | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 11 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Calif. $t$ | 10 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 56 | 81 | 33 | 7 | 42 |
| Alaska | - | $-$ | 1 | - | _ | - | 2 | - | 5 | 1 |  | - | 1 |
| Hawaii | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Guam | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | NA | NA | NA | NA | - |
| P.R. | NA | Na | 18 | NA | - | Na | - | $=$ | 3 | 15 | 17 | Na | - |
| V.I. | - | - |  | _ | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | NA | Na | NA | NA | - |

[^2]NA: Not available.
"Delayed reports received for 1979 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.
The following delayed reports will be reflected in next week's cumulative totals: Asep. meng.: Pa. $\mathbf{+ 5}$, Fla. +1 ; Chickenpox: Pa. +175 , Fla. +64. Colo. +2 , Calif. +40; Enceph.: Pa. +3 : Hep. B: Pa. +25 , N.J. +1 , Fla. +4 , Colo. +1 , Wash. +2 ; Hep. A: Pa. +18 , N.J. -1 , N.Dak. +4 , S. Dak. -1 , Fla, +6 , Mm. 4 . Colo. -1 , Wash. +3 ; Hep. unsp: Pa. $+2, \mathrm{Ni}-2, \mathrm{Va}-1$, Fla. +3 , Colo. +1 : Malaria: Pa +3 .

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending January 26, 1980, and January 27, 1979, (4th week)

| heporting ahea | MEASLES (RUBEOLA) |  |  | meningocdectal infections TOTAL |  |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | RUBELLA |  | TETANUS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { cum. } \\ \text { 1979: } \end{gathered}$ | 1880 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 1978* } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | cum. <br> 1980 | 1980 | 1980 | cum. <br> 1990 |  |
| UNITED STATES | 190 | 356 | 469 | 64 | 210 | 202 | 303 | 862 | 20 | 72 | 178 | 4 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 8 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 47 | 120 | 2 | 13 | 20 | - |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 38 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |
| N.H. | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 9 | - |
| V L | 6 | 16 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mass $\dagger$ | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 30 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| R.I. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 22 | 46 | - | 7 | 7 | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 10 | 34 | 22 | 9 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 83 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 1 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 3 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 6 | - |
| N.Y. City | 7 | 25 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 12 | - | - | 2 | - |
| N.J. | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 22 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Pa.t | - | - | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 44 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 20 | 56 | 187 | 7 | 20 | 12 | 107 | 299 | 2 | 13 | 51 | - |
| Ohio | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 8 | - | 38 | 103 | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - |
| Ind. $\dagger$ | - | 1 | 12 | - | 2 | 4 | 5 | 13 | - | 4 | 13 | - |
| III. | 2 | 4 | 102 | - | 2 | - | 18 | 41 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Mich. | 5 | 20 | 52 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 27 | 89 | 2 | 6 | 28 | - |
| Wis. | 7 | 23 | 19 | - | - | 1 | 19 | 53 | - | 2 | 8 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 11 | 37 | 44 | - | 4 | 5 | 7 | 53 | - | 8 | 14 | 1 |
| Minn. | 10 | 18 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| lowa | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Mo. | 1 | 16 | 48 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 31 | - | 1 | 4 | - |
| N. Dak. | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |  | 5 | $\overline{7}$ | - |
| Kans. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | 5 | 7 | - |
| S ATLANTIC | 96 | 109 | 26 | 12 | 44 | 73 | 55 | 110 | 9 | 10 | 19 | 1 |
| Dal. | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | - | 1 | 1 | - | 7 | 4 | 33 | 43 | 2 | - | - | - |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| V . | 11 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 10 | - | - | 1 | - |
| W. Va. | 2 | 3 | $\varepsilon$ | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 | - | 2 | 5 | - |
| N.C. | - | 1 | - | - | 7 | 9 | 6 | 23 | - | - | 1 | - |
| S.C. | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2 | - | 8 | 8 | 1 |
| Ga. | 73 | 73 | - | 3 | 6 | 13 | - | - | 7 | - | - | - |
| Fla. ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | 10 | 19 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 26 | 5 | 13 | - | - | 4 | - |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 16 | 25 | 8 | 7 | 21 | 16 | 21 | 58 | - | 4 | 10 | - |
| Ky. | 9 | 17 | 5 | - | 5 | 7 | 17 | 46 | - | - | 3 | - |
| Tenn. | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 6 | 8 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 7 | - |
| Ala | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mise | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 2 | 4 | 62 | 11 | 18 | 24 | 5 | 32 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - |
| Ark. | - | - | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| La. | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Okla. | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 1 | 3 | 57 | 7 | 13 | 14 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - |
| MOUNTAIN | 9 | 19 | 21 | 3 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 50 | 2 | - | 3 | - |
| Mont | - | - | $t$ | - | 1 | 2 | 13 | 16 | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Colo. | - | - | - | - | 6 | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ | - | 8 | 1 | - | - | - |
| N. Mex. | - |  | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ariz. | - | 9 | - | 2 | 3 | 6 | - | 9 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Utah | 9 | 9 | 11 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 15 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Nev. | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - |
| PACIFIC | 18 | 45 | 92 | 13 | 54 | 23 | 17 | 57 | 2 | 18 | 47 | 1 |
| Wesh. $t$ | 9 | 10 | $5 C$ | 6 | 23 | 3 | - | 12 | - | 2 | 5 | - |
| Oreg. | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | 16 | - | - | 3 | - |
| Calif. | 9 | 37 | 42 | 7 | 28 | 18 | 11 | 27 | 2 | 16 | 39 | 1 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Hawaii | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Guam | NA | - | - | - | - | - | NA | - | NA | NA | - | - |
| P.R. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 5 | - | - | - | 1 |
| V.I. | - | - | 1 | - | - | $\overline{1}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | NA | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | NA | - | NA | NA | - | - |

[^3]*Delayed reports received for 1979 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.
$\dagger$ The following delayad reports will be reflected in next week's cumulative totals: Measles: Ind. -1, Fla. -1; Men. inf.: Pa. +1; Mumps: Mass. +15, Pa. +4, Wash. +1 ; Pertussis: Pa. +2 , Wash. -2 ; Rubella: Fia. +1 .

TABLE III（Cont．＇d）．Cases of specified notifiable diseases，United States，weeks ending January 26，1980，and January 27，1979，（4th week）

| feporting Area | TUBERCULOSIS |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { TULA. } \\ \text { REMIA } \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { CUM. } \\ \text { 19R0 } \\ \hline \end{array} ⿳ ⺈ ⿴ 囗 十 一 ~ \end{array}$ | TYPHOID FEVEA |  | TYPHUS FEVER （Tick－horne） （RMSF） |  | VEYEREAL DISEASES（Civilian） |  |  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l}\text { RABIES } \\ \text {（in } \\ \text { Animals）}\end{array}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | GONORRHEA |  |  | SYPHILIS（Pri．\＆Sec．） |  |
|  | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM } \\ & 1979^{*} \end{aligned}$ | 1980 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 1979: } \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 549 | 1，586 |  | 5 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 20，836 | 70，098 | 71，615 | 594 | 1.917 | 1，781 | 272 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 13 | 51 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 587 | 2，188 | 1，870 | 12 | 50 | 38 | 1 |
| Maine | ， | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 43 | 145 | 139 | － | － | － | 1 |
| N．H． | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 27 | 85 | 61 | － | － | 1 | － |
| V t | 1 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 14 | 66 | 29 | － | － | － | － |
| Mass． | 4 | 21 | － | － | － | － | － | 157 | 758 | 766 | 11 | 27 | 28 | － |
| R．I． | 1 | 8 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 32 | 96 | 150 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Conn． | 6 | 18 | － | － | $-$ | － | － | 314 | 1，038 | 725 | 1 | 21 | 9 | － |
| MID．ATLANTIC | 106 | 273 | － | － | － | － | － | 1，385 | 6，607 | 6.355 | 79 | 283 | 272 | － |
| Upstate N．Y． | 20 | 36 | － | － | － | － | － | 370 | 835 | 514 | 7 | 13 | 19 | － |
| N．Y．City | 42 | 119 | － | － | － | － | － | 250 | 2，887 | 2，715 | 58 | 220 | 189 | － |
| N．J． | 17 | 57 | － | － | － | － | － | 187 | 1，331 | 1，006 | 8 | 27 | 40 | － |
| Pa．${ }^{+}$ | 27 | 61 | － | － | － | － | － | 578 | 1，554 | 2，120 | 6 | 23 | 24 | － |
| E．N．CENTRAL | 65 | 177 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 3，149 | 10，265 | 11，409 | 13 | 127 | 276 | 27 |
| Ohio | 7 | 33 | － | － | － | － | － | 741 | 3，854 | 3，185 | － | 29 | 51 | － |
| Ind． | 10 | 28 | － | － | － | － | － | 690 | 1，303 | 523 | － | 16 | 5 | 4 |
| III，$t$ | 26 | 82 | － | － | － | － | － | 370 | 1，253 | 4，019 | 3 | 51 | 185 | 12 |
| Mich． | 17 | 22 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 800 | 2，505 | 2，649 | 10 | 24 | 25 | － |
| Wis．t | 5 | 12 | － | － | － | － | － | 548 | 1，350 | 1，033 | － | 7 | 10 | 11 |
| W．N．CENTRAL | 9 | 57 | 3 | － | － | － | － | 960 | 3，302 | 3，372 | 5 | 16 | 16 | 76 |
| Minn． | 1 | 12 | － | － | － | － | － | 237 | 634 | 626 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 12 |
| lowa | 3 | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | 127 | 423 | 462 | － | 1 | 3 | 24 |
| Mo． | 4 | 27 | 2 | － | － | － | － | 400 | 1，332 | 1，228 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 25 |
| N．Dak． | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 12 | 45 | 63 | － | 1 | － | 9 |
| S．Dak． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 26 | 96 | 125 | － | － | － | 3 |
| Nabr． | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 48 | 242 | 194 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Kans． | 1 | 11 | － | － | － | － | － | 110 | 530 | 674 | － | － | 4 | 3 |
| S．ATLANTIC | 111 | 347 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5.589 | 18，204 | 16，992 | 174 | 457 | 473 | 17 |
| Dal． | 2 | 2 | $-$ | － | － | － | － | 66 | 268 | 295 | － | 1 | 4 | － |
| Md． | 5 | 48 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 775 | 1，690 | 2，247 | 6 | 34 | 31 | － |
| D．c． | 14 | 16 | － | － | － | － | － | 449 | 1，221 | 1，163 | 12 | 33 | 35 | － |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | 15 | 47 | － | － | － | － | － | 426 | 1，565 | 1．594 | 17 | 38 | 50 | － |
| W．Va．$\dagger$ | 15 | 23 | － | － | － | － | － | 73 | 243 | 266 | 15 | 15 | 14 | － |
| N．C． | 15 | 57 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 765 | 2．740 | 2.579 | 23 | 42 | 54 | － |
| S．C． | 8 | 33 | － | － | － | － | － | 38 8 | 1，799 | 1，415 | 6 | 11 | 22 | 4 |
| Ga． | 7 | 25 | － | － | － | － | － | 920 | 3，411 | 2，793 | 37 | 122 | 121 | 9 |
| Fla． | 45 | 96 | ＿ | 2 | 2 | － | － | 1,723 | 5，267 | 4.040 | 58 | 161 | 142 | 4 |
| E．S．CENTRAL | 76 | 163 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1，300 | 5，491 | 6，816 | 63 | 176 | 109 |  |
| Ky． | 17 | 28 | － | － | － | － | － | 312 | 948 | 938 | 3 | 14 | 11 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ |
| Tenn． | 28 | 39 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 334 | 2，063 | 2，364 | 27 | 83 | 42 | 8 |
| Ala． | 11 | 43 | － | － | － | － | － | 279 | 1，182 | 2，137 | 9 | 24 | 24 | － |
| Miss．$\dagger$ | 20 | 53 | － | － | － | － | － | 375 | 1.298 | 1，377 | 24 | 55 | 32 | － |
| W．S．CENTRAL | 63 | 105 | － | － | － | － | － | 2，437 | 8，886 | 10，187 | 100 | 395 | 258 | 98 |
| Ark． | 6 | 105 | － | － | ＿ | － | ＿ | 168 | 648 | 816 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 14 |
| La． | 11 | 36 | － | － | － | － | － | 510 | 1，084 | 1，563 | 16 | 83 | 17 | － |
| Okla． | 8 | 11 | ＿ | － | － | － | － | 274 | 1，064 | 921 | 17 | 3 | \％ | 14 |
| Tex． | 44 | 58 | － | － | － | － | － | 1，485 | 6.090 | 6，887 | 77 | 300 | 324 | 70 |
| mountain | 14 | 80 | － | － | － | － | － | 794 | 2，742 | 2，995 | 10 | 33 | 24 | 4 |
| Mont． | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | NA | 61 | 187 | NA | － | 2 | － |
| Idaho | 2 | 3 | ＿ | ＿ | － | － | － | 27 | 111 | 127 | 1 | 2 | 1 | － |
| Wvo． | 2 |  | － | － | － | － | － | 14 | 85 | 77 | － | 2 | 2 | － |
| Colo．$\dagger$ | 3 | 39 | － | － | － | － | － | 194 | 692 | 772 | 5 | 15 | 11 | － |
| N．Mex． | 4 | 15 | － | － | － | － | － | 132 | 480 | 400 | 2 | 7 | 6 | － |
| Ariz． | 3 | 19 | － | － | － | － | － | 227 | 672 | 828 | － | － | － | 4 |
| Utah | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 48 | 149 | 147 | 2 | 4 | － | － |
| Nev ． | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 152 | 492 | 457 | － | 3 | 2 | － |
| PACIFIC | 92 | 333 | － | － | 3 | － | － | 4，635 | 12，413 | 11．619 | 138 | 380 | 315 | 33 |
| Wash． | 10 | 28 | － | ＿ | 3 | － | － | 320 | 1，086 | 921 | － | $\rightarrow$ | 15 | － |
| Oreg． | 6 | 26 | － | － | － | － | － | 285 | 757 | 804 | 1 | 6 | 15 | $\xrightarrow{-}$ |
| Calif． | 73 | 271 | － | － | 3 | － | － | 3，907 | 10，164 | 9，393 | 137 | 370 | 282 | 33 |
| Alaska | 7 | 27 | － | － | $-$ | ＿ | － | 70 | 265 | 355 | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| Hawaii | 3 | 8 | － | － | － | － | － | 53 | 141 | 146 | － | 3 | 2 | － |
| Guam | NA | － | － | NA | － | NA | － | $\wedge$ A | － | 14 | NA | 31 | 34 | － |
| P．R． | 4 | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | 52 | 103 | 141 | 20 | 31 | 34 | 3 |
| V．I． | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 7 | 13 | － | 3 | － |  |
| Pac．Trust Terr． | NA | － | － | NA | － | NA | － | NA | － | 34 | NA | － | － | － |

[^4]－Delayed reports received for 1979 are not shown below but are used to update last year＇s weekly and cumulative totals．
$\dagger$ The following delayed reports will be reflected in next week＇s cumulative totals：TB：Pa，＋14．Miss．＋58，Colo，－7；GC：Pa．＋704，III．＋1666，Wis．-2 ；Syphilis： Pa．+15 ；An．rabies：W．Va +1 ．

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending
January 26, 1980 (4th week)

| REPORTING AREA | ALL CAUSES, GY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  | P\& ITOTAL | REPORIING AREA | ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\& I** } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 65$ | 45.64 | 25.44 | $<1$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | > 65 | 45-64 | 25.44 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 747 | 481 | 176 | 34 | 25 | 49 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,346 | 779 | 404 | 83 | 43 | 72 |
| Boston, Mass. | 193 | 108 | 47 | 10 | 15 | 15 | Atlanta, Ga. | 186 | 97 | 64 | 16 | 6 | 10 |
| Bridgaport, Conn. | 55 | 37 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 5 | Baltimore, Md. | 223 | 129 | 61 | 14 | 8 | 5 |
| Cambridga, Mass. | 25 | 17 | 8 | - | - | 1 | Charlottr, N.C. | 65 | 40 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 37 | 28 | 9 | - | - | - | Jacksonville, Fla. | 110 | 63 | 36 | 5 | 3 | 7 |
| Hartiord, Conn. | 65 | 39 | 19 | 4 | - | 5 | Miami, Fla. | 91 | 50 | 30 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| Lowell, Mass. | 29 | 20 | 6 | - | - | 5 | Noriclk, Va. | 54 | 33 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Lymn, Mass. | 18 | 11 | 6 | 1 | - | 2 | Richmond, Va. | 93 | 52 | 33 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 24 | 19 | 5 | - | - | 1 | Savannah, Ga. | 51 | 22 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 82 | 53 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 2 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 90 | 72 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| Providence, R.I. | \$2 | 59 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 6 | Tampa, Fla. | 102 | 65 | 26 | 5 | 4 | 11 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | - | Washington, D.C. | 226 | 123 | 75 | 16 | 7 | 11 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 46 | 33 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Wilmington, Del. $\dagger \dagger$ | 55 | 33 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 22 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 52 | 43 | 6 | - | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTRAL | 860 | 488 | 238 | 52 | 39 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala. | 131 | 85 | 30 | 8 | 1 | 2 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,948 | 1,962 | 665 | 173 | 68 | 157 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 84 | 56 | 22 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 53 | 30 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 1 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 45 | 26 | 16 | 2 | - | 2 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 24 | 17 | 7 | - | $-$ | 1 | Louisville, Ky. | 105 | 58 | 30 | 10 | 6 | 11 |
| Buffalc, N.Y. | 111 | 72 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 5 | Memphis, Tenn. | 211 | 114 | 52 | 5 | 21 | 1 |
| Camden, N.J. | 34 | 23 | 7 | 3 | 1 | - | Mobile, Ala. | 93 | 52 | 30 | 7 | 1 | 3 |
| Elizabath, N.J. | 31 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Montgornery, Ala. | 75 | 31 | 24 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Erie, Pa.t | 33 | 25 | 3 | - | 3 | 2 | Nashuille, Tenn. | 116 | 66 | 34 | 9 | 4 | 7 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 39 | 23 | 12 | 3 | - | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newark, N.J. | 66 | 33 | 19 | 3 | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N.Y. | 1,682 | 1,114 | 360 | 132 | 34 | 76 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1,306 | 758 | 321 | 100 | 71 | 52 |
| Patarson, N.J. | 31 | 23 | 6 | - | 2 | 2 | Austin, Tex. | 68 | 39 | 15 | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. ${ }^{+}$ | 377 | 257 | 88 | 14 |  | 16 | Baton Rouge, La. | 44 | 17 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa. $\dagger$ | 113 | 60 | 45 | 3 | 3 | 5 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 28 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 3 | - |
| Reading, Pa. | 32 | 24 | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | Dallas, Tex. | 211 | 130 | 53 | 15 | 3 | 1 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 105 | 76 | 23 | - | 3 | 10 | El Pasc, Tex. | 67 | 43 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 24 | 20 | 3 | - | - | 1 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 99 | 65 | 17 | 6 | 8 | 5 |
| Scranton, Pa. $\dagger$ | 29 | 23 | 6 | - | - | 1 | Houston, Tex. | 164 | 68 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 2 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 88 | 63 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 7 | Little Rock, Ark. | 109 | 60 | 27 | 11 | 3 | 6 |
| Trenton, N.J. | 25 | 19 | 5 | 1 | - | 2 | New Orleans, La. | 130 | 77 | 32 | 8 | 8 | - |
| Utica, N.Y. | 25 | 20 | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | San Antonio, Tex. | 207 | 128 | 49 | 12 | 9 | 6 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 26 | 19 | 6 | 1 | - | 4 | Shreveport, La. | 80 | 43 | 21 | $8$ | 3 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tulsa, Okla. | 99 | 73 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2.371 | 1,506 | 566 | 137 | 86 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Akron, Ohio | 73 | 48 | 21 | 1 | 2 | - | MOUNTAIN | 594 | 383 | 137 | 28 | 26 | 23 |
| Canton, Ohio | 42 | 29 | 8 | 3 | 2 | - | Albuquerque, N. Mex. | 31 | 20 | 8 | 2 | - | 6 |
| Chicago, III. | 536 | 335 | 130 | 40 | 13 | 9 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 39 | 30 | 6 | - | 1 | 3 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 157 | 107 | 38 | 3 | 6 | 11 | Denver, Colo. | 123 | 84 | 26 | 9 | 2 | 5 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 175 | 101 | 58 | 8 | 1 | 5 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 63 | 39 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 3 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 135 | 87 | 34 | 8 | 5 | 4 | Ogden, Utah | 19 | 11 | 4 | - | 4 | 3 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 100 | 57 | 27 | 10 | 3 | 3 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 156 | 107 | 35 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 305 | 180 | 77 | 26 | 15 | 6 | Pueblo, Colo. | 22 | 17 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 41 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 5 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 48 | 21 | 14 | 2 | 8 | - |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 56 | 36 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 3 | Tucson, Ariz. | 93 | 54 | 27 | 2 | 6 | - |
| Gary, Ind. | 20 | 8 | 5 | 3 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 62 | 40 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 185 | 110 | 47 | 11 | 7 | 5 | PACIFIC | 2,008 | 1,316 | 463 | 95 | 55 | 98 |
| Madison, Wis. | 34 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 6 | Berkeley, Calif. | 13 | 10 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 166 | 115 | 34 | 5 | 7 | 5 | Fresno, Calif. | 82 | 55 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Peoria, III. | 42 | 29 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | Glendale, Calif. | 36 | 29 | 7 | - | - | 2 |
| Rock ford, III. | 48 | 36 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Honolulu, Hawai | 70 | 39 | 22 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 36 | 24 | 11 | 1 | - | - | Long Baach, Calif. | 124 | 91 | 25 | 3 | - | 6 |
| Toledo, Ohio | c7 | 68 | 18 | 3 | 4 | - | Los Angeles, Calif. | 650 | 422 | 145 | 40 | 11 | 28 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 61 | 43 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 2 | Oakland, Calif. | 85 | 48 | 24 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 30 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Oreg. | 160 | 105 | 35 | 8 | 5 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 763 | 485 | 169 | 41 | 40 | 32 | Sacramento, Calif. | 63 | 42 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Des Moines, lowa | 51 | 30 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 2 | San Diego, Calif. $\dagger \dagger$ | 151 | 96 | 37 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 18 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | San Francisco, Calif. | 137 | 87 | 36 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 39 | 24 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 5 | San Jose, Calif. | 145 | 85 | 34 | 9 | 12 | 9 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 131 | 87 | 31 | 5 | 6 | 4 | Seattle, Wash. | 154 | 107 | 38 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Lincoln, Nabr. | 33 | 23 | 9 | 1 | - | 1 | Spokane, Wash. | 63 | 52 | 8 | 3 | - | 15 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 87 | 54 | 22 | 4 | 4 | - | Tacoma, Wash. | 45 | 29 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 93 | 61 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 180 | 115 | 48 | 5 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 56 | 40 | 7 | 4 | 4 | - | TOTAL | 12,943 | 8,158 | 3,139 | 743 | 453 | 593 |
| Wichits, Kans. | 75 | 37 | 20 | 9 | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]
## Nosocomial Infections - Continued

The 20 case patients were distributed among 8 hospitals. Case-control studies failed to detect any significant common exposure except for the more frequent ingestion of antacids among the case patients. At least 4 different brands of antacids were used, however.

In April 1979, a laboratory-proficiency test (LPT) was conducted in the state where this outbreak occurred; L. monocytogenes was among several organisms tested. Improved inboratory proficiency alone could not have accounted for this outbreak, however, since 1) $97 \%$ of the laboratories participating in the LPT correctly identified Listeria, 2) there was no variation in the frequency of isolation of microorganisms with which Listeria is commonly confused, either in the individual hospitals or in the state laboratory, where some strains were sent, and 3) $77 \%$ of the case isolates were serotype 4b, but only $42 \%$ of $L$ isteria organisms in a previous 5 -year period were of this serotype.

Southeastern state: An outbreak of listeriosis, involving 1 woman and 5 men, occurred among renal transplant patients in a southeastern hospital. Four had received transplants in August or September 1979 and had onset of disease shortly thereafter. Two other patients had transplants before July 1979; 1 was admitted for listeriosis and the other for chronic rejection. All 6 patients developed infections with L. monocytogenes between August and mid-October 1979. Five cases were nosocomial, and the 1 possibly communityacquired case had repeated exposure to the hospital's transplantation clinic before acquiring disease. L. monocytogenes serotype 1 b was isolated from 4 patients (blood in 1 , CSF in 1, and blood and CSF in 2). L. monocytogenes organisms of serotype 1a and 4b were recovered from CSF specimens from the other 2 patients.

Comparison of case patients with control patients, matched for date of transplantation, revealed that pulmonary infiltrates consistent with pneumonia occurred more frequently among the cases either before or at the time of the diagnosis of Listeria infection. However, Gram stain, cultures of expectorated sputum, and bronchial washings did not confirm that L. monocytogenes was the etiologic agent for the pneumonia. Further case-control analysis failed to demonstrate significant differences in age, sex, or medications between the 2 groups.

National surveillance: When CDC learned of these outbreaks, monthly surveillance reports from NNIS hospitals were reviewed for nosocomial infections with L. monocytogenes. Excluding the epidemic cases from the 2 involved states, only 6 cases of nosocomial listeriosis were noted among 83 NNIS hospitals. Participants in NNIS were further surveyed by telephone to identify additional possible cases of disease which had not been reported, but only 2 more cases of listeriosis were identified. In comparison with reports from previous years, more cases of $L$. monocytogenes infections were identified in NNIS hospitals in 1979 (Table 2), but 7 of the 16 reports for that year were from 2 of the outbreak-associated hospitals described above.
Reported by Hospital Infections Br, and Special Pathogens Br, Bacterial Diseases Div, Bur of Epidemiology, Bacterial Immunology Br, Bacteriology Div, Bur of Laboratories, CDC.
TABLE 2. Nosocomial Listeria monocytogenes infections, by year, NNIS, January 1, 1975-October 30, 1979

| Year | Cases |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 8 |
| 1976 | 9 |
| 1977 | 6 |
| 1978 | 7 |
| 1979 | $16^{*}$ |

[^6]Editorial Note: The simultaneous occurrence of outbreaks of this unusual infection among several hospitals initially suggested possible exposure to a contaminated commercial product with widespread distribution. The epidemiologic characteristics, however, were different among the cases in the 2 involved states, and a common product was not identified.

The outbreak in the southeastern state was limited to the renal transplant unit of 1 hospital and involved only immunocompromised patients. In that investigation, neither the source nor the mode of transmission was identified.

The outbreaks involving 8 hospitals in the northeastern state appear to be unusual. Although an intensive investigation has failed to identify a common exposure to medical devices or products, the prominence of gastrointestinal symptoms at or before clinical onset of Listeria infection and the significant association of antacid therapy with disease raise the possibility of an enteric exposure. Investigation is continuing in this area.

NNIS surveillance reports did not lend supporting evidence for a greater problem with nosocomial listeriosis. Review of NNIS data for a 5 -year period, however, did show a predominance of reported cases between May and October (Figure 1). The clusters of epidemic cases in the 2 states, as well as the cases identified in NNIS hospitals, raise the possibility that there is a seasonal pattern to this disease, with peaks in incidence occurring in the summer and fall.
FIGURE 1. Nosocomial Listeria monocytogenes infections reported through NNIS, January 1975 through October 1979*
MONTH

*Excludes epidemic cases from July-October 1979.
Surveillance Summary

## Waterborne Disease Outbreaks in the United States - 1978

In 1978, 32 outbreaks of acute waterborne disease involving 11,435 cases were reported to CDC. Although the total number of reported outbreaks has declined slightly since

## Waterborne Disease - Continued

1976, the total number of cases involved is the highest since the current surveillance system was initiated in 1971. Eighteen states reported at least 1 outbreak (Figure 2). As in previous years, Pennsylvania reported the largest number of outbreaks (21\%).
FIGURE 2. Waterborne disease outbreaks, United States, 1978


In 16 (50\%) outbreaks, the etiology was determined: Shigella (4 outbreaks), Giardia lamblia (4), parvovirus-like agents (3), Salmonella (2), a chemical (2), and Campylobacter fetus ssp. jejuni (1). The illness in the 16 outbreaks of undetermined etiology was characterized by upper or lower gastrointestinal symptomatology and in most instances an incubation period between 12-48 hours.

The majority of outbreaks ( $56 \%$ ) involved semipublic water supplies, that is, systems in institutions, camps, parks, or the like, that can be used by the general public; 12 (38\%) were in recreational areas such as campgrounds and resorts. Municipal water supplies accounted for only $31 \%$ of the outbreaks but $77 \%$ of the people affected. Individual water supplies accounted for $13 \%$ of the outbreaks. Of the 10 outbreaks related to municipal systems, treatment deficiencies were responsible for 6 . Of the 18 outbreaks related to semipublic water supplies, 8 (44\%) were caused by the use of untreated water.

Results of microbiologic tests of water samples were reported for 27 of the 30 outbreaks that were not due to chemical contamination. Evidence of contamination (presence of coliforms or pathogens) was found in $22(81 \%)$. Results of microbiologic examinations

[^7]
## Waterborne Disease - Continued

were reported in 3 of the 4 Giardia outbreaks; in only 1 was the coliform count elevated. G. lamblia cysts were recovered from water in 2 of these outbreaks.

Reported by participating State and Territorial Epidemiologists; Health Effects Research Laboratory, Environmental Protection Agency; Viral Diseases Div, Parasitic Diseases Div, Chronic Diseases Div, Field Services Div, and Water-Related Diseases Activity, Enteric Diseases Br, Bacterial Diseases Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Editorial Note: The average number of outbreaks reported in 1976-1978 (34) is a $40 \%$ increase over the 5 -year average for 1971-1975 (24). The increasing number of reported outbreaks of waterborne diseases in recent years is primarily because of more active surveillance in certain states. The totals given here are estimated to be but a fraction of the outbreaks that actually occurred.

The etiology of $55 \%$ of the 223 documented outbreaks reported to CDC since 1971 is unknown. Two agents, C. fetus ssp. jejuni and the parvovirus-like agent, were first found to be responsible for waterborne outbreaks in 1978 (1,2). These agents may have accounted for previous outbreaks of unknown etiology. Use of newer techniques, such as radioimmunoassay for parvovirus-like agents and selective culture media for Campylobacter, will expand the capability to make etiologic diagnoses of outbreaks, if appropriate specimens are collected.

Coliform counts are standard indicators of fecal contamination of water supplies. However, outbreaks of giardiasis can occur in the absence of elevated coliform counts (3).
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[^0]:    *Excludes all military-associated cases.

[^1]:    "Dalayed reports received for calendar yarr 1979 are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.
    tDelayed report: Polio, para.: Wash. +1 (1979)

[^2]:    NN: Not notififible.

[^3]:    NA: Not available.

[^4]:    NA：Not available．

[^5]:    "Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
    **Pneumonia and influenza
    $\dagger$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week, Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
    $\dagger \dagger$ Data not available. Figures are estimates based on average percent of regional totals.

[^6]:    *Only 2 of the outbreak-associated hospitals were participants in NNIS.

[^7]:    The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, circulation 96,486 , is published by the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia. The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly telegraphs to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday.
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