HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Sex Transm Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 May 24.

Published in final edited form as:

Sex Transm Dis. 2022 January 01; 49(1): 76–80. doi:10.1097/OLQ.000000000001510.

Acceptability of a Gonococcal Vaccine Among Sexually Active Men Who Have Sex With Men

Winston E. Abara, MD^{*}, Robert D. Kirkcaldy, MD^{*}, Kyle T. Bernstein, PhD^{*}, Maria Zlotorzynska, PhD^{†,‡}, Travis Sanchez, DVM, MPH[†]

*Division of STD Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[†]Department of Epidemiology, Emory University

[‡]ICF, Atlanta, GA

Abstract

Background: Prospects for a gonococcal vaccine have advanced. Vaccine acceptability is crucial to maximizing population-level protection among key groups, such as men who have sex with men (MSM). We assessed the prevalence of gonococcal vaccine acceptability among sexually active MSM in the United States.

Methods: We used data from the American Men's Internet Study conducted from August 2019 to December 2019. We calculated frequencies of sociodemographic characteristics, vaccine acceptability, and preferred location for vaccine receipt. Using log-binomial regression analyses, we calculated unadjusted prevalence rates (PRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to evaluate factors associated with vaccine acceptability.

Results: Of 4951 MSM, 83.5% were willing to accept a vaccine and 16.5% were unwilling. Preferred vaccination locations were primary care provider's clinics (83.5%) and sexually transmitted disease (STD) clinics (64.6%). Vaccine acceptability was greater among young MSM (15–24 years [PR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.05–1.12], 25–29 years [PR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.09–1.17], and 30–39 years [PR, 1.10;95% CI, 1.05–1.14] compared with MSM 40 years), MSM living with HIV (PR, 1.05; 95% CI, 1.02–1.09), and MSM who reported (in the past 12 months) condomless anal sex (PR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.06–1.12), a bacterial STD test (PR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.15–1.21), HIV preexposure prophylaxis use (PR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.14–1.19), a bacterial STD diagnosis (PR, 1.04; 95% CI, 1.02–1.07), or a health care provider visit (PR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.06–1.16). Men who have sex with men who reported high school education (PR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.91–0.97) were less willing to accept a vaccine compared with those with >high school education.

Correspondence: Winston E. Abara, MD, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd, Atlanta, GA 30333. xxb0@cdc.gov.

Conflict of Interest and Sources of Funding: None declared.

Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this study are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text, and links to the digital files are provided in the HTML text of this article on the journal's Web site (http://www.stdjournal.com).

Conclusions: Most respondents were willing to accept a gonococcal vaccine. These findings can inform the planning and implementation of a future gonococcal vaccination program that focuses on MSM.

Approximately 583,000 cases of gonorrhea were reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in 2018. After historically low gonorrhea case rates in 2009, the rate of reported gonorrhea cases increased by 82%, from 98.1/100,000 in 2009 to 179.1/100,000 in 2018, with stark increases among men. These increases in gonorrhea rates among men are likely attributable to increasing case rates among gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (hereafter referred to as MSM). Increasing rates among MSM may reflect increases in incident infections and greater detection of prevalent infections through increases in gonorrhea screening (as gonorrhea can often be asymptomatic).

Effective antimicrobial treatment is essential to the prevention and control of gonorrhea.⁴ Untreated gonorrhea facilitates gonococcal and HIV transmission within sexual networks and can cause severe reproductive complications and systemic illness.^{1,5} The CDC currently recommends a single 500-mg intramuscular dose of ceftriaxone for the treatment of uncomplicated gonorrhea in persons weighing <150 kg (and 1 g for persons weighing 150 kg).⁴ However, declining gonococcal cephalosporin susceptibility and reports of unsuccessful treatment with ceftriaxone have been documented.⁶⁻⁸ Emergence of ceftriaxone-resistant gonorrhea would substantially hinder an effective treatment of gonorrhea and undermine prevention and control efforts.

New approaches, such as vaccination, are needed as long-term strategies for gonorrhea prevention and control. There is currently no licensed vaccine against gonorrhea. However, outer-membrane vesicle (OMV) meningococcal serogroup B (MenB) vaccines have demonstrated some protection against *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. Hindings from ecological studies from Cuba, Norway, and Quebec all demonstrated substantial decreases in gonorrhea rates after mass OMV MenB vaccination campaigns to address epidemics of invasive meningococcal disease. A case-control study from New Zealand showed that persons vaccinated with a local epidemic strain-specific OMV MenB vaccine were less likely than unvaccinated persons to be diagnosed with gonorrhea than diagnosed with chlamydia. The estimated vaccine effectiveness was 31%. Another study that used data from New York City and Philadelphia showed that persons aged 16 to 23 years who were vaccinated with MenB-4C, a currently licensed OMV MenB vaccine, were less likely to be diagnosed with gonorrhea than with chlamydia with an estimated MenB-4C effectiveness of 40% at 6 months after vaccination. These findings suggest that developing an effective vaccine against *N. gonorrhoeae* is feasible.

Although the timeline for the availability of a licensed gonococcal vaccine is uncertain, examination of social and behavioral factors that influence acceptability of a gonococcal vaccine is a critical component of vaccine planning. Acceptability of an effective gonococcal vaccine will influence its uptake, which in turn will impact the population-level protective effect of the vaccine. Although prior studies examined the acceptability of a possible gonococcal vaccine among various populations including adolescents and their parents, incarcerated women, and sexually transmitted disease (STD) clinic

attendees, ¹⁶⁻¹⁸ no study has examined vaccine acceptability among MSM, a population with persistently high rates of gonorrhea. Understanding factors that influence the acceptability of a gonorrhea vaccine among MSM is essential to informing public health gonorrhea vaccination messaging and implementation efforts in this population. The objective of this exploratory analysis was to assess the acceptability of a gonococcal vaccine among an Internet-recruited sample of sexually active MSM.

METHODS

We used data from the 2019 cycle of the American Men's Internet Study (AMIS) conducted from August 2019 to December 2019. AMIS is an annual cross-sectional behavioral Internet survey of MSM in the United States. ¹⁹ Participants are recruited through convenience sampling from a variety of web sites or social networking applications using banner advertisements or e-mail blasts. Men who are 15 years or older, self-identify as male, live in the United States, and report ever having sex with a male partner are eligible to participate in AMIS. We restricted our analytical sample to men who reported sex with another man in the past 12 months (sexually active), were not duplicate respondents, and responded to the question about willingness to accept a vaccine that would be protective against gonorrhea. Fifty percent of AMIS participants were randomized to receive the question about willingness to accept a vaccine that would be protective against gonorrhea to reduce overall respondent burden.

We obtained data about sociodemographic characteristics, and condomless anal sex (CAS), HIV preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) use, self-reported bacterial STD (syphilis, gonorrhea, or chlamydia) testing and diagnosis, and visiting a health care provider (HCP) in the past 12 months. We also obtained data about whether respondents are living with HIV and disclosed same-sex sexual behavior to an HCP. We defined CAS as insertive or receptive anal sex without a condom with a male partner in the past 12 months. Respondents who reported using PrEP at any period or for any duration in the past 12 months were considered to have used PrEP. Among persons who reported having been tested for HIV, those who self-reported a previous positive HIV test result were classified as living with HIV; respondents who tested negative for HIV and never tested positive for HIV were classified as not living with HIV. Among respondents who reported having been tested for a bacterial STD, those who reported that an HCP informed them of a diagnosis of syphilis, gonorrhea, or chlamydia were categorized as having received a bacterial STD diagnosis.

We obtained data on acceptability of a gonococcal vaccine by asking respondents the following question: "If a gonorrhea vaccine is available, how willing would you be to get a vaccine that would protect you against gonorrhea?" Response options were "very willing," "somewhat willing," "neither willing nor unwilling," "somewhat unwilling," "very unwilling," or "do not know." We also asked respondents to select all the preferred types of health care settings where they would feel comfortable receiving a gonorrhea vaccine from a list provided in the survey. We calculated descriptive statistics of the sociodemographic characteristics of eligible respondents, CAS, PrEP use, living with HIV status, bacterial STD testing and diagnosis, HCP visit, disclosure of same-sex sexual behavior to an

HCP, willingness to accept a vaccine, and preferred types of health care settings where respondents would feel comfortable receiving a gonococcal vaccine.

Unadjusted prevalence rates (PRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated from bivariate log-binomial regression analyses to determine factors associated with acceptability of a gonococcal vaccine. For the regression analyses, gonococcal vaccine acceptability response options were combined into 2 categories: willing (combining response options "very willing" and "somewhat willing") and unwilling (combining response options "very unwilling," "somewhat unwilling," "neither willing nor unwilling," and "do not know"). The reference level for acceptability of a gonococcal vaccine in the regression analyses was "unwilling." All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. The institutional review board of Emory University approved all procedures that were conducted and performed as part of AMIS. Each participant provided informed consent to participate in AMIS. No incentives or compensation were provided to participants.

RESULTS

The analytical sample included 4951 sexually active MSM (Table 1). Among sample respondents, 59.9% were non-Hispanic White, 42.0% were aged 15 to 24 years, and 77.6% had more than a high school level of education. Respondents reported CAS in the past 12 months (69.4%) and having visited an HCP in the past 12 months (86.8%). Of all respondents who provided a response to whether they had ever disclosed their same-sex behavior or attraction to an HCP, 44.0% reported that they had ever disclosed their same-sex behavior or attraction to an HCP, 50.6% did not respond to this question, and 0.4% reported that they had never disclosed their same-sex behavior or attraction to an HCP. Among 3663 respondents with HIV test results, 456 (12.5%) were living with HIV. Among 3207 MSM who are not living with HIV, 20.3% reported PrEP use in the past 12 months. Fewer than half of the sexually active MSM in this sample (47.5%) reported having been tested for a bacterial STD in the past 12 months; of those tested, 27.9% reported at least one bacterial STD.

Of 4951 respondents, 3148 (63.6%) were very willing to accept a vaccine, 985 (19.9%) were somewhat willing, 372 (7.5%) were neither willing nor unwilling, 250 (5.1%) did not know if they would be willing, 76 (1.5%) were somewhat unwilling, and 120 (2.4%) were very unwilling (Table 2). The 2 most commonly preferred types of health care settings to receive a gonococcal vaccine were their primary care provider's office (83.5%) and an STD clinic (64.6%; Table 2). The majority of respondents living with HIV (58.7%) reported that they would prefer to receive a gonococcal vaccine from their HIV care provider. Among respondents who were not living with HIV and reported PrEP use in the past 12months, 56.8% reported that they would prefer to receive a gonococcal vaccine in the PrEP clinic.

In unadjusted regression analyses, there were no racial differences in acceptability of a gonococcal vaccine. However, age, level of education, CAS, PrEP use, living with HIV, bacterial STD testing and diagnoses, and visiting an HCP were significantly associated with acceptability of a gonococcal vaccine (Table 3). Compared with MSM who were 40 years or older, MSM who were 15 to 24 years old (PR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.05–1.12), 25 to 29 years

old (PR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.09–1.17), and 30 to 39 years old (PR, 1.10; 95% CI, 1.05–1.14) were more likely to accept a gonococcal vaccine. Men who have sex with men who had a high school diploma/General Education Diploma or less (PR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.91–0.97) were less likely to accept a vaccine compared with MSM with at least a college degree. Men who have sex with men who reported CAS (PR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.06–1.12), PrEP use (PR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.14–1.19), living with HIV (PR, 1.05; 95% CI, 1.02–1.09), having been tested for a bacterial STD (PR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.15–1.21), or a bacterial STD (PR, 1.04; 95% CI, 1.02–1.07) were more likely to accept a gonococcal vaccine than other men.

DISCUSSION

Approximately 83% of sexually active MSM in this analytical sample were willing to accept a gonorrhea vaccine. The prevalence of vaccine acceptability in this exploratory study is comparable to the prevalence of gonococcal vaccine acceptability observed in studies of other populations. ¹⁶⁻¹⁸ One study of predominantly White incarcerated women showed that 79% of respondents were willing to accept a gonorrhea vaccine. ¹⁷ Another study of predominantly White parents and adolescents showed that 85% of parents and adolescents were willing to accept a gonorrhea vaccine. ¹⁶ Lastly, a recent study of predominantly White attendees of an STD clinic showed that 76% were interested in accepting a gonorrhea vaccine. ¹⁸ The high prevalence of vaccine acceptability in our sample of sexually active MSM might be attributable to perceived susceptibility to gonorrhea and possible awareness of the increased HIV transmission risk associated with gonorrhea. ^{1,5} Awareness of the emerging threat of antimicrobial-resistant gonorrhea might also explain the high vaccine acceptability in this sample. ²⁰

Primary care provider clinics and STD clinics were preferred locations to receive a gonococcal vaccine. Primary care providers are involved in the general health care of their patients, often including sexual health.²¹ Many patients, including MSM, receive routine STD care and treatment such as recommended bacterial STD testing, PrEP, and human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination from their primary care providers. ²¹ Sexually transmitted disease clinics traditionally focus on sexual health and provide comprehensive STD care, partner services, and other ancillary sexual health care services in a confidential and culturally sensitive manner.²¹ They are also often convenient to access, provide walkin services, cater to uninsured or underinsured patients, and are more likely to provide recommended STD care and treatment compared with other clinical settings. ²¹⁻²⁴ These factors may explain the selection of primary care provider clinics and STD clinics as a preferred location to receive a gonococcal vaccine. Notably, almost 60% of MSM living with HIV or MSM who used PrEP in the past year identified their HIV care provider or PrEP clinic, respectively, as a preferred location to receive a vaccine. Men who have sex with men living with HIV and MSM who use PrEP may prefer to receive all STD care from the same location and might be more comfortable with receiving a gonococcal vaccine from HCPs who are aware of their clinical and STD history, from whom they already receive sexual health care, and with whom they may already have an established relationship. For example, HPV vaccination uptake is higher among MSM who are not living with HIV and attend a PrEP clinic compared with MSM who are not living with HIV and do not attend a PrEP clinic. ²⁵ Human papillomavirus vaccination uptake is also higher among MSM living

with HIV who attend an HIV clinic compared with MSM living with HIV and who do not attend an HIV clinic. ²⁶ Primary care provider offices, STD clinics, PrEP clinics, and HIV clinics may be good health care settings to initiate vaccine rollout to MSM, and the different settings described may be able to reach different populations of MSM.

Younger MSM (15–24, 25–29, and 30–39 years) were more likely to accept a gonococcal vaccine compared with MSM 40 years or older. Greater acceptability among younger MSM in this study may be attributable to the elevated risk of gonorrhea in this population and greater engagement in CAS and sex with multiple casual partners, compared with older men. 1,27,28 Men who have sex with men who reported CAS, PrEP use, or living with HIV were also more likely to accept a gonococcal vaccine. Men who have sex with who report CAS or PrEP use may perceive themselves to be at risk of gonorrhea and may be more willing to accept a gonococcal vaccine. Furthermore, persons who use PrEP implicitly acknowledge their risk of HIV and other STIs and have taken PrEP to reduce this risk. Thus, MSM who use PrEP may be more proactive about their sexual health, reflected in greater acceptability of a vaccine. Men who have sex with living with HIV may be more willing to accept a gonococcal vaccine because they are more likely to visit their HCP than MSM who are not living with HIV²⁹ and gonorrhea can complicate HIV infection. 30

Men who have sex with men who reported a visit to an HCP or bacterial STD testing or diagnoses in the past 12 months were more willing to accept a vaccine. These MSM might be more concerned about their health and adopt health seeking behaviors, have the resources to seek health care, or consider themselves at greater risk of gonorrhea^{3,31s,32s} than other MSM. Although the racial differences in acceptability of HPV vaccination have been described,^{33s} we did not observe any differences by race/ethnicity in gonorrhea vaccine acceptability in this study. The relatively small sample size of minority populations in this sample may have reduced the statistical power required to detect any racial differences. Future studies should explore racial differences in gonorrhea vaccine acceptability, particularly given the racial disparities in gonorrhea among MSM.^{34s} Men who have sex with men with high school education/general education diploma were less likely to be willing to accept a gonococcal vaccine. These MSM may be less likely to acknowledge their susceptibility to or risk of gonorrhea, or may not recognize the severity of gonorrhea compared with those with a higher level of education.

There are limitations to this study. Data were obtained from a convenience sample of Internet-recruited, sexually active MSM; racial/ethnic minorities, especially African American men, were underrepresented relative to their disparate burden of STIs. The generalizability of these findings may be limited. We recruited MSM using the Internet. This recruitment method may inherently bias the sample toward MSM with easy Internet access and may not capture MSM who are at greatest risk for gonorrhea or MSM with lower vaccine literacy. Data were self-reported and might be subject to social desirability bias, which can lead to over-estimation of vaccine acceptability. Data were obtained before COVID-19. Given the effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against SARS-CoV-2^{35s} and COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy, ^{36s} it is possible that the estimates of vaccine acceptability may differ if the data were obtained during or after the COVID-19 pandemic. Respondents were asked to report their willingness to accept a vaccine before licensure and for which product

characteristics such as efficacy, duration of protection, number of doses, side effects, cost, and safety profile are unknown. It is possible that vaccine acceptability will vary with these product characteristics. Lessons learned from HPV vaccination such as making a gonococcal vaccine widely available at no cost or reduced cost, public health campaigns that inform MSM and HCPs about gonorrhea risk and prevention, vaccine efficacy and safety, and immunization recommendations from the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, the CDC, or other professional organizations may increase vaccine acceptability and uptake among MSM.^{9,37s}

In this sample, sexually active MSM seem highly willing to receive a gonorrhea vaccine. The study describes the characteristics of MSM who would be more likely to accept one and highlighted preferred health care settings where MSM would prefer to receive a gonorrhea vaccine. These findings can inform the planning and implementation of a future gonococcal vaccination program that focuses on MSM.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2018. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/std/stats18/STDSurveillance2018-full-report.pdf. Accessed January 19, 2021.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2009.
 Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/std/stats/archive/surv2009-Complete.pdf. Accessed January 19, 2021
- Stenger MR, Pathela P, Anschuetz G, et al. Increases in the rate of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* among gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men—findings from the Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance Network 2010–2015. Sex Transm Dis 2017; 44:393–397. [PubMed: 28608788]
- 4. St Cyr S, Barbee L, Workowski KA, et al. Update to CDC's treatment guidelines for gonococcal infection, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020; 69:1911–1916. [PubMed: 33332296]
- 5. Fleming DT, Wasserheit JN. From epidemiological synergy to public health policy and practice: The contribution of other sexually transmitted diseases to sexual transmission of HIV infection. Sex Transm Infect 1999; 75:3–17. [PubMed: 10448335]
- Lefebvre B, Martin I, Demczuk W, et al. Ceftriaxone-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Canada, 2017.
 Emerg Infect Dis 2018; 24:381–383. [PubMed: 29131780]
- 7. Eyre DW, Sanderson ND, Lord E, et al. Gonorrhoea treatment failure caused by a *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* strain with combined ceftriaxone and high-level azithromycin resistance, England, February 2018. Euro Surveill 2018; 23:1800323. [PubMed: 29991383]
- Picker MA, Knoblock RJ, Hansen H, et al. Notes from the Field: First case in the United States of Neisseria gonorrhoeae harboring emerging mosaic penA60 allele, conferring reduced susceptibility to cefixime and ceftriaxone. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020; 69:1876–1877. [PubMed: 33301430]
- Abara WE, Jerse AE, Hariri S, et al. Planning for a gonococcal vaccine: A narrative review of vaccine development and public health implications. Sex Transm Dis 2021; 48:453–457. [PubMed: 33201019]
- Petousis-Harris H, Paynter J, Morgan J, et al. Effectiveness of a group B outer membrane vesicle meningococcal vaccine against gonorrhoea in New Zealand: A retrospective case-control study. Lancet 2017; 390:1603–1610. [PubMed: 28705462]

11. Pérez O, Del Campo J, Cuello M, et al. Mucosal approaches in Neisseria vaccinology. Vaccimonitor 2009; 18:53–55.

- 12. Whelan J, Kløvstad H, Haugen IL, et al. Ecologic study of meningococcal B vaccine and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infection, Norway. Emerg Infect Dis 2016; 22:1137–1139. [PubMed: 27191543]
- 13. Longtin J, Dion R, Simard M, et al. Possible impact of wide-scale vaccination against serogroup B Neisseria meningitidis on gonorrhea incidence rates in one region of Quebec, Canada. Open Forum Infect Dis 2017; 4(Suppl 1):S734–S735.
- 14. Abara W, Bernstein K, Lewis F, et al. Case-control study to evaluate the effectiveness of a serogroup B outer membrane vesicle meningococcal vaccine against gonorrhea, New York City and Philadelphia, 2016–2018 2020. Sex Transm Dis 2020; 48:34. doi:10.1097/ OLQ.000000000001346.
- Harvey SM, Gibbs SE, Sikora AE. A critical need for research on gonorrhea vaccine acceptability. Sex Transm Dis 2021; 48:e116–e118. doi:10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001331. [PubMed: 33201020]
- 16. Zimet GD, Perkins SM, Sturm LA, et al. Predictors of STI vaccine acceptability among parents and their adolescent children. J Adolesc Health 2005; 37:179–186. [PubMed: 16109336]
- 17. Bonney LE, Rose JS, Clarke JG, et al. Correlates of acceptance of a hypothetical gonorrhea vaccine by incarcerated women. Sex Transm Dis 2007; 34:778–782. [PubMed: 17551416]
- Plotnikoff KM, Ogilvie GS, Smith L, et al. Factors associated with interest in bacterial sexually transmitted infection vaccines at two large sexually transmitted infection clinics in British Columbia, Canada. Sex Transm Infect 2020; 96:494

 –500. [PubMed: 32457116]
- 19. Zlotorzynska M, Sullivan P, Sanchez TJ. The Annual American men's Internet survey of behaviors of men who have sex with men in the United States: 2015 Key Indicators Report. JMIR Public Health Surveill 2017; 3:e13. [PubMed: 28356240]
- 20. Kirkcaldy RD, Zaidi A, Hook EW 3rd, et al. *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* antimicrobial resistance among men who have sex with men and men who have sex exclusively with women: the Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project, 2005–2010. Ann Intern Med 2013; 158:321–328. [PubMed: 23460055]
- 21. Barrow RY, Ahmed F, Bolan GA, et al. Recommendations for providing quality sexually transmitted diseases clinical services, 2020. MMWR Recomm Rep 2020; 68:1–20. [PubMed: 31899459]
- 22. Hoover KW, Parsell BW, Leichliter JS, et al. Continuing need for sexually transmitted disease clinics after the Affordable Care Act. Am J Public Health 2015; 105(S5):S690–S695. [PubMed: 26447908]
- 23. Pathela P, Klingler EJ, Guerry SL, et al. Sexually transmitted infection clinics as safety net providers: exploring the role of categorical sexually transmitted infection clinics in an era of health care reform. Sex Transm Dis 2015; 42:286–293. [PubMed: 25868143]
- 24. Weston EJ, Workowski K, Torrone E, et al. Adherence to CDC recommendations for the treatment of uncomplicated gonorrhea—STD Surveillance Network, United States, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2018; 67:473–476. [PubMed: 29698384]
- 25. Chow EP, Aung ET, Chen MY, et al. Human papillomavirus vaccination and sexual practices among men who have sex with men in Melbourne, Australia: A cross-sectional study. Int J STD AIDS 2020; 31:312–317. [PubMed: 32063135]
- 26. Checchi M, Mesher D, McCall M, et al. HPV vaccination of gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men in sexual health and HIV clinics in England: vaccination uptake and attendances during the pilot phase. Sex Transm Infect 2019; 95:608–613. [PubMed: 31028226]
- 27. Del Pino HE, Harawa NT, Liao D, et al. Age and age discordance associations with condomless sex among men who have sex with men. AIDS Behav 2018; 22:649–657. [PubMed: 28144790]
- 28. Chapin-Bardales J, Rosenberg ES, Sullivan PS, et al. Trends in number and composition of sex partners among men who have sex with men in the United States, national HIV behavioral surveillance, 2008–2014. JAIDS 2019; 81:257–265. [PubMed: 31194702]
- 29. German D, Sifakis F, Maulsby C, et al. Persistently high prevalence and unrecognized HIV infection among men who have sex with men in Baltimore: The BESURE study. JAIDS 2011; 57:77–87. [PubMed: 21297479]

30. Kalichman SC, Pellowski J, Turner CJ. Prevalence of sexually transmitted co-infections in people living with HIV/AIDS: Systematic review with implications for using HIV treatments for prevention. Sex Transm Infect 2011; 87:183–190. [PubMed: 21330572]

TABLE 1.

Characteristics of Sexually Active Men Who Have Sex With Men, American Men's Internet Survey, 2019 (n = 4951)

Variable	n*	%
Race		
Non-Hispanic White	2966	59.9
Black	734	14.8
Hispanic	764	15.4
Other †	382	7.7
Missing	105	2.1
Age, y		
15–24	2077	42.0
25–29	862	17.4
30–39	713	14.4
40	1299	26.2
Highest level of education		
High school diploma/General Education Diploma	1102	22.3
>High school	3821	77.6
Missing	28	< 0.01
Condomless anal sex in past 12 mo		
Yes	3437	69.4
No	1514	30.6
Visited a health care provider in past 12 mo		
Yes	4296	86.8
No	619	12.5
Missing	36	< 0.01
Disclosed same-sex behavior to a health care provider		
Yes	2180	44.0
No	266	5.4
Missing	2505	50.6
Living with $HIV^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (n = 3663)		
MSM living with HIV	456	12.5
MSM who are not living with HIV	3207	87.5
HIV preexposure prophylaxis use in the past 12 mo (n	= 3207)	
Yes	651	20.3
No	2556	79.7
Tested for a bacterial STD in the past 12 mo $^{\$}$		
Yes	2351	47.5
No	2600	52.5
Bacterial STD diagnoses in the past 12 mo 9 (n = 2351))	
Yes	656	27.9

Variable	n*	%
No	1695	72.1

^{*} May not sum to 4951 because of missing responses.

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ Among persons who self-reported ever taking an HIV test and receiving a positive or negative result.

 $^{^{}g}$ Tested for gonorrhea, chlamydia, or syphilis in the past 12 months.

[¶]Among MSM tested for bacterial STD in the past 12 months and informed by a health care provider of a diagnosis of gonorrhea, chlamydia, or syphilis.

Abara et al. Page 12

TABLE 2.

Willingness and Preferred Types of Health Care Settings to Accept a Gonorrhea Vaccine Among Sexually Active Men Who Have Sex With Men American Men's Internet Survey 2019

Variable	n	%
Willing to accept a gonococcal vaccine (n = 4951)		
Very willing	3148	63.6
Somewhat willing	985	19.9
Neither willing nor unwilling	372	7.5
Do not know	250	5.1
Somewhat unwilling	76	1.5
Very unwilling	120	2.4
Preferred location to receive a gonorrhea vaccine (n = 4581)		
Primary care provider	3824	83.5
Sexual health clinic/STD clinic	2960	64.6
Health department public health clinic	2224	48.6
HIV testing site	2091	45.7
Preexposure prophylaxis clinic (n = 665) *	378	56.8
Urgent care clinic	1906	41.6
AIDS service organization	1792	39.1
HIV care provider $(n = 436)^{7}$	256	58.7
Pharmacy	1972	43.1
High school or university-based health center	1462	31.9

^{**}Restricted to persons who reported preexposure prophylaxis use in the past 12 months.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Restricted to MSM living with HIV.

TABLE 3.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Factors Associated With Gonorrhea Vaccine Acceptability Among Sexually Active MSM, American Men's Internet Survey, 2019 Cycle (n = 4951)

Variable	Total, N	Willingness to Accept a Gonorrhea Vaccine, n (Row %)	Unadjusted Prevalence Ratio	95% Confidence Interval
Race				
Black	734	609 (83.0)	1.01	0.96 - 1.04
Hispanic	764	658 (86.1)	1.04	0.99-1.07
Other *	382	330 (86.4)	1.05	0.99–1.09
Non-Hispanic White	2966	2446 (82.5)	1.00	
Age, y				
15-24	2077	1756 (84.6)	1.09	1.05-1.12
25–29	862	757 (87.8)	1.13	1.09-1.17
30–29	713	608 (85.3)	1.10	1.05-1.14
40	1299	1012 (77.9)	1.00	
Highest level of education				
High school/General Education Diploma	1102	879 (79.8)	0.94	0.91–0.97
>High school	3821	3234 (84.6)	1.00	
Condomless anal sex in the past 12 mo				
Yes	3437	2941 (85.6)	1.09	1.06-1.12
No	1514	1192 (78.7)	1.00	
HIV preexposure prophylaxis use in the past 12 mo	2 mo			
Yes	651	620 (95.2)	1.17	1.14–1.19
No	2556	2103 (82.3)	1.00	
Living With HIV †				
MSM living with HIV	456	408 (89.5)	1.05	1.02-1.09
MSM who are not living with HIV	3207	2723 (84.9)	1.00	
Tested for a bacterial STD in the past 12 mo^{\sharp}				
Yes	2351	2131 (90.6)	1.18	1.15–1.21
No	2600	2002 (77.0)	1.00	
Bacterial STD in the past $12 \text{ mo}^{\$}$				
Yes	959	613 (93.5)	1.04	1.02 - 1.07

Page 13

Author Manuscript

Variable	Total, N	Willingness to Accept a Gonorrhea Unadjusted 95% Confidence Vaccine, n (Row %) Prevalence Ratio Interval	Unadjusted 95% Confide Prevalence Ratio Interval	95% Confidence Interval
No	1695	1518 (89.6)	1.00	
Visited a health care provider in the past 12 mo	st 12 mo			
Yes	4296	3636 (84.6)	1.11	1.06-1.16
No	619	474 (76.6)	1.00	
Disclosed same-sex behavior to a health care provider	th care provider			
Yes	2180	1899 (87.1)	1.05	0.99-1.12
No	266	220 (82.7)	1.00	

 $^{^{*}}$ American Indian, Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander, multiple race/ethnicity, or unknown.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Among persons who self-reported ever taking an HIV test and receiving a positive or negative result.

 $[\]slash\hspace{-0.4em}^{\slash\hspace{-0.4em}\text{-}}$ Tested for gonorrhea, chlamydia, or syphilis in the past 12 months.

Among MSM tested for bacterial STD in the past 12 months and informed by a health care provider of a diagnosis of gonorrhea, chlamydia, or syphilis.