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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Measles - Florida, 1981

A total of 88 confirmed cases of measles, with onset of rash from July 16 through November 11, 1981, were reported from Lee County, Florida.

The source of the outbreak was not clearly determined, although at least 3 independent introductions of measles into the county have been documented. Most of the cases were attributed to transmission from an 11 -year-old Lee County resident who infected several other children at an indoor skating rink. The child also exposed members of a drum and bugle corps. Following several generations of the disease during the summer months, an outbreak with school-based transmission developed within 1 incubation period after the opening of schools on August 24.

Of 88 persons with measles, $18(20.5 \%)$ were $0-4$ years old, $19(21.6 \%)$ were $5-9$ years old, 14 ( $15.9 \%$ ) were $10-14$ years old, 27 ( $30.7 \%$ ) were $15-19$ years old, and 10 ( $11.4 \%$ ) were $\geqslant 20$ years old. Fifty persons ( $56.8 \%$ ) were attending school or day-care centers at the time they became ill with measles: 21 in high schools, 2 in middle schools, 20 in elementary schools, and 7 in day-care centers.

Local authorities initially responded to the outbreak by ordering a review of 35,000 student immunization records to identify all students who did not have adequate evidence of immunity to measles." Three high schools in which there were measles cases excluded susceptible students. Ultimately, students from 5 high schools, 2 middle schools, 11 elementary schools, and 3 day-care centers throughout the county had measles. On October 12 a county-wide school-exclusion order went into effect. Students, including those with medical or religious exemptions from vaccination, who could not show adequate evidence of immunity were not allowed to enter school. Special programs were conducted to review records and to administer vaccines.

At the time of initial record review in the 3 high schools, approximately $50 \%$ of the students lacked adequate evidence of immunity to measles. Many records were merely certificates of immunization without vaccination dates and thus were unacceptable documentation of immunity. By the first day of school exclusion, however, only $5 \%-10 \%$ of the students lacked adequate records.

Daily adjustments were made to each school's exclusion list as students returned with completed vaccination records (Figure 1). A sharp decline in the number of students excluded from school occurred over the first 3 school days after the exclusion policy was implemented. According to data from all 5 high schools, 7 of 9 middle schools, and 25 of 28 elementary schools, by the end of the third day, less than $1 \%$ of enrolled students were out of school be-
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cause of inadequate evidence of immunity to measles. The number of excluded students declined on each subsequent day. Implementation of the school-exclusion policy resulted in only minimal disruption of school attendance and activities.
Reported by JW Lawrence, MD, BC Fowler, RN, MC Hennings, RN, AE Corriveau, RN, ML DuWell, RN, HF Fancy, MD, RM Heier, RN, C Paluso, EL Peterson MD, PF Rohaley, Lee County Health Dept, RV Pottorf, RW Stewart, PhD, J Capshaw, M Skolfield, RN, Lee County District School Board, Fort Myers, EE Buff, MS, ES Campbell, R Curtiss RN, RE Hewett, OM Hoda, WM Holland, KA Morehead, FS Murray, DL Roach, GA Spencer, RA Gunn, MD, MPH, State Epidemiologist, Florida State Dept of Health and Rehabilitative Svcs; Immunization Div, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: The outbreak in Lee County illustrates that schools are an important source of measles transmission in Florida, where a strengthened school immunization law was enacted this year (1). Although widespread transmission of measles occurred in schools through-

FIGURE 1. Susceptible students excluded from attending Lee County schools*, measles outbreak control program, September-October 1981
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out the county, application of the emergency provisions of the law effected swift control of the outbreak. Control measures were successful because of close cooperation among the county school board, the county health department, private physicians, and the public.

Exclusion from school attendance resulted in only brief absences for most of the susceptible students. In several schools the number of medical and religious exemptions also declined, suggesting that some individuals reconsidered the importance of vaccination in the face of a measles outbreak. Most students lacking evidence of measles immunity complied with the requirements and were quickly readmitted to school (Figure 1). This has been noted in other outbreaks where a school-exclusion policy has been employed (2-4).

Additional evidence from Florida demonstrates the importance of school-based control measures (Figure 2). In a review of 219 of the 222 confirmed measles cases in Florida reported during the first 34 weeks of 1981, ending August 29, cases were studied with respect to

FIGURE 2. Reported measles cases, by age group and immunity status, Florida*, JanuaryAugust 1981

*219 cases, weeks 1-34, 1981
tA potentially preventable case is defined as measles illness in a person at least 15 months of age, born after 1956, who lacks adequate evidence of immunity to measles.
末<15 mo.
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age, school and day-care center attendance, and immunity status. Of 219 persons with measles, $165(75.3 \%)$ were between 5 and 19 years of age. The school-age population therefore accounted for the majority of measles cases. Of the 165 measles cases among school children, 107 ( $64.8 \%$ ) were potentially preventable because the students lacked adequate evidence of immunity to measles." An additional 43 patients ( $19.6 \%$ of 219 cases studied) were under 5 years of age. Of these, only 8 who were enrolled in day-care centers and were old enough to receive measles vaccine poteritially could have been reached by school-based control measures.

The available data suggest that Florida has chosen the most effective way to prevent measles-vigorous application of the new school immunization law with exclusion of noncompliant students from school (5). Beginning with the 1982-83 school year another provision of the law will require all students from kindergarten through 12 th grade to show adequate evidence of immunity to measles and other vaccine-preventable diseases in order to attend school. Widespread use of the powers granted by the school immunization law will be an important part of the strategy to eliminate measles in Florida.

## References

1. Florida Statutes, s.232.032, 1980 Supplement; amended 7/2/81, CS/HB 559.
2. Middaugh JP. Zyla LD. Enforcement of school immunization law in Alaska. JAMA 1978: 239;2128-30.
3. CDC. Enforcement of a state's immunization law for entering school children-Detroit. MMWR 1978;27:7.
4. CDC. School immunization requirements for measles-United States, 1981. MMWR 1981; 30:158-60.
5. Robbins KB, Brandling-Bennett AD. Hinman AR. Low measles incidence: association with enforcement of school immunization laws. Am J Public Health 1981;71:270-4.
-Documentation of live measles vaccine administered on or after the first birthday or history of physician-diagnosed measles.

## Suspected Hepatitis A in a Food Handler - California

On April 28, 1981, a 35 -year-old man was seen in a Modesto, California, hospital emergency room for nausea, vomiting, dark urine, and jaundice. He reported contact 2-3 weeks earlier with an ill friend who had "yellow eyes." Liver-function studies were consistent with hepatocellular damage. His serum was negative for hepatitis $B$ surface antigen (HBsAg); no test was done for hepatitis $A$ immunoglobulin $M$ antibody ( IgM anti-HAV). A diagnosis of hepatitis A was made, but the case was not reported.

On May 1 the man's wife came to a public health clinic requesting immunoglobulin (IG). She reported the same contact with the sick friend as her husband had, and she had noted light stools, dark urine, right-upper-quadrant abdominal pain, and malaise for 2 days. She was referred to her own physician for evaluation. Liver-function studies were not done. Her serum tests were negative for HBsAg. A clinical diagnosis of hepatitis A. was made on the basis of symptoms, recent contact with a jaundiced person, and a negative HBsAg test.

The woman had worked at a local restaurant preparing salads and sandwiches until May 1. Health-department investigation revealed questionable hygienic practices at the restaurant. About 100-500 meals were served daily by the 4 -person staff. The other staff members had
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no symptoms but ate food prepared by the ill employee. Based on this information, consideration was given to recommending IG administration to the staff and to all customers who had eaten at the restaurant in the preceding 14 days. However, since more-specific diagnostic tests for hepatitis were available, with results possible within 48 hours, confirmation of the clinical impression was sought before public-health action was taken. Test results for the woman were negative for HBsAg , antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen (anti- HBs ), antibody to hepatitis B core antigen (anti-HBc), and $\operatorname{IgM}$ anti-HAV, but positive for anti-HAV (total IgM and immunoglobulin $G[I g G]$ antibody to hepatitis $A$ virus). Liver-function tests were normal. The positive anti-HAV and negative IgM anti-HAV results indicated previous hepatitis A infection and immunity to reinfection. Therefore, administration of IG to the other employees at the restaurant and to recent customers was not required.
Reported by D Fredson, RN, K Kelly, MD, Stanislaus County Dept of Public Health, RR Roberto, California Dept of Health Svcs, in the California Morbidity Weekly Report No. 30, August 7, 1981.
Editorial Note: Although no other diagnosis was made, laboratory tests of the female patient described above did not confirm current hepatitis $A$ infection. This report illustrates 2 important points: 1) the need to confirm a clinical impression of viral hepatitis-like illness with appropriate liver-function tests, and 2) the role that specific hepatitis A antibody tests can play in the diagnosis and public health management of food handlers with suspected hepatitis.

In hepatitis A infection, $\lg M$ anti-HAV is usually present at the onset of symptoms and remains detectable for 2-3 months. IgG antibody to HAV appears 2-4 weeks after onset of illness and usually persists for life. Two hepatitis $A$ serologic tests are currently available: 1) The $\lg \mathrm{M}$ anti-HAV test (HAVAB-M*) is specific for $\lg M$ antibody. A positive $\operatorname{lgM}$ anti-HAV test is diagnostic of acute or very recent hepatitis A infection. 2) The anti-HAV test (HAVAB) measures total antibody (IgM and IgG). Since the anti-HAV test does not differentiate between $\operatorname{lgM}$ and $\lg G$ antibodies, a positive result is not specific for acute hepatitis $A$. The test is positive both for persons with acute hepatitis $A$ and for persons who have had hepatitis $A$ in the past. To diagnose acute hepatitis $A$, the $\operatorname{lgM}$ anti-HAV test is required. The commercially marketed HAVAB-M and HAVAB tests are available in many clinical and some public health laboratories which have the capability to do radioimmunoassay (RIA) tests.

Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Ser-
vice or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

## Influenza A Isolation - New Jersey

CDC has received the first report of an isolation of influenza A virus in the United States for the 1981-1982 season. On November 10, 1981, a patient at a psychiatric facility in Burlington County, New Jersey, complained of dizziness and was noted to have an upper respiratory infection with a nonproductive cough and $100 \mathrm{~F}(37.8 \mathrm{C})$ temperature. His condition worsened overnight, and the patient, a 78-year-old man with diabetes, became cyanotic and short of breath on November 11. He was admitted to Burlington County Memorial Hospital in respiratory distress and was observed to be hypotensive and febrile and to have diffuse alveolar infiltrates. His condition deteriorated rapidly, and he required mechanical ventilation. During the next 2 weeks his clinical condition improved, and by December 4, he was alert and clinically stable, but on respiratory support.

On October 21, this patient and 38 others on his ward in the psychiatric facility had been

Influenza A - Continued
against influenza according to recommendations (1) on October 21. No other patients contracted respiratory infections, but 9 unvaccinated employees of the 135 who attended patients on the ward had influenza-like illnesses during November. Four employees, ranging in age from 23 to 56 had onset of illness on November 10, the same day the patient was noted to have symptoms. The other 5 employees had onset of illness on November 12, 19, 20 (2 employees), and 28.

Influenza virus type $A(H 1 N 1)$, isolated by the New Jersey State Department of Health Laboratories from throat washings collected from the psychiatric patient after hospitalization on November 11, has been characterized at CDC as similar to A/England/333/80 (1). Serum samples collected from this patient on November 11 and 25 demonstrated hemagglutination-inhibition antibody titers of 160-320 to recently prevalent strains of influenza $A(H 1 N 1)$. No specimens were collected from the ill employees for virus isolation, but their convalescent serum specimens will be compared with those from a group of well employees of similar age for evidence of recent infection with influenza.
Reported by C Seymour, RN, Burlington County Memorial Hospital, W Pizzuti, B Taylor, PhD, B Mojica MD, V Traister, BSN, R Altman, MD, W Parkin DVM, State Epidemiologist, New Jersey State Dept of Health; Field Services Div, Epidemiology Program Office, Viral Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
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TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States

| DISEASE | 48th WEEK ENDING |  |  | CUMLLATIVE, FIRST 48 WEEKS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deambar } 5 \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Novamber } 29 \\ 1980 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEOIAN } \\ \text { 1978-1gato } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Decambar } 5 \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Novantar } 29 \\ 1980 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & \text { 1976-1980 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Aseptic manningitis | 125 | 127 | 127 | 8. 574 | 7.262 | 6.074 |
| Brucellosis | 3 | - | 1 | 150 | 167 | 169 |
| Chickenpax | 2,300 | 2.711 | 2.622 | 182:413 | 171.450 | 171.458 |
| Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod borna \& unspec. $\downarrow$ | 14 | 23 | 20 | 1.317 | 1.122 | 1.113 |
| Post-infectious | 4 | 1 | 5 | 81 | 202 | 202 |
| Gonorrhea: Civilian | 17.175 | 16,913 | 19.839 | 923.482 | 929.698 | 929.698 |
| Military | 464 | 263 | 461 | 25,143 | 24.753 | 24.753 |
| Hepatitis: Type A | 504 | 502 | 598 | 23.089 | 26.096 | 27.392 |
| Hopatis: Type B | 475 | 353 | 307 | 19.033 | 16.785 | 13.758 |
| Type unspecified | 219 | 214 | 214 | 10.103 | 10.743 | 8.145 |
| Leprosy | 11 | 7 | 5 | 229 | 202 | 145 |
| Malaria | 21 | 54 | 8 | 1.241 | 1.876 | 691 |
| Masales (rubeola) | 37 | 49 | 152 | 2.961 | 13.255 | 25.859 |
| Maningococcal infections: Total | 67 | 49 | 44 | 3.209 | 2.485 | 2.225 |
| Weningococal intections: Civilien | 66 | 49 | 44 | 3.196 | 2.467 | 2.198 |
| Military | 1 | - | - | 13 | 18 | 19 |
| Mumps | 181 | 81 | 288 | 4.189 | 7.984 | 15.315 |
| Partussis | 16 | 30 | 34 | 1.111 | 1.568 | 1.568 |
| Ruballa(German maasles) | 29 | 33 | 91 | 1.957 | 3.581 | 11.619 |
| Syphilis (Primary 8 Sacondary): Civilian | 563 | 515 | 470 | 28.510 | 25.166 | 22.139 |
| Military | 5 | 6 | 6 | 349 | 298 | 290 |
| Tubarculosis | 539 | 422 | 596 | 25.214 | 24.918 | 26.803 |
| Tularemia | 5 | 4 | 2 | 246 | 209 | 151 |
| Typhoid faver | 8 | 12 | 12 | 537 | 478 | 478 |
| Typhus fever, tick-borna (RMSF) | 5 | 2 | 6 | 1.160 | 1.140 | 1.029 |
| Rabies, animal | 106 | 89 | 73 | 6.684 | 5.934 | 2,957 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | CuM. 1981 |  | CUM. 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Poliomyalitis: Total | 7 |
| Botulism | 76 | Paralytic | 6 |
| Chalera | 19 | Prittacosis (Ark. 1) | 96 |
| Conganital ruballa syndrame | 11 | Rabies, human | 1 |
| Diphtheria | 4 | Tetenus (Calit. 1) | 57 |
| Leptospirosis (Wash. 1) | 47 | Trichinosis (R.I. 5, Tex. 1, Calif. 1) | 127 |
| Plague | 9 | Typhus fever, flea borne (endamic, murina) (Tax. 1] | 44 |

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending December 5, 1981 and November 29, 1980 (48th week)

| heporting area | ASEPTIC MENIN. GITIS | BRUCELLOSIS | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHICKEN- } \\ \text { POX } \end{gathered}$ | ENCEPHALITIS |  | gonarmhea <br> (Civilian) |  | HEPATITIS (Viral), by type |  |  | LEPRDSS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Primary | Past.infectious |  |  | A | B | Unspecified |  |
|  | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CuM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1981}{\text { CUM. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | cum. <br> 1980 | 1981 | 1981 | 1981 | cum. $1981$ |
| UNITED STATES | 125 | 150 | 2,300 | 1,317 | 81 | 923.482 | 929,698 | 504 | 475 | 219 | 229 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 2 | 5 | 336 | 43 | 8 | 22,677 | 23.438 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 5 |
| Maine | - | - | 87 | 1 | - | 1,204 | 1,329 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| N.H. | - | - | 32 | 4 | - | 832 | 817 | - | - | - | 1 |
| V . | - | - | - | - | - | 410 | 522 | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | - | 3 | 159 | 17 | 1 | 9.433 | 9,874 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 3 |
| R.I. | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1.367 | 1.517 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Conn. | 2 | 1 | 56 | 20 | 5 | 9.431 | 9.379 | 5 | 9 | - | 1 |
| MID ATLANTIC | 12 | 7 | 38 | 108 | 9 | 111.464 | 105,210 | 86 | 78 | 26 | 14 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 4 | 3 | 27 | 32 | 3 | 19.738 | 18.708 | 21 | 26 | 1 | 3 |
| N.Y. City | 1 | 1 | 10 | 19 | - | 45.328 | 42,137 | 23 | 36 | 13 | 9 |
| N.J. | 3 | 1 | N | 17 | - | 20.914 | 18,998 | 42 | 16 | 12 | 2 |
| Pa . | 4 | 2 | 1 | 40 | 6 | 25,484 | 25.367 | U | U | U | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 9 | 7 | 1.062 | 471 | 11 | 137.150 | 144,078 | 72 | 78 | 18 | 22 |
| Ohio | 1 | 1 | 105 | 230 | 2 | 42.808 | 37.410 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Ind. | - | 1 | 170 | 143 | 8 | 11.402 | 15.302 | 5 | 9 | 6 | - |
| III. | - | - | 266 | 9 | - | 40.196 | 45,574 | 22 | 45 | 2 | 19 |
| Mich. | 8 | 2 | 379 | 64 | 1 | 30.331 | 32,538 | 32 | 19 | 8 | 2 |
| Wis. | - | 3 | 142 | 25 | - | 12.413 | 13,254 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 8 | 21 | 219 | 98 | 6 | 44,740 | 44,314 | 15 | 11 | 6 | 3 |
| Minn. | - | 5 | - | 39 | 3 | 6.911 | 7,320 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 |
| lowa | - | 7 | 79 | 30 | 2 | 4.893 | 4.690 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| Mo. | 5 | 4 | 6 | 10 | $\underline{ }$ | 20.971 | 19.497 | 4 | 10 | 3 | - |
| N. Dak. | 1 | - | 11 | 1 | - | 560 | 629 | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | 1 | 84 | 1 | - | 1.187 | 1. 282 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4 | - | 3,341 | 3,433 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Kans. | - | 3 | 33 | 13 | 1 | 6,877 | 7.463 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 23 | 32 | 230 | 141 | 21 | 227.364 | 233.158 | 42 | 97 | 22 | 12 |
| Del. | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3,632 | 3,277 | - | 6 | - |  |
| Md. | 4 | - | 28 | 24 | 2 | 27.127 | 24,999 | 4 | 20 | 10 | 2 |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | - | 12,982 | 15,994 | 1 | 7 | - | - |
| Va . | 4 | 9 | 8 | 37 | 5 | 20,857 | 21.528 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 3 |
| W. Va. | 2 | 1 | 127 | 21 | - | 3,363 | 3,159 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| N.C. | 6 | 1 | N | 34 | 1 | 35.162 | 35,683 | 5 | 10 | 1 | - |
| S.C. | , | - | 1 | 4 | - | 22.096 | 21,614 | 1 | 4 | - | 7 |
| Ga. | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | 47.236 | 45.524 | 8 | 9 | - | - |
| Fla. | 5 | 14 | 63 | 19 | 13 | 54.909 | 61.380 | 21 | 27 | 7 | - |
| E.S CENTRAL | 11 | 13 | 11 | 142 | 7 | 76,917 | 75,574 | 32 | 24 | 10 | - |
| Ky. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 2 | 9,662 | 10,960 | 14 | 3 | - | - |
| Tenn. | 2 | 5 | N | 81 | 1 | 29.289 | 27.458 | 10 | 10 | 2 | - |
| Ala. | 2 | 4 | 10 | 22 | 2 | 23,092 | 22,544 | 5 | 11 | 8 | - |
| Miss. | 5 | 3 | - | 18 | 2 | 14,874 | 14,612 | 3 | - | - | $=$ |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 9 | 45 | 228 | 115 | 4 | 121.568 | 116,964 | 87 | 37 | 65 | 28 |
| Ark. | - | 6 | 120 | 6 | - | 9.280 | 9,490 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 |
| La. | 1 | 2 | N | 7 | 1 | 21.575 | 20,808 | 18 | 12 | 36 | - |
| Okla. | - | 7 | - | 24 | 1 | 13.348 | 11.715 | 1 | 7 | 3 | - |
| Tex. | 8 | 30 | 108 | 78 | 2 | 77.365 | 74.951 | 61 | 17 | 26 | 27 |
| MOUNTAIN | 3 | 5 | 6 | 48 | 5 | 36.511 | 35.502 | 19 | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| Mont. | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1.331 | 1,350 | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| Idaho | - | - | - |  | - | 1.602 | 1.558 | 3 | - | - | 1 |
| Wyo. | 1 | - | 6 | 1 | - | 955 | 1,020 | 1 | 1 | - | $\underline{-}$ |
| Colo. | 1 | 1 | - | 14 | 1 | 9.583 | 9,717 | 3 | 3 | 1 | - |
| N. Mex. | - | - | - | - | - | 4.001 | 4.275 | 9 | - | - | - |
| Ariz. | - | 1 | $N$ | 20 | 2 | 11,144 | 9,397 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Utah | 1 | - | - | 9 | 2 | 1,809 | 1,796 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Nev. | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 6.086 | 6.389 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Pacific | 48 | 15 | 170 | 151 | 10 | 145.091 | 151.460 | 138 | 120 | 58 | 140 |
| Wash. | - | - | 120 | 13 | 1 | 11.917 | 12,993 | 34 | 10 | 7 | 5 |
| Oreg. | 2 | - | - | 6 | 1 | 8.578 | 10,364 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 5 |
| Calif. | 41 | 15 | 34 | 123 | 8 | 118.022 | 121.425 | 80 | 92 | 48 | 87 |
| Alaska | 4 | 15 | 8 | 5 |  | 3.756 | 3,883 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
| Hawaii | 5 | - | 8 | 4 | - | 2,818 | 2.995 | 14 | 8 | - | 43 |
| Guam | U | - | U | - | - | 81 | 124 | U | U | $\pm$ | - |
| P.R. | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 3,097 | 2,553 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| V.I. | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - 249 | $\begin{array}{r}2.559 \\ \hline 109\end{array}$ | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | U | - | 4 | - | - | 364 | 391 | 4 | U | U | 16 |

N : Not nosifiable
U: Unavailable

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
December 5, 1981 and November 29, 1980 (48th week)

| AEPORTING AREA | MALARIA |  | MEASLES (fubeola) |  |  | MENINGOCOCCAL INFECTIONS (Tatal) |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | RUBELLA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | cum. 1981 | 1881 | CUM. <br> 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cum. } \\ & \text { 1880 } \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CuM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1989 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 198: } \end{aligned}$ | cum. 1980 |
| UNITED STATES | 21 | 1,241 | 37 | 2,961 | 13.255 | 67 | 3.209 | 181 | 4.189 | 16 | 29 | . 957 | 3.581 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 2 | 65 | 1 | 87 | 675 | 3 | 206 | 16 | 242 | - | - | 125 | 217 |
| Maine | 1 | 2 | - | 5 | 33 | - | 24 | 3 | 43 | - | - | 33 | 70 |
| N.H. | - | 3 | 1 | 9 | 331 | - | 21 | - | 23 | - | - | 51 | 41 |
| V L | - | 6 | - | 3 | 226 | - | 13 | 1 | 10 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Mass. | - | 29 | - | 60 | 58 | - | 65 | 10 | 91 | - | - | 28 | 73 |
| R.I. | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 19 | - | 28 | - | - | - | 9 |
| Conn. | 1 | 22 | - | 10 | 25 | 2 | 64 | 2 | 47 | - | - | 13 | 21 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2 | 164 | 17 | 963 | 3.868 | 16 | 488 | 6 | 658 | 1 | 3 | 230 | 574 |
| Upstate N.Y. | - | 35 | 3 | 230 | 717 | 4 | 157 | 2 | 144 | 1 | 3 | 114 | 220 |
| ny. City | - | 61 | 1 | 103 | 1.199 | 3 | 79 | 1 | 90 | - | - | 55 | 101 |
| N.J. | - | 49 | - | 50 | 852 | 5 | 105 | - | 103 | - | - | 48 | 101 |
| Pa . | 2 | 19 | 13 | 572 | 1.100 | 4 | 147 | 3 | 321 | - | - | 13 | 152 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 3 | 64 | - | 90 | 2,447 | 5 | 392 | 119 | 1,300 | 5 | 5 | 406 | 860 |
| Ohio | - | 8 | - | 20 | 380 | 1 | 154 | 97 | 385 | - | - | 3 | 8 |
| Ind. | - | 9 | - | 9 | 93 | - | 46 | 1 | 126 | - | - | 137 | 362 |
| 1 II . | 2 | 19 | - | 25 | 348 | 2 | 97 | 6 | 213 | 5 | 1 | 103 | 180 |
| Mich. | 1 | 28 | - | 33 | 250 | 2 | 88 | 9 | 361 | - | 3 | 40 | 129 |
| Wis. | - | - | - | 3 | 1.376 | - | 7 | 4 | 215 | - | 1 | 123 | 181 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 2 | 35 | - | 10 | 2.339 | 1 | 148 | 8 | 236 | - | 1 | 80 | 211 |
| Minn. | - | 14 | - | 3 | 1.103 | - | 47 | - | 8 | - | - | 8 | 28 |
| lowa | 1 | 5 | - | 1 | 20 | 1 | 27 | 6 | 76 | - | - | 4 | 9 |
| Mo. | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 66 | - | 45 | - | 22 | - | - | 2 | 45 |
| N. Dak. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| S. Dak. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Netra. | - | 2 | - | 4 | 83 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| Ksoms | - | 8 | - | 1 | 67 | - | 18 | 2 | 126 | - | 1 | 65 | 117 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 2 | 151 | 12 | 486 | 1.984 | 16 | 732 | 12 | 567 | 2 | 3 | 145 | 346 |
| Dal. | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | 10 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Md. | - | 35 | - | 5 | 83 | 2 | 55 | 1 | 98 | - | - | 1 | 68 |
| D.C. | - | 9 | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 |  | 3 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Va . | - | 33 | - | 9 | 339 | 3 | 99 | 1 | 128 | - | - | 7 | 41 |
| W. Va. | - | 4 | - | 9 | 10 | 2 | 29 | 7 | 112 | - | - | 22 | 26 |
| N.C. | - | 13 | - | 3 | 130 | 1 | 110 | - | 22 | - | - | 5 | 47 |
| S.C. | - | 2 | - | 2 | 159 | - | 89 | - | 18 | - | - | 8 | 58 |
| Ga. | - | 8 | - | 111 | 835 | - | 109 | - | 38 | - | 2 | 39 | - |
| Fla. | 2 | 46 | 12 | 346 | 420 | 7 | 230 | 3 | 138 | 2 | 1 | 62 | 104 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | 12 | 1 | 6 | 333 | 2 | 220 | 1 | 96 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 88 |
| Ky. | - | - | 1 | 2 | 57 | - | 61 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 2 | 26 | 43 |
| Tenn. | - | - | - | 2 | 170 | 1 | 66 | - | 24 | - | - | 13 | 40 |
| Ala. | - | 10 | - | 2 | 22 | 1 | 68 | - | 19 | - | - | 1 | 3 |
| Miss. | - | 2 | - | - | 84 |  | 25 | - | 6 | 1 | - |  | 2 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 2 | 99 | 4 | 898 | 974 | 17 | 500 | 7 | 235 | 2 | 5 | 186 | 146 |
| Ark. | - | 4 |  | 24 | 16 | 1 | 30 |  | 8 | 1 |  | 7 | 14 |
| La. | 1 | 11 | - | 4 | 13 | 5 | 115 | - | 5 | - | - | 9 | 13 |
| Okla. | - | 9 | - | 7 | 775 | 1 | 48 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| Tex. | 1 | 75 | 4 | 863 | 170 | 11 | 307 | 7 | 222 | 1 | 4 | 167 | 123 |
| MOUNTAIN | 2 | 44 | 1 | 38 | 486 | 3 | 129 | - | 143 | 4 | - | 95 | 166 |
| Mant | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 10 | - | 14 | 4 | - | 4 | 45 |
| Idaho | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | - | 7 | - | - | 4 | 27 |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | 1 | - |  | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | 12 | 1 |
| Colo. | - | 20 | 1 | 11 | 24 | - | 45 | - | 47 | - | - | 27 | 12 |
| N. Mex. | - | 3 | - | 6 | 12 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 |
| Ariz. | 2 | 9 | - | 7 | 393 | - | 21 | - | 36 | - | - | 22 | 41 |
| Utah | - | 4 | - | - | 47 | - | 6 | - | 20 | - | - | 9 | 29 |
| Nev. | - | 3 | - | 10 | 10 | 1 | 29 | - | 16 | - | - | 12 | 6 |
| PACIFIC | 6 | 607 | 1 | 383 | 1.147 | 4 | 394 | 12 | 712 | - | 10 | 650 | 973 |
| Wash. | - | 25 | - | 3 | 177 | 1 | 71 | 3 | 164 | - | - | 93 | 86 |
| Oreg. | - | 19 | - | 5 | 1 | 2 | 59 | - | 69 | - | - | 51 | 65 |
| Calif. | 6 | 551 | 1 | 368 | 957 | 1 | 247 | 6 | 435 | - | 10 | 494 | 806 |
| Alarka | - | 3 |  |  | 6 |  | 13 | 1 | 19 | - | 10 | 1 | 12 |
| Hawaii | - | 9 | - | 7 | 6 | - | 4 | - | 25 | - | - | 11 | 4 |
| Guam | U | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | U | - | U | 8 | U | U | 1 | 2 |
| P.R. | - | 11 | 4 | 298 | 174 | - | 13 | 1 | 151 | - | - | 5 | 26 |
| V.I. | - | 4 | - | 25 | 6 | - | 1 | - | 18 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | U | - | U | 1 | 12 | U | - | U | 17 | U | U | 1 | 1 |

U: Unavailable

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending December 5, 1981 and November 29, 1980 (48th week)

| REPOATING AREA | SYPHILIS (Civilian) (Primary \& Secondary) |  | TUBERCULASIS |  | TULA REMIA | TYPHOID FEVER |  | TYPHUS FEVEA (Tick-burne) (RMSF) |  | hABIES, <br> Animal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | сим. $1981$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | CuM. <br> 1981 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CUM } \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | 1981 | CUM. $1991$ | 1981 | CUM. 1981 | CUM. 1981 |
| UNITED STATES | 28.510 | 25.166 | 539 | 25,214 | 246 | 8 | 537 | 5 | 1,160 | 6.684 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 546 | 481 | 17 | 732 | 5 | - | 16 | - | 9 | 40 |
| Maine | 5 | 6 | - | 49 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 14 |
| N.H. | 16 | 6 | - | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {t }}$ | 17 | 6 | 2 | 26 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 342 | 293 | 10 | 431 | 3 | - | 8 | - | 5 | 11 |
| R. 1. | 33 | 31 | 3 | 54 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| Conn. | 133 | 139 | 2 | 153 | 1 | - | 7 | - | 2 | 6 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 4,050 | 3,450 | 76 | 3.902 | 10 | 1 | 80 | - | 41 | 113 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 370 | 291 | 19 | 654 | 10 | - | 13 | - | 14 | 76 |
| N.Y. City | 2,416 | 2.245 | 34 | 1.487 | - | 1 | 45 | - | 3 | - |
| N.J. | 577 | 408 | 7 | 828 | - | - | 13 | - | 11 | 24 |
| Pa. | 687 | 506 | 16 | 933 | - | - | 9 | - | 13 | 13 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2.157 | 2.568 | 80 | 3.462 | 6 | 1 | 40 | - | 52 | 1,000 |
| Ohio | 299 | 344 | 11 | 610 | - | - | 11 | - | 39 | 66 |
| Ind. | 275 | 182 | 9 | 379 | 4 | - | 3 | - | 6 | 86 |
| 1 II . | 1.153 | 1,580 | 39 | 1,440 | - | - | 15 | - | 6 | 532 |
| Mich. | 348 | 310 | 15 | 856 | 1 | 1 | 9 | - | 1 | 16 |
| Wis. | 82 | 92 | 6 | 177 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 300 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 630 | 340 | 26 | 865 | 34 | 1 | 20 | - | 54 | 2,579 |
| Minn. | 183 | 111 | 12 | 157 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 453 |
| lowa | 29 | 31 | - | 80 | - | - | 3 | - | 7 | 844 |
| Mo. | 361 | 151 | 11 | 398 | 28 | 1 | 10 | - | 30 | 232 |
| N. Dak. | 11 | 4 | - | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | 349 |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 6 | 1 | 60 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 310 |
| Nebr. | 10 | 12 | 2 | 20 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 195 |
| Kans. | 34 | 25 | - | 112 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 12 | 196 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 7. 591 | 6.043 | 112 | 5.338 | 13 | 1 | 62 | 4 | 659 | 615 |
| Md . | 542 | 19 411 | 10 | 55 549 | 1 | - | 14 | 2 | 3 62 | 46 |
| D.c. | 613 | 447 | 7 | 309 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 46 |
| Va . | 650 | 539 | 9 | 548 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 106 | 152 |
| W. Va. | 27 | 16 | 1 | 176 | - | - | 6 | - | 6 | 35 |
| N.C. | 605 | 445 | 14 | 918 | 2 | - | 5 | 2 | 295 | 19 |
| S.C. | 523 | 357 | 14 | 510 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 102 | 47 |
| $\mathrm{Ga}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1.836 | 1.718 | 23 | 881 | 4 | $\underline{-}$ | 4 | - | 14 | 217 |
| Fla, | 2,782 | 2,091 | 34 | 2.392 | - | - | 28 | - | 10 | 98 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 1.860 | 2.080 | 57 | 2,250 | 10 | - | 11 | 1 | 134 | 467 |
| Ky. | 88 | 123 | 16 | 563 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 125 |
| Tenn. | 657 | 873 | 21 | 747 | 7 | - | 3 | - | 82 | 228 |
| ${ }^{\text {Ala }}$ a, | 558 | 444 | 11 | 602 | - | - | 5 | 1 | 23 | 110 |
| Miss. | 556 | 640 | 9 | 338 | - | - | 2 | - | 27 | 4 |
| W.S. CENTAAL | 6.883 | 5.028 | 60 | 2.866 | 119 | 4 | 138 | - | 115 | 1,051 |
| Ark. | 152 | 203 | 7 | 321 | 55 | - | 7 | - | 35 | 148 |
| La. | 1.569 | 1.250 | 8 | 501 | 5 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 33 |
| Okla. | 165 | 101 | 8 | 310 | 38 | - | 4 | - | 100 | 210 |
| Tex. | 4.997 | 3,474 | 37 | 1.734 | 21 | 4 | 125 | - | 39 | 660 |
| MOUNTAIN | 710 | 611 | 18 | 697 | 38 | - | 24 | - | 28 | 250 |
| Mont. | 11 | 3 | 7 | 39 | 6 | - | 4 | - | 12 | 120 |
| Idaho | 18 | 16 | - | 10 | 4 | - | - | - | 5 | 7 |
| Wyo. | 17 | 12 | - | 12 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 17 |
| Colo. | 211 | 166 | 3 | 89 | 9 | - | 9 | - | 1 | 35 |
| N. Mex. | 125 | 105 | 5 | 135 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 27 |
| Ariz. | 174 | 209 | 3 | 317 | 1 | - | 10 | - | - | 27. |
| Utah | 27 | 16 | - | 53 | 13 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 11 |
| Nev. | 121 | 84 | - | 42 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 6 |
| PACIFIC <br> Wash | 4.083 | 4.565 | 93 | 5.102 358 | 11 | - | 146 | - | 8 | 569 |
| Wash. | 158 | 231 | 12 | 358 179 | 1 | - | 4 | = | 1 | 15 |
| Oreg. | 111 | 104 | 9 | 179 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 10 |
| Alaska | 3.731 13 | 4.082 | 70 | 4.312 | 9 | - | 134 | - | 7 | 12 32 |
| Hawa ii | 10 | 140 | 2 | 180 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 32 |
| Guam | - | 5 | U | 33 | - | U | - | U | - | - |
| P.R. | 596 | 571 | 11 | 489 | = | - | 4 | U | - | 81 |
| V.I. | 18 | 10 | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | - | U | 55 | - | U |  | U | - | - |

U: Unavailable

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending
December 5, 1981 (48th week)

| REPORTING AAEA | all causes, by age (years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { P\& I } I^{* 1} \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{array}\right\|$ | REPORTING AREA | ALl CAUSES. by age (years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p\& } l^{* *} \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ALL AGES | $\geq 65$ | 45-64 | 25.44 | 124 | $<1$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | $\geqslant 65$ | 4564 | 2544 | 1.24 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND <br> Boston, Mass. <br> Bridgaport, Conn. <br> Cambridge, Mass. <br> Fall River, Mass. <br> Hartford, Conn. <br> Lowell, Mass. <br> Lynn, Mass. <br> New Bedford, Mass. <br> New Haven, Conn. <br> Providance, R.I. <br> Somerville, Mass. <br> Springtield, Mass. <br> Waterbury, Conn. <br> Worcester, Mass. | 706 | 478 | 165 | 27 | 15 | 21 | 50 | S. ATLANTIC | 1.293 | 784 | 303 | 112 | 43 | 51 | 43 |
|  | 221 | 146 | 54 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 29 | Atlanta, Ga. | 118 | 68 | 27 | 14 | 3 | 6 | 2 |
|  | 43 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | Baltimore, Md. | 155 | 89 | 37 | 15 | 8 | 6 | 3 |
|  | 20 | 18 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | Charlotre, N.C. | 103 | 54 | 20 | 20 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 24 | 16 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | - | Jacksonville, Fia. | 154 | 89 | 47 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 1 |
|  | 58 | 38 | 16 | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | Miami, Fla. | 101 | 55 | 36 | 5 | 2 | 3 | - |
|  | 33 | 25 | 8 | - | - | - | 3 | Norfolk. Va. | 70 | 42 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 25 | 17 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | Richmond, Va. | 93 | 60 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
|  | 19 | 12 | 7 | - | - | - | 1 | Savannah, Ga. | 39 | 24 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 49 | 25 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 104 | 87 | 14 | 1 | 2 | - | 6 |
|  | 66 | 45 | 14 | 3 | - | 4 | 2 | Tampa. Fla. | 93 | 64 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
|  | 12 | 9 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | Washingron, D.C. | 198 | 104 | 55 | 18 | 6 | 15 | 3 |
|  | 50 | 37 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Wilmingron, Del. | 65 | 48 | 12 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 |
|  | 38 | 25 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 48 | 33 | 11 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTRAL | 766 | 481 | 200 | 51 | 14 | 20 | 41 |
| MID. ATLANTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala | 104 | 64 | 28 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 2.968 | 1.956 | 688 | 175 | 85 | 63 | 123 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 56 | 32 | 18 | 4 | 2 | - | 4 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 62 | 43 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | Knoxville, Tenn. | 39 | 28 | 9 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 25 | 20 | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | Louisville, Ky. | 138 | 96 | 29 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 13 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 100 | 62 | 30 | 2 | 3 | 3 | - | Memphis, Tenn. | 171 | 107 | 47 | 13 | 4 | - | 10 |
| Camden, N.J. | 67 | 44 | 15 | 7 | - | 1 | 2 | Mobile, Ala. | 51 | 28 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 31 | 24 | 6 | - | 1 | - | 1 | Montgomery, Ala. | 53 | 32 | 11 | 3 | - | 7 | 1 |
| Erie, Pa.t | 37 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | Nashville, Tenn. | 154 | 94 | 43 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 60 | 33 | 19 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. Y. City, N.Y. | 1,697 | 1,121 | 372 | 125 | 48 | 31 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newark, N.J. | 85 | 43 | 25 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 5 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1.445 | 821 | 355 | 111 | 68 | 88 | 34 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 32 | 22 | 8 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | Austin, Tex. | 53 | 37 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. $\dagger$ | 223 | 141 | 61 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 9 | Baton Rouge, La | 63 | 35 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa. $\dagger$ | 153 | 91 | 47 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 5 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 37 | 22 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Reading. Pa. | 45 | 35 | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | 5 | Dallas, Tex. | 209 | 119 | 56 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 5 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 116 | 85 | 20 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 7 | EI Paso, Tex. | 72 | 38 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Schenectady, N. Y. | 30 | 20 | 9 | 1 | - | - | 2 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 93 | 64 | 19 | 3 | - | 7 | 5 |
| Scranton, Pa. $\uparrow$ | 30 | 24 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 2 | Houston, Tex. | 260 | 115 | 76 | 23 | 21 | 25 | 2 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 88 | 63 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | Little Rock, Ark. | 85 | 50 | 21 | 6 | 3 | 3 | - |
| Trenton, N.J. | 36 | 20 | 11 | 2 | - | 3 | - | New Orleans, La. | 188 | 114 | 34 | 17 | 5 | 18 | - |
| Utica, N.Y. | 22 | 18 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | San Antonio, Tex. | 220 | 124 | 58 | 12 | 19 | 7 | 10 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 29 | 23 | 6 | - | - | - | 3 | Shreveport, La | 85 | 55 | 22 | 5 10 | - | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tules, Okla. | 80 | 48 | 19 | 10 | 1 | 2 |  |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2.539 | 1.633 | 608 | 132 | 61 | 105 | 78 | MOUNTAIN | 728 | 446 | 169 | 65 | 26 | 21 | 23 |
|  | 67 | 43 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canton, Ohio | 52 | 38 | 10 | 4 | - | - | 2 | Albuquerque, N. Mex. | 83 | 29 | 30 | 22 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Chicago, III. | 597 | 375 | 134 | 53 | 18 | 17 | 10 | Calo. Springs, Colo. | 41 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 128 | 79 | 30 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 12 | Denver, Colo. | 161 | 107 | 38 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 159 | 94 | 43 | 11 | - | 11 | 1 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 63 | 30 | 23 | 5 | 3 | 2 | ? |
| Columbus, Ohio | 131 | 78 | 38 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 | Ogden, Utah | 24 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | , |
| Dayton, Ohio | 136 | 78 | 40 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 174 | 111 | 38 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 1 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 360 | 231 | 82 | 18 | 11 | 18 | 11 | Pueblo, Colo. | 21 | 18 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 47 | 35 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | Salt Lake City, Uzah | 57 | 38 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 4 | - |
| Fort Wayne. Ind. | 67 | 46 | 16 | 3 | - | 2 | 7 | Tueson, Ariz. | 104 | 71 | 19 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Gary. Ind. | 15 | 5 | 6 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Rapids, Mich | 60 | 49 | 9 |  | - | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis. Ind. | 203 | 125 | 52 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 1 | PACIFIC | 1.571 | 1,029 | 340 | 96 | 45 | 58 | 82 |
| Madison. Wis. | 48 | 32 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | Berkeley, Calif. | 30 | 23 | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 171 | 121 | 36 | 7 | 3 | 4 | - | Fresno, Calif. | 73 | 46 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Peoria, III. | 40 | 23 | 14 | - | 2 | 1 | 8 | Glendala, Calif. | 13 | 10 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Rockford. III. | 57 | 40 | 15 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 88 | 41 | 19 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| South Bend, Ind | 59 | 43 | 15 | - | 1 | - | 4 | Long Baach, Calif. | 82 | 48 | 21 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 98 | 68 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | Los Angales, Calif. | 336 | 222 | 61 | 24 | 13 | 16 | 8 |
| Youngitown, Ohio | 44 | 30 | 14 | - | - | - | 2 | Dakland, Calif. | 68 | 41 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 36 | 31 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Oreg. | 110 | 75 | 19 | 4 | 5 | 5 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 747 | 498 | 159 | 42 | 24 | 24 | 27 | Sacramento, Calif. | 67 | 39 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 4 | , |
| Des Maines, lowa | 56 | 34 | 17 | 2 | - | 3 | - | San Diego, Calif. | 133 | 89 | 35 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 19 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 24 | 17 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | San Francisco, Calif. | 169 | 117 | 32 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 36 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | San Josa, Calif. | 176 | 114 | 38 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 13 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 89 | 55 | 26 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Seattle, Wash. | 110 | 74 | 26 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 36 | 28 | 8 | - | - | - | 1 | Spokane, Wash. | 50 | 30 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 88 | 61 | 14 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | Tacoma. Wash. | 50 | 29 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Ornaha, Nebr. | 84 | 60 | 16 | 6 | 2 | - | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St Louis. Mo. | 187 | 130 | 32 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 85 | 57 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 4 | - | TOTAL | 12.763 ${ }^{\text {+4 }}$ | 8.126 | 2.987 | 811 | 381 | 451 | 501 |
| Wichita, Kans. | 62 | 32 | 19 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]
## Current Trends

## Tuberculosis Among Indochinese Refugees - An Update

State tuberculosis control programs have reported that 3,895 Indochinese refugees were treated for tuberculosis during 1979 and 1980. The states reporting these cases received $96 \%$ of the 262,602 refugees who entered the United States during the 2 -year period. Of the 3,895 patients, $3,330(85.5 \%)$ entered the United States in 1979 or $1980,103(2.6 \%)$ entered in the period 1975-1978, and for 462 (11.9\%) the year of entry was unknown. The states included $2,850(73.2 \%)$ of these patients in their official tuberculosis morbidity count. The remaining 1,045 ( $26.8 \%$ ) were added to tuberculosis case registers but were not counted as new or recurrent cases. Most areas also reported the number of refugees given preventive treatment. Geographic areas that received $90 \%$ of the refugees reported that $16.1 \%(42,217)$ had been given preventive therapy.

Indochinese refugees are screened overseas for tuberculosis and categorized in 1 of 3 ways: active or suspected active disease (Class A-TB), disease not considered active (Class B-TB), and no evidence of tuberculous disease (1). Refugees with Class A-TB may travel only if their disease is noncontagious (i.e., 2 consecutive negative sputum smears on separate days). Upon arrival in the United States, all refugees with Class A-TB and Class B-TB are referred to a local health department for medical evaluation. Refugees certified to have Class A-TB accounted for about $2 \%$ of all entering refugees and $57 \%$ of the cases of tuberculosis among refugees; refugees certified to have Class B-TB accounted for about $2 \%$ of all entering refugees and $20 \%$ of the cases among refugees; the remaining refugees accounted for $23 \%$ of the reported cases.

The estimated prevalence of tuberculosis among refugees at the time of entry was 1,138 cases $/ 100,000$ refugees. The annual incidence after arrival in the United States for refugees with no evidence of disease when screened overseas was 407/100,000 (Table 1). Prevalence rates were higher for males ( 1,371 ) than for females (852), as were the annual incidence rates ( 430 compared with 381 ). For refugees born in Laos, the prevalence and annual incidence rates of tuberculosis were about half the rates observed for refugees born in Vietnam and Kampuchea. For refugees who entered the United States in 1979, the annual incidence was greater in 1979 (719/100,000) than in $1980(231 / 100,000)$.

TABLE 1. Estimated prevalence of tuberculosis at the time of entry and annual incidence after entry among Indochinese refugees, United States, 1979-1980

| Age group <br> (years) | Prevalence of <br> tuberculosis <br> at entry* | Incidence of <br> tuberculosis <br> after arrivalt | Incidence of tuberculosis <br> in the United States, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-4$ | 197.0 | 438.5 | 4.9 |
| excluding refugee cases, 1980 |  |  |  |

[^3]
## Tuberculosis - Continued

Approximately $92 \%$ of the reported cases were pulmonary tuberculosis, $7 \%$ were extrapulmonary disease, and for $1 \%$ the site was not reported. Bacteriologic tests were positive for $26 \%$ of the patients and were negative for $53 \%$; the bacteriologic status was not reported for $20 \%$ of the cases.

Ten states accounted for $>70 \%$ of the total number of cases (California, 1,348; Washington, 289; Illinois, 260; Texas, 163; Minnesota, 149; Michigan, 135; Florida, 117 ; Virginia, 116; Colorado, 112; and Oregon, 103). Overall, the refugees constituted about 7\% of all cases added to case registers in the 2 -year period, but the percentage varied markedly by geographic area. In 5 states, the refugees accounted for over $25 \%$ of the estimated cases added to case registers, and in 3 major urban areas the refugees accounted for $50 \%$ or more of the estimated cases added to case registers (Table 2).

Considerable variation existed among and within individual states in terms of the proportion of tuberculosis cases counted as new or recurrent. In 14 states, $>90 \%$ of the cases in refugees added to case registers were included in the official morbidity count; 15 states included $<50 \%$. Variation among cities was also apparent. San Francisco, for example, counted 282 ( $99.6 \%$ ) of 283 cases, Long Beach counted 36 ( $60.0 \%$ ) of 60. Sacramento 32 (39.0\%) of 82, and Chicago 0 ( $0.0 \%$ ) of 149.

Although bacteriologic confirmation of cases was generally low, 4 states reported 70\% or more with positive bacteriologic results; 13 areas reported less than $20 \%$ confirmed. Within California, Los Angeles reported $64 \%$ with positive bacteriologic results, while nearby Orange County reported 4\%.
Reported by Tuberculosis Control Div, Quarantine Div, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.

TABLE 2. The 10 states and 10 major urban areas in which refugees accounted for the largest percentage of tuberculosis cases, United States, 1979-1980

| Area | Refugees with tuberculosis | Estimated percentage of <br> cases added to registers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| States |  |  |
| 1. Utah | 53 | 36 |
| 2. Minnesota | 149 | 34 |
| 3. Washington | 289 | 33 |
| 4. Colorado | 112 | 32 |
| 5. Kansas | 20 | 27 |
| 6. Oregon | 103 | 23 |
| 7. Nevada | 25 | 22 |
| 8. California | 1,348 | 17 |
| 9. Idaho | 9 | 15 |
| 10. lowa | 23 | 14 |
| Metropolitan Areas |  |  |
| 1. S. Paul,MN | 68 | 60 |
| 2. Wichita, KS | 41 | 55 |
| 3. Orange County, CA | 368 | 50 |
| 4. Long Beach, CA | 60 | 42 |
| 5. Seattle, WA | 106 | 38 |
| 6. Minneapolis, MN | 37 | 38 |
| 7. San Francisco, CA | 283 | 33 |
| 8. Sacramento, CA | 82 | 30 |
| 9. Denver, CO | 49 | 30 |
| 10. San Diego, CA | 133 | 30 |

## Tuberculosis - Continued

Editorial Note: Based on these figures, it is estimated that of the Indochinese refugees who entered the United States in 1979 and 1980, approximately $1.5 \%$ either had tuberculosis at the time of entry or developed it before the end of 1980; approximately $18 \%$ were started on preventive treatment for tuberculous infection. The tuberculosis case rates for these refugees are rivaled in this country only by the tuberculosis case rate of close contacts of persons with recently diagnosed cases (about $1.4 \%$ ). Considering only those cases included in the official morbidity count, the Indochinese refugees accounted for $2.8 \%$ of the tuberculosis cases counted nationally in 1979 and $7.8 \%$ in 1980 ( $5.3 \%$ over the 2 -year period). The leveling off of the total number of reported tuberculosis cases observed in the United States over the past $21 / 2$ years (2) is accounted for by cases in refugees being added to the slowly declining number of indigenous cases. The influence of Indochinese refugees on tuberculosis case rates has been greater in the younger age groups than the older (Figure 3).

The 3-fold decrease in incidence from 1979 to 1980 for refugees who entered in 1979 probably reflects a combination of the natural decrease in risk of disease for those infected before arrival and successful efforts to reduce transmission of tuberculosis after arrival. Re-

FIGURE 3. Tuberculosis case rates, by age group, United States, 1975-1980


## Tuberculosis - Continued

duced transmission is due to several factors: refugees with infectious tuberculosis (positive smear) are started on treatment in Asia; most refugees with Class A-TB have been evaluated promptly after arrival and, if necessary, continued or started on treatment; over 46,000 refugees (about $18 \%$ of the total) have been given preventive treatment; and, presumably, a high level of suspicion in the medical community has led to the prompt evaluation and treatment of refugees with symptoms compatible with tuberculosis. Because of the reduced transmission, tuberculosis case rates among the refugees are expected to continue to fall. Nevertheless, they will remain higher than the rates of other persons in the United States for years to come because so many have been infected before arrival. Treatment of these persons requires special attention because about one-third of all Indochinese patients whose cultures were positive when tested in the United States had organisms resistant to at least 1 antituberculosis drug (3).

The large differences among areas in the proportion of refugee cases included in the official morbidity count and the proportion with positive bacteriologic results may indicate inconsistencies in counting and diagnostic procedures. Only verified cases should be included in the national morbidity count and the same verification criteria should be used for refugees as for other persons with tuberculosis. Cases are verified by meeting 1 of 2 criteria: 1) a culture is positive for Mycobacterium tuberculosis or a smear is positive when a culture has not or cannot be obtained, or 2) all 4 of the following: a) diagnostic procedures are completed, b) the tuberculin test is positive, c) the chest radiograph, the current clinical illness, or both are compatible with tuberculosis and the chest radiograph is unstable (improving or worsening), and d) a decision is made to give a full course of therapy with 2 or more antituberculosis drugs. Patients who do not satisfy these criteria should be evaluated carefully to be sure that they are receiving appropriate therapy. They may need only preventive treatment for tuberculosis, or they may have some other illness for which antituberculosis therapy is not appropriate (e.g., lung cancer, paragonimiasis). Occasionally, a physician may feel it is necessary to treat a patient for tuberculosis even though the verification criteria are not met. These patients may be added to the register but should not be included in the case count. These procedures are recommended so as to assure comparability of data from area to area and year to year and to assist in handling this complex public health problem. Most refugees with verified tuberculosis should be counted as new or recurrent cases because the disease has been verified in this country.
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Errata, Vol. 29, No. 54 (Annual Summary)

pvii. In the first sentence the date (1978) is incorrect. The sentence should read, "In 1878 an Act of Congress ..."
p121. In the table under the column for 1972, the figure of 341 given for Number (Thousands) is incorrect. It should be 391.

## Errata, Vol. 30, No. 47

p581. There was an omission in the credits for the report "Sudden, Unexpected, Nocturnal Deaths among Southeast Asian Refugees." Quarantine Div, Center for Prevention Sves, CDC, should be added.

[^4]U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE/CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30333 OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Postage and Fees Paid
U.S. Department of HHS HHS 396
Director, Centers for Disease Control William H. Foege, M.D.
Director, Epidemialogy Program Office Philip S. Brachman, M.D.
Editor Michael B. Gregg, M.D.
Mathematical Statistician
Keewhan Choi, Ph.D.


[^0]:    -Defined by the state of Florida as a record of measles vaccination with date of administration on or after the first birthday and after December 3I, 1967, or a history of physician-diagnosed measles illness.

[^1]:    *Exclusion data from 5 schools not available.

[^2]:    "Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is
    reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

    - Preumonia and influenza
    tBecause of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
    $\dagger \dagger$ Total includes unknown ages.

[^3]:    *Cases per 100,000.
    tCases per 100,000 per year.

[^4]:    The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, circulation 98,000, is published by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia. The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly telegraphs to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday: compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday.
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