



Published in final edited form as:

*J Environ Health*. 2022 June ; 84(10): 36–40.

## Identifying Research to Evaluate Exposures to Microplastics

**Gaston Casillas, PhD,**

**Gregory M. Zarus, MS, MS**

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Office of Innovation and Analytics

Plastics are a group of useful chemicals that have increased in usage since the 1950s (Miranda, Silva et al. 2019). Plastics are stable regarding both temperature resistance and chemical interactivity. Because of these properties, plastics are utilized in a variety of health products such as toothbrushes, break-resistant beverage containers, and intravenous tubing. Although plastics are extremely useful, they also break down in the environment and present a source of exposure to humans in the form of microplastics. A microplastic is commonly defined as a plastic with any dimension less than 5 millimeters (Güven, Gokdag et al., 2017; Stapleton 2019). Researchers have defined a smaller group of plastics as nanoplastics, as smaller than 1000 nanometers (Gigault et al., 2018). Here we will retain the term microplastics to include all plastic particles smaller than 5 millimeters.

To address the emerging public health concerns for exposure to microplastics, an interdisciplinary working group was formed, combining staff from the National Center of Environmental Health (NCEH) and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). NCEH has taken the lead on investigating microplastics in drinking water. This is consistent with its other efforts to provide safe water support to local and state health departments to address risks to human health. ATSDR has evaluated whether exposures to microplastics in the environment are hazardous, in alignment with its mandate to evaluate potential health effects of hazardous substances in the environment.

The NCEH/ATSDR microplastics working group has organized the literature on microplastics to understand and characterize human risk and exposure from microplastics. The group has identified major data gaps related to exposure to microplastics in air and water (Zarus et al., 2020), reviewed efforts to evaluate occupational exposures to microplastics (Zarus, Zarate-Bermudez et al. 2020), and provided an overview of the transport of microplastics in the environment (Carroll et al., 2020). The group is also developing standardized methods for sample collection and biomonitoring (Muianga et al., 2021; Zarus, Muianga et al., 2020). The workgroup is currently involved in a series of additional reviews to address various public health issues, three of which are underway. This article is a summary of six of the reviews, grouped according to the following criteria: exposure, effects, and data gaps.

---

### Disclaimer

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, and the National Center for Environmental Health.

## Literature reviews

The microplastics working group conducted a series of literature searches during 2019–2022 on any topic related to microplastics. Thousands of articles were identified. Figure 1 shows a time series of published literature from 2010–2019. Most published literature occurred after 2015. Team members were assigned a group of documents to review. A scoping review organized the literature into three broad categories: environmental, adsorption and absorption, and human exposure toxicology and health. Much of the published literature was related to the environment. Figure 2 shows the one reviewer's results (Webb et al. 2021). Publications most frequently addressed questions related to microplastics in water, more than any other environmental media, consistent with all staff reviews. The higher focus on water is consistent with the early availability of sampling methodologies in water for ecologic purposes (NOAA 2013). These methods were applied to study ocean plastics and then to study plastics in fish. This left many questions regarding public health implications for fish consumption.

## Quantifying human exposure

ATSDR applied its health assessment process (ATSDR 2005) to published environmental data to estimate human exposures (Zarus et al. 2021). This assessment included human exposure via three main routes: ingestion, inhalation, and absorption. ATSDR applied relevant human ingestion and inhalation rates to calculate exposure rates. Microplastics in seafoods could amount to thousands of microplastic particles ingested per day. Although exposures to the microplastics measured in water, air, and food additives appeared to be much less than in seafood, the sampling methods limited the size of microplastics able to be detected in those media. Packaging, as with plastic tea bags, appeared to increase microplastic exposure, but packaging studies used different analytical methods than those used for most foods. Table 1 summarizes the published environmental microplastic data to assess human exposures. The data gaps identified in the exposure media were used to inform a workshop and follow up work involving international scientists (Zarus, Casillas et al., 2021).

## Assessing effects of human exposure

Reviews showed target organs and systems that microplastics can affect, including the immune system, the respiratory system, the hepatic system, and the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, (Zarus et al., 2021; Zarus, Zarate-Bermudez et al., 2020). Importantly, ATSDR found that documented clinical effects were not associated with the term “microplastics,” but rather exposure to specific synthetic substances.

Direct exposure to microplastics resulted in lung effects in animals and, because of occupational exposures, in humans. Immune system effects included polyethylene translocating in the lymph system from implants and a foreign body response. Neurologic system effects included polystyrene affecting neurologic mouse cells and polyethylene associated with human dopamine levels. Microplastics were detected in the GI tracts and feces of environmentally exposed individuals and worker studies identified GI health effects.

Studies of the hepatic system included an associated health effect in workers. Table 2 summarizes data and data gaps germane to human exposures to microplastics and associated effects. While exposures to many populations are demonstrated, clear clinical effects were only observed in workers or patients with plastic implants. Many data gaps exist relating animal studies to human exposures.

## Identifying critical data gaps

Although microplastic literature heavily favored an environmental focus, data gaps remain within that arena. Very few studies examine the most bioavailable plastics — those smaller than 10 microns (Table 1). Methods in the marine environment, while more standardized than other media, lack inclusion of the smaller particles that can move within cells. Other environmental media lack method standardization. Additionally, few studies define how microplastics behave in the atmosphere and in the sediment. ATSDR identified several pressing data gaps related to identifying microplastics exposure and toxicity to humans. A general list of the data gaps that need to be addressed to form a more complete picture of microplastics toxicity and exposure to humans is available in Table 2.

In December 2021, ATSDR led a session to address some of the health-related data gaps within the Asian Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Workshop on Nanoplastics in Marine Debris (Zarus, Casillas et al. 2021). During this session, presenters provided new data identifying unique effects of polystyrene, polyvinyl chloride, and acrylic on lung cells, and a means to assess the effects of microplastic exposures (Sang 2021; Mahadevan and Valiyaveetil, 2021; Mumtaz and Gehle 2021). The direct cell dosing studies cannot be used to assess human health implications because they incompletely characterize human exposures. However, they do suggest a need for follow up studies. A current review at ATSDR is providing a statistical analysis of the data in Table 2 to assist in prioritizing the data needs.

## Conclusion

The study of microplastics is relatively new, with researchers quickly responding to the published data gaps. ATSDR and NCEH are conducting scoping reviews on thousands of published research studies on microplastics. The lack of microplastic-specific information before 2015 does not exclude the important work that had been conducted prior to 2015, as prior to that date much work was conducted on specific plastic substances such as nylon, polyethylene, polyvinyl chloride, etc.

General conclusions that can be made now include the following:

1. Most microplastics research has been focused on the environment, specifically that of oceans, lakes, and rivers.
2. Most people are exposed to microplastics in air, water, and foods.
3. Some microplastics translocate within our bodies.
4. Some microplastics carry other pollutants.

5. Clear clinical effects have only been demonstrated in occupational settings.
6. Cell studies that find unique effects currently cannot be applied to understand environmental exposures.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## References

- Miranda M, Silva A, Pereira M. 2019. Microplastics in the environment: A DPSIR analysis with focus on the responses. *Science of the Total Environment*: 134968. [PubMed: 31839303]
- Guyen O, Gokdag K, Jovanovic B, Kideys A. 2017. Microplastic litter composition of the Turkish territorial waters of the Mediterranean Sea, and its occurrence in the gastrointestinal tract of fish. *Environmental Pollution* 223:286–294. [PubMed: 28117186]
- Gigault J, Halle A, Baudrimont M, Pascal PY, Gauffre F, Phi T, et al. 2018. Current opinion: What is a nanoplastic? *Environmental Pollution* 235, 1030–1034. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.024. [PubMed: 29370948]
- Zarus GM. 2020. Data needs to evaluate human exposures to microplastics in air and water Presented at the National Academies of the Sciences and Medicine: Emerging Technologies to Advance Research and Decisions on the Environmental Health Effects of Microplastics. Washington, DC. 10.1002/(sici)1097-0274(199803)
- Zarus GM, Zarate-Bermudez M, Hunter C, Muianga C. 2020. Potential toxic effects from micro- and nano-plastics: insights from occupational studies Poster Presented at: American Industrial Hygiene Association Expo.
- Carrol Y, Zarus G, Casillas G, Hunter C, Hubbard B, Muianga C, et al. 2021. NCEH/ATSDR Microplastic Working Group: Identifying and addressing data needs to evaluate human exposures to microplastics International Society of Environmental Epidemiology (ISEE) poster presentation.
- Muianga C, Casillas G, Zarus GM, Gehle K, Zarate-Bermudez M (2021). Review of biomonitoring data gaps and needs to estimate human exposures International Society of Exposure Science Annual Meeting.
- Zarus GM, Muianga C, Casillas G, Carrol Y, Bermudez-Zarate M, Hunter C, et al. 2020. Identifying and addressing data needs to evaluate human exposure to microplastics International Society of Exposure Sciences (ISES) presentation.
- [NOAA] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2013. Marine debris monitoring and assessment: recommendations for monitoring debris trends in the marine environment NOAA Technical Memorandum. <https://marinedebris.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/Lippiatt%20et%20al%202013.pdf>
- [ATSDR] Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. 2005. Public Health Assessment Guidance Manual US Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA. HHS-0923-2005-F-2096.
- Webb T, Casillas G, Zarus GM, Telfer JL, Hunter CM. 2021. Microplastics scoping review ISES poster presentation.
- Zarus GM, Muianga C, Hunter C, Pappas SR. 2021. A review of data for quantifying human exposures to micro and nanoplastics and potential health risks. *Science of the Total Environment* 144010. [PubMed: 33310215]
- Zarus GM, Casillas G, Muianga C, Ferguson M, Gehle K, Pappas SR. 2021. Human exposure to micro and nano plastics Asian Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) presentation.
- Goodman KE, Hare JT, Khamis ZI, Hua T, Qing-Xiang AS. 2021. Potential cytotoxic effects of microplastics on human lung cells Asian Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) presentation.
- Mahadevan G, Valiyaveetil S. 2021. Cytotoxicity of polyvinyl chloride and polymethyl methacrylate nanoparticles on normal human lung cell line Asian Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC).


Mumtaz M, Gehle K. 2021. General mixtures toxicology and microplastics Asian Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




**Figure 1:** Time series of published microplastic literature included in reviews, 2010–2019. Source: Zarus, Casillas et al. 2021.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript



**Figure 2:**  
Published articles on microplastics in the environment, from one reviewer  
Source: Webb et al. 2021.

**Table 1.**

Published environmental microplastic data to assess human exposures

Exposure Element	Evidence	Microplastic (MP) Data	Critical Data Needs
Air	Measured directly	>10 µm particles characterized for indoor and outdoor air	Fine particles (<10 µm) need characterization
Seafood	Measured in GI of fish, crabs and bivalves	>10 µm particles characterized in GI mostly	Fine particles (<10 µm) need characterization
Drinking water and beverages	Measured directly	>10 µm particles characterized in many cities	Fine particles (<10 µm) need characterization
Seasonings	Salt, sugar, honey	>10 µm particles characterized	Fine particles (<10 µm) need characterization
Vegetables not characterized	In soils, in plankton	None	Full characterization is needed
Meats not characterized	In soils and in seafood scraps, phthalates in mammals	None	Full characterization is needed

Source: Modified from Zarus et al. 2021.

The table is shaded to assist in identifying the largest data gaps for assessing microplastic exposure and effect. Red identifies the largest data needs, yellow identifies a need for further characterization, and green identifies there is sufficient characterization to demonstrate exposure from an element. Proof of exposure does not connote evidence is sufficient to characterize exposures.

Abbreviations: GI = Gastrointestinal system, µm = micrometers

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

**Table 2.**

Evidence of data and data gaps germane to human exposures and effects

Uptake and Absorption	Evidence	Microplastics data	Critical Data Needs
Lungs	Measured directly in workers, along with health effects, and in animals	Measured in workers and in air	Not studied in non-workers
Immune system	Polyethylene was found to translocate lymphatics in implant patients and related to immune response	Measured translocation in implant patients; found in lymph nodes of workers	Not measured in general population
Neurologic	Polystyrene alters neurologic mouse cells only; polyethylene association with dopamine in humans	Measured in biota, but effects might be associated with nano size	Not measured in general population; full pathways of uptake not demonstrated
GI system	Measured in feces of general population; implied by association with health effects in workers; Gastrointestinal (GI) cancers.	Measured translocation in animals after insertion; cancers associated with work environment	Human data on GI absorption not known but associated with effects; feces microplastics and urine PAEs are non-specific indicators
Liver	Implied by association with health effects in workers only	Injected microparticles circulated to liver; also measured in liver and spleen of implant patients	Not measured in workers, animals, or general population; but found in implant patients
Biomagnification of other toxicants	Measured directly as a factor >1x in marine animals, but no support for great magnification is demonstrated	Measurements in marine environment and in fish GI tracts indicate a decrease in the trophic levels, not an increase; therefore, the increase to humans is expected to be slight	Data in human food supply is needed; however, total exposure to many persistent pollutants occurs routinely

Source: Modified from Zarus et al. 2021.

The table is shaded to assist in identifying the largest data gaps for assessing microplastic exposure and effect. Yellow identifies a need for further characterization and green identifies there is sufficient characterization to demonstrate uptake and absorption is occurring. While evidence of uptake and absorption has been demonstrated, it is insufficient to fully link associated effects with exposure dose.