## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Chloroquine-Resistant Plasmodium falciparum Malaria Acquired in East Africa - Pennsylvania

On June 27, 1981, a 17-year-old American arrived in Kenya as an exchange student. He spent 1 week in Mombasa and Nairobi and then lived for the next 6 weeks in several smaller towns in western Kenya, near Lake Victoria. On June 14, he had begun malaria chemoprophylaxis with 500 mg ( 300 mg base) chloroquine phosphate. He continued taking 1 dose a week until July 26. He returned to the United States on August 19. On August 23, he again began chloroquine prophylaxis and continued with a weekly dose through September 20.

On September 25, 5 days after the last dose of chloroquine, he experienced onset of fever, headache, and chills. He sought medical attention on September 30, and was found to have $0.9 \%$ parasitemia with Plasmodium falciparum. A blood sample was also drawn for determination of chloroquine concentration. On the assumption that his infection represented a failure of chloroquine prophylaxis, treatment was started. It consisted of a standard therapeutic course of $2.5 \mathrm{~g}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}$ base) chloroquine phosphate plus 800 mg sulfamethoxazole and 160 mg trimethoprim twice daily for 7 days. Results of the laboratory tests subsequently showed that on September 30 ( 10 days after the last prophylactic dose of chloroquine), the patient's whole-blood chloroquine concentration had been $114 \mathrm{ppb}(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml})$. The patient showed rapid clinical improvement and has remained clinically well since.
Reported by FL Ruben, MD, University of Pittsburgh, M Richards, MD, J Sarandria, Allegheny County Health Dept, Pittsburgh; EJ Witte, VMD, State Epidemiologist, Pennsylvania State Dept of Health, Harrisburg; Malaria Br, Parasitic Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: In 1978, 3 P. falciparum infections acquired in Kenya and Tanzania were reported that failed to be cured by a standard ( 25 mg base $/ \mathrm{kg}$ ) course of chloroquine (1-4). Since then, an additional 7 similar cases have been documented (5-9). In each instance the infection occurred in a traveler from the United States or Europe who had acquired falciparum malaria in East Africa. Following initial supervised therapy with chloroquine $\mathbf{( 2 5 ~ m g}$ base $/ \mathrm{kg}$. orally), each patient had experienced, within 7 days, a clinical cure and the clearing of malaria parasites from the blood. In all cases, however, a recrudescence of patent parasitemia occurred within 1-8 weeks, even though there had been no opportunity for reinfection. In general, this pattern of treatment failure meets the World Health Organization criteria for R-I resistance (10). These recrudescences have been treated successfully with either an increased therapeutic dosage of chloroquine or a combination of sulfonamide with dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor (Fansidar* or Bactrim/Septra).

Most persons who experienced treatment failure had also taken chloroquine prophylaxis while in Africa. Recently, 4 cases of $P$. falciparum malaria have been reported in persons who
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## Plasmodium falciparum - Continued

had been in East Africa and who were taking, or had recently completed, chloroquine chemoprophylaxis ( $5-10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ week) (7.11,12). In each case the blood chloroquine concentration, measured at the time of diagnosis (before treatment), established failure of chloroquine prophylaxis against these $P$. fa/ciparum strains from East Africa.

The present case fits this pattern of chloroquine-prophylaxis failure. The chloroquine concentration, 114 ppb , is consistent with a history of appropriate prophylaxis and would be expected to suppress chloroquine-sensitive strains of $P$. falciparum (13).

In the past year, $C D C$ has received reports of 8 other U.S. travelers to East Africa, in addition to the present case, who have experienced failure of chloroquine therapy or prophylaxis associated with documented $P$. falciparum infection. The countries now known to be involved are Kenya, Madagascar, Tanzania, and the Comoro Islands. The epidemiologic and parasitologic features of these cases are consistent with the pattern established in the other reported cases. However, because of delayed notification, not all critical elements of case descriptions. such as quantification of blood chloroquine, have been available.

At present, chloroquine continues to be a relatively safe and effective antimalarial for prophylaxis in East Africa. Since the prevalence of chloroquine-resistant $P$. falciparum in that area remains unknown and the degree of resistance is low, it is unclear whether current recommendations for chloroquine prophylaxis for travelers to East Africa need to be altered. CDC is maintaining intensive surveillance for failure of chloroquine prophylaxis and treatment. As additional information on this evolving situation becomes available, it will be reported. CDC can provide in vitro drug-sensitivity testing and determine blood chloroquine concentrations and would like to receive reports through state health departments of all suspected cases of chloroquine-resistant $P$. falciparum malaria acquired in Africa.
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## Current Trends

## The Cost of One Rabid Dog - California

On May 10, 1980, a dog in Yuba County, California, was placed under observation after it bit 3 persons in a parking lot in the Olivehurst area. Because the dog appeared ill, it was killed, and tissues were tested and found positive for rabies on May 12. The subsequent investigation by Sutter-Yuba County Health Department personnel eventually resulted in the identification of 70 persons, who received antirabies prophylaxis because of known or probable exposure to the dog. Because investigators found that only $20 \%$ of the dogs and cats in the area had up-to-date vaccinations, special clinics were held in which 2,000 dogs were vaccinated; over 300 unclaimed dogs and cats were destroyed.

No persons or other animals were known to develop rabies as a result of this episode. However, the costs generated by this single rabid dog were estimated as: $\$ 92,650$ for human antirabies treatment, $\$ 4,190$ for animal vaccination and veterinary services, and $\$ 8,950$ for health-department and animal-control programs. The total cost of the episode was $\$ 105,790$, or over $\$ 1,500$ per person treated, not including lost work time, patient travel time, and costs of the 6 months' quarantine imposed on animals exposed to the rabid dog.
Reported by MK Cusick, MD, Sutter-Yuba County Health Dept, G Humphrey, DVM, Dept of Health Svcs, California; Viral Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: There have been few reports in the literature in which costs could be assigned to 1 specific case of rabies. Although human rabies has become a rarity in the United States-0-5 cases per year-the cost of controlling the disease is an increasing burden on health budgets.

## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Food-Borne Illness due to Inadvertent Consumption of Marijuana - California

On July 10, 1981, health officials in San Bernardino County, California, were notified of a possible food-borne outbreak associated with a covered-dish brunch at a local college. Fifteen persons who ate at the brunch were interviewed. Nine complained of illness, which involved at least 2 of the following symptoms: dry mouth (9), dizziness (7), tachycardia (5), blurred vision (5), memory lapse (5), "tingling" (3), anxiety (3), confusion and drowsiness (3), nausea (1), and headache (1). The age groups of ill persons were as follows: 20-29 years old, 1 person; 30-39 years old, 3 persons; 40-49 years old, 2 persons; and $50+$ years old, 3 persons. The mean incubation period was 1.1 hours (range, 50 minutes to 2 hours); the median duration of illness was 3 hours. The 3 persons hospitalized for observation were released within 24 hours.

A standard questionnaire was administered to the 15 persons, and food-specific attack rates implicated a zucchini cake as the common source of illness. The preparer of the cake, when questioned, admitted to the possibility of having inadvertently added marijuana to the recipe.

Microscopic examination of the zucchini cake showed cystolithic hairs (indicating plant cell-wall material), and a Duquenois-Levine test for cannabinoids confirmed the presence of marijuana in the cake.

## Food-Borne IIIness - Continued

Reported by AF Taylor, MPH, JJ Kalnas, LE Mahoney, MD, DrPH, San Bernardino County Dept of Public Health, California; Special Studies Br, Chronic Diseases Div, Center for Environmental Health, CDC.
Editorial Note: A similar episode occurred in Colorado in 1978 in which 9 of 22 persons exposed to marijuana in a bundt cake became ill(1).

The symptoms described in the present outbreak are physiologically consistent with the effects of marijuana (2). Tolerance to marijuana is well substantiated (3), and persons with repeated experience with the substance may have less pronounced physiologic (as well as psychologic) changes. Furthermore, persons who deliberately use marijuana for its psychologic effects would experience and interpret such "symptoms" differently than described herein.

Marijuana, obtained from the hemp plant Cannabis sativa, is a complex mixture of over 400 chemicals. Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) is the major source of psychoactivity, but there are numerous cannabinoids that have biological activity. THC is 3-5 times as potent when inhaled as when ingested, because it is insoluble in water at room temperature and its composition is altered by the acid pH of the stomach. However, the fatty substances in baked foods facilitate absorption and the production of a pharmacologically active dose (3). Because the composition of marijuana is varied and the absorption is incomplete, the onset and duration of symptoms may vary also. Symptoms usually begin from $1 / 2$ to 1 hour after ingestion and last from 3 to 6 hours (4). THC leaves the blood rapidly but is taken up by the tissues. Complete elimination of a dose may take up to 30 days.
(Continued on page 533)
TABLE 1. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States
(Cumulative totals include revised and delayed reports through previous weeks.)

| DISEASE | 42nd WEEK ENDING |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ 1976.1 \mathrm{BRO} \end{gathered}$ | CUMULATIVE, FIRST 42 WEEKS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Octahar } 24 \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Octahar } 18$ $1880$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Otahar } 24 \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Octahar } 18 \\ 1980 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ 19761980 \end{gathered}$ |
| Aseptic meningitis | 270 | 245 | 245 | 7.449 | 5.980 | 5,135 |
| Brucallosis | 6 | 1 | 3 | 130 | 146 | . 146 |
| Chickenpox | 927 | 671 | 886 | 170.856 | 160.551 | 160,551 |
| Diphtheria | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 63 |
| Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne 8 unspec.) | 29 | 38 | 38 | 1,117 | 952 | 952 |
| Post-infectious | - | 2 | 3 | 72 | 173 | 186 |
| Hepatitis, Viral: Type ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 400 | 319 | 319 | 16.430 | 14,349 | 12,141 |
| Type A | 523 | 494 | 629 | 20,109 | 22,604 | 24,046 |
| Type unspecified | 215 | 206 | 155 | 8,840 | 9, 208 | 7.093 |
| Malaria | 27 | 33 | 15 | 1.140 | 1,644 | 605 |
| Measles (rubeola) | 18 | 38 | 110 | 2,750 | 13,059 | 24.545 |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 48 | 39 | 29 | 2,848 | 2,199 | 1.978 |
| Civilian | 48 | 39 | 27 | 2,837 | 2.183 | 1,954 |
| Milizary | - |  | 1 | 11 | 16 | 17 |
| Mumps | 102 | 69 | 153 | 3.505 | 7.461 | 14,037 |
| Pertussis | 27 | 42 | 39 | 993 | 1.398 | 1,398 |
| Ruballa (German measles) | 24 | 29 | 68 | 1,850 | 3,417 | 10.968 |
| Tetanus | 3 | - | 1 | 48 | 67 | 60 |
| Tubarculosis | 567 | 520 | 556 | 21.908 | 21.853 | 23,467 |
| Tularamia | 7 | 2 | 3 | 214 | 183 | 137 |
| Typhoid fever | 22 | 14 | 13 | 481 | 423 | 414 |
| Typhus fevar, tick-borne (Rky. Mt. spotted) | 9 | 5 | 13 | 1.125 | 1.090 | 990 |
| Venereal diseases: <br> Gonormea: Civilian | 20.495 | 17.974 | 21,518 | 812.582 | 807,241 | 807. 241 |
| Military | 20.438 | 465 | 514 | 22.575 | 22.390 | 22.390 |
| Syphilis, primary ${ }^{\text {a }}$ secondary: Civilian | 689 | 556 | 556 | 24.758 | 21.470 | 19,585 |
| Military | 7 | 5 | 5 | 314 | 256 | 248 |
| Rabies in animals | 104 | 109 | 75 | 5,911 | 5,341 | 2,578 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | CuM. 1881 |  | CUM. 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Poliomyelitis: Total | 6 |
| Botulism(Ariz. 1, Calif. 2) | 64. | Paralytic (Mo. 1, Minn. 1) | 5 |
| Cholara | 3 | Psittacosis | 88 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 10 | Rabies in man | 1 |
| Leprasy (Wash. 1. Calif. 1) | 206 | Trichinosis | 115 |
| Leptospirosis (Tex. 1) | 38 | Typhus fevar, flea-borne (endemic, murina) | 31 |
| Plague | 9 |  |  |

[^1]TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
October 24, 1981 and October 18, 1980 (42nd week)

| REporting area | ASEPTIC MENIN. GITIS | 8RUCEL. Losis | CHICKENPOX | DIPHTHERIA |  | ENCEPHALITIS |  |  | HEPATITIS (VIRAL), BY TYPE |  |  | MALARIA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary |  | Pastinfectious | B | A | Unspecified |  |  |
|  | 1981 | 1981 | 1981 | 1981 | cum. | 1981 | 1980 | 1981 | 1981 | 1981 | 1981 | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CuM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 270 | 6 | 927 | - | 3 | 29 | 38 | - | 400 | 523 | 215 | 27 | 1.140 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 10 | 1 | 112 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 23 | 9 | 19 | 1 | 60 |
| Maine | - | - | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| N.H. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 3 |
| V . | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Mass. | 9 | - | 28 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 2 | 13 | - | 31 |
| R.I. | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 3 |
| Conn. | 1 | - | 36 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 16 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 33 | - | 40 | - | = | 2 | 2 | - | 64 | 54 | 18 | 1 | 147 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 13 | - | 30 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 15 | 12 | 3 | - | 34 |
| N.Y. City | 4 | - | 10 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 19 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 56 |
| N.J. | 7 | - | NN | - | - | - | 1 | - | 30 | 26 | 12 | - | 42 |
| Pa . | 9 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | NA | NA | NA | - | 15 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 66 | - | 380 | - | - | 15 | 11 | - | 40 | 61 | 16 | 1 | 53 |
| Ohio | 47 | - | 35 | - | - | 14 | 6 | - | 19 | 13 | 7 | - | 8 |
| Ind. | 4 | - | 47 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 7 | 4 | - | 6 |
| III. | - | - | 49 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 20 | 2 | - | 17 |
| Mich. | 15 | - | 155 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 15 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 22 |
| Wis. | - | - | 94 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 24 | 1 | 130 | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | 6 | 4 | 7 | - | 31 |
| Minn. | 6 |  | 3 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 12 |
| lowa | 4 | 1 | 47 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| Mo. | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 6 | - | 3 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| S. Dak. | 3 | - | 9 | - | - | - | = | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Nebr. | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Kans. | 5 | - | 56 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| S ATLANTIC | 47 | 2 | 146 | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | 87 | 67 | 25 | 4 | 139 |
| Del. | , | 2 |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  | 3 | - | 1 |
| Md. | - | - | 7 | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | 9 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 34 |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 9 |
| Va . | 14 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 18 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 29 |
| W. Va. | 3 |  | 97 | - | - | - | 1 | = | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 |
| N.C. | 5 | - | NN | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| s.c. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 4 | - | - | 2 |
| Ga. | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 16 | - | = | 8 |
| Fla. | 22 |  | 38 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 28 | 31 | 9 | - | 40 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 23 | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 20 | 18 | 4 | - | 10 |
| Ky. | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |  | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 16 | - | NN | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 15 | 15 | 1 | - | - |
| Ala. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 9 |
| Miss. | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 19 | 2 | 47 | - | - | 2 | 9 | - | 43 | 129 | 65 | 1 | 88 |
| Ark. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | 4 |
| Le. | 3 | - | NN | - | - | - | 5 | - | 16 | 39 | 10 | 1 | 8 |
| Okla. | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| Tox. | 13 | 1 | 47 | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | 20 | 82 | 50 | - | 70 |
|  | 9 | - | 8 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 46 | 13 | 2 | 40 |
| Mant. | 1 | - |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Idaho |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | 4 |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Calo. | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 19 |
| N. Mex. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | 3 |
| Arix. | 1 | - | NN | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 8 | 3 | - | 6 |
| Utah | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | 4 | - | 4 |
| Nev. | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 3 |
| PACIFIC | 39 | - | 61 | = | 1 | - | 3 | - | 109 | 135 | 48 | 17 | 572 |
| Wash. | 1 | - | 54 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 12 | 1 | 17 | 25 |
| Orag. | 1 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 12 | 4 | - | 55 |
| Calif. | 30 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 91 | 111 | 41 | 15 | 520 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |  | - | 1 | I | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 8 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 9 |



NN: Not notifiable.
All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 24, 1981 and October 18, 1980 (42nd week)

| REPORTING AREA | Measies (Rubeola |  |  | MENINGOCOCCAL INFECTIONS TOTAL |  |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | RUBELIA |  | $\frac{\text { TETANUS }}{\substack{\text { CUM. } \\ 1981}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1881 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1880 \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | CUM. 1981 | CUM. 1980 | 1981 | CUM. 1981 | 1981 | 1881 | CUM. 1981 |  |
| UNITED STATES | 18 | 2,750 | 13,059 | 48 | 2,848 | 2,199 | 102 | 3,505 | 27 | 24 | 1,850 | 48 |
| NEW ENGLAND | - | 86 | 675 | 3 | 185 | 119 | 3 | 176 | 1 | - | 121 | 2 |
| Maine | - | 5 | 33 | 1 | 23 | 5 | 1 | 34 | - | - | 33 | - |
| N.H. | - | 7 | 331 | - | 17 | 7 | - | 22 | - | - | 51 | - |
| Vt. | - | 3 | 226 | 1 | 8 | 14 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | - | 61 | 58 | - | 60 | 41 | - | 47 | 1 | - | 25 | - |
| R.I. | - | - | 2 | 1 | 17 | 9 | 1 | 23 | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | - | 10 | 25 | - | 60 | 43 | 1 | 44 | - | - | 12 | 2 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 1 | 830 | 3.806 | 9 | 405 | 376 | 13 | 597 | 8 | 5 | 224 | 4 |
| Upstata N.Y. | 1 | 216 | 696 | 3 | 132 | 115 | 6 | 124 | 5 | 4 | 109 | 1 |
| N. Y. City | - | 87 | 1.192 | 1 | 65 | 96 | 1 | 81 | - | - | 54 | 3 |
| N.J. | - | 58 | 837 | 1 | 90 | 81 | 1 | 90 | 1 | 1 | 48 | - |
| Pa. | - | 469 | 1.081 | 4 | 118 | 84 | 5 | 302 | 2 | - | 13 | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | - | 81 | 2,442 | 5 | 342 | 284 | 36 | 979 | 2 | 2 | 375 | 9 |
| Ohio | - | 16 | 380 | 1 | 130 | 81 | 13 | 168 | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| Ind. | - | 9 | 92 | 1 | 46 | 42 | 2 | 113 | - | - | 132 | 2 |
| III. | - | 23 | 348 | 1 | 80 | 83 | 10 | 190 | - | - | 89 | - |
| Mich. | - | 30 | 247 | 2 | 81 | 62 | - | 324 | 1 | 1 | 35 | 3 |
| Wis. | - | 3 | 1.375 | - | 5 | 16 | 3 | 184 | 1 | 1 | 116 | 2 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | - | 9 | 1.337 | 7 | 132 | 82 | 14 | 205 | 2 | - | 77 | 3 |
| Minn. | - | 2 | 1,102 | - | 46 | 18 | - | 8 | - | - | 6 | 2 |
| Iowa | - | 1 | 20 | 3 | 24 | 11 | 8 | 62 | - | - | 4 | - |
| Mo. | - | 1 | 65 | 1 | 39 | 38 | 2 | 20 | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | 2 | 7 | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Nabr. | - | 4 | 83 | - | - | 5 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Kans. | - | 1 | 67 | 1 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 111 | - | - | 64 | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 5 | 445 | 1.960 | 12 | 656 | 521 | 17 | 506 | 4 | 2 | 143 | 8 |
| Del. |  | - | 3 |  | 4 | 2 | - | 10 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Md. | - | 5 | 83 | - | 45 | 46 | 2 | 91 | - | - | 1 | - |
| D.C. | - | 1 | $=$ | 1 | 4 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - |  | - |
| Va . | - | 9 | 338 | 6 | 86 | 50 | 3 | 125 | - | 1 | 13 | - |
| W. Ve | - | 9 | 9 | - | 24 | 18 | 1 | 83 | - | - | 22 | - |
| N.C. | - | 3 | 130 | 1 | 96 | 92 | 2 | 20 | 1 | - | 5 | 2 |
| S.C. | - | 2 | 159 | - | 82 | 58 | 1 | 16 | - | - | 8 | 2 |
| Ga. | $\overline{-}$ | 112 | 826 | 1 | 108 | 92 | - | 38 | - | - | 37 | 1 |
| Fla. | 5 | 304 | 412 | 3 | 207 | 161 | 8 | 120 | 3 | 1 | 56 | 3 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | 5 | 331 | 2 | 201 | 186 | 1 | 82 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 2 |
| Ky. | - | 1 | 55 | 2 | 58 | 58 | 1 | 41 | - | 1 | 22 | - |
| Tenn. | - | 2 | 170 | - | 56 | 50 | - | 21 | 1 | - | 15 | - |
| Ala. | - | 2 | 22 | - | 62 | 51 | - | 16 | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| Miss. | - | 2 | 84 | - | 25 | 27 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 7 | 882 | 951 | 3 | 447 | 240 | 3 | 209 | - | 3 | 168 | 11 |
| Ark. | 1 | 22 | 16 |  | 26 | 18 | 1 | 6 | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| Ls. | - | 4 | 11 | - | 109 | 90 | - | 5 | - | - | 9 | 2 |
| Okla. | $1$ | 7 | 775 | $2$ | 39 | 18 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Tax. | 5 | 849 | 149 | 1 | 273 | 114 | 2 | 198 | - | 2 | 154 | 5 |
| MOUNTAIN | - | 35 | 473 | 3 | 118 | 89 | 4 | 125 | 3 | 1 | 91 | 2 |
| Mont | - | - | 2 | $=$ | 9 | 3 | 1 | 11 | - | - | 4 | 2 |
| Idatio | - | 1 | - | 2 | 6 | 4 | - | 6 | - | - | 3 | - |
| Wyo. | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 11 | - |
| Colo. | - | 10 | 24 | - | 42 | 25 | - | 45 | - | - | 27 | - |
| N. Max. | - | 8 | 12 | - | 7 | 10 | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | - |
| Ariz. | - | 5 | 379 | - | 20 | 15 | 3 | 32 | - | 1 | 21 | 1 |
| Utah | - | - | 47 | - | 5 | 6 | - | 17 | - | - | 8 | 1 |
| Nov. | - | 10 | 9 | - | 27 | 23 | - | 13 | - | - | 12 |  |
| PACIFIC | 5 | 377 | 1,084 | 4 | 362 | 302 | 11 | 626 | 6 | 10 | 613 | 7 |
| Wach. |  | 3 | 177 | 2 | 64 | 53 | 3 | 148 | 6 |  | 89 |  |
| Orag. | - | 5 | - | - | 51 | 50 | 2 | 64 | - | - | 51 | - |
| Calit. | 5 | 362 | 895 | 2 | 232 | 190 | 2 | 371 | 6 | 10 | 461 | 7 |
| Alaska | - |  | 6 | - | 11 | 9 | 4 | 15 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Hawaii | - | 7 | 6 | - | 4 | 9 | - | 22 | - | - | 11 | - |
| Guam | Na | 5 | 6 | - | - | 1 | NA | 6 | NA | NA | 1 |  |
| P.R. | - | 283 | 160 | - | 11 | 9 | 1 | 140 | Na | NA | 4 | $5 *$ |
| V.I. | - | 25 | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | 1 |  |
| Pac. Trust Test. | Na | 1 | 12 | - | - | - | NA | 15 | HA | VA | 1 | - |

NA: Not available.
All delayed reports and corrections will be included in tha following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 24, 1981 and October 18, 1980 (42nd week)

| REPORTING AREA | tuberculosis |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { TULA. } \\ \text { fEMIA } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { CUM. } \\ \hline 1991 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TYPHOID FEVER |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { TYPHUS FEVER } \\ \text { (Tick- Gorne) } \\ \text { (RMSF) }\end{array}\right\|$ |  | Venereal diseases (Civilian) |  |  |  |  |  | RABIES <br> (in <br> Animals) <br> CUM. <br> 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | gonorrhea |  |  | SYPHILIS (Pri. \& Sec.) |  |
|  | 1891 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1961 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 1981 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1881 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 1981 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 567 | 21,908 |  | 214 | 22 | 481 | 9 | 1,125 | 20,495 | 812,582 | 807, 261 | 689 | 24, 758 | 21.470 | 5.911 |
| NEW England | 16 | 627 | 3 | - | 16 | - | 9 | 472 | 20.191 | 20,446 | 15 | 483 | 418 | 39 |
| Maine | 1 | 42 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 42 | 1.063 | 1,178 |  | 5 | 5 | 13 |
| N.H. | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 716 | 719 | - | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{VL}_{\text {Mase }}$ | 14 | 20 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 11 | 347 | 467 |  | 15 | 5 | - |
| Mass. | 14 | 359 | 1 | - | 8 | - | 5 | 179 | 8,380 | 8.608 | 12 | 309 | 246 | 11 |
| R.I. | - | 46 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 22 | 1.176 | 1,315 | 3 | 29 | 27 | 2 |
| Conn. | 1 | 142 | 1 | - | 7 | - | 2 | 200 | 8,509 | 8,159 |  | 114 | 131 | 6 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 107 | 3.411 | 10 | 5 | 74 | - | 40 | 2.396 | 98,045 | 89,111 | 83 | 3,572 | 2,997 | 100 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 12 | 607 | 10 | 1 | 13 | - | 14 | 576 | 16,857 | 16.277 | 17 | , 325 | 266 | 70 |
| N.Y. City | 42 | 1,286 |  | 2 | 40 | - | 3 | 850 | 40,490 | 34,517 | 44 | 2,141 | 1,940 |  |
|  | 18 | 736 | - | 2 | 13 | - | 10 | 528 | 18,424 | 16,411 | 8 | 510 | 364 | 21 |
| Pa. | 35 | 782 | - | - | 8 | - | 13 | 442 | 22,274 | 21,906 | 14 | 596 | 427 | 8 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 92 | 2,977 | 5 | 1 | 36 |  | 49 | 2,812 | 120,424 | 124,963 | 65 | 1.826 | 2,021 | 782 |
| Ohio | 6 | 541 | - | 1 | 10 |  | 39 | 1.282 | 38,645 | 33, 294 | 20 | 252 | 308 | 62 |
| Ind. | 11 | 343 | 4 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 189 | 10.404 | 12,477 | 2 | 239 | 152 | 84 |
| 1 II | 38 | 1,212 | - | - | 15 | - | 6 | 355 | 33,562 | 39, 131 | 37 | 956 | 1,156 | 496 |
| Mich. | 33 | 724 | 1 | - | 7 | - | 1 | 709 | 26,660 | 28,462 | 5 | 302 | 327 | 14 |
| Wis. | 4 | 157 | - | - |  | - | - | 271 | 11,153 | 11,599 | 1 | 77 | 78 | 126 |
| W. N. CENTRAL Minn. | 20 | 752 | 32 | - | 18 | - | 50 | 1,171 | 38,959 | 38,309 | 20 | 543 | 290 | 2,352 |
| Minn. lowa | 2 | 129 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 270 | 5,993 | 6, 259 | 2 | 166 | 99 | 409 |
| Mo. | 12 | 71 349 | 26 | - | 3 8 | E | $2{ }^{7}$ | 205 388 | 4,276 | 4.130 | 15 | 24 | 23 | 164 |
| N. Dak. | 12 | 28 | 26 | - | $-$ | - | 26 | 368 13 | 18,172 489 | 16,802 550 | 15 | 306 8 | 136 3 | 214 335 |
| S. Dak. | 3 | 56 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 17 | 1,052 | 1,134 | E | 2 | 3 4 | 335 278 |
| Nubr. | 3 | 23 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 84 | 2,881 | 2,966 | - | 9 | 7 | 172 |
| Kans. | - | 96 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 12 | 194 | 6.096 | 6.468 | - | 28 | 18 | 180 |
| S. ATLANTIC Del. | 118 | 4,721 | 13 | - | 60 | $\underline{2}$ | 643 3 | 4.500 | 199.628 3.178 | 202,427 | 185 | 6,621 | 5.187 | 521 |
| Md. | 4 | 487 | $\underline{-}$ | - | 14 | - | 58 | 68 639 | 3,178 $\mathbf{2 3 , 5 8 8}$ | 2,848 21,704 | 4 | 13 479 | 356 | 4 |
| D.c. | 3 | 282 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 248 | 23, 11.2889 | 13,988 | 12 | 479 538 | 356 388 | 43 |
| Va. | 12 | 480 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 105 | 544 | 18,383 | 18,321 | 13 | 571 | 461 | 111 |
| W. Va. | 3 | 149 | - | - | 6 | - | 6 | 62 | 3,028 | 2,718 | 3 | 21 | 15 | 25 |
| N.C. | 29 | 828 | 2 | - | 5 | 2 | 287 | 759 | 30,940 | 30,005 | 10 | 519 | 386 | 18 |
| ${ }_{\text {S.c. }}^{\text {Ga }}$ | 9 | 436 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 101 | 348 | 19,263 | 19,064 | 19 | 463 | 304 | 40 |
| $\underset{\mathrm{Ga}}{\mathrm{Ga}}$ | 21 | 778 | 4 | - | 4 | - | 72 | 1.069 | 41,546 | 39,514 | 41 | 1,637 | 1,492 | 195 |
| Fla. | 36 | 1,227 | - | - | 28 | - | 10 | 763 | 48,413 | 54,265 | 83 | 2,380 | 1,771 | 88 |
| E.s. central | 45 | 1,950 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 132 | 1.852 | 67,788 | 65,804 | 51 | 1,622 | 1,772 | 405 |
| KY. | 8 | 476 | 3 | - | - | - | 2 | 189 | 8,346 | 9,700 | 4 | +822 | 111 | 114 |
| Tenn. | 19 | 658 | 7 |  |  | 2 | 82 | 692 | 25,624 | 23, 877 | 22 | 595 | 741 | 193 |
| Ala | 10 | 534 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 630 | 20,750 | 19,317 | 19 | 480 | 391 | 94 |
| Miss. | 8 | 282 | - | - | 2 | - | 27 | 341 | 13,070 | 12,910 | 6 | 465 | 529 | 4 |
| W.S. CEntral | 57 | 2,473 | 97 | 11 | 124 | 1 | 167 | 3,200 | 107.897 | 101,700 | 151 | 5,943 | 4.328 | 966 |
| Ark. | 11 | 278 | 51 | - | 4 | - | 38 | 168 | 8,389 | 8, 098 | - | 126 | 173 | 134 |
| ${ }_{\text {Okla }}^{\text {La }}$ | - | 441 | 5 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 550 | 18.778 | 18.585 | 28 | 1.350 | 1,089 | 33 |
| Okla. | 7 | 272 | 26 | - | 4 | - | 94 | 329 | 11.623 | 10.237 | 3 | 133 | 85 | 190 |
| Tex. | 37 | 1,482 | 15 | 11 | 114 | - | 34 | 2.153 | 69,107 | 64.788 | 120 | 4,334 | 2.981 | 609 |
| MOUNTAIN | 3 | 596 | 35 | 1 | 23 | - | 28 | 872 | 31,851 | 31,220 | 17 | 613 | 506 | 238 |
| Mont. | 2 | 30 | 5 | - | 4 | - | 12 | 24 | 1.168 | 1.177 | , | 11 | 2 | 111 |
| Idaho | - | 8 | 4 | - | - | - | 5 | 45 | 1,473 | 1.323 | 1 | 18 | 16 | 7 |
| Wyo. | - | 9 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 33 | 803 | 910 | - | 10 | 11 | 17 |
| Colo. | - | 71 |  | - | 8 | - | 1 | 192 | 8.354 | 8,468 | 3 | 181 | 136 | 35 |
| N. Mex. | 1 | 117 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 122 | 3,537 | 3. 776 | 2 | 107 | 85 | 27 |
| Ariz. |  | 273 | - | 1 | 10 | - | - | 258 | 9,551 | 8, 420 | 7 | 157 | 176 | 25 |
| Utah | - | 47 | 13 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 37 | 1,586 | 1,568 | - | 23 | 13 | 11 |
| Nev. | - | 41 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 161 | 5.419 | 5.578 | 4 | 106 | 67 | 5 |
| PACIFIC | 109 | 4.401 | 9 | 3 | 122 | - | 7 | 3.220 | 127.799 | 133.253 | 102 | 3,535 | 3,951 | 508 |
| Wash. | 9 | 313 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 239 | 10.443 | 11, 389 | 2 | 131 | 204 | 15 |
| Oreg. | - | 153 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 186 | 7.651 | 9, 194 | 4 | 90 | 91 | 9 |
| Calit. | 93 | 3,747 | 7 | 3 | 111 | - | 6 | 2.683 | 103.895 | 106,831 | 96 | 3.244 | 3.515 | 468 |
| Alaska Hawaii | $=$ | 48 | - | - | - | - | - | 81 | 3,290 | 3,212 |  | 12 | 8 | 16 |
| Hawaii | 7 | 140 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 51 | 2,520 | 2,627 | 2 | 58 | 133 | - |
| Guam | Na | 28 | - | na | - | NA | - | Na | 72 | 105 |  | - | 5 | - |
| P.R. | 3 | 360 | - | , | 4 | N |  | 71 | 2,627 | 2,201 | 13 | 542 | 497 | 63 |
| V.I. | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | - |  | 4 | 198 | 108 | - | 17 | 10 |  |
| Pac. Truist Terr. | va | 49 | - | Na | - | NA | - | NA | 329 | 338 | NA | - | - | - |

NA: Not available
All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities," week ending
October 24, 1981 (42nd week)

| REPDRTING AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\text { P\& } I^{\prime=}$TOTAL | feporting AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\& } 1^{A 4} \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | $\geqslant 65$ | 45.64 | 25.44 | 1.24 | $<1$ |  |  | $\underset{\text { AGES }}{\text { ALL }}$ | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25.44 | 1.24 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 654 | 460 | 127 | 37 | 12 | 18 | 36 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,414 | 870 | 345 | 106 | 50 | 43 | 47 |
| Boston, Mass. | 164 | 103 | 33 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 13 | Atlanta, Ga. | 135 | 80 | 36 | 10 | 2 | 7 | - |
| Bridgeport, Cann. | 66 | 42 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6 | Baltimore, Md. | 342 | 220 | 80 | 21 | 15 | 6 | 10 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 26 | 17 | 4 | 5 | - | - | - | Charlotte, N.C. | 74 | 43 | 17 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 27 | 17 | 9 | 1 | - | - | - | Jacksanville. Fla | 105 | 70 | 20 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Hartiord, Conn. | 58 | 41 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Miami, Fla. | 87 | 56 | 21 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Lowell, Mass. | 31 | 23 | 6 | 1 |  | - | 2 | Norfolk, Va. | 46 | 26 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 21 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | Richmond, Va. | 94 | 54 | 25 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 31 | 23 | 9 | - | - | - | 2 | Savannah, Ga. | 57 | 34 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 51 | 36 | 12 | 2 | - | 1 | 6 | St. Paters burg, Fla. | 97 | 76 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Providence, R.I. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 39 | 39 | - | - | - | - | 1 | Tampa, Fle | 78 | 50 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 7 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | Washington, D.C. | 252 | 135 | 69 | 32 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 52 | 37 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Wilmington, Del. | 47 | 26 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Watarbury, Conn. | 25 | 24 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcestar, Mass. | 56 | 39 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Ala. | $\begin{array}{r} 652 \\ 97 \end{array}$ | 385 53 | $\begin{array}{r} 198 \\ 33 \end{array}$ | 36 4 | 13 | 20 3 | 3 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,640 | 1,700 | 587 | 206 | 67 | 79 | 105 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 44 | 23 | 16 | 4 | - | 1 | 5 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 54 | 36 | 12 | 6 | - | - | 1 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 50 | 34 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Alentown. Pa . | 22 | 19 | 3 | - | - | - | 2 | Louisville, Ky. | 126 | 78 | 30 | 10 | 1 | 7 | 12 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 100 | 68 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 14 | Memphis, Tenn. | 158 | 93 | 54 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Camden, N.J. | 28 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | Mobils, Ala. | 22 | 13 | 9 | $\overline{7}$ | - | $\overline{2}$ | 2 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 40 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | Montgomary. Ala. | 35 | 18 | 13 | 8 | $\overline{4}$ | 4 | 3 |
| Erie, Pa- ${ }^{+}$ | 52 | 34 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | Nashville, Tenn. | 120 | 73 | 31 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Jersay City, N.J. | 55 | 38 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City. N.Y. | 1.497 | 963 | 320 | 115 | 41 | 49 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nawark, N.J. | 75 | 44 | 17 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 3 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1,418 | 769 | 368 | 138 | 74 | 69 |  |
| Paterson, N.J. | 43 | 19 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 3 | Austin, Tex. | 38 | 17 | 15 | 5 | 1 | - | 6 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. $\dagger$ | 211 | 123 | 52 | 24 | 5 | 7 | 12 | Baton Rouga, La | 33 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Pitsburgh, Pa. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | 38 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 2 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 61 | 35 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 3 | , |
| Aeading, Pa | 39 | 31 | 7 | 1 | - | - | 6 | Dallas, Tex. | 212 | 117 | 54 | 21 | 11 | 9 | 1 |
| Pochester, N.Y. | 115 | 86 | 20 | 6 | - | 3 | 5 | El Paso, Tex. | 53 | 30 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 32 | 23 | 6 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 102 | 65 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 11 |
| Scranton, Pa. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 22 | 17 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | Houston, Tex. | 383 | 170 | 118 | 55 | 35 | 5 | 2 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 97 | 55 | 29 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 3 | Little Rock, Ark. | 74 | 50 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Tranton, N.J. | 32 | 25 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | New Orleans, La. | 168 | 96 | 40 | 12 | 4 | 16 | 1 |
| Utics, N.Y. | 23 | 15 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | San Antonio, Tex. | 162 | 93 | 39 | 14 | 4 | 12 | 7 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 33 | 22 | 7 | 4 | - | - | 1 | Shroveport, La. Tulsa, Okla. | 45 87 | 22 | 116 | 3 5 | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2.335 | 1.453 | 605 | 125 | 72 | 80 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Akron, Ohio | 51 | 37 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | MOUNTAIN | 610 | 374 | 128 | 50 | 27 | 31 | 22 |
| Canton, Ohia | 42 | 30 | 11 | - | $\stackrel{-}{1}$ | 1 | 5 | Albuquerque, N. Mex. | 75 | 53 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Chicago. III. | 563 | 348 | 132 | 36 | 19 | 28 | 15 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 30 | 21 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1. |
| Cincinnati. Ohio | 142 | 88 | 39 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 2 | Denver, Colo. | 122 | 62 | 29 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 2 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 158 | 86 | 52 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 1 | Las Vegas, New. | 59 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 1 | , | 3 |
| Columbus. Ohio | 129 | 76 | 33 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 6 | Ogden, Ulah | 25 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Dayton, Ohio | 145 | 99 | 38 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 130 | 80 | 33 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 2 |
| Datroit, Mich. | 259 | 157 | 64 | 17 | 12 | 9 | 4 | Puablo, Colo. | 26 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 54 | 33 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | Salt Lake City. Utah | 58 | 35 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 45 | 25 | 18 | - |  | 2 | 6 | Tucson, Ariz. | 85 | 58 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Gary. Ind. | 43 | 22 | 16 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Rapids. Mich. | 61 | 37 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 133 | 78 | 36 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 2 | PACIFIC | 1,692 | 1.071 | 388 | 109 | 57 | 67 | 62 |
| Madison, Wis. | 36 | 26 | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | 5 | Berkelay, Calit. | 22 | 15 | 20 | - | 1 | - |  |
| Milwaukee. Wis. | 165 | 113 | 40 | 5 | 5 | 2 | - | Frasno, Calit. | 85 | 56 | 20 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Paoria, 111. | 28 | 14 | 12 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | Glendale, Calif. | 33 | 21 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Rockford, III. | 53 | 40 | 12 | 1 | - | - | 2 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 45 | 20 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 57 | 37 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Long Beach, Calif. | 109 | 72 | 24 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Toledo. Ohia | 98 | 51 | 26 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 | Los Angales, Calif. | 502 | 314 | 118 | 30 | 16 | 24 | 16 |
| Youngrtown, Ohio | 73 | 50 | 15 | 6 | - | 2 | 2 | Oakland. Calif. | 62 | 40 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 39 | 30 | 5 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Oreg. | 102 | 72 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 4 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 704 | 446 | 164 | 42 | 16 | 35 | 30 | Sacramento, Calif. | 64 | 36 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| Des Moines, Iowa | 45 | 30 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | San Diego, Calif. | 94 | 58 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 25 | 19 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 6 | San Francisco, Calif. | 146 | 92 | 31 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 4 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 29 | 19 | 7 | 2 | - | - | 1 | San Jose, Calif. | 174 | 109 | 43 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 132 | 79 | 35 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 3 | Seattle, Wash. | 121 | 84 | 19 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 20 | 17 | 3 | - |  | - | 3 | Spokane, Wash. | 49 | 27 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 84 | 51 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 1 | Tacoma, Wash. | 45 | 25 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 70 | 44 | 20 | 4 | - | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 153 | 96 | 34 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul. Minn. | 70 | 41 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | TOTAL | 12,119 | 7,528 | 2.910 | 849 | 388 | 442 | 443 |
| Wichita, Kans. | 76 | 50 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]$\dagger \dagger$ Toual includes unknown ages.
Bata not available this week. Figures are estimates based on average percent of regional totals.

## Food-Borne IIIness - Continued

It is difficult to specify an active dose of marijuana, and equally difficult to quantify exposure through chemical analyses of body fluids. Although sophisticated assays do exist for determining cannabinoid and cannabinoid-metabolite levels in humans, they are not currently available for clinical use.

These 2 episodes of marijuana-associated illness suggest that marijuana might be considered as a toxin when food-borne outbreaks are being investigated.
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## Measles, United States - Weeks 37-40, 1981

In the first 40 weeks of 1981 , a total of 2,696 cases of measles were reported in the United States; this represents a 79\% drop from the 12,957 cases reported in the same period in 1980. During the 4 -week period from September 13 to October 10, 1981, reporting weeks $37-40,125$ cases were reported. These 125 cases occurred in only $1.3 \%$ (41) of the nation's 3.144 counties (Figure 1). A measles outbreak among college students and their contacts in Arkansas accounted for almost 13\% of these cases.
FIGURE 1. U.S. counties reporting measles, weeks $37-40$ (September 13-October 10), 1981


## Meas/es - Continued

As of October 10, 16 confirmed cases of measles had occurred in an outbreak in White County. Arkansas (Figure 2); 13 patients were students at Harding University, and 3 were contacts of students. The outbreak was traced to four university students who had visited Honduras from August 2 to 16, 1981. The measles immunity status of these 4 students had not been assessed before their trip. None of the 4 had documented evidence of having received live-measles vaccine on or after their first birthday or of having a physician-documented history of measles disease. Two of these students were infected with measles in Honduras and had onset of rash on August 17 and August 24, respectively. The other 2 students, siblings of the second patient, had acquired measles from their sister after returning to the United States. Spread to 9 other students resulted from contact with infected persons in dormitories, classrooms, and recreational settings.

The 3 cases in persons who were not university students resulted from contact with ill students who were seeking medical attention. These cases occurred in a hospital employee, a preschool child, and a pregnant woman. The pregnant woman went into labor, presumably as a result of her measles, and had a premature infant who was otherwise healthy.

The diagnosis of measles was delayed for the first four patients, because they were initially hospitalized for suspected typhoid fever. After the outbreak was reported to the state health department on September 18, state and county health officials rapidly instituted control programs at the university, which has an enrollment of 3,076 students. By September 23, 2,054 people had been vaccinated in voluntary clinics held on campus. Active surveillance has been set up in the county, and aggressive outbreak-control measures are being implemented in connection with new cases.
Reported by E Roberts, RN, K Smith, RN, $T$ Altman, Harding University, C Ross, PHN, White County Health Dept, Searcy, F Turnage, PHN, B Henderson, PHN, C Beets, JP Lofgren, MD, State Epidemiologist, Arkansas Dept of Health, Little Rock; Field Services Div, Epidemiology Program Office, Immunization Div, Center for Prevention Services, CDC.
Editorial Note: Measles transmission continues to occur at very low levels in the United States. In the 4 -week period discussed, $99 \%$ of the nation's 3,144 counties reported no measles, suggesting that measles transmission has been interrupted in these counties. During the first 40 weeks (ending October 10) of 1981, 290 (9.2\%) counties in the United States reported measles.

The measles outbreak in Arkansas resulted from importation of measles into the United States. A rising proportion of measles cases in the United States have occurred among Ameri-

FIGURE 2. Reported measles cases, by 3-day intervals, White County, Arkansas, August 1-October 10, 1981


## Measles - Continued

cans returning from travel abroad (1). This outbreak raises the question of the need for children and young adults to be adequately protected against measles before traveling abroad (1). It also shows that physicians should consider the diagnosis of measles for persons with a history of recent travel abroad who have febrile illness accompanied by rash.

Participation by college students in vaccination clinics during outbreaks has usually been low, although controlled studies have shown that there is no increased risk of serious side effects from measles vaccination for young adults ( 2,3 ). Consequently, the high degree of participation by students in the voluntary vaccination clinics at Harding University is noteworthy.

Several college-based measles outbreaks have been described in recent years (2-4). Continued reporting of outbreaks such as the one discussed above has led the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee to emphasize the need for college officials to strongly consider requiring documented evidence of immunity for entering students (5).
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1. CDC. Measles importations-United States. MMWR 1981;30:455-6,461-2.
2. CDC. Measles vaccination reactions among college students. MMWR 1980;29:549-51.
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## Recommendation of the Immunization

## Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP)

## Supplementary Statement on Rabies Vaccine and Serologic Testing

Human diploid cell strain rabies vaccine (HDCV) was licensed in the United States in June 1980. At its meeting on October 15, 1981, the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP) reviewed data on seroconversion in persons properly vaccinated with HDCV; the data showed that $100 \%$ of vaccinees (510/510) had protective antibody levels following preexposure treatment, and following post-exposure treatment, $99.9 \%(1,299 / 1,300)$ had protective antibody levels. In view of these findings, which corroborate prelicensure data, the ACIP now sees no reason to continue routine serologic testing of persons who receive the recommended pre-exposure or post-exposure treatment regimens of HDCV, i.e., preexposure: 3 intramuscular, $1.0-\mathrm{ml}$ doses on days 0,7 , and 21 or 28; post-exposure: rabies immune globulin plus 5 intramuscular, $1.0-\mathrm{ml}$ doses on days $0,3,7,14$, and 28.

[^3]
## ACIP Recommendation - Rabies

Furthermore, the ACIP believes that routine serologic testing is no longer necessary following booster doses of HDCV for persons given the recommended primary HDCV vaccination or those shown to have had an adequate antibody response to primary vaccination with duck embryo vaccine (DEV) or other rabies vaccines.

Serologic testing is still recommended for persons vaccinated with DEV or those whose immune responses might be diminished by drug therapy or for other reasons.
Editorial Note: In accord with the ACIP's conclusion on routine rabies antibody testing, effective November 30, 1981, CDC will no longer test serum for rabies antibody except in persons vaccinated with DEV or suspected of being immunocompromised. Those who have not completed vaccination with HDCV will be advised to do so rather than to submit serum for testing.
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[^0]:    -Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Service or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

[^1]:    All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative tolals.

[^2]:    - Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is
    reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
    - "Pneumonia and influenza
    tBecause of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

[^3]:    The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, circulation 96,000, is published by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia. The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly telegraphs to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday.
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