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Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Military to Civilian Transmission of Measles - IIlinois ${ }_{\text {N N N }}$ Nehraska

In several states, detailed case investigations of the source and spfead assonataith each reported case of measles have become an important component of efforts to eliminate the disease. During 1979, cases or outbreaks of measles among civilians and military dependents were traced to exposures to military personnel at bases in Alabama, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, and Missouri. Below are details of such outbreaks in 2 states-Illinois and Nebraska.

Illinois: On November 9, a 27-year-old pregnant employee at a day-care center at the Great Lakes Naval Base in Lake County, Illinois, had onset of a measles-like rash. Two weeks before, she had visited a dispensary for ill recruits located on the base, where her husband worked; several measles cases had been seen there. This employee normally worked with older children, but on November 7, during her prodrome, she substituted in the room where children aged 6-18 months are cared for.

By December 31, a total of 16 cases had occurred among enrolled children at the daycare center, which has a regular attendance of 157 (Figure 1A). The outbreak was almost entirely confined to the 13 unimmunized children $6-18$ months of age who regularly attended the day-care center: they had an attack rate of $77 \%$. Only 6 cases occurred in the older, regularly enrolled children, and 2 cases in children who attended irregularly. The overall vaccination level among enrolled children older than 15 months exceeded $95 \%$. Subsequently, a second outbreak of 8 cases occurred at a local elementary school (School A, Figure 1A). The apparent source was a 6 -year-old girl who attended both the day-care center and the school. She had been vaccinated at 9 months of age.

On October 27, a 5 -year-old girl from a different elementary school (School B) was hospitalized for measles at the Navy Regional Medical Center (NRMC) located on the base. On October 11, while visiting NRMC for another medical problem, she was presumably exposed to other measles patients. Ten additional cases occurred in her schoolmates and playmates (Figure 1B).

Further investigation revealed that measles was endemic at the base among recruits and other young trainees during 1979. Measles in military personnel accounted for 153 of the 165 cases (93\%) reported by Lake County through August 1979. Several additional instances of probable spread from this military focus to the civilian population were uncovered. Six unrelated cases in military dependents are believed to have been acquired at the NRMC. There was no known secondary spread. All 6 were in military dependents (Figure 1C). Four of these-2 hospitalized dependents and 2 young adults who visited hospitalized patients-are thought to have been exposed to recruits hospitalized for measles. The other 2 were children who attended the NRMC outpatient pediatric clinic and presumably were exposed there to children from the day-care center who were being treated for measles.
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## Transmission of Measles - Continued

Eleven other cases were found among military dependents, but no source or direct link with the cases occurring at the base was established. However, the majority of these cases occurred in late November and early December, when the increase in cases at the day-care center and at Schools A and B was occurring (Figure 1C). Only 14 out of a total of 69 cases (excluding recruits and other trainees) occurring in Lake County since September 1, 1979, did not have a relationship to the base (Figure 1C).

Immediate control measures were instituted. At the day-care center, children as young as 6 months were immunized. At affected schools in Lake County, all unimmunized susceptibles were identified and excluded from school. In addition, the Navy recently began measles vaccination of all new recruits and trainees at this base.
FIGURE 1. Measles cases, Lake County, Illinois, by date of onset of rash, September 9December 31, 1979
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Reported by A Larson, RN, C Lewandowski, RN, S Potsic, MD, J Wallis, RN, Lake County Health Dept; K Bushman, FD Danner, BJ Francis, MD, MPH, State Epidemiologist, L Holmes, RJ Martin, DVM, MPH, E Schoenberg, Illinois State Dept of Public Health; HMC D Fox, Cmdr A Gorske, MD, Lt R Rendin, MS, Capt R Seeley, MD, MPH, Capt H Shute, MD, Navy Regional Medical Center, Great Lakes, Illinois; Field Services Div, Bur of Epidemiologv, and Immunization Div, Bur of State Services, CDC.

Nebraska: An 18-year-old man from York County, Nebraska, attended a National Guard summer camp at Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, from May 29 to August 25. In August there were at least 3 serologically confirmed cases of measles among Army recruits at the Fort, and several cases of rash illness suspected to be measles. The guardsman developed a fever, cough, coryza, and conjunctivitis while en route to his home, and on September 2 had onset of a rash that lasted 5 days. Exactly 2 weeks later, his 15 -yearold sister had onset of rash. Three of her close friends at York High School also developed

## Transmission of Measles - Continued

a rash illness within the next 2 weeks, and 2 of these cases were serologically confirmed as measles.

Nebraska had been measles-free since June 1978. As a result of this introduction, an outbreak of 38 cases occurred in York County between September 2 and November 7. Nine cases were serologically confirmed, and 28 occurred in the York High School population. Subsequently, measles was reported in at least 3 neighboring counties.
Reported by V Kaeding, RN, York County Public Schools; J Cepure, RN, C Newlon, RN, PA Stoesz, MD, State Epidemiologist, Nebraska State Dept of Health; Immunization Div, Bur of State Services, cDC.
Editorial Note: Military bases have had significant problems with endemic measles and rubella for many years (7-3), with occasional documentation of transmission to the civilian population (4). The Navy day-care center outbreak described in this report is similar to an Air Force child-care center outbreak described earlier this year in Texas (5), and to an outbreak among very young children reported in May from an Army base in Kentucky. Subsequently, the Air Force has successfully controlled measles at Lackland Air Force Base by immunizing all susceptible recruits shortly after they begin training (6).

The Great Lakes Naval Training Center has undertaken a mass vaccination campaign of all new recruits and trainees to contain the current outbreak in Lake County, Illinois. The Department of Defense does not have a service-wide policy mandating routine immunization of susceptible recruits against measles.
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## Successful Programs to Prevent Pregnancy in Adolescents

In an attempt to determine whether or not health agencies can mount a successful program to prevent adolescent pregnancy, CDC contacted a large number of state, local, public, and private agencies which would be expected to have programs of this type. Several programs were visited. Four of them, which either had a demonstrated effect on the pregnancy or childbearing rate of the teenagers in their target areas or were unique in their intensive approach to the problem, are detailed below (1,2).*

The most intensive and effective program is that operated by the St. Paul Maternal and Infant Care (MIC) Project. This group has operated a multi-service health clinic within 1 or more of St. Paul's high schools since 1973.

The clinic is administratively separate from the school, and all records are private. One of the more active services is contraception counseling, screening, and examination. Contraceptives are not dispensed at the school. Teenagers using contraceptives are followed monthly; students who miss appointments are followed up.

Since the program was begun, the rate at which teenagers drop out of school after delivering their babies has fallen from $45 \%$ to less than $10 \%$. All young mothers who have remained in school have accepted contraception. There were no repeat pregnancies through 1978. The fertility rate fell significantly: from 79 births per 1,000 female students in the 1972-73 school year to 35 per 1,000 in the 1975-76 school year ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). * A more complete description of these and other programs was recently published in Advances in Planned Parenthood (2).

## Adolescent Pregnancy - Continued

There have been very few induced abortions. The clinic staff is aware of no accidental pregnancies among women using contraception.

Two other communities that have brought programs aimed at preventing pregnancy to the students in their schools are San Bernardino, California, and a small city in western Massachusetts. The San Bernardino County Health Department runs a Youth Counseling and Referral Program, which consists of one-to-one counseling sessions with teenage women who have visited the health department for a pregnancy test or abortion referral. One of 4 full-time social workers visits the teenager within 1 week of the health department visit. Held at the school, the counseling session covers such subjects as rumors about the side effects of contraception and the teenager's fear that her parents will find out she is using contraception. There are an average of 3 to 4 weekly visits and a follow-up visit 6 to 8 months later. Although the program is voluntary, more than $99 \%$ of eligible students participate. (In 1976, fewer than 10 of the 2,200 initial contacts declined to participate.)

The Family Planning Council of Western Massachusetts, Inc. operated a sexuality/contraception awareness program during the 1973-74 and 1975-76 school years. Held at a vocational high school, it led to a decline of more than $50 \%$ in the pregnancy rate of the female students. The program, consisting of two 2 -hour sessions a year, included a film showing, followed by long, small-group discussions with family-planning counselors, who were also available at a nearby office for later consultation. The program was cancelled in 1976. Since then, the pregnancy rate has risen again.
(Continued on page 21)

| TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States [Cumulative totals include revised and delayed reports through previous weeks.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISEASE | 2nd WEEK ENDING |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ 19751979 \end{gathered}$ | CUMULATIVE, FIRST 2 WEEKS |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jınuary } 12 . \\ 1980 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } 13 . \\ 1979^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { January 12, } \\ 1980 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } 13, \\ 1979^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ 1975-1979 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Aseptic meningitis | 60 | 56 | 42 | 103 | 127 | 81 |
| Brucellosis | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Chickenpax | 3,209 | 4.919 | 4.569 | 4.691 | 7,244 | 7.244 |
| Diphtheria | - | 5 | 5 | - | 10 | 10 |
| Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne \& unspec.) | 12 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 13 | 20 |
| Post-infectious | - | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Hepatitis, Viral: Type 8 | 232 | 249 | 249 | 362 | 428 | 466 |
| Type A | $323$ | 495 | 612 | 606 | 867 | 1.096 |
| Type unspecified | 161 | 155 | 155 | 267 | 294 | 287 |
| Malaria | 12 | 9 | 5 | 15 | 12 | 12 |
| Measies (rubeola) | 44 | 81 | 228 | 70 | 199 | 384 |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 41 | 57 | 28 | 64 | 87 | 59 |
| Civilian Military | 41 | 57 | 28 | 64 | 87 | 54 |
| Mumps | 200 | 230 | 495 | 273 | 364 | 894 |
| Pertussis | 15 | 37 | 30 | 23 | 62 | 59 |
| Ruballa (German measles) | 38 | 88 | 99 | 60 | 145 | 171 |
| Teranus | - | a | - | - | - | 1 |
| Tubarculosis | 352 | 399 | 451 | 533 | 726 | 726 |
| Tularemia | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Typhoid fever | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| Typhus fever, tick borne (Rky Mi. spotted) | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Venereal disemes: <br> Gonarmea: Civilian | 15,755 | 17,588 | 18,675 | 27.631 | 34.307 |  |
| Milizary | 453 | 448 | 613 | 674 | 34.307 1.153 | $\begin{array}{r} 34,985 \\ 1,128 \end{array}$ |
| Syphilis, primary \& secondary: Civilian | 488 | 428 | 428 | 807 | 862 | 862 |
| Military | 3 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 6 | 10 |
| Rabies in animals | 54 | 41 | 41 | 105 | 81 | 81 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | CUM. 1980 |  | CUM. 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Poliomyelitis: Total | - |
| Botulism ${ }^{\text {( }}$ (N. Mex. 1) | 1 | Paralytic | - |
| Congenital rubella syndrome (Mich. 1) | 2 | Psintacosis | - |
| Leprosy | 2 | Rabies in mant - | - |
| Leptospirosis (La. 1) | 1 | Trichinosis ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ (R.I. 1] | 1 |
| Plague | - | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) | $-$ |

[^0]$\uparrow$ Dalayed reports: Botulism: Utah +1; Rabies in Man: Ky. +1 ; Trichinosis: Wis. +1 .

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending January 12, 1980, and January 13, 1979 (2nd week)

| heporting area | ASEPTIC MENIN. GITIS | BRU. <br> CEL- <br> LOSIS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHICKEN } \\ & \text { POX } \end{aligned}$ | DIPHTHERIA |  | ENCEPHALITIS |  |  | HEPATITIS (VIRAL), BY TYPE |  |  | MALAhia |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary |  | Pastimfactious | B | A | Unspecitiod |  |  |
|  | 1880 | 1980 | 1980 | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 1980 } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | 1979* | 1980 | 198D | 1980 | 1980 | 1980 | cum. 1980 |
| UNITED STATES | 60 | - | 3,209 | - | - | 12 | 7 | - | 232 | 323 | 161 | 12 | 15 |
| NEW ENGLAND Maine | 6 | - | 372 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 18 | 14 | 13 | 2 | 2 |
| N.H. | - | - | 184 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Vr. | - | - | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 1 | - | 40 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 2 |
| R.I. | 1 | - | 42 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 3 5 | 11 | 2 | 2 |
| Conn. | 4 | - | 105 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 8 | 4 | 2 | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 4 | - | 106 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 20 | 17 | 5 | - | 1 |
| Upritata N.Y. <br> N.Y. City | - | - | 37 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 16 | 9 | 3 | - | 1 |
| N.J. | 4 | - | 69 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 8 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Pa. | NA | NA | NN | Na | - | NA | - | - | - | NA | NA | NA | - |
|  | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | - | NA | NA | NA | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL Ohiat | 3 | - | 1,563 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 13 | 14 | 10 | - | - |
| Ind. | - | - | 123 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| III. | N- | - | 470 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Mich. | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | Na | - | - | - | NA | NA | NA | - |
|  | 3 | - | 506 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 13 | 12 | B | - | - |
|  | - | - | 464 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| W.N. CENT <br> Minn. <br> lowa <br> Mo. <br> N. Dak. <br> S. Dak. $\dagger$ <br> Nebr. <br> Kans. | 1 | - | 405 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 9 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 | - | 340 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | 1 |
|  | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | - | NA | NA | NA | - |
|  | - | - | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | 8 | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | 1 | - | - |
| S ATLANTIC Del |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | - | 310 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 52 | 35 | 13 | - | - |
| Md. | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| D.c. | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | - | NA | NA | NA | - |
| Va | $\overline{5}$ | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| W. Vat | 5 | - | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 26 | 7 | 5 | - | - |
| N.C. | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | - | 203 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| S.c. | 8 | - | NN | - | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | 4 | 6 | - | - |
| Ga . | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 1 | - | - |
| Fla. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 12 | - | - | - |
|  | 1 | - | 90 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | - | - | - |
| E.S. CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | - | 79 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 19 | 14 | 2 | - | - |
| Tenn. | - | - | 78 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 8 | 6 | 1 | - | - |
| Ala. | 1 | - | NN | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| Mix. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ark. | 4 | - | 152 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 11 | 52 | 40 | - | - |
| La. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Okla | - | - | NN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tex. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - |
|  | 4 | - | 152 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 11 | 49 | 39 | - | - |
| MOUNTAIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mont. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3 | - | 128 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 24 | 44 | 33 | 3 | 4 |
| Idaho | - | - | 88 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colo. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| N, Mex. | $\overline{2}$ | - | 29 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 10 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Ariz. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Utah Nev. | - | - | NN | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 19 | 16 | 2 | 2 |
|  | $\sim$ | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7 | 9 | - | - |
|  | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 4 | - | - |
| PACIFIC <br> Wash. <br> Oreg. <br> Calif. $\dagger$ <br> Alaska <br> Hawaii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | - | 94 | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | 66 | 112 | 43 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 1 | - | 87 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 6 | 1 | 6 |  |
|  | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | - | , | - | 4 | 13 |  | - | - |
|  | 17 | - | $-$ | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 57 | 90 | 42 | 5 | 5 |
|  | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 |
|  | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


| Guarn P.R. | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | - | NA | NA | NA | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Na | NA | NA | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | - | NA | NA | NA | - |
| NN: Not motifis | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | - | NA | NA | NA | - |

[^1]NA: Not available
TThe following received for 1979 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.
Hep. A: Uniong delayed reports will be reflected in next week's cumulative totals: Chickenpox: Mont. +24 , Calif. +2 ; Hep. B: Ohio +1, S. Dak. +1 , W. Va. +1 ;

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending January 12, 1980, and January 13, 1979 (2nd week)

| REPORTING AREA | Measles (RUBEOLA) |  |  | meningococcal infections TOTAL |  |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | hubella |  | $\frac{\text { TETANUS }}{\substack{\text { CUM. } \\ 1980}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cum. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1979^{\prime}}{\text { cum. }}$ | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 1879* } \end{aligned}$ | 1880 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cum. } \\ & 1880 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| UNITED STATES | 44 | 70 | 199 | 41 | 64 | 87 | 200 | 273 | 15 | 38 | 60 | - |
| NEW ENGLAND | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 29 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 22 | - | - | - | - |
| N.H. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - |
| Vt. | 4 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| R.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| mid. ATLANTIC | 6 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Upstata N.Y. | - | - | 3 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| N.Y. City | 6 | 7 | 6 | 1 | , | 7 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| N.J. | NA | - | - | - | - | - | NA | - | NA | NA | 1 | - |
| Pa . | NA | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | NA | - | NA | NA | - | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 9 | 21 | 86 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 99 | 122 | 3 | 20 | 29 | - |
| Ohio | - | - | - | - | - | - | 42 | 42 | - | - | - | - |
| Ind. | - | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{8}$ | 8 | - |
| III. | Na | - | 58 | - | - | - | NA | 4 | NA | NA | - | - |
| Mich. | 8 | 10 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 43 | 52 | 1 | 9 | 16 | - |
| Wis. | 1 | 11 | 5 | - | - | - | 13 | 22 | - | 3 | 5 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | - | 2 | 6 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Minn. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| lowa | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Mo. | Na | - | 5 | - | 2 | 1 | NA | 1 | NA | NA | - | - |
| N. Dak. | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Kans. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 31 | 19 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - |
| Del. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | Na | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | NA | - | NA | NA | - | - |
| D.C. | N | - | - | - | - | 1 | Na | - | Na | N | - | - |
| Va . | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| W. Va | 1 | 1 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | $\underline{-}$ | 1 | - |
| N.C. | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| S.C. | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ga. | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fla | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 5 | - | - | 1 | - |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | 5 | 3 | 25 | 32 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Ky. | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 22 | 24 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Tenn. | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Ala. | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | - |
| Miss. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| W.S CENTRAL | 1 | 2 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Ark. | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| La. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Okla. | - | - | - | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 1 | 2 | 29 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - |
| MOUNTAIN | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 18 | 22 | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Mont. | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calo. | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| N. Mex. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | _ |
| Ariz. | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Utah | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Nev. | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - | $-$ | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| PACIFIC | 18 | 25 | 50 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 24 | 5 | 11 | 17 | - |
| Wash. | 1 | 1 | 36 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Oreg. | - | - | - | $-$ | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | - | 3 | 3 | - |
| Calif. | 17 | 22 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 13 | - |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | - |
| Hawaii | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - |
| Guam | NA | - | - | - | - | - | NA | - | NA | NA | - | - |
| P.R. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| V.I. | NA | - | - | - | - | - | Na | - | NA | Na | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | NA | - | 2 | - | - | - | NA | - | NA | NA | - | - |

NA: Not available.
*Delayed reports received for 1979 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending January 12, 1980, and January 13, 1979 (2nd weak)

| heporting area | TUBERCULOSIS |  | TULA. REMIA | TYPHDID FEVER |  | TYPHUS FEVEA (Tick-borne) [RMSF] |  | VENE REAL DISEASES (Civilian) |  |  |  |  |  | AABIES (in Animala) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | gonorrmea |  |  | SYPHILIS (Pri. \& See) |  |
|  | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1980 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CuM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { CUM. } \\ 1980 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM- } \\ & 1979 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM } \\ & 1979{ }^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES 352 |  | 533 | 3 | 5 | 5 | - | - 15.755 |  | 27,631 | 34.307 | 488 | 807 | 862 | 105 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 10 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | 639 | 1,060 | -90 | 12 | 29 | 19 | - |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 37 | . 66 | 80 |  | 2 | 12 | - |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$. | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | 38 | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 30 | 11 | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - |
| R.I. | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 222 | 391 | 372 | 6 | 9 | 15 | - |
| Conn. | 6 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 35 | 75 | - | $\cdots$ | - | - |
|  | 6 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 330 | 500 | 318 | 6 | 20 | 4 | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pe. | 21 | 79 | - | - | - | - | - | 742 | 2,399 | 3.014 | 41 | 110 | 94 | - |
|  | 6 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 92 | 2, 92 | 101 | 4 | 110 | 94 | - |
|  | 15 | 39 | - | - | - | - | - | 650 | 1,600 | 1.268 | 41 | 100 | 76 | - |
|  | NA | 日 | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | 286 | 601 | Na | 5 | 7 | - |
|  | NA | 26 | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | 421 | 1,044 | NA | 5 | 11 | - |
| EN. CE Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis, t | 33 | 38 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2,196 | 3,895 | 4.887 | 31 | 59 | 163 | 11 |
|  | 19 | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.139 | 1,954 | 1.091 | 5 | 9 | 36 | - |
|  | 10 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 116 | 255 | 142 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 2 |
|  | NA | 5 | - | NA | $\cdots$ | NA | - | NA | 290 | 1,888 | Na | 21 | 109 | 3 |
|  | 4 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 596 | 1,051 | 1,212 | 11 | 12 | 11 | - |
|  | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 345 | 345 | 554 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| W.N. CEN Minn. <br> lowe Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nabr, Kans. | 18 | 21 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 721 | 1.108 | 1,729 | - | 3 | 8 | 23 |
|  | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 233 | 233 | 339 | - | 1 | 3 | I |
|  | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 124 | 197 | 211 | - | - | - | 16 |
|  | NA | 3 | 1 | NA | - | NA | - | NA | 244 | 546 | NA | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 24 | 27 | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - | - | 19 | 39 | 62 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 5 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 84 | 125 | 67 | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | - |
|  | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 246 | 246 | 477 | - | - | 3 | - |
| S ATLA <br> Dal. <br> Md . <br> D.c. <br> V . <br> W. V a. <br> N.C. <br> S.C. <br> Ga. <br> Fla | 82 | 110 | - | - | - | - | - | 4.244 | 7,539 | 8,532 | 97 | 143 | 198 | 7 |
|  | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 65 | 142 | 162 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
|  | NA | 4 | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | 112 | 4,064 | NA | 8 | 14 | - |
|  | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 286 | 286 | 638 | 5 | 5 | 19 | - |
|  | 12 | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | 316 | 530 | 714 | 9 | 13 | 19 | - |
|  | 6 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 47 | 112 | 106 | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | 19 | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | 861 | 1,126 | 915 | 8 | 12 | 26 | - |
|  | 10 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | 791 | 1,053 | 813 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 3 |
|  | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 614 | 1,359 | 1.447 | 26 | 44 | 49 | 3 |
|  | 23 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.464 | 2,819 | 2,673 | 47 | 56 | 57 | 1 |
| Es. CENTRAL <br> Ky . <br> Tann. <br> Ala <br> Ming. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50 | 65 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1.693 | 2,163 | 3.279 | 72 | 75 | 48 | 6 |
|  | 7 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 233 | 322 | 302 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
|  | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 798 | 955 | 1,389 | 29 | 29 | 20 | 3 |
|  | 10 | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | 316 | 316 | 955 | 7 | 10 | 14 | $-$ |
|  | 33 | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | 346 | 570 | 633 | 31 | 31 | 12 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL <br> Ark. <br> La <br> Okla. <br> Tex. | 19 | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.137 | 3,913 | 5.284 | 89 | 174 | 109 | 45 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 240 | 336 | 456 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 12 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 231 | 231 | 578 | 34 | 34 | - | - |
|  | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 286 | 378 | 403 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,380 | 2,968 | 3,847 | 53 | 136 | 101 | 30 |
| MOUNTAIN <br> Mont. Idaho Wro. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah ${ }^{4}$ New. | 24 | 33 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 745 | 1. 241 | 1,249 | 13 | 14 | 8 |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | 1.241 | 1,249 115 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 1 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 54 | 64 | 33 | - | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 34 | 34 | 37 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | 14 | 14 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 108 | 252 | 324 | 9 | 9 | 6 | - |
|  | 3 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 131 | 196 | 183 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
|  | 6 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 240 | 352 | 307 | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | 68 | 59 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 125 | 234 | 191 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |
| PACIFIC $\mathrm{W}_{\text {Bth }}$. $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Calif. Alaska Hawaii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 95 | 142 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2,638 | 4,313 | 5,443 | 133 | 200 | 215 | 12 |
|  | 5 | 6 | _ | - | - | - | - | 294 | 475 | 279 | - | - | 9 | - |
|  | 11 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | NA | 141 | 430 | 2 | 3 | 9 | - |
|  | 78 | 120 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2,266 | 3,529 | 4,494 | 128 | 194 | 195 | 12 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 58 | 119 | 152 | - | , |  | - |
|  | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | 49 | 88 | 3 | 3 | 2 | - |
| Guam <br> P.R. <br> V.L. 1 <br> Pac. Trust Terr. <br> NA: | NA | - | - | NA | - | Na | - | NA | - | 6 | NA | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 46 | - | - | 16 | - |
|  | NA | - | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | - | 4 | NA | _ | 16 | _ |
|  | NA | - | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | - | 18 | NA | - | - | - |

tThe followints received for 1979 are not shown below but are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative 10 tals.
2; Syphilis (Civ.): Wis +3, V.I. +3.

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending January 12, 1980 (2nd week)

| REPORTING AREA | All Causes, ay age (years) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\& } 1^{* *} \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | REPORTING AREA | All CAluSes, by age (years) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pgi** } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{A G E S}{A L L}$ | $>65$ | 45.64 | 25-44 | $<1$ |  |  | $\underset{\text { AGES }}{\text { ALI }}$ | $>85$ | 45.64 | 25-44 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 725 | 491 | 153 | 27 | 44 | 40 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,529 | 900 | 379 | 106 | 81 | 48 |
| Boston, Mass. | 204 | 134 | 47 | 8 | 13 | 16 | Atlanta, Ga. | 190 | 113 | 44 | 19 | 3 | 7 |
| Bridgaport, Conn. | 48 | 34 | 12 | 1 | - | 2 | Baltimore, Md. | 301 | 167 | 74 | 31 | 8 | 3 |
| Cambridga, Mass. | 25 | 17 | 7 | - | - | 6 | Charlotte, N.C. | 81 | 38 | 25 | 7 | 8 | 3 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 34 | 32 | 1 | - | - | 1 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 135 | 90 | 35 | 3 | 7 | 9 |
| Hartiord, Conn. | 66 | 39 | 20 | 6 | - | 6 | Miami, Fla. | 112 | 62 | 33 | 7 | 6 | 1 |
| Lowell, Maxs. $\dagger \dagger$ | 30 | 22 | 6 | 1 | - | 2 | Narfolk, Va. | 67 | 47 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Lynn, Mass. $4 t$ | 22 | 17 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | Richmond, Va. | 138 | 67 | 35 | 8 | 21 | 9 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 18 | 16 | 2 | - | - | - | Savannah, Ga. | 44 | 24 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| Naw Haven, Conn. | 65 | 31 | 10 | 4 | 20 | 1 | St. Patersburg, Fia. | 111 | 90 | 18 | - | 2 | 4 |
| Providenca, R.I. | 72 | 47 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 1 | Tampa, Fla. | 80 | 54 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 5 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | Washington, D.C. | 228 | 127 | 63 | 11 | 20 | 4 |
| Springtield, Mass. | 43 | 30 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | Wilmington, Del. | 42 | 21 | 18 | 3 | - | - |
| Watarbury, Conn. | 36 | 29 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 57 | 40 | 15 | - | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTRAL | 842 | 528 | 214 | 44 | 26 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala. | 125 | 73 | 34 | 10 | 5 | 3 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 3,036 | 1,986 | 705 | 198 | 73 | 144 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 92 | 58 | 22 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 50 | 35 | 12 | - | 3 | 1 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 80 | 59 | 19 | 1 | - | 3 |
| Allentown, Pa | 22 | 17 | 5 | - | - | - | Louisville, Ky. | 162 | 107 | 35 | 5 | 6 | 15 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 115 | 71 | 28 | 12 | 2 | 9 | Memphis, Tenn. | 100 | 63 | 25 | 9 |  | 3 |
| Camden, N.J. | 49 | 34 | 10 | 4 | - | 2 | Mobile, Ala. | 75 | 47 | 21 | 3 | $\underline{-}$ | 3 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 26 | 14 | 11 | 1 | - | 3 | Montgomery, Ala. | 50 | 32 | 15 | 1 | - | 3 |
| Erie, Pa. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 39 | 32 | 6 | - | - | - | Nashville, Tenn. | 158 | 89 | 43 | 12 | 9 | 5 |
| Jarsey City, N.J. | 44 | 21 | 16 | 5 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nawark, N.J. | 79 | 35 | 25 | 6 | 10 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N.Y. | 1.624 | 1.071 | 363 | 116 | 36 | 76 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1.484 | 821 | 393 | 121 | 88 | 40 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 30 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | Austin, Tex. | 57 | 36 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Philedalphia, Pa. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 365 | 231 | 90 | 26 | 9 | 21 | Baton Roupa, Le. | 75 | 38 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Pitsburgh, Pa. $1 t$ | 152 | 93 | 41 | 8 | 5 | 6 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 40 | 28 | 9 | 2 | - | - |
| Reading, Pa | 31 | 25 | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | Dallas, Tax. | 234 | 132 | 60 | 23 | 12 | 5 |
| Rochester, N. Y. | 139 | 99 | 25 | 7 | 4 | 6 | El Paso, Tex. | 82 | 47 | 18 | 9 | 4 | 2 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 37 | 28 | 9 | 1 | - | 1 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 92 | 59 | 19 | 9 | 2 | 3 |
| Scranton, Pa. ${ }^{\text {St }}$ | 31 | 22 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 | Houston, Tex. | 318 | 129 | 105 | 36 | 28 | 5 |
| Syracusa, N.Y. <br> Trenton, N.J. | 108 | 66 | 25 | 9 | 2 | 2 | Little Rock, Ark. | 80 | 51 | 23 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Utics, N.Y. | 36 29 | 23 22 | 13 6 | - | - | 4 | Naw Orleans, La. | 136 223 | 70 140 | 37 59 | 8 | 15 | 6 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 30 | 24 | 5 | - | - | 2 | Shreveport, La. | 34 | +21 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tulsa, Okla. | 113 | 70 | 26 | 6 | 6 | 10 |
| EN. CENTRAL | 2,704 | 1.693 | 619 | 175 | 118 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Akron, Ohio | 76 | 48 | 19 | 3 | 3 | - | MOUNTAIN | 764 | 469 | 186 | 49 | 30 | 39 |
| Canton, Ohio | 42 | 26 | 13 | 3 | - | 4 | Albuquerque, N. Mex. | 75 | 42 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Chicago, III. | 629 | 390 | 140 | 43 | 28 | 19 | Colo. Spring, Colo. | 32 | 19 | 8 | 5 | - | 5 |
| Cincinnati, Ohia | 158 | 101 | 38 | 12 | 6 | 7 | Denver, Colo. | 160 | 104 | 44 | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 167 | 93 | 38 | 13 | 14 | 4 | Lax Vegas, Nev. | 98 | 47 | 29 | 12 | 3 | 8 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 130 | 71 | 44 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Ogden, Utah | 16 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 108 | 71 | 23 | 4 | 7 | 3 | Phoanix, Ariz. | 190 | 123 | 36 | 7 | 14 | 2 |
| Datroit, Mich. | 344 | 204 | 80 | 27 | 21 | 8 | Puablo, Colo. | 21 | 15 | 5 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Eyansville, Ind. | 68 | 43 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 8 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 55 | 32 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 54 | 39 | 7 | 4 | - | - | Tucson, Ariz. | 117 | 79 | 29 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Gary, Ind. | 20 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 77 | 54 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 208 | 127 | 43 | 20 | 13 | 3 | PACIFIC | 2. 144 | 1,443 | 439 | 129 | 73 | 78 |
| Madison, Wis. | 52 | 31 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 2 | Berkeley, Calif. | 25 | 18 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 193 | 136 | 41 | 6 | 6 | 2 | Fresno, Calif. | 79 | 55 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Peoria, Ill. | 71 | 42 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 2 | Glendale, Calif. | 52 | 43 | 6 | 3 | - | - |
| Rockford, III. | 40 | 24 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 55 | 37 | 14 | 2 | - | 7 |
| South Bend. Ind. | 69 | 43 | 18 | 5 | - | 1 | Long Beach, Calif. | 110 | 71 | 25 | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 131 | 94 | 25 | 4 | - | 3 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 722 | 489 | 133 | 56 | 20 | 22 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 67 | 45 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 1 | Oakland, Calif. | 67 | 48 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 47 | 38 | 4 | - | 2 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Oreg. | 166 | 106 | 33 | 9 | 13 | 2 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 885 | 606 | 160 | 55 | 31 | 28 | Sacramento, Calif. | 92 | 58 | 17 | 11 | 4 | 4 |
| Des Moines, lowa | 89 | 67 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 1 | San Diego, Calif. | 96 | 66 | 15 | 7 | 4 | - |
| Duluth, Minn. | 14 | 11 | 3 | - | - | 3 | San Francisco, Calif. | 148 | 93 | 39 | 10 | 5 | 7 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 47 | 22 | 15 | 4 | 1 | - | San Jose, Calif. | 223 | 143 | 56 | 12 | 7 | 7 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 137 | 94 | 23 | 7 | 10 | 2 | Seattle, Wash. | 153 | 100 | 39 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Lincoln, Nabr. | 34 | 26 | 6 | 1 | - | 4 | Spakane, Wash. | 74 | 52 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 99 | 69 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 4 | Tacoma, Wash. | 35 | 26 | 7 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 102 | 72 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St Louis, Mo. | 161 | 111 | 30 | 8 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St Paul, Minn. | 97 | 71 | 13 | 10 | - | - | TOTAL | 14,113 | 8,937 | 3,248 | 904 | 562 | 537 |
| Wichita, Kans. | 105 | 63 | 29 | 7 | 3 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]
## Adolescent Pregnancy - Continued

The Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, with the efforts of Planned Parenthood of Maryland, directs a program in education about human sexuality for adolescents in 2 rural areas, each consisting of 3 counties. Each program is under local control and has a great deal of input from county health departments and local school boards. Teacher-training workshops and special training sessions for health department staff have been held. Three of the 6 counties experienced a $36 \%$ drop in the birth rate for 15- to 17 -year-olds between 1972 (the year before the program began) and 1975. Although the decline was not as remarkable in the other 3 counties, there is preliminary evidence that the program may be working. Continual evaluation is underway.
Reported by EA Brann, MD, New York City; T Callicott, San Bernardino, California; L Edwards, MD, St. Paul-Ramsey Hospital, St. Paul, Minnesota; JL Pitts, MD, MPH, JK Seegar, MD, JL Stine, A Zachary, MD, MPH, Maryland State Dept of Health and Mental Hygiene; E Story, The Family Planning Council of Western Massachusetts, Inc., Northampton, Massachusetts; and the Family Planning Evaluation Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Editorial Note: According to a study completed at CDC in 1978 (3), an estimated 273,000 unintended births occurred in the United States in 1974 among teenage women 15-19 years old. An additional 322,000 births among this age group were intended. These estimates do not include the estimated 237,000 induced abortions undergone by females 15-19 in that year.

Particularly striking among the reports in this article are those from St. Paul and western Massachusetts. These 2 areas have targeted populations which are not college bound and which have traditionally been viewed as very hard to reach with the message that pregnancy should be delayed beyond the high school years. Both areas have had remarkable success with programs that do not rely on abortion. The evidence is that they have also reduced the abortion rates. What these programs have apparently done is to change teenagers' childbearing intentions. Students previously ambivalent about contraception and childbearing are now effectively using contraceptives.
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## Measles and Measles Vaccine Reactions Among College Students - Wisconsin

An outbreak of 22 cases of measles occurred at Marquette University from September 5 through October 15, 1979. Seventeen patients demonstrated a 4 -fold or greater rise in complement-fixing antibody, when tested at the Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene. All cases occurred in students ranging from 18-21 years of age. The mean number of school days lost per patient was 5.6. Two students developed atypical measles and required hospitalization. Another outbreak involving 20 cases at the University of WisConsin subsequently occurred; the source was a Marquette University case.

Transmission occurred primarily among dormitory residents and classroom contacts. Measles immunization was recommended for all students who could not verify a personal record of live-virus measles vaccination or physician-diagnosed disease. Special emphasis was given to immunizing the identified high-risk groups (dormitory residents and classroom contacts of measles patients).

During a 3 -week interval, 1,316 students, which represents $13 \%$ of the total enrollment

## Measles Among College Students - Continued

and includes $33 \%$ of all dormitory residents, were immunized. Only 1 additional generation of cases, involving 2 cases, occurred after the vaccination program.

To gauge the frequency and severity of vaccine reactions, questionnaires were distributed to 2 dormitories, which had 1,464 student occupants; 1,114 ( $76 \%$ ) were returned. Of the respondents, 396 ( $36 \%$ ) had been immunized during the vaccination program at Marquette; the other 718 served as controls for a study of vaccine reactions.

The incidence of fever and rash within 21 days of vaccination was significantly higher among the recent vaccinees than in controls (fever: $12 \%$ vs. $7 \%$, rash: $4 \%$ vs. $2 \%$, respectively) (Table 1). Pain and swelling at the vaccination site occurred in $5 \%$ of the vaccinees. There was no difference between the 2 groups in the rate of illness severe enough to require bed rest ( $5 \%$ of vaccinees, $5 \%$ of controls).
Reported by HI Dobbs, MD, Marquette University Student Health Service; JP Davis, MD, State Epidemiologist, D Hopfensperger, MP Neuworth, Wisconsin State Dept of Health and Social Services; DB Nelson, Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene; Field Services Div, Bur of Epidemiology, and Immunization Div, Bur of State Services, CDC.
Editorial Note: In a previous outbreak in California in 1977, high vaccine reaction rates were reported among college students (1). However, in the present controlled study, there were no statistically significant differences between vaccinees and controls for most of the symptoms evaluated (Table 1). Fever and rash were more frequent among vaccinees, but these differences were not great. In contrast to the previous study, vaccinees did not require bed rest more frequently than non-vaccinees in the 21 days following vaccination.

In a recent study of known-susceptible Air Force recruits vaccinated against measles, there was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of dispensary visits, hospitalizations, eye pain, pharyngitis, coryza, cough, myalgias, joint pain, diarrhea, or headache in those who received measles vaccine compared to those who did not; there was a small increase in reports of fever (2).

These findings among susceptible recruits and among college students with an unknown immunity status, many of whom were undoubtedly immune, support the views that reactions to vaccine are not age-related and that the risk of vaccine reactions is not enhanced among persons who previously received live measles vaccine or had measles (3).
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TABLE 1. Rates of symptoms among vaccinees and unvaccinated controls during 21 days following vaccination, Wisconsin, 1979

| Symptom | Percentage of vaccinees | Percentage of unvaccinated controls | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=396$ | $N=714$ |  |
| Fever | 12 | 7 | $<.05$ |
| Rash | 4 | 2 | $<.05$ |
| Sore throat | 27 | 26 | $>.05$ |
| Cough | 24 | 23 | $>.05$ |
| Coryza | 37 | 31 | $>.05$ |
| Headache | 27 | 22 | $>.05$ |
| Illness requiring bed rest | 5 | 5 | $>.05$ |
| Pain and swelling at vaccination site | 5 | - |  |

## Influenza - United States

Since November 1979, influenza B viruses have been isolated in 15 states. In addition to earlier reports (1,2), influenza B was isolated in Alaska, Michigan, New York, Ohio, and Washington from patients in localized outbreaks or patients with sporadic illnesses occurring between December 17 and January 2. Isolation of influenza B viruses, previously reported from Hawaii in July through October 1979 (3), continued sporadically in November and December. Infections occurred primarily among children, but viruses were also isolated from adults, including the elderly. No widespread outbreaks of influenzalike illness have been reported in the United States, although regional outbreaks of influenza-like activity were reported by Idaho and Oregon for the week ending January 5.

Reye syndrome associated with influenza B infection was reported in a 10 -year-old girl in Oregon and in an 11-year-old boy in Ohio, both of whom had onset of illness in December.

An influenza $\mathrm{A} /$ Texas $/ 1 / 77(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~N} 2)$-like strain was also isolated, from a specimen obtained on December 26 from a 14 -year-old boy in Chicago. This appeared to be a sporadic case.
Reported by D Ritter, DF Tirador, MD, State Epidemiologist, Alaska State Dept of Health and Social Services; M Beem, MD, Dept of Pediatrics, University of Chicago; BJ Francis, MD, State Epidemiologist, Illinois State Dept of Public Health; G Kobayashi, Virology Section, NH Wiebenga, MD, State Epidemiologist, Hawaii Dept of Health; J Allard, PhD, Laboratory Section, JW Taylor, MD, State Epidemiologist, Washington State Dept of Social and Health Services; M Kaplan, MD, Nassau County Medical Center, New York; R Rothenberg, MD, State Epidemiologist, New York State Dept of Health; D Nelson, JP Davis, State Epidemiologist, Wisconsin State Dept of Health and Sacial Services; State Epidemiologists for Michigan, Ohio, and Oregon; WHO Collaborating Center for Influenza, Virology Div, Bur of Laboratories, Immunization Div, Bur of State Services, CDC.
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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Asbestos Exposure - Globe, Arizona

Two miles east of Globe, Arizona, is a 44 -home mobile housing subdivision with approximately 120 residents. The subdivision was built in 1973 on the property of a currently inactive asbestos mill, and mill tailings were used as landfill during the initial grading of the site. The abandoned mill building still stands in the midst of the subdivision, with asbestos-laden equipment indoors and small piles of asbestos tailings outdoors. The $35-40$ children in the area and others have ready access to these materials and have been observed playing in asbestos-containing soil.

An active, operating mill is situated 1,000 feet to the east and upwind of the mobile homes, with a large pile of asbestos tailings approximately 1,500 feet from the homes. Visible airborne dust arising from these sites is noted by residents of the subdivision during mill operation and on windy days.

In a preliminary study conducted by the Arizona State Department of Health Services in December 1979 and January 1980, selected samples of surface soil from 44 of the 50 mobile home lots contained asbestos in concentrations ranging from $5 \%$ to $60 \%$. Initial indoor air samples revealed concentrations of asbestos fibers ( $>5$ microns) which ranged from $<0.01$ fibers/cc to 0.05 fibers/cc in the undisturbed state; concentrations ranged as

## Asbestos Exposure - Continued

high as 0.35 fibers/cc during household activities such as vacuuming. (The current occupational asbestos standard recommended by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health [NIOSH] is 0.1 fibers/cc for an 8-hour, time-weighted average [1].) Initial studies of the water supply did not reveal asbestos fibers.

More detailed environmental monitoring (including electron microscopy for specific fiber determinations) and evaluation of asbestos exposure in this community are underway. The state of Arizona has requested the involved mill property owners to develop plans for covering over the asbestos tailings to limit further releases. Plans to expeditiously evacuate the residents of the subdivision are underway.
Reported by A Kelter, MD, B Scott, PE, K Starko, MD, Acting State Epidemiologist, Arizona State Dept of Health Services; Industrywide Studies Br, NIOSH, and Chronic Diseases Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Editorial Note: The known long-term risks associated with asbestos include asbestosis, lung cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, and mesothelioma (the latter reported even after relatively brief exposures and unrelated to smoking patterns) (2,3). Children are of particular concern because of their long life expectancy. It should be noted that the NIOSH recommended standard was not designed for the population-at-large, which may be exposed up to 24 hours per day, or for children playing directly with asbestos-containing materials.
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[^0]:    -Delayed reports received for calendar year 1979 are used to update last year's weekly and cumulative totals.

[^1]:    Delat not fiable

[^2]:    "Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in tha United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

    - "Pneumonia and influenza
    $t$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weaks.
    t \$Data not available. Figures are estirnates based on average percent of regional totals.

