

Cholera – Texas

Two cases of cholera (defined here as gastrointestinal disease caused by toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* O-group 1) were reported recently in Texas. These are the first cultureproven cases of domestically acquired cholera in the United States since 1978.

The first case occurred in a 42-year-old man who lived 10 miles west of Beaumont in Jefferson County. On May 7, he developed malaise, anorexia, abdominal pain, and diarrhea. The following day, he developed severe nausea and vomiting and was hypotensive when admitted to a referral hospital in Galveston. He improved rapidly with fluid replacement and was discharged on May 19. Toxigenic *V. cholerae* O1 was isolated from his stool.

The second case occurred in a 65-year-old man in the city of Orange in Orange County. On June 21, he experienced the sudden onset of vomiting and profuse, watery diarrhea. He initially refused to seek medical care, and on admission 14 hours later, he was severely dehydrated. His hospital course was complicated by acute tubular necrosis, a myocardial infarction, and respiratory insufficiency. Despite vigorous treatment, he died 2 weeks after admission with renal and pulmonary failure. Toxigenic V. cholerae O1 was isolated from his stool.

Although these 2 east Texas residents lived within 40 miles of one another, they had no other known connection. Both were of low socioeconomic status and often ate fish caught in local bayous. Precise food histories could not be obtained for either patient. The first ate locally caught fish and a turtle during the week before onset of illness. The second ate shrimp in a stew one week before his illness; cultures of samples of the frozen raw shrimp did not grow V. cholerae O1 strains.

Both V. cholerae O1 isolates from the patients were toxigenic, hemolytic, biotype EI Tor, and serotype Inaba. Apparently identical toxigenic V. cholerae O1 strains were also isolated from standing water (thought to be rainwater) beside the home of the first patient. The water had no obvious connection to the house's septic tank or well. Moore swabs have been used to search for V. cholerae O1 in municipal sewage in Jefferson and Orange counties, and water from bayous in both counties has been cultured. To date, all samples from sewage and the environment have been negative.

Review of records for all persons with diarrheal disease seen in local emergency rooms between May 1 and July 28 identified 40 patients for whom cholera could not be ruled out and from whom convalescent-phase serum specimens were subsequently obtained. None of the 40 persons had simultaneously elevated antitoxic and vibriocidal antibody titers; this strongly suggests that they did not have cholera (1).

Laboratories in the area have been supplied with thiosulfate citrate bile salts sucrose

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES / PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

Cholera – Continued

(TCBS) agar for the isolation of *V. cholerae* O1, and physicians in the 2 counties have been advised by letter and telephone of the need to culture the stools of all persons with diarrheal disease for *V. cholerae*. An active surveillance program, including weekly Moore swab sampling of sewage in 3 cities and culturing using TCBS, of persons with diarrhea will continue through the end of September 1981.

Reported by MT Kelly, MD, PhD, University of Texas Medical Br, Galveston; HC Williams, MD, Orange County Health Dept; KC Gandhi, MD, OR Griffin, MD, Orange Memorial Hosp, Orange; PN Fortney MD, Jefferson County Health Dept; L Smith, MD, Beaumont City Health Dept; A Arcala, MD, Port Arthur City Health Dept; B Hafkin, MD, DL Martin, RN, MN, JP Taylor, MPH, DL Maserang, PhD, HD Bredthauer, CR Webb, Jr, MD, State Epidemiologist, Texas State Dept of Health; Enteric Diseases Br, Bacterial Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: In 1973, after more than 50 years without any known cases of domestically acquired cholera in the United States (except for a few laboratory-acquired cases), a case of cholera was discovered in Port Lavaca, Texas, on the Gulf Coast (2). No source of the infection was found. In 1978, 8 cases of cholera and 3 persons asymptomatically infected with V. cholerae O1 were found in southwestern Louisiana and traced to eating insufficiently cooked crabs caught in Gulf Coast marshes (3). Since the Texas and Louisiana strains were all biotype El Tor serotype Inaba, of the same unusual phage type, and hemolytic (most V. cholerae O1 strains worldwide are now nonhemolytic [4]), it was suggested that these organisms had persisted in the United States during the intervening 5 years and that more infections might be expected in the future (3). V. cholerae El Tor Inaba was isolated from the stool of a woman in Florida with a diarrheal illness in 1980 (5), but the strain subsequently was proven to be nontoxigenic. Although the 2 Texas strains have not yet been phage typed, they are both hemolytic El Tor Inaba and may be identical to the strains from 1973 and 1978.

The case in 1973 occurred in August, and the 1978 cases and isolates from sewage occurred from August through November; this is consistent with previous observations that cholera in temperate areas of the Northern Hemisphere tends to occur during the late summer and fall months. The cases in Texas this year occurred somewhat earlier in the year than might be expected. Physicians and health departments, particularly along the Gulf Coast, should be alert to the possible diagnosis of cholera in patients with diarrheal illnesses. Most cases of cholera present as a diarrheal illness of only mild or moderate severity.

Microbiology laboratories should use TCBS agar when culturing stools for V. cholerae. Surveillance using Moore swabs placed in sewers offers an effective way to determine if V. cholerae O1 infections are occurring in an area with sewage systems (6). Sewer swab surveillance can detect asymptomatic infections and mild disease which would not lead infected persons to seek medical assistance or to have stool cultures performed.

References

- 1. Snyder JD, Allegra DT, Levine MM, et al. Serologic studies of naturally acquired infection with Vibrio cholerae serogroup O1 in the United States, J Infect Dis 1981;143:182-7.
- Weissman JB, DeWitt WE, Thompson J, et al. A case of cholera in Texas, 1973. Am J Epidemiol 1974;100:487-98.
- Blake PA, Allegra DT, Snyder JD, et al. Cholera- a possible endemic focus in the United States. N Engl J Med 1980;302:305-9.
- 4. Barrett TJ, Blake PA. Epidemiological usefulness of changes in hemolytic activity of *Vibrio cholerae* biotype El Tor during the seventh pandemic. J Clin Microbiol 1981;13:126-9.
- 5. CDC. Cholera-Florida. MMWR 1980;29:601.
- 6. Barrett TJ, Blake PA, Morris GK, Puhr ND, Bradford HB, Wells JG. Use of Moore swabs for isolating *Vibrio cholerae* from sewage. J Clin Microbiol 1980;11:385-8.

Multistate Outbreak of Salmonellosis Caused by Precooked Roast Beef

In the first week of August 1981, 3 outbreaks of salmonellosis that affected more than 100 people in 3 northeastern states were reported to CDC. The first 2 outbreaks were traced to precooked roast beef from a Philadelphia meat-processing plant, and the third to delicatessen-style sliced sandwich meat served at a hospital cafeteria. Some of these meat slices were of the precooked roast beef processed in the Pennsylvania plant.

The first outbreak followed a wedding reception held on July 25 at Claymont, Delaware, attended by approximately 150 people, mostly residents of Delaware County, Pennsylvania. Of the 58 persons contacted for interview, 37 had had diarrhea. Salmonella group B was isolated from the stools of 13 patients (11 S. chester, 2 S. typhimurium). Illness was significantly associated with eating precooked roast beef at the reception (p<0.001, Chi-square). None of the meat served at the reception was available for culture.

The second outbreak followed a wedding reception held on July 25 in southern New Jersey; 47 of 92 persons who attended became ill, and illness was again associated with eating precooked roast beef (p=0.0025, Fisher exact test, 2-tailed). Salmonella was isolated from 18 of 20 stool cultures (17 S. typhimurium, 1 S. newport). S. typhimurium and S. johannesburg were isolated from an opened package of precooked roast beef provided by the caterer of the reception. Another unopened package of the same brand from the same caterer contained S. typhimurium, S. newport, and S. anatum.

The third outbreak, which occurred in a hospital in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, was first recognized on July 24 after 2 patients had severe diarrhea. Subsequent investigation revealed 42 cases of diarrheal illness between July 20 and August 11. Six of the persons involved were inpatients, and 36 were hospital employees. Salmonella group B was isolated from stools from 18 persons (including 4 patients); Salmonella group C₂ was isolated from 1 employee. Salmonella group B was isolated from 5 of 71 asymptomatic dietary and nursing staff in a stool-culture survey. Preliminary analysis of a case-control study demonstrated an association between illness and eating sandwich-meat slices served at the hospital cafeteria (p<0.001, Mantel-Haenszel for variable number of controls per case). The meat slices included the same brand of precooked roast beef involved in the other outbreaks. Some of the infected persons had not eaten the beef; the other meats may have been contaminated by it. The suspected beef samples were not available for culture, but Salmonella group B was recovered from meat drippings in a tray containing remnants of meat from the cafeteria delicatessen.

On August 5, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) asked the Philadelphia producer to temporarily halt further distribution of the implicated beef. S. typhimurium was isolated from 1 of 64 specimens tested by the USDA. Assessment of the internal temperature of these products by the protein coagulase test showed that the core temperature ranged from 130 F-152 F, $\pm 5^{\circ}$ (54.4 C-66.7 C $\pm 2.8^{\circ}$). On August 10, the USDA issued a recall order of all precooked roast beef that had been processed by the Philadelphia company before August 6, 1981.

The precooked roast beef from this company is distributed under 6 brand names (Joy, Lapin, Allied Farms, Big Apple, Twin Brothers, Vincent Giordano) to 77 distributors in Philadelphia, Harrisburg, and Chester, Pennsylvania; Rochester and Brooklyn, New York; and Washington, DC.

Reported by R Sharrar, MD, L Polk, MD, MPH, S Shapiro, MD, MPH, Philadelphia Dept of Health; L Hassley, Delaware County (Pennsylvania) Public Health Dept; R Berman, T DeMelfi, N Oswell, E Witte, DVM, State Epidemiologist, Pennsylvania State Dept of Health; R Altman, MD, G Fluer,

Salmonellosis - Continued

MD, I Guerrera, MD, B Mojica, MD, D Molton, W Parkin, DVM, State Epidemiologist, New Jersey State Dept of Health; Food Safety Inspection and Survey Science Br, Epidemiology Br, USDA; Enteric Diseases Br, Bacterial Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, Field Services Div, Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.

Editorial Note: This is the first reported multistate outbreak of salmonellosis attributable to commercially produced precooked roast beef in 4 years. Until 1977, this problem had occurred frequently, particularly in the Northeast (1-4). In 1977, when multiple outbreaks of the disease involving several meat-processing companies were reported from Connecticut, Georgia, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, the USDA instituted regulations requiring that raw beef be cooked until heated throughout to at least 145 F (62.8 C) (5).

The outbreaks reported here may have resulted from failure to achieve the required minimum temperature, as indicated by the USDA study. Also, recent evidence shows that under certain conditions even heating raw meat to 145 F (62.8 C) may not produce a completely *Salmonella*-free product (6). Further studies on the survival of *Salmonella* in raw beef may be indicated.

References

1. CDC. Food poisoning due to Salmonella chester-Massachusetts, MMWR 1973;22:302,

- 2. CDC. Salmonella singapore-New Orleans, MMWR 1975;24:397-8.
- 3. CDC. Salmonella saint-paul in pre-cooked roasts of beef-New Jersey, MMWR 1976;25:34,39.
- CDC. Multi-state outbreak of Salmonella newport transmitted by precooked roasts of beef. MMWR 1977,26:277-8.
- 5. Angelotti R. Minimum cooking requirements for cooked beef roast, Federal Register 1977;42: 44217-8.
- Blankenship LC, Survival of a Salmonella typhimurium experimental contaminant during cooking of beef roasts. Appl Environ Microbiol 1978;35:1160-5.

Recommendation of the Immunization

Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP)

Dip'ntheria, Tetanus, and Pertussis: Guidelines for Vaccine Prophylaxis and Other Preventive Measures

This is a revision of the 1977 ACIP statement on diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis.* It includes a review of the epidemiology of these diseases, a description of the available immunobiologic preparations, the appropriate immunization schedules, and precautions or contraindications to vaccine use. It contains no major changes in immunization policy.

INTRODUCTION

Simultaneous immunization against diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis during infancy and childhood has been a routine practice in the United States since the late 1940s. It has played a major role in markedly reducing the incidence of cases and deaths from each of these diseases.

DIPHTHERIA

Diphtheria has declined remarkably in the United States in recent years. From 1970 through 1976, an average of 248 cases were reported annually. Since then, the average

^{*}Immunization Practices Advisory Committee. Diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine. MMWR 1977,26:401-2,407.

ACIP Recommendation for DTP – Contined

has been 56. However, diphtheria remains a serious disease. About 5%-10% of respiratory diphtheria cases are fatal, the highest case-fatality ratios being in the very young and the elderly.

At one time respiratory diphtheria was common and occurred primarily in children. Now it is rare, especially in children. This is due, in part, to an apparently reduced circulation of toxigenic strains of *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* and to an increased proportion of children who are adequately immunized. Most cases, both in children and adults, occur in unimmunized or inadequately immunized persons. The age distribution of recent cases and the results of serosurveys conducted in the United States suggest that many American adults are not protected.

Toxigenic and nontoxigenic strains of *C. diphtheriae* can cause disease. However, only strains that produce toxin result in the common complications of myocarditis and neuritis. Furthermore, toxigenic strains are more often associated with severe or fatal illness in noncutaneous (respiratory or other mucosal surface) infections, and a higher proportion of them are recovered from respiratory than from cutaneous infections. *C. diphtheriae* can contaminate the skin of certain individuals, usually at the site of a wound. Although a sharply demarcated lesion with a pseudomembraneous base often results, the appearance may not be distinctive and the infection can be confirmed only by culture. Usually other bacterial species can also be isolated. Cutaneous diphtheria most commonly affects certain groups of American Indians and indigent adults.

Adequate immunization is thought to protect for at least 10 years. It significantly reduces both the risk of developing diphtheria and the severity of clinical illness. It does not, however, eliminate carriage of *C. diphtheriae* in the pharynx or on the skin.

TETANUS

The incidence of tetanus has decreased dramatically with routine use of tetanus toxoid. Nonetheless, the number of reported cases has remained relatively constant in the last decade (approximately 100 cases annually). In 1980, 95 tetanus cases were reported from 33 states. In recent years, approximately two-thirds of patients have been ≥50 years old. The disease has occurred almost exclusively in persons who are unimmunized or inadequately immunized or whose immunization history is unknown.

In 10%-20% of recent tetanus cases, no wound could be implicated. In 5%-10%, only minor acute wounds or chronic skin lesions, such as decubitus ulcers, were reported.

Neonatal tetanus occurs in infants born under conditions where infection is likely to mothers who are not adequately immunized. Immune pregnant women confer protection to their infants through transplacental maternal antibody.

Spores of *Clostridium tetani* are ubiquitous, and there is essentially no natural immunity to tetanus toxin. Thus, universal, primary immunization with subsequent maintenance of adequate antitoxin levels by means of appropriately timed boosters is necessary to protect all age groups. Tetanus toxoid is highly effective and generally induces protective levels of serum antitoxin which persist for at least 10 years after full immunization.

PERTUSSIS

General use of standardized pertussis vaccine has resulted in a substantial reduction in cases and deaths from pertussis. However, the number of reported cases has changed relatively little during the last 10 years, when there has been an annual average of 2,300 cases and 10 fatalities. Accurate data do not exist since many cases go unrecognized and

diagnostic tests for *Bordetella pertussis*—culture and direct-immunofluorescence assay may be unavailable, difficult to perform, or incorrectly interpreted. Most reported illnesses from *B. pertussis* occur in infants and young children; two-thirds of reported deaths occur in children less than 1 year old. In older children and adults, who may serve as reservoirs of infection, the disease may result in nonspecific symptoms of bronchitis or a severe upper respiratory tract infection; pertussis may not be diagnosed because classic signs, especially the inspiratory whoop, are often absent.

Pertussis is highly communicable (attack rates of over 90% have been reported for unimmunized household contacts). It frequently is associated with complications, severe sequelae, and a high case-fatality ratio in infants. Vaccination early in life is essential.

Because the incidence and severity of pertussis decrease with age and because the vaccine may cause side effects and adverse reactions, routine pertussis immunization is neither needed nor recommended for persons 7 years old or older, except under unusual circumstances (see "VACCINE USAGE").

PREPARATIONS USED FOR IMMUNIZATION

Diphtheria and tetanus toxoids are prepared by formaldehyde treatment of the respective toxins and standardized for potency according to the regulations of the Food and Drug Administration. The Lf content (quantity of toxoid as assessed by flocculation) varies among the different products but does not necessarily reflect potency. The concentration of diphtheria toxoid in preparations intended for use in adults is lower than that of the pediatric formulation; this is to facilitate lower dosage because adverse reactions are thought to be related to both dose and age.

Tetanus toxoid is available in fluid and aluminum salt adsorbed forms. Although the rate of seroconversion is essentially equivalent with either form, adsorbed toxoids induce more persistent antitoxin titers and are therefore strongly recommended for both primary and booster injections.

Pertussis vaccine is a suspension of inactivated *B. pertussis* bacteria. Potency is assayed by comparison with the U.S. Standard Pertussis Vaccine in mouse protection tests. Each dose of vaccine contains an estimated 4 protective units.

The 2 toxoids and the pertussis vaccine are currently available in the United States singly and in various combinations:

- 1. Diphtheria and Tetanus Toxoids and Pertussis Vaccine Adsorbed (DTP) and Diphtheria and Tetanus Toxoids Adsorbed (For Pediatric Use) (DT) are combinations recommended for use in infants and children less than 7 years old.
- 2. Tetanus and Diphtheria Toxoids Adsorbed (For Adult Use) (Td) is a combined preparation recommended for use in persons 7 years old and older. This product contains a limited amount of diphtheria antigen (not more than 2 Lf/dose).
- 3. Single antigen products, such as Pertussis Vaccine Adsorbed (P),* Tetanus Toxoid and Tetanus Toxoid Adsorbed (T), and Diphtheria Toxoid Adsorbed (D), are available for situations when combined antigens should not be used.

VACCINE USAGE

(See also ACIP. General recommendations on immunization. MMWR 1980;29:76, 81-3.)

^{*}Distributed by the Michigan State Department of Public Health within that state; available for use outside Michigan under special circumstances, by consultation with that department.

Dosage and Administration

These products should be injected according to the recommendations in the manufacturers' package inserts. Adsorbed preparations should be administered intramuscularly. Jet injection may be associated with more frequent local reactions.

Primary Immunization

Children 6 weeks through 6 years old (up to the seventh birthday) (Table 1): One dose of DTP should be given intramuscularly on 4 occasions, the first 3 doses at 4- to 8-week intervals, beginning when the infant is approximately 6 weeks-2 months of age. The fourth (reinforcing) dose is given approximately 1 year after the third to maintain adequate antibody levels for the ensuing preschool years. This dose is an integral part of the primary immunizing course. If a contraindication to pertussis vaccination exists, DT should be substituted for DTP (see "PRECAUTIONS AND CONTRAINDICA-TIONS").

Children 7 years old and older and adults (Table 2): A series of 3 doses of Td should be given intramuscularly; the second dose should be given 4-8 weeks after the first, and the third dose, 6 months to 1 year after the second. Td is the agent of choice for immuni-

TABLE 1. Routine diphtheria, tetanus,	, and pertussis immunization	schedule summary for
children less than 7 years old, 1981*	-	

Dose	Age/interval	Product
Primary 1	6 weeks old or older	DTP [‡]
Primary 21	4-8 weeks after first dose	DTP
Primary 31	4-8 weeks after second dose	DTP
Primary 4t	approximately 1 year after third dose	DTP
Booster	4-6 years old, prior to entering kindergarten or elementary school (not necessary if fourth primary immunizing dose administered after fourth birthday)	DTP
Additional Boosters	every 10 years after last dose	Td

*Important details are in the text.

[†]Prolonging the interval does not require restarting series.

[†]DT, if pertussis vaccine is contraindicated.

TABLE 2. Routine diphtheria and tetanus immunization schedule summary for persons 7 years old and older, 1981*

Dose	Age/interval	Product		
Primary 1	first visit	Td		
Primary 21	4-8 weeks after first dose	Td		
Primary 3t	6 months-1 year after second dose	Td		
Boosters	every 10 years after last dose	Td		

*Important details are in the text.

[†]Prolonging the interval does not require restarting series,

zation of all patients 7 years old and older because side effects from higher doses of diphtheria toxoid are more common in older children and adults, and because pertussis in these age groups is infrequent and less severe than in infants and young children.

Interruption of primary immunization schedule: Interrupting the recommended schedule or delaying subsequent doses does not reduce the ultimate immunity. There is no need to restart a series regardless of the time elapsed between doses.

Booster Immunization

Children 4 through 6 years (up to the seventh birthday): Those who received all 4 primary immunizing doses before their fourth birthday should receive a single dose of DTP just before entering kindergarten or elementary school. This booster dose is not necessary if the fourth dose in the primary series was given after the fourth birthday.

Persons 7 years old and older: Tetanus toxoid should be given with diphtheria toxoid as Td every 10 years. If a dose is given sooner as part of wound management, the next booster is not needed for 10 years thereafter (see "TETANUS PROPHYLAXIS IN WOUND MANAGEMENT"). More frequent boosters are not indicated and have been reported to result in an increased incidence and severity of adverse reactions.

(Continued on page 401)

	32nd WE	EK ENDING		CUMULATIVE, FIRST 32 WEEKS					
DISEASE	August 15 1981	August 9 1980	MEDIAN 1976-1980	August 15 1981	August 9 1980	MEDIAN 1976-1980			
Aseptic meningitis	335	230	222	3,641	2,896	2,266			
Brucellosis	2	3	3	91	116	116			
Chickenpox	253	432	405	165,707	156,152	156,152			
Diphtheria			-	3	2	56			
Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne & unspec.)	31	25	38	566	472	472			
Post-infectious	2	7	5	52	137	140			
Hepatitis, Viral: Type B	398	363	339	12.483	10.509	9,242			
Type A	453	593	554	15,481	16,872	18,005			
Type unspecified	214	234	198	6.922	6,898	5,426			
Malaria	35	62	18	862	1,242	400			
Measles (rubeola)	42	105	206	2,588	12,668	23,086			
Meningococcal infections: Total	43	50	36	2,353	1,837	1,671			
Civilian	43	50	36	2.340	1.824	1,649			
Military	1 1		-	13	13	16			
Mumps	48	54	102	2,992	6,859	13,005			
Pertussis	39	82	51	679	898	830			
Rubella (German measles)	11	33	76	1.660	3.118	10,483			
Tetanus		2	2	36	50	39			
Tuberculosis	608	636	579	16,524	16,536	17,971			
Tularemia	6	7	- 4	136	122	95			
Typhoid fever	A	15	. 10	302	273	273			
Typhus fever, tick borne (Rky, Mt. spotted)	35	68	60	837	759	691			
Venereal diseases:	-								
Gonorrhea: Civilian	19.892	22.271	22.031	606.380	594,042	595,812			
Military	521	875	618	17.834	16,689	16,689			
Syphilis, primary & secondary: Civilian	624	672	443	18,259	15,922	14,528			
Military	2	11	5	231	196	186			
Babies in animals	143	122	88	4.473	4.189	1.930			

TABLE I. Summary – cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States [Cumulative totals include revised and delayed reports through previous weeks.]

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

	CUM. 1981		CUM. 1981
Anthrax		Poliomyelitis: Total	3
Botulism	34	Paralytic (Wash. 1)	3
Cholera	3	Psittacosis (Ohio 2, Tenn. 1, Calif. 1)	75
Concenital rubella syndrome	1 7	Rabies in man	1
Leorosy (Calif. 1)	158	Trichinosis (Mass. 1, N.H. 1, Upstate N.Y. 3, N.J. 2)	105
Lentospirosis (Wash, 1)	24	Typhus fever, flea borne (andemic, murine) (Tex, 1)	31
Plague	5		

All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

Sec. 1	ASEPTIC	BRU	CHICKEN				ENCEPHALI	TIS	HEPATI	TIS (VIRAL), BY TYPE		
REPORTING AREA	GITIS	CEL- LOSIS	POX	DIPHT	HERIA	Pri	mary	Post-in- fectious	8	A	Unspecified	MAL	ARIA
-	1981	1981	1981	1981	CUM. 1981	1981	1980	1981	1981	1981	1981	1981	CUM. 1981
UNITED STATES	235	2	253	-	3	31	25	2	398	453	214	35	862
NEW ENGLAND	а	-	36	-	-	-	-	-	15	10	7	1	45
Maine	1	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1
Vt.	1	-		-	-	-	-	-	1	5	-	-	
Mass.	3	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	7	Ł	26
R.I.	2	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	2
Conn.	1	-	9	-	-		-	•	7	2	-	-	10
MID. ATLANTIC	28	-	30	-	-	-	2	-	50	27	24	3	101
N Y Cinc	16	-	12	-	-	-	2	-	16	6	2	1	29
N.J.	Ā	-	NN	- 2 -	-				24	19	16	î	28
Pa.	NĂ	NA	NA	NA	-	NA	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NĂ	11
E.N. CENTRAL	47	-	102	-	-	12	8	-	64	83	28	5	42
Uhio		-	9	-	-	-	2	-	17	15	8	1	1
111	16	-	15	-		-	2		20	23	1	3	14
Mich.	21	_	33	-	-	3	ĩ	-	18	25	á	ĩ	15
Wis.	3	-	31	-	-	-	~	-	1	-	-	-	-
W.N. CENTRAL	22	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	13	18	6	2	24
lowa	-				-		1		<u> </u>	2	2		3
Mo.	15	- 2-			_	- <u>1</u>		_	5	5	2		ž
N. Dak.	÷ 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	1
S. Dak.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	1
Kans.	6		-	-	-	1	-	-	2	9	-	1	1 6
S. ATLANTIC	58	1	37	_	1	2	3	1	82	48	32	9	106
Del.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1
nna. Dr	15	-	6		-		-		17	8	11	2	25
Va	19	-	5			ĩ		ī	12	6	7	3	18
W. Va.	ĩ	-	í	-	-				10	2	i	-	3
N.C.	-	-	NN	-	-	-	1	-	- 4	1	1	-	7
a.C. Ga	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3		1	-	1
Fla.	19	ī	17	- 2	ĩ	ī	ī	-	19	27	11	- 4	35
E.S. CENTRAL	54	-	5	-	-	8	5	-	27	14	2	-	10
Ky.	32	- 1	2	-	-	1		-	2	1	-		-
Tênn, Al-	9	-	NN	-	-	4	-	-	10	4	2	-	-
Miss.	2	-	-	-		-	2	-	4	6	-	-	1
W.S. CENTRAL	35	1	1.8	-	-	2	1	-	24	64	34	1	62
Ark.	2			-		-	-	-	ž	6	(4 4	ī	5
	4	-	NN	-	-	-			2	21	7	-	3
Tex	11		1.0	-	-		1	-	1	8	27	-	5
	10	I	14	· -		2		-	19	29	~~		47
MOUNTAIN	8		2	C	1	_	Z	-	18	31	20	- E	28
daho	- <u>-</u>			- 21	- C.			-	-	10	-		1
Ψyo.	2	_	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	_	-	
Colo.	5	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	8	9	2	-	13
Ariz	-	-		-	_				1	-		-	2
Utah	-	5751		- 2 -		1.2.1	-	-	1	ž	13	-	- 1
Nev.	~	-	-	-	-	-		-	ĩ	î	3	-	3
ACIFIC	75	-	23	-	1	6	3	1	105	158	61	14	444
Dren	3	-	7	-	-	-	1	-	11	35	12	-	20
Calif.	71	- 21	-	- 2	п 2 т		2		87	111	44	13	12
Alaska			ź		1		Ē.		2	2	-	-	1
Hawaii	Ē	1	5	-		-	-	-	3	ž	3	t	7
Guam					1.1								
P.R.	-	-	11	-	_	-	-	-	-	7	1	-	4
V.I.		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
ec. Trust Terr.	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	NA	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	-

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 15, 1981 and August 9, 1980 (32nd week)

NN: Not notifiable. NA: Not available.

All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 15, 1981 and August 9, 1980 (32nd week)

			· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1		1	<u> </u>			
REPORTING AREA	MEASLES (RUBEOLA)		BEOLA)	MENIN	GOCOCCAL I TOTAL	NFECTIONS	-	MUMPS	PERTUSSIS	RUE	BELLA	TETANUS
	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1980	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1980	1981,	CUM. 1981	1981	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1981
UNITED STATES	42	2,588	12,668	43	2,353	1,837	48	2,992	39	11	1,660	36
NEW ENGLAND	-	75	667	3	149	109	2	144	1	-	105	2
Maine	-	5	33	-	22	5	-	27	-	-	33	-
N.H.	-	4	330	1	17	5	-	17	_	-	35	-
Vt.	-	1	226	-	6	13	-	6	-	-	-	-
Mass.	-	57	54	-	33	38	_	39		-	25	_
Conn.	-	8	22	1	57	41	2	35	-	-	12	2
MID. ATLANTIC	з	786	3,717	۲	326	316	6	532	7	1	198	2
Upstate N.Y.	2	208	675	1	103	105	6	97		1	92	1
N.Y. GILY	1	65	1,158	5	50	11	2	70	-		44	-
Pa.	NĂ	454	1,063	-	91	65	NA	282	NA	NA	11	-
E.N. CENTRAL	_	84	2,378	5	287	235	6	834	5	2	346	7
Ohio	-	15	371	3	108	71	3	131	2	-	3	1
Ind.		15	90	-	40	36	1	94	1	-	122	2
Mich	_	23	220	-	64	51	-	207	1		34	3
Wis.	-	1	1,354	-	4	13	-	146	-	1	104	ĩ
W.N. CENTRAL	-	é	1,326	2	104	73	-	177	2	-	76	3
Minn.	-	2	1,092	1	37	18	-	8	1	-	6	2
lowa	-	1	20		18	9	-	41	1	-		
N Dak	-	1	64	1	31	32	-	29		-		1
S Dak	-		-	_	4	1	-	1	-	_	_	
Nebr.	_	1	63	_	-	-	_	3	-	-	1	- 1
Kans.	-	ī	67	-	13	9	-	95	-	-	62	-
S. ATLANTIC	7	346	1,856	7	528	433	10	424	10	1	132	7
Del.	-	-	3	-	-4	2		9	-	-	1	-
Md.	2		/1	2	86	43	1	81	-	-	1	
Va	- 21	1	200		۲ ۸5	40	1	116	2	-	,	
W. Va.	-	A	270	1	21	14	2	71	-	-	22	-
N.C.	-	4	128	î	76	82	ĩ	14	1		5	2
S.C.	-	le le	157	1	69	52	-	10	-	-	8	2
Ga. Fla	5	109 214	799 391	2	87 166	72 127	5	33 86	5	-	35 53	1 2
ES CENTRAL	_	4	327	2	172	167	1	73	-	,	29	2
Ky.	_		52		48	52	-	33	-	î	18	
Tenn.	-	2	169	1	48	44	-	20	-	-	10	-
Ala.	-	2	22	1	57	45	-	15	-	-	1	2
Miss.	-	-	84	-	19	26	1	3	-	-	-	-
W.S. CENTRAL	23	914	\$30	11	398	194	2	170	6	2	145	5
Ark.	-	- 1	16	-	21	15	. -	1	-	_	2	1
La.	-	2	11	6	99	11	-	4	-		9	4
Tex.	23	905	134	5	245	91	2	165	6	2	134	1
MOUNTAIN	-	33	451	2	77	63	2	107	1		78	2
Mont.	-	-	2	-	6	3	1	9	-	-	4	
Idaho	-	1	-	-	3	4	-	4	-	-	3	-
wyo.	-		22		33	15	-	⊥ ∡2	-	-	27	2
N Mey	_	Å	51	-			-	-	1	-	5	-
Ariz.	-	5	360	1	18	10	1	24		_	19	1
Utah	-	_	47	-	5	2	-	16	-	-	4	1
Nev.	-	10	8	1	6	20	-	11	-	-	9	-
PACIFIC	9	340	1,016	4	312	247	17	533	7	4	551	6
Orea	- 20	2	112	1	47		2		-	12	74	
Calif.	9	332	83)	2	195	151		308	6	3	415	6
Alaska	-	-	5	-	7	6	-	7	-	_	1	- 11
Hawaii	-	2	6	-	4	-	1	20	3 - 2	1	10	-
Cuerra		эс <u>а</u>	5	-	_		ti NA	6	2 11 NA -	NA	,	_
P B.		258	112	-	10	ģ	-	107	-	-	ŝ	3
V.I.	-	24	6	-	1	1	_	- 4		-	ī	-
Pac. Trust Terr.	NA	- i	6	-	-	-	NA	8	NA	NA	1	-

NA: Not available. All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 15, 1981 and August 9, 1980 (32nd week)

		-	THEAL	TVS	HOLD	ТҮРНИ	SFEVER	R VENEREAL DISEASES (Civilian)					RABIES	
REPORTING AREA	TUA	ERCULOSIS	REMIA	FE	VER	(Tick (R	·borne) MSF)		GONORRHEA	-	SY	PHILIS (Pri.	& Sec.)	(in Animals
	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1981	1981	CUM. 1981	1981	CUM. 1981	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1980	1981	CUM. 1981	CUM. 1980	CUM. 1981
UNITED STATES	608	16,524	136	8	302	35	837	19,892	606,380	594,042	624	18,259	15,922	4,47
NEW ENGLAND	19	469	1	-	12	1	8	462	15,036	14,815	8	378	322	2
Maine N.H.	2	28					_	31	547	509	- 2	11	ĩ	10
Vt.	1	15	-	_	-	-	-	ģ	259	332	-	13	5	
Mass.	13	275	-	-	7	-	5	230	6,128	6,158	6	251	183	
Conn.	1	28	1	1	4	1	1	54 119	819 6,507	935 6,019	2	80	19	1.3
MID. ATLANTIC	85	2,604	10	3	51	_	32	1,945	72,000	64,098	56	2,724	2, 257	5
Upstate N.Y.	38	477	10	1	11	-	12	716	12,109	11,739	7	249	183	3
N.Y. City	29	1.004	_	1	27	-	2	1,000	- 30 - 510	241481	15	1,638	276	1
Pa.	NA	578	-	NA	4	NA	10	NA	15,750	15,872	NA	462	312	
E.N. CENTRAL	130	2,170	1	-	20	1	35	2 .577	88,864	90,819	18	1,155	1,494	610
Ohio	20	421	-	-	2	-	28	1,143	30,542	23,788	6	167	233	49
III	41	211	- 2		10		2	189	8,023	28.734	-	588	844	431
Mich.	25	571	1	-	6	_	ĩ	687	19.647	20,564	2	219	242	
Wis.	2	116	1.2	-	ž	-	-	234	8,284	8,684	1	59	55	64
W.N. CENTRAL	32	596	16	-	12	2	36	1,055	29,170	27.070	21	373	198	1,907
lowa	10	105	-	-	2		1	207	4,557	4,491		14	13	334
Mo.	14	265	15	-	3	i	19	526	13.576	11.690	= 10	194	97	149
N. Dak.	1	22	-	-	-	_	-	8	393	389	2	8	3	305
S. Dak.	-	43	-	-	1	-	-	18	786	841		2	2	230
Kans.	2	80	1	- 21	2	-	9	98	2,238	4,511	1	16	8	140
S. ATLANTIC	134	3,649	10	ı	44	18	479	5,412	150,015	148,167	191	4,856	3,768	276
Del,	3	50	1	-	-	-	2	69	2,355	2,017	-	7	10	1
Md. DC	18	371	-	1	13	2	46	719	17,181	15,505	19	368	262	13
Va.	17	377	-	- 1-	1	5	80	277	13.478	13.175	8	431	349	49
W. Va.	2	118	-	-			4	45	2,244	1,951	-	15	15	13
N.C.	35	647	2	-	1	10	208	803	23,156	21,177	15	369	263	
Ga.	- 5	337	3	-	-	1	81 60	484	14,460	28,480	50	1, 252	212	12
Fla.	24	932	-		20	-	8	1.882	37.280	41,550	80	1,706	1,304	53
E.S. CENTRAL	31	1,437	5	1	6	7	86	2.024	50,829	48,602	61	1,200	1,325	285
Ky.	11	377	2	3	-	-	2	295	6,389	7,193	.5	58	89	86
Ala		472	3	1	2	2	55	51.9	19:047	14,311	19	340	274	150
Miss.		193	-	-	ž	ĩ	16	509	9,866	9,640	20	353	401	-
W.S. CENTRAL	63	1, 864	63	2	43	5	133	2,575	80.646	76,396	180	4,463	3,098	783
Ark.	7	195	35		4	2	29	168	5,870	5,843	70	83	93	104
Okla.	Á	320	14		4		77	327	8.580	7.599	- 4	1002	59	153
Tex.	41	1,127	12	2 -	34	-	27	1,764	52,650	49,128	97	3,215	2,185	498
MOUNTAIN	10	466	25	1	21	1	23	721	23,806	23.012	4	477	383	136
Mont.	-	22	5	-	4		11	25	876	844	- 2	11	1	78
Wya.	-	7	- i -	_	-	_	4	20	535	686	- 2	17	17	é
Calo.	-	50	5	1	6	-	-	186	6,398	6,148	2	146	103	17
N. Mex.	4	89	1	-		-	-	83	2.588	2,851	-	87	64	20
Utah	6	221	-	-	10		- 7	207	1,120	6.350		105	129	11
Nev.	-	37	ĩ	-	-	1	2	104	3,976	4,045	î	87	54	2
PACIFIC	104	3,269	5		93	-	5	3,121	96,014	101,063	85	2,633	3,077	399
wash.	6	247	1	-	3	-	1	295	7.654	8,500	-	68	158	10
Calif.	-	2,740	-	_	4	1		2,651	5+654 78-370	6,854	2	61	2, 720	340
Alaska	-	44	2	-	-	_		88	2.418	2.426		2,450	7	14
Hawaii	2	89	-	-	1	-	-	93	1,918	2.018	2	45	108	-
Guam			1.1				_		47					
P.R.	-	183	_	-	4	-		53	1.992	1.594	15	414	332	57
V.I.	-	1	-	- 2	6	-	-	12	129	108	-	15	10	-
Pac. Trust Terr.	NA	38	-	NA	-	NA	-	NA	211	257	NA	-		-

NA: Not available. All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending August 15, 1981 (32nd week)

ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) REFORTING AREA ALL ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) TOTAL ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) NEW ENGLAND 652 414 52 62 23 44 52 MEW ENGLAND 652 414 52 23 44 53 141 67 43 8 7 Galgaper, Com, Max. 195 10 - - 12 24 31 13 3 4 Fall River, Max. 10 15 1 - - - 12 24 34 13 4 Fall River, Max. 17 15 1 - - - Hardmore, Ka 46 24 13 4 17 11 2 5 1 - - Commercitic, Ka. 46 7 41 7 9 7 11 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3																	
REPORTING AREA ALL >055 6564 2544 1.24 CI TTM NEW ENCLAND 652 614 152 62 23 1 2 23 44 S.ATLANTC 1.206 691 293 18 43 Botton, Mass. 195 102 51 19 14 9 25 Atlanta, G.S. 141 67 43 18 7 Cambridge, Mass. 105 15 2 3 - - 1 Charlotte, M.C. 76 50 15 7 1 15 13 7 1 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 15 11 - - 7 16 16 16 16 17 2 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 15 16 17 2 16 17 17 17 17 16 17 2 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 <th></th> <th></th> <th>ALL CA</th> <th>USES, BY</th> <th>AGE (YE</th> <th>ARS)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>ALL CA</th> <th>USES, 8Y</th> <th>AGE (YE</th> <th>ARS)</th> <th></th> <th>T</th>			ALL CA	USES, BY	AGE (YE	ARS)					ALL CA	USES, 8Y	AGE (YE	ARS)		T	
NEW ENCLAND 652 0.4 1.5 2.2 2.3 4.4 S.ATLANTIC 1.206 691 2.9 11.6 4.3 Bridgeport, Conn. 48 30 9 9 1.6 - - 2 5 Attent, C.C. 7.6 50 15 7 1 Fail River, Mast. 20 1.5 2 3 - - - Lackannille, Fia. 13.1 7.0 2.0 1.6 4.3 Lyon, Mast. 17 1.5 1 - - - Richmond, Va. 6.6 3.4 1.6 7 2.0 1.6 7 2.0 1.6 7 2.0 1.6 7 2.0 1.6 7 1.5 7 1.5 7 1.5 1.5 7 1.5 1.5 7 7 1.5 1.5 7 7 7 1.6 1.6 7 7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.	EPORTING AREA	ALL AGES	≥65	45 64	25-44	1-24	<1	TOTAL	REPORTING AREA	ALL AGES	≥65	45 64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&I"" TOTAL	
Bastor, Max. 198 102 51 13 14 9 25 Atanas, Ga. 141 67 43 8 7 Grighper, Com. 46 35 7 3 15 - 2 2 Fail River, Max. 12 15 3 15	WENGLAND	652	414	152	42	21	23	44	S. ATLANTIC	1,209	691	299	118	43	58	35	
Bridgeport, Conn. 44 33 9 3 1 - 2 2 Hummer, Md. 202 130 51 13 4 Denking, Max. 25 12 4 3 1 Charter, Mcin. 17 15 1 1 Nordek, Wa. 46 24 13 4 3 Lynn, Max. 17 15 1 1 Nordek, Va. 46 24 13 4 3 Lynn, Max. 17 15 1 1 Nordek, Va. 46 24 13 4 3 Lynn, Max. 17 15 1 1 Nordek, Va. 46 24 13 4 3 Lynn, Max. 17 15 1 1 Richmond, Va. 66 34 18 9 2 New Harder, Chm. 60 39 14 3 4 3 1 15 5 Fetarburg, Fla. 93 77 11 2 3 Somervike, Max. 49 30 10 1 1 7 2 Warringmon, D.C. 160 77 11 2 3 Somervike, Max. 49 30 10 1 1 7 2 Winnington, D.C. 160 77 1 11 2 3 Somervike, Max. 49 31 12 5 1 - 4 ES.CENTRAL 497 408 173 54 23 Warringmon, P.a. 14 15 3 Katavare, M.K. 49 31 12 5 1 - 4 ES.CENTRAL 497 408 173 54 23 Warringmon, D.C. 160 77 1 11 2 7 3 Katavare, M.K. 49 31 12 5 1 - 4 ES.CENTRAL 497 408 173 54 23 Warringmon, D.C. 160 77 1 11 2 7 3 Katavare, M.K. 49 31 12 5 1 Katavare, M.K. 100 56 26 7 5 6 12 Musterlaw, Comm, P.a. 14 15 3 Katavare, M.K. 100 56 26 7 5 6 12 Musterlaw, Comm, P.a. 14 15 3 Katavare, M.K. 100 56 26 7 5 6 12 Musterlaw, Comm, P.a. 14 15 3 Katavare, M.K. 13 26 13 1 1 Jalentew, P.a. 14 15 3 Katavare, M.K. 13 26 13 1 1 Jalentew, P.a. 14 15 3 Katavare, M.K. 13 26 27 10 1 1 1 Musterlaw, Common, P.a. 14 6 13 15 3 Katavare, M.K. 13 26 27 10 1 1 1 Musterlaw, Common, P.a. 14 6 13 15 3 Katavare, M.K. 13 16 13 3 16 3 2 6 13 13 3 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 5 3 7 1 1 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 5 3 7 1 1 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 5 1 2 12 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 5 1 2 12 Jarey Ciry, N.J. 73 50 12 4 7 1 2 1 Musterlaw, Commond, N.K. 12 4 5 1 1 1 1 Jarey Ciry, N.K. 13 4	ston, Mass.	195	102	51	19	14	9	25	Atlanta, Ga.	141	67	43	8	7	16	1	
Lain Broge, Mark. 59 12 4 3 1 Lain Broge, Mark. 59 13 15 2 - 2 Lowell, Mars. 19 15 3 1 Lowell, Mars. 19 15 3 1 Naver Kalerod, Mars. 17 11 6 New Kalerod, Mars. 17 11 6 New Kalerod, Mars. 17 11 6 New Kalerod, Mars. 14 13 1 3 Somewnike, Mars. 49 30 10 1 1 7 2 Water Karva, Conn. 34 25 9 2 Water Karva, Sa 1 1 1 3 2 Marva Karva, 12 2 5 1 6 1 7 2 50 26 Birming Karva, 12 2 5 2 6 8 5 Moling, Ala. 68 43 16 5 2 Elizabeth, N.J. 20 17 10 1 2 Marva Karva, 13 2 6 4 1 2 1 Marva Karva, 13 2 6 4 1 2 1 Marva Karva, 14 6 49 303 97 46 19 41 Newark, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 2 1 Rationg Pa, 6 4 1 2 1 2 Schenectudy, N.Y. 13 14 65 2 1	dgeport, Conn.	48	35	9	3	1	-	2	Baltimore, Md.	202	130	51	13		- 4	Z	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	moridge, Mass.	20	15		1	-	-	1	Charlotte, N.C.	76	50	15	.!	1	3		
Lowell, Mass. 19 15 13 1 Ricrick, Va. 16 24 33 14 3 12 New Bedrod, Mass. 17 11 6 Ricrick, Va. 16 34 18 9 2 New Bedrod, Mass. 17 11 6	rtford Conn	52	13	15	5	-	,	2	Jacksonville, Fla. Miami Fla	131	70	29	10			- í	
$ \begin{array}{c} Lynn, Max. 17 13 1 1 \\ New Hadrof, Max. 17 11 6 \\ Swammah, Ga 58 31 18 7 2 \\ New Hardrof, Max. 57 3 16 14 3 4 3 1 \\ Streambar, Conn. 60 36 14 3 2 \\ Varme, Max. 5 3 1 \\ Washington, D.C. 160 77 41 22 \\ Streambar, Gon. 34 25 10 1 2 \\ Warnshur, Conn. 34 35 1 1 \\ Washington, D.C. 160 77 41 22 \\ Warestar, Conn. 34 35 1 2 \\ Warnshur, Conn. 34 35 1 2 \\ Warnshur, Conn. 34 25 10 1 2 \\ Warnshur, Conn. 34 26 10 1 1 \\ Warnshur, Conn. 34 26 10 1 1 \\ Marn, N. 1 20 25 26 1 1 2 \\ Warnshur, Conn. 1 21 65 37 11 3 \\ Streaget, N. 1 30 12 4 4 3 3 \\ Y. Gir, N. 1 1, 31 4 849 303 97 46 13 4 \\ Warnwille, Fenn. 121 65 37 11 3 \\ Warnwille, Fenn. 121 65 37 11 3 \\ Warnwille, Ran. 158 43 14 3 1 2 \\ Frie, Fe1 3 9 28 1 6 4 1 2 1 \\ Warnwille, Kan. 152 4 1 \\ Warnwille, Kan. 152 4 1 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 618 244 70 49 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 51 5 7 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 51 5 7 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 51 7 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 51 7 \\ Warnwille, Ala. 10 54 51 7 $	well, Mass.	19	15	1	ĩ	-	-	-	Norfolk Va.	46	26	13	10	3	2	ī	
New Baren, On. Savannah, Ga. Savanna	nn, Mass.	17	15	ī	ī	-	-	-	Richmond, Va.	66	34	1.4	q	2	3	6	
New Haven, Conn. 60 36 14 3 4 3 1 St. Peteraburg Fia. 94 77 11 2 3 Somarrille, Mas. 5 5 - - - - 1 Wathington, D.C. 160 77 41 22 6 Somarrille, Mas. 43 30 10 1 1 72 Wathington, D.C. 160 72 73 54 72 73 54 72 73 74 72 73 74 72 73 74 72 73 74 72 73 74 72 73 74 72 73 74 73 74 73 74 73 74 73 74 73 74 73 74 73 74 73 74 74 73 74 73 75 74 73 75 74 73 75 74 73 74 74 74	w Bedford, Mass.	17	11	6	-	-	-	- 1	Savannah, Ga.	58	31	18	7	2	-	1	
	w Haven, Conn.	60	36	14	3	4	3	1	St. Petersburg, Fla.	94	- 17	- Ī1	2	3	1	8	
Sometring, Max. 5 5 5 $ -$	widence, R.I. §	60	39	16	3	-	2		Tampa, Fla.	73	- 44	17	9	-	3	3	
Springring, Mail. 49 30 10 1 1 7 2 Winnington, Dal. 47 26 13 3 2 Wornster, Mas 49 31 12 5 1 - 4 MID. ATLANTIC 2, 358 1, 541 525 161 72 59 92 Chartanooga, Tann. 39 28 8 3 Allentown, Pa \$ 18 15 3 - - - Louivila, Ky. 108 62 31 11 Allentown, Pa \$ 18 15 3 - - - Louivila, Ky. 108 62 31 11 34 4 52 26 6 5 Chartanooga, Tann. 39 28 6 31 14 3 31 4 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 36 35 36 36 36 31 <td>merville, Mass.</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>- 2 -</td> <td>1</td> <td>Washington, D.C.</td> <td>160</td> <td>17</td> <td>41</td> <td>22</td> <td>6</td> <td>14</td> <td>3</td>	merville, Mass.	5	5		-		- 2 -	1	Washington, D.C.	160	17	41	22	6	14	3	
Markard, V. Guin. 3 23 3 2 1 2 1 - 4 MID. ATLANTIC 2.356 1.551 52 1 - 4 ES. CENTRAL 697 409 173 56 23 Albany, N.Y. 55 40 9 3 - 3 - - - Karatranoog, Tran. 39 26 8 3 - Karatranoog, Tran. 39 26 8 3 - Karatranoog, Tran. 13 22 6 1 1 - - Karatranoog, Tran. 13 22 6 8 3 - - - Karatranoog, Tran. 13 13 4 13 3 4 13 3 4 13 3 4 13 3 4 14 14 13 3 14 13 3 14 13 3 14 13 3 14 13 14 13 <td< td=""><td>ringfield, Mass.</td><td>49</td><td>30</td><td>10</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>7</td><td>2</td><td>Wilmington, Del.</td><td>47</td><td>29</td><td>13</td><td>3</td><td>2</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	ringfield, Mass.	49	30	10	1	1	7	2	Wilmington, Del.	47	29	13	3	2	-	-	
Numeric, Num. Si L2 Si CENTRAL Contramony Tenn. Si L2 Si CENTRAL L2 Si L3 L3 L2 Si L3 L2 Si L3 L3 L2 Si L3 L3 <thl3< th=""> L3 <thl3< th=""></thl3<></thl3<>	mercury, Conn.	40	23	1.2			-	4									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	neester, mass.		31	14	2	•	-		E C CENTRAL	497	400	173	54	22	28	36	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									Birmingham Ala	124	12	27	12	1	1	3	
Albarey, N.Y. 55 40 9 3 $-$ 7	D. ATLANTIC	.358 1	. 541	525	161	72	59	92	Chattenooga Tenn.	39	28		3	1	-	6	
Allentown, Pa. § 18 15 3 $-$	bany, N.Y.	55	40	9	3	- 1	3	-	Knoxville, Tenn.	47	30	15	ī	2	1	-	
Buffalo, N.Y. 100 56 26 7 5 6 12 Memphi, Tann. 132 75 26 8 5 2 Graden, N.J. 32 22 8 1 1 $-$ 1 Mobile, Ala. 68 43 16 5 2 Mohiganer, Ala. 58 34 13 3 4 Mobile, Ala. 68 43 16 5 2 Mohiganer, Ala. 58 34 13 3 4 Mobile, N.J. 28 17 10 1 $-$ 7 2 Mobile, Ala. 68 43 16 5 2 Mohiganer, Ala. 58 34 13 3 4 Mobile, Nativile, Tenn. 121 65 37 11 3 Jarrey City, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarrey City, N.J. 73 50 12 4 4 3 3 Jarrey City, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 2 1 Mobile, Jarrey City, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 2 1 Mobile, Jarrey City, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 2 1 Mobile, Jarrey City, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 2 1 Mobile, Jarrey City, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 2 1 Mobile, Jarrey City, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 2 1 Mobile, Jarrey City, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 2 1 Mobile, Jarrey City, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 1 - 2 Dalas, Tex. 34 21 8 1 3 3 Mobile, Tex. 31 12 8 5 3 Mobile, Tex. 31 12 8 5 3 Mobile, Tex. 31 12 8 5 3 Mobile, Tex. 31 22 0 5 1 2 2 S Jarrey City, N.J. 101 66 17 7 2 6 3 Barrey City, N.J. 101 66 17 7 2 6 3 Lei Paso, Tex. 32 20 5 1 2 2 S Jarrey City, N.J. 103 66 12 2 Fort Worth, Tex. 30 47 16 7 4 Houtson, Tex. 170 48 40 13 9 Yaravue, N.Y. 20 15 5 2 2 2 Jarrey City, City, Tex. 30 47 16 7 4 Houtson, Tex. 170 88 400 13 9 Yaravue, N.Y. 27 21 4 2 3 Shrewport, La. 144 27 5 34 6 11 Sarrey City, N.Y. 27 21 4 2 3 Houtson, Tex. 144 8 16 5 Tus, City, City, N.Y. 27 21 4 2 3 Houtson, Tex. 144 8 16 5 Tus, City, City, N.Y. 27 21 4 2 3 Houtson, Tex. 144 8 16 5 Tus, City, City, N.J. 130 65 35 3 3 4 3 2 Houtson, Tex. 144 8 16 5 Tus, City, City, N.J. 132 66 11 5 Houtson, Tex. 144 8 16 7 Tus, City, City, M.Y. 27 21 4 2 3 Houtson, Tex. 144 8 4 6 7 3 3 Houtson, Tex. 144 8 16 5 Tus, City, City, M.Y. 27 21 4 2 3 Houtson, Tex. 144 8 16 5 Tus, City, City, City, Lab, 23 14 5 1 1 1 Houtson, Nat. 29 16 5 4 1 2 2 Houtson, City, City, Lab, 23 14 5 1 1 1 Houtson, Air. 29 16 5 1 3 3 4 3 1 2 Houtson, Tex. 144 8 16 1 3 Houtson, City, City, Lab, 23 14 5 1 1 1 Houtson, Air. 145 9 14 5 1 1 1 Houtson, Air. 145 9 4 2 Friston, City, City, Lab, 23 14	entown, Pa.§	18	15	3	1	-	-	-	Louisville, Ky.	108	62	31	11	3	1	- 4	
Canden, N.J. 32 22 8 1 1 $ 1$ Mobile, Ala. 68 43 16 5 2 Erabeth, N.J. 26 17 10 1 $ 2$ Montgomery, Ala. 58 34 13 3 4 Frie, Pat 39 28 9 $- 1$ 1 1 1 anerg City, N.V. 1, 31 64 9 303 97 46 19 41 Newark, N.J. 57 29 14 8 4 2 1 Paterson, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 2 1 Paterson, N.J. 37 24 6 4 1 2 1 Radong, Pa. 36 28 8 1 1 2 3 Baton Rouge, La. 30 15 8 6 1 3 3 Piraburgh, Pa. 7 63 43 14 3 1 2 3 Eaton Rouge, La. 30 15 8 6 1 2 Corpus Christi, Tex. 31 12 8 5 3 Baton Rouge, La. 30 15 8 6 1 2 Corpus Christi, Tex. 31 12 8 5 3 Schemectady, N.Y. 21 15 5 1 $ 2$ Schemectady, N.Y. 21 15 5 1 $ 2$ Exhemectady, N.Y. 21 15 5 1 $ 3$ Schemectady, N.Y. 21 15 5 1 $ 3$ Schemectady, N.Y. 22 1 6 5 2 $ 2$ Huite Rock, Ark. 87 48 26 7 2 Termiton, N.J. 29 23 5 1 $ 3$ Schemectady, N.Y. 21 6 5 2 $ 2$ E.N. CENTRAL 1.087 48 40 13 9 Viracuse, N.Y. 27 21 4 $ 2$ 3 Schemectady, N.M. 612 339 149 53 40 Catton, Ohio 31 59 18 5 2 7 $ 7$ E.N. CENTRAL 2.314 1.387 585 160 89 92 53 Akron, Ohio 31 59 18 5 2 7 $ 7$ E.N. CENTRAL 2.314 1.387 585 160 89 92 53 Akron, Ohio 37 21 9 3 1 3 2 Cleveland, Ohio 130 65 35 3 3 4 3 2 Cleveland, Ohio 130 65 35 3 3 4 3 2 Cleveland, Ohio 130 65 35 3 3 4 4 2 Cleveland, Ohio 130 65 35 3 3 4 4 3 Cleveland, Ohio 130 65 35 3 3 4 4 3 Cleveland, Ohio 130 65 35 1 1 2 Cleveland, Ohio 130 65 35 1 1 2 Cleveland, Ohio 6 37 12 9 18 5 4 1 2 Photenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Cleveland, Ohio 6 37 16 5 4 1 2 Photenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Cleveland, Ohio 6 37 16 5 4 1 2 Photenix, Ariz. 91 58 14 8 7 Turson, Ariz. 91 58 14 8 7 Turson, Ariz. 91 58 14 8 7 Fremo, Calif. 165 19 22 16 3 7 Honolutu, Hawaii 66 37 16 5 4 Cleveland, Ohio 63 7 16 5 4 1 2 Photenix, Ariz. 91 58 14 8 7 Fremo, Chif. 15 9 4 2 Fremo, Calif. 165 19 23 11 6 4 1 21 Deareter, Calif. 165 4 1 2 Photenix, Ariz. 91 58	ffalo, N.Y.	100	56	26	7	5	6	12	Memphis, Tenn.	132	75	26	8	5	18	9	
EILABOR, N.J. 28 17 10 1 $ -$ 2 EILABOR, N.J. 28 17 10 12 4 4 3 3 Naswith, N.J. 57 29 14 8 4 2 1 Naswith, N.J. 57 20 12 10 5 16 3 9 12 EIPac, N.Y. 10 14 6 1 1 2 6 5 3 Bachester, N.Y. 10 14 6 1 1 2 6 5 3 Scheester, N.Y. 10 14 6 1 1 2 7 2 6 3 Scheester, N.Y. 10 8 4 6 1 1 2 7 2 6 3 Scheester, N.Y. 20 15 5 2 7 - 7 7 12 11 EIPac, N.Z. 170 8 4 6 1 1 3 9 Yorkers, N.Y. 27 21 4 - 1 2 1 Som Antonio, Tax. 170 8 4 6 1 6 11 Somester, N.Y. 27 21 4 - 2 3 Som Antonio, Tax. 10 8 4 6 1 3 9 Somester, N.Y. 27 21 4 - 2 3 Som Antonio, Tax. 10 8 4 6 1 6 11 Somester, N.Y. 27 21 4 - 2 3 Somester, N.Y. 27 21 4 - 2 3 EINCENTRAL 2.314 1.387 565 160 89 92 53 Clevelard, Ohio 37 21 9 3 1 3 2 2 7 - 4 Aurtin, Ohio 135 8 16 26 7 7 - 4 Aurtin, Ohio 135 9 18 5 2 7 EAN. CENTRAL 2.314 1.387 565 160 89 92 53 Clevelard, Ohio 135 12 2 20 12 Colo Springs, Colo. 25 12 11 1 1 Devvor, Colo. 21 16 4 33 11 5 Lavaga, New. 78 41 24 6 7 Optien, Utah 4 1 21 3 5 1 Gard, Majdis, Mit, 32 2 1 6 3 17 7 20 1 Lavaga, New. 78 4 1 24 6 7 Caburdue, Ohio 130 5 8 Freat, III 4 4 1 3 5 1 Gard, Majdis, Mit, 32 2 1 6 3 2 - 3 1 2 EINE, Calif. 16 13 5 Fortago, Calif. 16 13 5 Fortago, Calif. 15 9 4 2 - Protago, Calif. 16 13 5 Fortago, Calif. 46 37 16 5 4 Dayton, Ohio 37 6 18 5 4 1 2 Porting, Calif. 60 30 13 5 4 5 4 1 2 Porting, Calif. 60 30 14 5 5 - Fortago, Calif. 60 31 16 5 4 Nukitee, Wit, 124 101 30 5 8 Freat, III 4 4 1 2 2 Porting, Calif. 60 31 16 5 4 Nukitee, Wit, 124 101 30 5 8 Fortago, Calif. 60 30 13 1	mden, N.J.	32	22	8	1	1	-	1	Mobile, Ala.	68	43	16	5	2	2	7	
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{trate}, \ right relations (right relations) (right r$	zabeth, N.J.	28	17	10	1	-		2	Montgomery, Ala.	58	34	13	3	- 4	- 4	3	
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Hore PCH}, \text{H.c.} & 13 & 30 & 12 & 9 & 6 & 3 & 3 \\ \text{New with, N,L} & 57 & 29 & 14 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ \text{New with, N,L} & 57 & 29 & 14 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ \text{New with, N,L} & 57 & 29 & 14 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ \text{New with, N,L} & 57 & 29 & 14 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ \text{New with, N,L} & 57 & 29 & 14 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ \text{New with, N,L} & 57 & 20 & 121 & 53 & 16 & 3 & 9 & 12 \\ \text{Neaderly, Pa.} & 63 & 3 & 14 & 3 & 12 & 3 \\ \text{Neaderly, Pa.} & 63 & 3 & 44 & 3 & 12 & 2 \\ \text{Neaderly, Pa.} & 63 & 268 & 8 & 1 & 1 & - & 2 \\ \text{Neaderly, Pa.} & 38 & 268 & 8 & 1 & 1 & - & 2 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 101 & 69 & 17 & 7 & 26 & 3 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 15 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 13 & 5 & 1 & - & - & 2 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 13 & 5 & 1 & - & - & 2 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 13 & 5 & 1 & - & - & 2 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 13 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 13 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 13 & 18 & 5 & 7 & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 13 & 18 & 5 & 7 & - & - \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 13 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 13 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 13 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 21 & 14 & 5 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 21 & 14 & 5 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 21 & 21 & 11 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 21 & 21 & 11 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 21 & 21 & 11 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{Scheme claby, N,Y} & 21 & 21 & 21 & 1$	ne, ra. i	39	28		-	1	1	1	Nashville, Tenn.	121	65	37	11	3	5	•	
Numeric N.L. 13 14 16 15 14 16 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 16 14 15 16 14 15 16 1 15 16 1 3 Philadelphia, Pa. 7 20 12 15 16 3 1 2 3 11 12 8 5 3 Rading, Pa. 7 20 11 5 1 - - - Fort Worth, Tex. 31 12 8 5 3 Schenettar, N. Y. 10 6 12 2 4 1 Constant, Row, Rowth, Rowth, Tex. 170 88 40 13 9 3 12 5 1 2 4 1400th, Rowth, Rowt, Rowth, Rowth, Rowth, Rowt, Rowt, Rowth, Rowth, Row	V City N V	. 314	949	303	97		10	41									
Parson, N.J. 37 24 6 1 4 1 2 1 1 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 5 4 7 1 6 3 9 12 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 5 1 3 16 3 9 12 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 5 1 3 16 3 9 12 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 5 1 2 8 1 3 16 3 9 12 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 5 1 2 8 1 3 16 3 9 12 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 5 1 2 8 1 3 16 3 9 12 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 5 1 2 8 1 3 16 3 9 12 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 5 1 2 8 1 3 16 3 9 12 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 7 12 8 1 3 16 3 9 12 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 7 12 8 1 3 16 3 9 12 Must LeWI HAL, 10 34 20 7 12 8 1 3 16 3 1 2 - 7 - 7 Houton Tax. 152 97 27 12 11 S 5 1 Houton, Tex. 152 97 27 12 11 S 5 1 Houton, Tex. 170 88 40 13 9 Veraue. N.Y. 21 15 5 1 2 2 Houton, Tex. 170 88 40 13 9 Veraue. N.Y. 25 22 2 - 1 2 New Orleans, La. 142 79 34 6 11 Utica, N.Y. 25 22 2 - 1 2 New Orleans, La. 142 79 34 6 11 Utica, N.Y. 25 22 2 - 1 2 New Orleans, La. 142 79 34 6 11 Orleans, La. 142 79 34 6 11 S Tuts, Okla. 87 62 11 5	wark N.J.	\$7	29	14	Â	4	•	1	WO OFNERAL	1.056	618	244	70	40	76	32	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	erson, N.J.	37	24	6	4	ĩ	2	î	Austin Tax	34	21	2.77	- ĩ	1	1	12	
Pittsburgh, Pa. T6.36.31.43123Dark of the construction of	ladelphia, Pa.t	202	121	53	16	3	9	12	Baton Boure 1 a	30	15	ă	6	ĩ		1	
Reading, Pa. 38 28 8 1 1 - 2 Dallay, Tex. 152 97 27 12 11 Schenetzdy, N.Y. 21 15 5 1 -	tsburgh, Pa. †	63	43	14	3	1	2	3	Cornus Christi Tex	31	12	8	5	3	3	1	
Rochester, N.Y. 101 69 17 7 2 6 3 El Paio, Tex. 32 20 5 1 2 Schenettady, N.Y. 21 15 5 1 - - - Fort Warth, Tex. 80 47 16 7 4 Scranton, Pa.T 23 5 1 - - 2 Houston, Tex. 170 88 40 13 9 Viria, N.Y. 25 22 2 - 1 - 3 Shrewoport, La. 67 48 14 46 17 3 Yonkers, N.Y. 27 21 4 - - 2 Shrewoport, La. 67 48 14 46 7 3 Yonkers, N.Y. 27 21 4 - - 2 Shrewoport, La. 67 48 14 46 17 7 Catton, Ohio 31 58 160 69 92 53 40 Albustargue, N.Max. 63 35 15 7 6	ading, Pa	38	28	8	1	1	-	2	Dallas, Tex.	152	97	27	12	11	5	3	
Schemerickly, N.Y. 21 15 5 1 $ -$ PortWorkh, Tex. 80 47 16 7 4 Syracuse, N.Y. 76 53 12 5 2 4 $-$ 1 Uita, N.Y. 25 22 2 $-$ 1 $-$ 2 Yonker, N.Y. 27 21 4 $ -$ 2 New Orleans, La 142 79 34 6 11 Shreeport, L. 67 48 26 7 2 New Orleans, La 142 79 34 6 11 Shreeport, La 67 48 15 $ -$ 7 Uita, Okla. 87 62 11 5 $-$ 7 Uita, Okla. 87 62 11 5 $-$ 7 Uita, Okla. 87 62 11 5 $-$ 7 E.N. CENTRAL 2, 314 1, 387 585 160 89 92 53 Akron, Ohio 91 59 18 5 2 7 $-$ MOUNTAIN 612 339 149 53 40 Chicago, III. 555 309 154 51 21 20 12 Chicago, III. 135 82 28 8 7 9 12 Deriver, Colo. 121 64 33 11 5 Chicago, III. 135 82 28 8 7 9 12 Deriver, Colo. 121 64 33 11 5 Chicago, III. 135 82 28 8 7 9 12 Deriver, Colo. 121 64 33 11 5 Chicago, III. 130 82 28 8 7 9 12 Deriver, Colo. 121 64 33 11 5 Chicago, III. 64 33 12 3 61 26 9 14 2 2 Partin, Mich. 233 123 61 26 9 14 2 2 Fort Wayne, Ind. 64 41 14 3 5 1 $ -$ Grand Rapids, Mich 68 48 13 5 1 $ -$ Grand Rapids, Mich 68 48 13 5 1 $ -$ Franz, Calif. 15 9 4 2 $-$ Franz, Calif. 16 73 61 12 5 9 Wilwakee, Wis. 144 101 30 5 8 $ -$ Franz, Calif. 15 9 4 2 $-$ Franz, Calif. 62 36 14 3 5 To Teledo, Dhio 63 46 14 $ 2$ 1 $-$ 1 Hondukue, Hwaiii 66 37 16 5 4 Dakadon, Calif. 62 36 14 3 5 Det Milm, 12 9 2 1 $ -$ 1 San Franzic, Calif. 16 9 36 17 5 5 Dakadona, Calif. 61 46 12 2 Partend, Calif. 62 36 14 3 5 Det Molines, Iowa 45 36 6 1 1 1 $ -$ Kanas City, Kans. 29 19 6 3 $-$ 1 1 San Franzico, Calif. 148 91 39 4 6 Dakadon, Calif. 29 105 33 13 6 Det Molines, Iowa 45 36 6 1 1 1 $ -$ San Franzico, Calif. 146 91 39 4 6 San Franzico, Calif. 146 91 39 4 6 San Jac, Calif. 146 91 39 4 6 San Jac, Calif. 146 91 39 13 1 San Jac, Calif. 146 91 39 4 6 San	chester, N.Y.	101	69	17	7	2	6	3	El Paso, Tex.	32	20	5	1	2	- 4	2	
Contract, Par. 170 88 40 13 9 Variance, PA 7 Contract, PA 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Total track 1 <th col<="" td=""><td>ienectady, N.Y.</td><td>21</td><td>15</td><td>5</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>Fort Worth, Tex.</td><td>80</td><td>47</td><td>16</td><td>7</td><td>- 4</td><td>6</td><td>6</td></th>	<td>ienectady, N.Y.</td> <td>21</td> <td>15</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>Fort Worth, Tex.</td> <td>80</td> <td>47</td> <td>16</td> <td>7</td> <td>- 4</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td>	ienectady, N.Y.	21	15	5	1		-	-	Fort Worth, Tex.	80	47	16	7	- 4	6	6
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Jraton, N.J.} & 29 & 23 & 51 & - & - & 3 \\ \text{We or lease, La. 142 79 34 6 11 \\ \text{Uica, N.Y. 25 22 2 - 1 - 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.Y. 27 21 4 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.Y. 28 21 4 - 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.Y. 22 - 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.Y. 22 - 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.Y. 23 14 5 1 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.Y. 23 14 5 1 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.K. 23 142 5 61 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.K. 23 142 5 61 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.K. 23 142 5 61 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.K. 23 123 61 26 9 14 2 } \\ \text{Jonkers, N.K. 21 6 3 2 - 1 1 } \\ \text{Jonkalow, Wis. 32 21 6 3 2 - 1 1 } \\ \text{Jonkalow, Wis. 32 21 6 3 2 - 2 1 - 1 } \\ \text{Jonkalow, Wis. 32 21 6 3 2 - 2 1 - 1 } \\ \text{Jonkalow, Wis. 144 101 30 5 8 1 } \\ Jonkalow, Wis. 144 101 30 5 8 1 \\ \text{Jonkalow, Nis. 144 101 30 5 8 1 \\ \text{Jonkalow, Nis. 144 101 30 5 8 1 \\ \text{Jonkalow, Wis. 144 101 30 5 8$	anton, Pa. 1	23	16		2	-	-	z	Houston, Tex.	170	88	40	13	9	20	3	
Number of the second stress of the secon	nacuse, N. T.	76	53	12	2	z	•	-	Little Rock, Ark.	87	48	26	1 7	2	. 4	3	
Vonker, N.Y.272142San Antono, lax.144014613Yonker, N.Y.272142Shreeport, La.674815E.N. CENTRAL2, 3141, 3875851606992535362115Canton, Ohio915918527-Albuquerque, N.Mex.63351576Chicago, III.55530915451212012Colo. Spring, Colo.1216433111Clevelad, Ohio13085353343Opden, Utah2314511Datroit, Mich.233123123629142Potenix, Ariz.1367233136Datroit, Mich.23312312369-2214511Datroit, Mich.2331231269142Potenix, Ariz.1367233136Carton, Ohio8759185112Potenix, Ariz.1367233136Datroit, Mich.2331231269142Potenix, Ariz.136121269Gary, Ind.10621 <td>ca N.Y.</td> <td>29</td> <td>23</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>New Orleans, La.</td> <td>142</td> <td></td> <td>34</td> <td>- 9</td> <td>щ</td> <td>12</td> <td>-</td>	ca N.Y.	29	23	2		5	-	2	New Orleans, La.	142		34	- 9	щ	12	-	
E.N. CENTRAL 2.3 1.3 2.4 0.4 0.4 0.1	nkers. N.Y.	27	21	4	-	-	2	1	San Antonio, Tax.	47	44	10		2	1	- i -	
E.N. CENTRAL 2, 314 1, 387 585 160 89 92 53 Akron, Ohio 91 59 18 5 2 7 - Chicago, III, 555 309 154 51 21 20 12 Chicago, III, 656 17 7 20 1 Calumbus, Ohio 226 117 65 17 7 20 1 Calumbus, Ohio 226 117 65 17 7 20 1 Calumbus, Ohio 87 59 18 5 4 1 2 Phoenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Detroit, Mich. 233 123 61 26 9 14 2 Phoenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Cary, Ind. 10 6 2 1 - 1 - Grand Rapids, Mich 68 48 13 5 1 1 2 Phoris, II. 46 22 20 2 1 3 2 Chicago, Ohio 87 59 11 5 1 1 2 Phoenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Cary, Ind. 10 6 2 1 - 1 - Grand Rapids, Mich 68 48 13 5 1 1 2 Phoris, II. 46 22 20 2 1 3 2 Chicago, Ohio 87 59 11 1 1 1 2 Phoris, II. 46 22 20 2 1 3 2 Chicago, Ohio 87 59 11 1 1 1 2 Phoris, II. 46 22 20 2 1 3 2 Chicago, Ohio 87 59 11 1 1 1 2 Phoris, II. 46 22 20 2 1 3 2 Chicago, Ohio 83 5 1 - 2 Frash, Calif. 15 9 4 2 - Frash, Calif. 15 9 4 2 - Frash, Calif. 16 1 3 5 - Frash, Calif. 16 5 4 South Bend, Ind. 49 35 11 1 1 1 2 Phoris, II. 46 22 7 2 1 - 1 Charde, Calif. 18 13 5 Honoluu, Hawaii 66 37 16 5 4 South Bend, Ind. 49 35 11 1 1 1 2 Phoenix, Calif. 463 273 116 41 21 Oakhang, Calif. 463 273 116 41 21 Oakhang, Calif. 463 273 116 41 22 Phatadena, Calif. 463 13 5 Cargenent, Calif. 463 273 116 41 22 Phatadena, Calif. 463 13 5 Calif, 148 91 39 9 Cargenent, Calif. 148 91 39 9 Calif, 148 91 39 9 Cargenent, Calif. 148 91 39 9 Calif, 148 91 39 9 Califor, 148 92 35 10 5 San Jea, Calif. 148 91 39 9 Califor, 159 105 33 13 6 San Jea, Calif. 159 105 33 13 6 Sante, Wah. 134 8 Califor, 159 105 33 13 6 Ca								-	Tulsa, Okla.	87	62	ii	5	_	3	5	
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Acron, Dhio} & 91 & 59 & 18 & 5 & 2 & 7 & - \\ \text{Canton, Ohio} & 37 & 21 & 9 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \text{Canton, Ohio} & 37 & 21 & 9 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \text{Canton, Ohio} & 37 & 21 & 9 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \text{Chicago, III}, & 55 & 309 & 154 & 51 & 21 & 20 & 12 \\ \text{Chicago, III}, & 55 & 309 & 154 & 51 & 21 & 20 & 12 \\ \text{Chewland, Ohio} & 130 & 85 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 130 & 85 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 130 & 85 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 130 & 85 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 130 & 85 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 130 & 85 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 130 & 85 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 130 & 85 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 233 & 123 & 61 & 26 & 9 & 14 & 2 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 233 & 123 & 61 & 26 & 9 & 14 & 2 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 47 & 26 & 8 & 2 & 6 \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 41 & 14 & 3 & 5 & 1 & - \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 41 & 14 & 3 & 5 & 1 & - \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 64 & 41 & 14 & 3 & 5 & 1 & - \\ \text{Caveland, Ohio} & 64 & 41 & 14 & 3 & 5 & 1 & - \\ \text{Gary, Ind.} & 10 & 6 & 2 & 1 & - & 1 & - \\ \text{Gara, Rapids, Mich} & 68 & 48 & 13 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Fort Wayne, Wis.} & 144 & 101 & 30 & 5 & 8 & - & - \\ \text{Freson, Calif.} & 656 & 1 & 022 & 387 & 125 & 69 \\ \text{Madison, Wis.} & 32 & 21 & 6 & 3 & 2 & - & 3 \\ \text{Rockford, III.} & 48 & 22 & 20 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \text{Rockford, III.} & 48 & 22 & 20 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \text{Rockford, III.} & 48 & 22 & 20 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \text{Rockford, III.} & 48 & 22 & 20 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \text{Rockford, III.} & 46 & 30 & 415 & 138 & 36 & 17 & 24 & 18 \\ \text{Sart Diage, Calif.} & 66 & 34 & 13 & 4 & 1 \\ \text{Toleson, Ohio} & 63 & 46 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ \text{Sart Diage, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 13 & 4 & 5 \\ \text{Rackene, Calif.} & 69 & 36 & 17 & 5 \\ \text{Rockford, III.} & 12 & 8 & 66 & 27 & 7 & 2 & - & - \\ \text{Rock Inder, III.} & 12 & 8 & 66 & 1 & 1 & 1 & - \\ \text{Rock Inder, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 13 & 4 & 5 \\ \text{Rockene, Calif.} & 138 & 13 & 4 & 5 \\ \text{Rockene, Calif.} & 148 & 91 & 39 & 9 & 6 \\ \text{Duiturh, Minn} & 12 & 9 & 2 & 1 &$	CENTRAL	. 114 1	. 387	585	160	89	92	53									
Auton, Ohio 37 21 6 3 1 3 2 Albuguerque, N. Mex. 63 35 15 7 6 Chicago, III. 555 309 154 51 21 20 12 Colo. Spring, Colo. 25 12 11 1 1 Chicago, III. 135 82 28 8 7 9 12 Deriver, Colo. 121 64 33 15 7 6 Clevalad, Ohio 130 85 35 3 4 0 Ogden, Utah 23 14 5 1 1 Davtori, Mich. 233 123 6 9 14 2 Phoenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Datroit, Mich. 233 14 3 5 1 - - 7 6 1 1 Phoenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 1 Phoenix, Ariz. 91 58 14 8 7 6 1 1 125 69 14	ma Ohio	91	59	18	5	2	7	-	MOUNTAIN	612	339	149	53	40	81	28	
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Chicago, III.} & 555 & 309 & 154 & 51 & 21 & 20 & 12 \\ \text{Cincinnati, Ohio} & 135 & 62 & 28 & 87 & 9 & 12 \\ \text{Cincinnati, Ohio} & 226 & 117 & 65 & 17 & 7 & 20 & 1 \\ \text{Columbus, Ohio} & 226 & 117 & 65 & 17 & 7 & 20 & 1 \\ \text{Columbus, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Columbus, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Columbus, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Columbus, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Columbus, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ \text{Columbus, Ohio} & 130 & 61 & 59 & 18 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Phenville, Ind. 42 & 30 & 9 & - & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Conversite, Ind. 42 & 30 & 9 & - & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Conversite, Ind. 42 & 30 & 9 & - & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Conversite, Ind. 42 & 30 & 9 & - & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Conversite, Ind. 46 & 41 & 14 & 3 & 5 & 1 & - & - & - \\ \text{Grand Rapids, Mich} & 68 & 48 & 13 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ Conde Applie, Ind. 46 & 41 & 14 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Contexpersite, Ind. 46 & 41 & 14 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Contexpersite, Ind. 46 & 46 & 13 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Contexpersite, Ind. 46 & 10 & 30 & 5 & 8 & - & - & - \\ \text{Freand, Ind. 167 & 96 & 42 & 18 & 7 & 4 & 1 \\ \text{Midison, Wis. 32 & 21 & 6 & 3 & 2 & - & 3 \\ \text{Reckriferd, III. 36 & 26 & 7 & 2 & 1 & - & 1 \\ \text{Rockroft, III. 36 & 26 & 7 & 2 & 1 & - & 1 \\ \text{Condersite, Ind. 46 & 32 & - & - \\ \text{Freand, Calif. 16 & 13 & 3 & - & - \\ \text{Freand, Calif. 16 & 13 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Condersite, Calif. 16 & 13 & 1 & 5 & - & - \\ \text{Horolutu, Hawaii 66 & 37 & 16 & 5 & 4 \\ \text{South Bend, Ind. 49 & 35 & 11 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Condersite, Calif. 66 & 44 & 13 & 4 & 1 \\ \text{Condersite, Calif. 66 & 44 & 13 & 4 & 1 \\ \text{Condersite, Calif. 66 & 44 & 13 & 4 & 1 \\ \text{Condersite, Calif. 66 & 37 & 16 & 5 & 4 \\ \text{South Bend, Ind. 49 & 35 & 11 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Condersite, Calif. 66 & 37 & 16 & 5 & 4 \\ \text{South Bend, Ind. 46 & 9 & 36 & 17 & 5 \\ \text{Freaso, Calif. 66 & 37 & 16 & 5 & 4 \\ \text{South Bend, Ind. 46 & 9 & 39 & 9 & 6 \\ \text{Outluth, Minn. 12 & 9 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 5 \\ \text{Freaso, Calif. 148 & 91 & 39 & 9 & 6 \\ \text{Outluth, Minn. 12 & 9 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 5 \\ \text{Kansas City, K$	ton. Ohio	37	21	9	3	1	3	2	Albuquerque N. Mex	63	35	15	1	6	11	3	
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Cincmasti, Ohio} & 135 & 62 & 28 & 8 & 7 & 9 & 12 \\ {\rm Claveland, Ohio} & 126 & 117 & 65 & 17 & 7 & 20 & 1 \\ {\rm Claveland, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ {\rm Claveland, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ {\rm Dayton, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ {\rm Dayton, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ {\rm Dayton, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ {\rm Dayton, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ {\rm Dayton, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ {\rm Dayton, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 18 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ {\rm Dayton, Ohio} & 130 & 65 & 35 & 18 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ {\rm Dayton, Ohio} & 130 & 45 & 31 & 12 & 12 \\ {\rm Dayton, Ohio} & 130 & 45 & 31 & 12 & 2 \\ {\rm Dayton, Ohio} & 10 & 6 & 21 & - & 1 & - & - \\ {\rm Gary, Ind.} & 10 & 6 & 22 & 1 & - & 1 & - & - \\ {\rm Grad, Rapids, Mich} & 68 & 48 & 13 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ {\rm Fortiwayne, Wis, 144 & 101 & 30 & 5 & 8 & - & - \\ {\rm Freson, Calif.} & 62 & 36 & 14 & 3 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacris, III.} & 48 & 22 & 20 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ {\rm Rockford, III.} & 36 & 26 & 7 & 2 & 1 & - & 1 \\ {\rm Rockford, III.} & 36 & 26 & 7 & 2 & 1 & - & 1 \\ {\rm Toledo, Ohio} & 97 & 60 & 29 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 5 \\ {\rm South Bend, Ind.} & 49 & 35 & 11 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ {\rm PortIand, Oreg.} & {\rm Lift.} & 66 & 37 & 16 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 17 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 17 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 17 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 17 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 17 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 17 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 13 & 4 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 13 & 4 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 17 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 13 & 4 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 13 & 4 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 13 & 4 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 66 & 36 & 13 & 4 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 148 & 91 & 39 & 9 & 6 \\ {\rm Duluth, Minn} & 12 & 9 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 5 \\ {\rm Pacrisen, Calif.} & 148 & 91 & 39 & 9 & 6 \\ {\rm Duluth, Minn} & 12 & 9 & 2 & 5 & 1 & - & 1 \\ {\rm Kansas City, Mon. 128 & 68 & 29 & 13 & 1 \\ {\rm $	cago, III.	555	309	154	51	21	20	12	Colo. Springs, Colo.	25	12	11	1	1	_	3	
Clevelard, Ohio 226 117 65 17 7 20 1 Las Vegas, Nev. 78 41 24 6 7 Columbus, Ohio 30 85 35 3 3 4 3 Ogden, Utah 23 14 5 1 1 Dayton, Ohio 87 59 18 5 4 1 2 Phoenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Detroit, Mich. 233 123 61 26 9 14 2 Phoenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Publo, Colo. 28 17 6 4 1 Evanwille, Ind. 42 30 9 $- 2$ 1 2 Salt Lake City, Utah 47 26 8 2 6 Fort Wayne, Ind. 64 41 14 3 5 1 $$ Grand Rapids, Mich 68 48 13 5 1 1 2 Phoenix, Ariz. 91 58 14 8 7 Gary, Ind. 10 6 2 1 $ 1$ Grand Rapids, Mich 68 48 13 5 1 1 2 Photon, Wis. 32 21 6 3 2 $- 3$ Milwaukee, Wis. 144 101 30 5 8 $$ Pacific 1. 5 9 4 2 $$ Franco, Calif. 15 9 4 2 $$ Pacific 1. 16 56 1. 6022 367 125 69 Pacific 1. 15 9 4 2 $$ Pacific 1. 16 5 $$ Honolutu, Hawsii 66 37 16 5 $$ Portan, II. 46 22 20 2 1 3 2 1 $- 1 - 1 -$ Honolutu, Hawsii 66 37 16 5 $$ Honolutu, Hawsii 66 37 16 5 $ $	cinnati, Ohio	135	82	28	8	7	9	12	Denver, Colo.	121	64	33	11	5	8	3	
Columbus, Ohio 130 85 33 3 3 4 3 Deptron, Ohio 87 59 18 5 4 1 2 Phoenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Detroit, Mich. 233 123 61 26 9 14 2 Promoville, Ind. 42 30 9 - 2 1 2 Sart Lake City, Utah 47 26 8 2 6 Sart Lake City, Utah 47 26 8 2 6 Sart Lake City, Utah 47 26 8 2 6 Sart Lake City, Utah 47 26 8 2 6 Turson, Ariz. 91 58 14 8 7 Turson, Ariz. 91 58 14 8 7 Turson, Ariz. 91 58 14 8 7 Milwaukee, Wis. 144 101 30 5 8 - 1 Franci, Calif. 15 9 4 2 - Franc, Calif. 15 9 4 2 - Franc, Calif. 16 13 5 - Toledo, Ohio 97 60 29 2 5 1 5 South Bend, Ind. 49 35 11 1 1 1 2 Toledo, Ohio 97 60 29 2 5 1 5 South Bend, Ind. 49 35 11 1 1 1 2 Toledo, Ohio 97 60 29 2 5 1 5 South Bend, Ind. 49 35 11 1 1 1 2 Toledo, Ohio 97 60 29 2 5 1 5 Day Sart Lake Citif. 16 9 36 17 5 Sart Jack Calif. 16 9 36 17 5 Sart Jack Calif. 16 9 36 17 5 Sart Jack Calif. 148 91 39 9 Milwauke, Calif. 148 91 39 9 Sart Jack Calif. 159 105 33 13 6 Sart Jack Ab 29 13 1 Sart Jac	veland, Ohio	226	117	65	17	7	20	1	Las Vegas, Nev.	78	41	24	6	7	-	6	
Dayton, Ohio 87 33 12 61 26 9 14 2 Phoenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Detroit, Mich. 233 123 61 26 9 14 2 Phoenix, Ariz. 136 72 33 13 6 Evansuille, Ind. 42 30 9 - 2 1 2 Salt Like City, Utah 47 26 8 2 6 Gary, Ind. 10 6 2 1 -	lumbus, Ohio	130	85	35	3	3		3	Ogden, Utah	23	14	5	1	1	2		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	yton, Ohio	333	1 2 2	41	24			4	Phoenix, Ariz.	136	72	33	13	6	12	1	
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Varbuille, inc.} & \text{v}_{2} & \text{J}_{3} & \text{J}_{4} & \text{J}_{4} & \text{J}_{3} & \text{J}_{4} & \text{L}_{4} & \text{L}$	troit, Mich.	47	30	01	20	2	-17	2	Pueblo, Colo.	28	- 11	•		1	- 7	- 7	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	missing, ind.	64	41	14		5	î	÷.	Salt Lake City, Utah		50	14	4		2		
Grand Rapids, Mich 68 48 13 5 1 2 Indianapolis, Ind. 167 96 42 18 7 4 1 Madison, Wis. 32 21 6 3 2 -3 Barkeley, Calif. 15 9 4 2 Milwaukee, Wis. 144 101 30 5 8 - - Frason, Calif. 62 36 14 3 5 Pacifa, III. 48 22 20 2 1 3 2 Glendale, Calif. 63 14 3 5 - - Honolulu, Hawaii 66 37 16 5 4 5 South Bend, Ind. 49 35 11 1 1 2 Long Baech, Calif. 66 37 16 5 4 5 Youngstown, Ohio 63 46 14 - 1 Lors Angales, Calif. 60 36 17 5 5 5 San Francisco, Calif. 60 38 13 5 5 5	ny ind	10	6	2	ĩ	1	i	- 1	Tucson, Ariz.	71	20	14	•	•	-		
Indianapolis, Ind. 167 96 42 18 7 4 1 PACIFIC 1,656 1,022 387 125 69 Madison, Wis. 32 21 6 3 2 - 3 Barkeley, Calif. 15 9 4 2 - - Madison, Wis. 144 101 30 5 8 - - Frasno, Calif. 62 36 14 35 Peoria, III. 48 22 20 2 1 3 2 Glendale, Calif. 18 13 5 - - - Rockford, III. 16 35 11 1 1 2 Clendale, Calif. 18 13 5 - - - Rockford, III. 66 37 16 5 4 Long Bach, Calif. 66 37 16 5 4 10 21 7 24 14 10 12 7 25 13 5 7 8 4 12 7 25 35 5 Sacramento, Calif.	and Rapids, Mich	68	48	13	5	1	1	2									
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lianapolis, Ind.	167	96	42	18	7	- 4	1	PACIFIC	1.656	1,022	387	125	69	53	60	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	dison, Wis.	32	21	6	3	2	-	Э	Berkeley, Calif.	15	9	- 4	2	-	21 -	-	
Peoria, III. 46 22 20 2 1 3 2 Glendale, Calif. 18 13 5 Rockford, III. 36 26 7 2 1 - 1 South Bend, Ind. 49 35 11 1 1 1 2 Long Bach, Calif. 66 37 16 5 4 Long Bach, Calif. 66 37 16 1 3 4 1 Toledo, Ohio 97 60 29 2 5 1 5 Los Angeles, Calif. 69 36 17 5 5 Pasdena, Calif. 69 36 17 5 5 Partland, Creg. 121 72 25 1 3 5 Des Moines, Iowa 45 36 6 1 1 1 - 1 Kansa City, Kans. 29 19 6 3 - 1 1 San Francisco, Calif. 148 91 39 9 Kansas City, Kans. 29 19 6 3 - 1 1 San Jose, Calif. 159 105 33 13 6 Kansas City, Mo. 128 66 29 7 2 4 - Seattle, Wash. 134 88 29 13 1 Lincoln, Nebr. 17 13 4 1 Spokae, Calif. 49 50 16 1 2 Vincencoli Wine 82 56 12 5 1 8 4	waukee, Wis.	144	101	30	5	8	-		Fresno, Calif.	62	36	14	3	5	- 4	1	
Rockford, III. 36 26 7 2 1 - 1 Honolulu, Hawsii 66 37 16 5 4 South Bend, Ind. 49 35 11 1 1 2 Long Beach, Calif. 66 44 13 4 Toledo, Ohio 97 60 29 2 5 1 5 Los Angels, Calif. 463 273 116 41 21 Youngstown, Ohio 63 46 14 - 2 1 1 Oakland, Calif. 66 9 36 17 5 Pasadena, Calif. 21 14 6 1 2 Portland, Oreg. 121 72 25 13 5 Dest Moines, Iowa 63 4 1 1 - San Diego, Calif. 148 91 39 9 6 Duluth, Minn. 12 9 2 1 - - 1 San Francisco, Calif. 148 91 39 9 6 Duluth, Minn. 12 9 <td< td=""><td>oria, III.</td><td>48</td><td>22</td><td>20</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>3</td><td>2</td><td>Glendale, Calif.</td><td>18</td><td>13</td><td>5</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	oria, III.	48	22	20	2	1	3	2	Glendale, Calif.	18	13	5	-	-	-	-	
South Bend, Ind. 49 33 11 1 1 1 2 Long Basch, Calif. 66 44 13 4 1 Toledo, Ohio 97 60 29 2 5 1 Long Angeles, Calif. 66 273 11.6 4 21 Youngstown, Ohio 63 46 14 - 2 1 1 Oakland, Calif. 69 36 17 5 W.N. CENTRAL 630 415 138 36 17 24 18 Sacramento, Calif. 60 38 13 4 5 Dest Moines, Iowa 45 36 6 1 1 - Sacramento, Calif. 60 38 13 4 5 Dest Moines, Iowa 45 36 6 1 1 - Sacramento, Calif. 148 91 39 9 6 Duluth, Minn. 12 9 2 1 - - San Francisco, Calif. 149 92 35 10 5 Kansas City, Mo.	ckford, III.	36	26		2	1		1	Honolulu, Hawaii	66	37	16	5	- 4	4	- 1	
Obligico, Unid 91 61 23 2 3 1 9 1 10stand, Calif. 403 2 1 1 1 63 16 1 2 1 1 1 63 2 3 1 1 1 1 63 2 3 1 6 1 1 7 5 7 Pasdema, Calif. 2 1 1 6 3 6 1 7 5 7 Pasdema, Calif. 2 1 1 4 4 1 2 2 2 1 5 7 Pasdema, Calif. 2 1 1 4 6 1 2 7 2 5 1 3 5 Sacramento, Calif. 60 38 13 4 5 Sacramento, Calif. 149 92 35 1 5 Sacramento, Ca	ith Bend, Ind.	99	33	11		1			Long Beach, Calif.	66	44	13		1	*		
Numperior Carrier Carrier <thcarrier< th=""> <thcarrier< th=""></thcarrier<></thcarrier<>	upertown Ohio	41	46	14	4	2	-	11	Los Angeles, Calif.	40	213	110	1	- 4	12	10	
W.N. CENTRAL 630 415 138 36 17 24 18 Sacramento, Calif. 143 13 4 5 Des Moines, Iowa 65 36 6 1 1 - Sacramento, Calif. 60 38 13 4 5 Des Moines, Iowa 65 36 6 1 1 - Sacramento, Calif. 149 92 35 10 5 Duluth, Minn. 12 9 2 1 - - 1 San Francisco, Calif. 149 92 35 10 5 Kansas City, Kans. 29 1 - - 1 San Francisco, Calif. 149 92 35 10 5 Kansas City, Mo. 128 86 29 7 2 - Sacramento, Calif. 149 92 35 10 5 Kansas City, Mo. 128 86 29 7 2 - Sacramento, Calif. 159 105 33 13 6 Kansas City, Mo. 128 86<	angstown, onto	01	44				•		Uaxiand, Calif.	21	14	12	1			- <u>-</u>	
W.N. CENTRAL 630 415 138 36 17 24 18 Sacramento, Calif. 60 38 13 4 5 Des Moines, Iowa 45 36 6 1 1 - Sacramento, Calif. 60 38 13 4 5 Des Moines, Iowa 45 36 6 1 1 - Sacramento, Calif. 148 91 39 9 6 Juluth, Minn. 12 9 2 1 - 1 San Francisco, Calif. 149 92 35 10 5 Kansas City, Kans. 29 19 6 3 - 1 San Jose, Calif. 159 105 33 13 6 Kansas City, Mo. 128 86 29 7 2 - Seattle, Wash. 134 88 29 13 1 Lincoln, Nebr. 17 13 4 - - - Spokane, Wash. <									Portland Orea	121	12	25	- 13	- 5	6	2	
Des Moines, Iowa 45 36 6 1 1 - San Diago, Calif. 148 91 39 6 Duluth, Minn. 12 9 2 1 - - 1 San Diago, Calif. 148 91 39 6 Duluth, Minn. 12 9 2 1 - - 1 San Diago, Calif. 149 92 35 10 5 Kanass City, Kans. 29 19 6 3 - 1 1 San Jose, Calif. 159 105 33 13 6 Kansas City, Mo. 128 86 29 7 2 4 Seattle, Wash. 134 88 29 13 1 Lincoln, Nebr. 17 13 4 - - - 1 Spokane, Wash. 69 50 16 1 2	N. CENTRAL	630	415	138	36	17	24	18	Sacramento Calif	60	38	13	- 4	5	-	2	
Duluth, Minn. 12 9 2 1 - - 1 San Francisco, Calif. 149 92 35 10 5 Kansas City, Kans. 29 19 6 3 - 1 San Jose, Calif. 149 92 35 10 5 Kansas City, Kans. 219 6 3 - 1 San Jose, Calif. 159 105 33 13 6 Kansas City, Kans. 128 86 29 7 2 4 - Seattle, Wash. 134 88 29 13 1 Lincoln, Nebr. 17 13 4 - - - 1 Spokane, Wash. 69 50 16 1 2 Vincanzoli Ving 82 5 1 8 4 - - - 1 Spokane, Wash. 69 50 16 1 2	s Moines, Iowa	45	36	6	1	1	1	- 1	San Diego, Calif.	148	91	39	9	6	3	- 4	
Kanasa City, Kans. 29 19 6 3 - 1 1 San Jose, Cair. 159 105 33 13 6 Kanasa City, Mo. 128 66 29 7 2 4 - Sanate, Wash. 134 88 29 13 1 Lincoln, Nabr. 17 13 4 1 Sante, Wash. 69 50 16 1 2 Micranovolit Mina 82 56 12 5 1 8 4 Spokane, Wash. 69 50 16 1 2	luth, Minn.	12	9	2	1	-	-	1	San Francisco, Calif.	149	92	35	10	5	7	- 4	
Kansas City, Mo. 128 66 29 7 2 4 - Seattle, Wash. 134 88 29 13 1 Lincoln, Nebr. 17 13 4 1 Spokane, Wash. 69 50 16 1 2 Minana Ruli Mina 82 56 12 5 1 8 4 5 5 5 7 5 16 1 2	nsas City, Kans.	29	19	6	3	-	1	1	San Jose, Calif.	159	105	33	13	6	2	13	
Lincoln, Nebr. 17 13 6 1 Spokane, Wash. 69 50 16 1 2 Minearaoli Mine 82 56 12 5 1 8 4 Spokane, Wash. 69 50 16 1 2	nsas City, Mo.	128	86	29	7	2	- 4		Seattle, Wash.	134	88	29	13	1	3	3	
	coin, Nebr.	17	13		2	-	-		Spokane, Wash.	69	50	16	1	2	-	2	
Cometer Note: 40 40 13 4 1 2 1 1 acoma, Wash. 30 29 8 1 1	neapolis, Minn.	62	00	12	2	1	а 2	11	Tacoma, Wash.	36	24	8	1	1	2	2	
Umana, web. 04 70 13 7 11 6 1	ana, Nepr.	153	82	42	12	10	4										
	Paul Minn	44	31	10	1	1	í	uni l	τοτοι	11. 184**	6. 834	2.652	819	423	452	398	
Wichita Kans. 60 43 14 2 1 UTAL AATTO 0,000 21032 019 423	chita Kans.	60	43	14	2	î	-	-	IGIAL		39 3 3 8	-1036		76.3	-13		

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included. **Pneumonia and influenza

TBecause of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

††Total includes unknown ages.

§Data not available this week. Figures are estimates based on average percent of regional totals,

Special Considerations

Persons recovering from tetanus or diphtheria: Tetanus or diphtheria infection often does not confer immunity; therefore active immunization should be initiated or completed during convalescence.

Children recovering from pertussis: Children who have recovered from bacteriologically confirmed pertussis need not receive more pertussis vaccine. However, without reliable laboratory confirmation, DTP immunization should be completed because presumptive pertussis may have been caused by agents like other *Bordetella* species or some viruses.

Neonatal tetanus prevention: An unimmunized pregnant woman whose delivery may occur under circumstances and in surroundings where the infant could become infected should be immunized against tetanus with Td. The risk of neonatal tetanus is minimal if a previously unimmunized mother has received at least 2 properly spaced doses of toxoid before delivery. Inadequately immunized pregnant women or those immunized more than 10 years previously should have a booster dose.

Pertussis immunization for persons 7 years old and older: Routine immunization against pertussis is not recommended for those 7 years old and older. In exceptional cases, such as persons with chronic pulmonary disease exposed to children with pertussis, or health-care personnel exposed during nosocomial or community outbreaks, a booster dose of adsorbed pertussis vaccine may be useful. A dose of 0.20-0.25 ml is most often used for adults. There is insufficient evidence to warrant routine pertussis vaccination of all hospital personnel.

SIDE EFFECTS AND ADVERSE REACTIONS

Local reactions, generally erythema and induration with or without tenderness, are common after the administration of vaccines containing diphtheria, tetanus, or pertussis antigens. These reactions are most common following DTP (40%-70% of doses) and are usually self-limited and require no therapy. A nodule may be palpable at the injection site of adsorbed products for several weeks. Abscess at the site of injection has been reported (6-10 per million doses^{*}). Mild-to-moderate fever (38.0-40.4 C) occurs frequently in infants following DTP (about 50% of doses administered), generally within several hours of administration. The fever may persist for 1 to 2 days and is often accompanied by mild somnolence, vomiting, irritability, or malaise. Fever and other systemic symptoms are much less common following administration of preparations not containing pertussis vaccine.

Arthus-type hypersensitivity reactions, characterized by severe local reactions (generally starting 2 to 8 hours after an injection), may occur, particularly in persons who have received multiple prior boosters.

Rarely, severe systemic reactions such as generalized urticaria, anaphylaxis, or neurologic complications have been reported after receiving diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis antigens. A few cases of peripheral neuropathy have been reported following tetanus toxoid administration, although a causal relationship has not been established.

Severe and occasionally fatal adverse events have been reported following administration of pertussis antigen-containing vaccines. It has not been possible to establish pertussis vaccine as the cause of these conditions as it is not known whether the rate of illness

^{*}In 1978, 1 lot of DTP released in the United States was found to be associated with sterile abcesses in 1 per 1,000 vaccinees and was subsequently withdrawn from use.

following receipt of pertussis vaccine exceeds the expected incidence rates of conditions such as seizures and encephalopathy in children in the age groups usually receiving DTP.

In 1 recently reported case-control study from England, children with serious neurologic disorders were more likely to have received DTP in the 7 days preceding onset than were their age-, sex-, and neighborhood-matched controls. However, pertussis vaccine could account for only a small proportion of cases of serious neurologic disorders in the population studied.

The exact frequency of severe events following pertussis vaccination is unknown; reported ranges for some are shown in the following list.* Should any of these events occur, further vaccination with pertussis antigen is contraindicated.

- 1. Collapse or shock-like state (60-300 per million doses).
- Persistent screaming episodes-prolonged periods of peculiar crying or screaming which cannot be controlled by comforting the infant (70-2,000 per million).
- 3. High temperature—≥40.5 C. (≥104.9 F)
- 4. Isolated convulsion(s) with or without fever (40-700 per million).
- Encephalopathy, with or without convulsions, manifested by a bulging fontanel, changes in the level of consciousness, or focal neurologic signs; the encephalopathy may lead to permanent neurologic deficit (1.3-30 per million).

Sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) has been reported rarely following administration of DTP. A causal relationship between DTP immunization and SIDS has not been established. It should be recognized that the first 3 primary immunizing doses of DTP are usually administered to infants 2 to 6 months old and that approximately 85% of SIDS cases occur at ages 1 through 6 months, with the peak incidence being at 2 to 4 months. In countries where immunizations with pertussis antigen-containing vaccines are started at 6 months of age, the age distribution of SIDS is the same as that reported in the United States.

Comments on Adverse Reactions

When there is a marked reaction following DTP administration which is not in itself a contraindication to further pertussis vaccination, some health-care providers divide the remaining inoculations into multiple, small doses. There has not been adequate study of the efficacy of such schedules by clinical or serologic means or of the effects on the subsequent frequency and severity of adverse reactions.

Reporting of adverse reactions temporally related to antigen administration by parents and patients should be encouraged. Reports of severe or unusual reactions should be forwarded by health-care providers to local and/or state health departments.

PRECAUTIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

When an infant or child returns for the next dose in a series of DTP injections, the parent should be questioned about severe side effects or adverse reactions after the previous dose. If any of the following occurred, additional doses of pertussis antigen are contraindicated, and immunization should be completed with DT: collapse or shock, persistent screaming episodes, temperature ≥40.5 C, convulsion(s) with or without accompanying fever, severe alterations of consciousness, generalized and/or focal neurologic

^{*}Reported risks of events following vaccination with DTP vary greatly, perhaps due to differences in 1) the baseline rate of an illness due to all other causes, 2) the criteria used to define adverse events, 3) the methods of collecting adverse event reports, 4) the denominators and/or the clarity of their descriptions (e.g., doses distributed, doses administered, or the number of children vaccinated); and 5) the many preparations used and populations studied in various countries.

ACIP Recommendation for DTP – Continued

signs, systemic allergic reactions, thrombocytopenia, or hemolytic anemia. Lesser reactions than these do not, in themselves, preclude the further use of DTP.

The presence of an evolving neurologic disorder contraindicates use of pertussis vaccine. A static neurologic condition like cerebral palsy or a family history of neurologic disease is not a contraindication to giving vaccines containing pertussis antigen.

The only contraindication to tetanus and diphtheria toxoids is a history of neurologic or severe hypersensitivity reaction following a previous dose. Local side effects alone do not preclude continued use. If a systemic reaction is suspected to represent allergic hypersensitivity, appropriate skin testing may be useful before discontinuing tetanus toxoid immunization altogether; this would be helpful in documenting immediate hypersensitivity although mild, nonspecific skin-test reactivity to tetanus toxoid appears to be fairly common. Most vaccinees develop cutaneous delayed hypersensitivity to the toxoid.

Major local reactions generally beginning 2-8 hours after injection have been reported in some adults, particularly those who have received frequent (e.g., annual) doses of tetanus toxoid. Persons experiencing these severe reactions usually have very high serum tetanus antitoxin levels. They should not be given further routine or emergency booster doses of Td more frequently than every 10 years.

If a contraindication to using tetanus toxoid-containing preparations exists, passive immunization against tetanus should be considered whenever an injury other than a clean, minor wound is sustained (see "TETANUS PROPHYLAXIS IN WOUND MANAGE-MENT").

A severe febrile illness is reason to defer routine vaccination. Minor illness without fever, such as a mild upper respiratory infection, should not be cause for postponing vaccination.

Immunosuppressive therapies including irradiation, corticosteroids, antimetabolites, alkylating agents, and cytotoxic drugs may reduce the immune response to vaccines. Routine vaccination should be deferred, if possible, while patients are receiving such therapy.

DIPHTHERIA PROPHYLAXIS FOR CASE CONTACTS

All household contacts of patients with suspected respiratory diphtheria-particularly persons previously unimmunized or inadequately immunized-should receive an injection of a diphtheria toxoid-containing preparation appropriate for their age and should be examined daily for 7 days for evidence of disease. In addition, asymptomatic unimmunized or inadequately immunized household contacts should have prompt chemoprophylaxis with either intramuscular injection of benzathine penicillin (600,000 units for persons less than 6 years old and 1,200,000 units for those 6 years old and older) or a 7-day course of oral erythromycin. (Erythromycin may be slightly more effective, but intramuscular benzathine penicillin is preferred since it avoids problems of noncompliance with an oral drug regimen.) Primary immunization should be completed in persons who will have received fewer than the recommended number of doses as a result of the prophylaxis. Bacteriologic cultures before and after antibiotic prophylaxis may aid in management and follow-up. Identified untreated carriers of toxigenic *C. diphtheriae* should receive antibiotics as recommended above for unimmunized household contacts. Penicillin-therapy failures should receive a 7- to 10-day course of oral erythromycin.

Controlled studies demonstrating the efficacy of chemoprophylaxis have not been done. Therefore, a few experts have recommended the use of equine diphtheria anti-

toxin in unimmunized contacts when close surveillance is impossible. However, the risk of allergic reaction to horse serum constrains prophylactic antitoxin use. Immediate hypersensitivity reactions occur in about 7% and serum sickness in 5% of adults receiving the recommended prophylactic dose of equine antitoxin. The risk of adverse reaction must be weighed against the small risk of diphtheria in an unimmunized household contact who receives chemoprophylaxis. Therefore, antitoxin in **not** generally recommended. If it is to be used, the usually recommended dose is 5,000-10,000 units intramuscularly after appropriate testing for sensitivity—at a site separate from that of toxoid injection. The immune response to simultaneous diphtheria antitoxin and toxoid inoculation has not been adequately studied. These recommendations for household contacts of respiratory diphtheria cases also apply to other contacts with unusually intimate exposure.

Most recent cases of cutaneous diphtheria represent infections with nontoxigenic strains of *C. diphtheriae*. Often a case, whether due to a toxigenic or nontoxigenic strain, is not definitively diagnosed for some time after onset. An infection highly suspected of being cutaneous diphtheria should be considered as having been caused by a toxigenic strain until proven otherwise. Recommendations for prophylaxis of close case contacts are the same as for respiratory diphtheria since cutaneous diphtheria may be more contagious for close contacts than is respiratory infection. If a cutaneous case is known to be due to a nontoxigenic strain, routine investigation or prophylaxis of contacts is not necessary.

TETANUS PROPHYLAXIS IN WOUND MANAGEMENT

Chemoprophylaxis against tetanus is neither practical nor useful in managing wounds; proper immunization plays the more important role. The need for active immunization, with or without passive immunization, depends on the condition of the wound and the patient's immunization history (Table 3; see also "PRECAUTIONS AND CONTRAIN-DICATIONS"). Rarely have cases of tetanus occurred in persons with a documented primary series of toxoid injections.

Available evidence indicates that complete primary immunization with tetanus toxoid provides longlasting protection-10 years or more in most recipients. Consequently,

History of tetanus immunization (doses)	Clean wo	, minor unds	All other wounds		
	Td†	TIG	Tdt	TIG	
Uncertain	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
0-1	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
2	Yes	No	Yes	No	
3 or more	No§	No	No ^{1,}	No	

TABLE 3. Summary guide to tetanus prophylaxis in routine wound management, 1981*

*Important details are in the text.

†For children less than 7 years old DTP (DT, if pertussis vaccine is contraindicated) is preferred to tetanus toxoid alone. For persons 7 years old and older, Td is preferred to tetanus toxoid alone. ‡None, if wound is more than 24 hours old.

§Yes, if more than 10 years since last dose.

¹, Yes, if more than 5 years since last dose. (More frequent boosters are not needed and can accentuate side effects.)

Vol. 30/No. 32

MMWR

ACIP Recommendation for DTP - Continued

after complete primary tetanus immunization, boosters—even for wound management need be given only every 10 years unless the wound is "tetanus prone" (e.g., a severe, deep puncture). In this case, a booster is appropriate if the patient has not received tetanus toxoid within the preceding 5 years. Antitoxin antibodies develop rapidly in persons who have previously received at least 2 doses of tetanus toxoid.

Persons who have not completed a full primary series of injections may require tetanus toxoid and passive immunization at the time of wound cleaning and debridement. It is not sufficient to ascertain only the interval since the most recent toxoid dose. A careful attempt should be made to determine whether a patient has previously completed primary immunization and, if not, how many doses have been given.

Td is the preferred preparation for active tetanus immunization in managing the wounds of patients 7 years old and older. This is to enhance diphtheria protection, since a large proportion of adults are susceptible. Thus, by taking advantage of acute health-care visits, such as for wound management, some patients can be protected who otherwise would remain susceptible. For routine wound management in children less than 7 years old, DTP (or DT, if pertussis immunization is contraindicated) should be used instead of Td or tetanus toxoid alone. Primary immunization should ultimately be completed in persons documented to have received fewer than the recommended number of doses including those given as part of wound management (Tables 1 and 2).

If passive immunization is needed, human tetanus immune globulin (TIG) is the product of choice. It provides longer protection than antitoxin of animal origin and causes few adverse reactions. The currently recommended prophylactic dose of TIG for wounds of average severity is 250 units intramuscularly. When tetanus toxoid and TIG are given concurrently, separate syringes and separate sites should be used. Most experts consider the use of adsorbed toxoid mandatory in this situation.

PERTUSSIS PROPHYLAXIS FOR CASE CONTACTS

Spread of pertussis can be limited by decreasing infectivity of the case and by protecting close contacts of that case. To shorten the period of infectivity, oral erythromycin is recommended for patients with clinical pertussis. Chemotherapy, however, probably does not affect the duration or severity of disease.

There are 2 possible approaches for protecting close contacts of patients with pertussis, such as children exposed in a household or day-care center-active immunization and chemoprophylaxis. Close contacts less than 7 years old who have not completed the 4-dose primary series of DTP injections or who have not received a dose of DTP within 3 Years of exposure should be given a dose of vaccine. Children who will not have completed the primary series with this dose should receive further immunizations in accordance with the schedule in Table 1.

The usefulness of chemoprophylaxis with oral erythromycin has never been demonstrated. It may be prudent to consider a 7- to 10-day course of erythromycin in close contacts less than 1 year old and unimmunized close contacts less than 7 years old.

Prophylactic postexposure passive immunization is not recommended. Studies have shown that use of human pertussis immune globulin alters neither the incidence nor the severity of the illness.

ACIP Recommendation for DTP - Continued

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

Diphtheria

Belsey MA, Sinclair M, Roder MR, LeBlanc DR. Corynebacterium diphtheriae skin infections in Alabama and Louisiana. A factor in the epidemiology of diphtheria. N Engl J Med 1969; 280:135-41. CDC. Diphtheria surveillance report no 12, 1971-1975 summary, July 1978.

Brown GC, Volk VK, Gottshall RY, Kendrick PL, Anderson HD. Responses of infants to DTP-P vaccine used in nine injection schedules. Public Health Rep 1964; 79:585-602.

Doull JA. Factors influencing selective distribution in diphtheria, Journal of Preventive Medicine 1930; 4:371-404.

Edsall G, Altman JS, Gaspar AJ, Combined tetanus-diphtheria immunization of adults: use of small doses of diphtheria toxoid. Am J Public Health 1954; 44:1537-45.

Ipsen J. Circulating antitoxin at onset of diphtheria in 425 patients, J Immunol 1946;54:325-47. Gottlieb S, Martin M, McLaughlin FX, Panaro RJ, Levine L, Edsall G, Long-term immunity to diphtheria and tetanus: a mathematical model, Am J Epidemiol 1967; 85:207-19.

Koopman JS, Campbell J. The role of cutaneous diphtheria infections in a diphtheria epidemic. J Infect Dis 1975;131:239-44.

Naiditch MJ, Bower AG. Diphtheria; a study of 1,433 cases observed during 10-year period at Los Angeles County Hospital. Am J Med 1954;17:229-45.

Scheibel I, Bentzon MW, Christensen PE, Biering A. Duration of immunity to diphtheria and tetanus after active immunization. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand 1966;67:380-92.

Tasman A, Lansberg HP. Problems concerning the prophylaxis, pathogenesis, and therapy of diphtheria. Bull WHO 1957;16:939-73.

Volk VK, Gottshall RY, Anderson HD, Top FH, Bunney WE, Serfling RE. Antigenic responses to booster dose of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids. Seven to thirteen years after primary inoculation of noninstitutionalized children. Public Health Rep 1962:77;185-94.

Tetanus

Blumstein GI, Kreithen H, Peripheral neuropathy following tetanus toxoid administration, JAMA 1966;198:1030-1.

Brown GC, Volk VK, Gottshall RY, Kendrick PL, Anderson HD, Responses of infants to DTP-P vaccine used in nine injection schedules, Public Health Rep 1964;79:585-602.

CDC. Tetanus surveillance report no. 4, 1970-1971 summary. March 31, 1974.

Eckmann L, ed. Principles on Tetanus: Proceedings of the International Conference on Tetanus, 2nd. Bern, 1966. Bern: Huber, 1967.

Edsall G. Specific prophylaxis of tetanus, JAMA 1959;171:417-27.

Edsall G, Elliott MW, Peebles TC, Levine L, Eldred MC. Excessive use of tetanus toxoid boosters. JAMA 1967 202:17-9.

Gottlieb S, Martin M, McLaughlin FX, Panaro RJ, Levine L, Edsall G. Long-term immunity to diphtheria and tetanus: a mathematical model. Am J Epidemiol 1967;85:207-19.

LaForce FM, Young LS, Bennett JV. Tetanus in the United States (1965-1966): epidemiologic and clinical features. N Engl J Med 1969;280:569-74.

MacLennan R, Schofield FD, Pittman M, Hardegree MC, Barile MF. Immunization against neonatal tetanus in New Guinea. Antitoxin response of pregnant women to adjuvant and plain toxoids. Bull WHO 1965;32:683-97.

Peebles TC, Levine L, Eldred MC, Edsall G, Tetanus-toxoid emergency boosters: a reappraisal. N Engl J Med 1969, 280:575-81.

Scheibel I, Bentzon MW, Christensen PE, Biering A. Duration of immunity to diphtheria and tetanus after active immunization. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand 1966;67:380-92.

Suri JC, Dhillon H, Grewal HS. Active immunization of women in pregnancy for prevention of neonatal tetanus. Bull WHO 1964;31:349-57.

Volk VK, Gottshall RY, Anderson HD, Top FH, Bunney WE, Serfling RE. Antigenic response to booster dose of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids, Seven to thirteen years after primary inoculation of noninstitutionalized children. Public Health Rep 1962;77:185-94.

White WG, Barnes GM, Griffith AH, Gall D, Barker E, Smith JWG. Duration of immunity after active immunisation against tetanus. Lancet 1969;2:95-6.

Pertussis

Baraff LJ, Wilkins J, Wehrle PF. The role of antibiotics, immunizations, and adenoviruses in pertussis. Pediatrics 1978;61:224-30.

ACIP Recommendation for DTP – Continued

Barkin RM, Pichichero ME. Diphtheria-pertussis-tetanus vaccine: reactogenicity of commercial products, Pediatrics 1979;63:256-60.

Berg JM, Neurologic complications of pertussis immunization. Br Med J 1958;2:24-7.

British Medical Research Council. The prevention of whooping-cough by vaccination. A Medical Research Council investigation. Br Med J 1951;1:1463-71.

British Medical Research Council. Vaccination against whooping-cough. Relation between protection in children and results of laboratory tests, Br Med J 1956;2:454-62.

British Medical Research Council, Vaccination against whooping-cough. A final report. Br Med J 1959;1:994-1000.

CDC. DTP vaccination and sudden infant deaths-Tennessee, MMWR 1979;28:131-2.

Cody LL, Baraff LJ, Cherry JD, et al. The nature and rate of adverse reactions associated with DTP and DT immunization in infants and children. Pediatrics (in press).

Gordon J, Hood RL. Whooping cough and its epidemiological anomalies. Am J Med Sci 1951; 222:333-61.

Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunization of the Central Health Services Council. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Offices, 1977.

Koplan JP, Schoenbaum SC, Weinstein MC, Fraser DW. Pertussis vaccine—an analysis of benefits, risks and costs. N Engl J Med 1979;301:906-11.

Kulenkampff M, Schwartzman JS, Wilson J. Neurological complications of pertussis inoculation. Arch Dis Child 1974;49:46-9.

Lambert HJ. Epidemiology of a small pertussis outbreak in Kent County, Michigan. Public Health Rep 1965;80:365-9.

Manclark CR, Hill JC, eds. International Symposium on Pertussis, 3rd. Bethesda, Md.: National Institutes of Health, 1978. (DHEW Publication no. (NIH) 79-1830).

Miller DL, Ross EM, Alderslade R, Bellman MH, Rawson NSB, Pertussis immunization and serious acute neurological illness in children. Br Med J 1981;282:1595-9.

Nelson JD. The changing epidemiology of pertussis in young infants. The role of adults as reservoirs of infection. Am J Dis Child 1978;132:371-3.

Pollard R. Relation between vaccination and notification rates for whooping cough in England and Wales, Lancet 1980;1:1180-2.

Royal College of General Practitioners, Swansea Research Unit. Effect of a low pertussis vaccination uptake on a large community. Br Med J 1981;282:23-6.

Ström J. Further experience of reactions especially of a cerebral nature in conjunction with triple vaccination: a study based on vaccinations in Sweden, 1959-1965. Br Med J 1967;4:320-3.

Van Hemert PA, Van Ramshorst JD, Regamey RH, eds. International Symposium on Pertussis, 2nd. Bilthoven, The Netherlands 1969; Symposia Series Immunobiological Standardization. Vol 13. Basel:S. Karger, 1970.

Wilkins J, Williams FF, Wehrle PF, Portnoy B. Agglutinin response to pertussis vaccine. I. Effect of dosage and interval. J Pediatr 1971;79:197-202.

Notice to Readers

Discontinuation of Duck Embryo Rabies Vaccine

On August 10, 1981, Eli Lilly and Company announced that it will cease domestic sales of its duck embryo rabies vaccine on November 30, 1981. Marketing outside the United States will terminate in the second quarter of 1982.

Reported by Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, Indiana; and Viral Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: Duck embryo vaccine, exclusively produced and marketed by Eli Lilly and Company, has been widely used in the United States for over 2 decades for preexposure and post-exposure rabies prophylaxis. The only other rabies vaccine currently licensed for human use in the United States is the human diploid cell vaccine (HDCV), produced by Merieux Institute.

Duck Embryo Rabies Vaccine - Continued

Merieux's HDCV has been licensed and used in the United States since June 1980. This vaccine has proven to be highly immunogenic and to cause low reaction rates in recipients (1). However, the cost of HDCV is approximately twice that of post-exposure treatment with duck embryo vaccine. Also, HDCV is not directly available to the private medical sector but must be obtained through state health departments or their appointed representatives. Physicians requiring HDCV should contact their state epidemiologist or county health department.

Reference

1. CDC, Adverse reactions to human diploid cell rabies vaccine, MMWR 1980;29:609-10.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, circulation 90,000, is published by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia. The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly telegraphs to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday.

The editor welcomes accounts of interesting cases, outbreaks, environmental hazards, or other public health problems of current interest to health officials. Send reports to: Attn: Editor, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

Send mailing list additions, deletions and address changes to: Attn: Distribution Services, Management Analysis and Services Office, 1-SB-419, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333. When requesting changes be sure to give your former address, including zip code and mailing list code number, or send an old address label.

With this issue of the MMWR, Anne D. Mather retires as Managing Editor. She joined CDC and the Bureau of Epidemiology's Editorial and Graphic Services Staff in October of 1975. Anne and her many talents will be greatly missed.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE / CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30333 OFFICIAL BUSINESS

> Postage and Fees Paid U.S. Department of HHS HHS 396



Director, Centers for Disease Control William H. Foege, M.D. Director, Epidemiology Program Office Philip S. Brachman, M.D. Editor Michael B. Gregg, M.D. Managing Editor Anne D. Mather, M.A. Mathematical Statistician Keewhan Chol, Ph.D.

HHS Publication No. (CDC) 81-8017

408