



Published in final edited form as:

*Addiction*. 2022 August ; 117(8): 2316–2324. doi:10.1111/add.15912.

## Frequency of adolescent cannabis smoking and vaping in the United States: Trends, disparities and concurrent substance use, 2017–19

Katherine M. Keyes<sup>1</sup>, Noah T. Kreski<sup>1</sup>, Hadley Ankrum<sup>1</sup>, Magdalena Cerdá<sup>2</sup>, Qixuan Chen<sup>3</sup>, Deborah S. Hasin<sup>1,4</sup>, Silvia S. Martins<sup>1</sup>, Mark Olfson<sup>1,4</sup>, Richard Miech<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Population Health, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

<sup>5</sup>Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

### Abstract

**Aim:** To quantify the trends in frequent and occasional cannabis vaping, demographic differences and concurrent nicotine and alcohol use.

**Design:** Observational study. Survey-weighted multinomial logistic regression models assessed trends and disparities in past 30-day cannabis use. Trends were assessed overall and by sex, race/ethnicity, parental education and urbanicity. Multinomial logistic regression models also estimated associations of cannabis use (none, use without vaping, use with vaping) with past 2-week binge drinking and past 30-day nicotine/tobacco use.

**Setting:** United States, 2017–19.

**Participants:** Participants in the national Monitoring the Future ( $n = 51\,052$ ) survey.

**Measurements:** Past 30-day frequent cannabis use (six or more times/30 days) and past 30-day occasional use (one to five times/30 days), with and without vaping.

---

**Correspondence** Noah T. Kreski MPH, Columbia University, Mailman School of Public Health, 722 West 168th Street, Room 733, New York, NY 10032, USA. ntk2109@cumc.columbia.edu.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

**Katherine Keyes:** Conceptualization; funding acquisition; project administration. **Noah Kreski:** Formal analysis; visualization. **Hadley Ankrum:** Conceptualization. **Magdalena Cerdá:** Methodology. **Qixuan Chen:** Methodology. **Deborah Hasin:** Funding acquisition. **Silvia Martins:** Funding acquisition. **Mark Olfson:** Methodology. **Richard Miech:** Data curation.

#### DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

None.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

**Findings:** Past 30-day frequent cannabis use with vaping and occasional use with vaping rose from 2017 to 2019. Past 30-day frequent and occasional cannabis use without vaping declined. Certain groups, such as Hispanic/Latino or lower socio-economic status adolescents, experienced particularly notable increases in frequent cannabis use with vaping (e.g. prevalence among Hispanic/Latino adolescents). Adolescents who reported smoking and vaping nicotine, and 10+ occasions of binge drinking, were 42.28 [95% confidence interval (CI) = 33.14–53.93] and 10.09 (95% CI = 4.51–22.53) times more likely to report past 30-day cannabis use with vaping, respectively, compared with no use.

**Discussion:** Cannabis use without vaping appears to be declining among adolescents in the United States, while cannabis use with vaping is accelerating; frequent cannabis vaping is especially increasing, with consistent increases across almost all adolescent demographic groups. Cannabis use among US adolescents remains highly associated with other substance use.

### Keywords

Alcohol; cannabis; concurrent use; disparities; nicotine; trends; vaping

---

## INTRODUCTION

Cannabis use among US adolescents has not exhibited the recent declines seen in other forms of psychoactive substance use among this population.<sup>1</sup> Data from Monitoring the Future (MTF) indicate that past-year prevalence of any cannabis use has generally remained between 34 and 38% in 12th grade students from the late 1990s to 2020. While the prevalence of cannabis use among US adolescents remains lower than in other periods of recent US history (e.g., historic highs in prevalence were observed among adolescents in the late 1970s),<sup>1</sup> this persisting prevalence is concerning for several reasons. In 2020, prevalence of daily cannabis use was higher than any year since 1981.<sup>1</sup> Heavy levels of cannabis use are associated with adverse cognitive and social outcomes for youth, as well as long-term trajectories of drug use that may have adverse health and other consequences.<sup>2,3</sup> One concern in recent years is the increasingly widespread availability of cannabis products that can be consumed through vaporization.

Increasing use of vaporized cannabis devices among youth is particularly concerning given that high levels of tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) can be delivered through these devices,<sup>4</sup> which may lead to unpleasant and dangerous consequences for youth users with lower tolerance. In laboratory research, significantly higher doses of blood THC and its metabolites have been detected following vaporization compared to smoking the same cannabis dose.<sup>5</sup> Given that it is easier for adolescents to conceal vaping than cannabis smoking, this mode of cannabis use may facilitate more frequent use. Acute health effects such as e-cigarette or vaping product use-associated lung injury in vaped cannabis products have been documented,<sup>6</sup> including among adolescents.<sup>7,8</sup> While vaping is understood to be an important health topic for adolescents, relatively little is known about time trends in vaping use (including trends in use frequency, emerging disparities, and co-occurring use of other substances, which are all critical for surveillance and public health programmatic efforts).

Data from MTF indicate that, from 2017 to 2019, cannabis vaping prevalence increased across grades, with the largest burden among high school seniors for whom past 30-day prevalence almost tripled from 5% (2017) to 14% (2019).<sup>9</sup> The 1-year increase in this grade from 2018 to 2019 (7.5–14%) is the second largest 1-year increase in any type of substance use prevalence ever tracked by MTF.<sup>9</sup> Other national surveys have also reported an increasingly high prevalence of cannabis vaping since approximately 2017,<sup>10-12</sup> with increases observed across demographic groups. Cannabis vaping is replacing more traditional forms of cannabis use such as smoking, with evidence that increases in vaping compared with smoking are concentrated among non-Hispanic white and higher socioeconomic status (SES) adolescents,<sup>13</sup> the latter possibly reflecting the higher price point for vaping devices compared with other administration methods. Disparities in overall cannabis use (not disaggregated by vaping) by parental education are increasing, with youth in families with lower levels of educational attainment at an increasingly higher risk,<sup>14</sup> suggesting a need for continued surveillance of potential emergent disparities as prevalence of use and modes of administration change.

Racial/ethnic disparities in cannabis vaping are to date largely unexamined. Considering cannabis use overall, there is evidence that racial/ethnic disparities are emerging; whereas historically racialized groups such as non-Hispanic black adolescents were less likely to use cannabis than non-Hispanic white youth throughout much of the 20th century since approximately 2010, use is increasing among non-Hispanic black youth, especially frequent use.<sup>15</sup> How these emergent disparities apply to trends in cannabis vaping, however, remains under-investigated, especially across levels of frequent versus infrequent cannabis vaping. Heavy and frequent use of cannabis is increasing among US adolescents,<sup>14</sup> and so understanding the prevalence and patterns of frequent cannabis vaping is important public health information for prevention.

Evaluating patterns of cannabis in conjunction with other products such as alcohol and tobacco use provides an assessment of the extent to which these products are used in isolation or combination, which has implications of public health and prevention. Adolescent use of psychoactive products tends to correlate, and risks of substance use disorder and other harms increase with the number of substances used.<sup>16</sup> Available evidence indicates that young adults who use nicotine, especially through vaporizers, are more likely to subsequently use vaped cannabis,<sup>17,18</sup> which is indicative of common co-occurrence of substance use.<sup>19-21</sup> Examining these patterns across recent historical time remains relevant, however, given substantial increases in the proportion of adolescents who only vape (do not use substances in combustible forms)<sup>22</sup> and the rapid deceleration in the prevalence of alcohol, opioid and amphetamine and other non-cannabis psychoactive substance use.<sup>23</sup> Further, cannabis is now the substance most likely to be first used among adolescents (historically, alcohol and tobacco were the first substances used), and links between cannabis and subsequent alcohol and tobacco use are becoming more tenuous.<sup>24</sup> Thus, it is possible that vaping is becoming less strongly correlated with other substance use. Intervening to reduce harm associated with substance use in adolescence is traditionally most effective when taking account of the patterns of co-occurrence of multiple substances, given the overlap in groups of adolescents who use products such as tobacco and cannabis. However, if patterns of co-occurrence are shifting, then more targeted intervention may be warranted.

The present study contributes to three aspects of the literature on time trends in cannabis vaping. First, we examine trends from 2017 to 2019 in the prevalence and frequency of cannabis use that includes vaping as a mode of administration versus cannabis use without vaping. Secondly, we examine whether these trends exhibit differences by socio-demographic groups defined by sex, race/ethnicity, socio-economic status (using parental education as a proxy) and urbanicity. Thirdly, we examine the concentration of risk by concurrent substance use among other commonly used psychoactive substances, including vaped and combustible nicotine as well as binge drinking.

## METHODS

### Sample

MTF (years = 2017–19; grades: 8, 10 and 12; overall  $N$  restricted to pertinent subforms = 51 052) includes a nationally representative annual survey of school-attending adolescents. Schools were chosen through a multi-stage random sampling design, and invited to participate for 2 years. Those who declined were replaced with schools with similar geographic location, urbanicity and size. Study procedures and sampling frame and design are described elsewhere.<sup>25</sup> The analysis was restricted to students who received questions on cannabis vaping. In 2017–18, a randomly selected one-third of students received these questions, and in 2019 a randomly selected two-thirds of students received the questions.

### Measures

Cannabis use was assessed as: ‘On how many occasions (if any) have you used marijuana (grass, pot) or hashish (hash, hash oil) during the last 30 days?’, with response options that ranged from zero to 40 or more. This item was consistent across years. We divided overall cannabis use into occasional (one to five occasions in past 30 days) and frequent (following our previous work,<sup>14</sup> at least weekly use, i.e. six or more occasions); we then additionally stratified by whether the adolescent reported any cannabis vaping. For 2017–18 vaping was assessed as: ‘On how many occasions (if any) have you vaped marijuana during the last 30 days?’. In 2019, the item was: ‘On how many days (if any) have you vaped marijuana during the last 30 days?’. Both items were dichotomized (‘any’ versus ‘none’) and combined with the general use item as none, use without vaping, use with vaping. Sensitivity analyses used higher cut-points between occasional and frequent overall cannabis use (one to nine versus 10+ occasions, one to 19 versus 20+ occasions and one to 39 versus 40+ occasions of overall cannabis use in the past 30 days, again subdivided based on whether there was any vaping). Binge drinking was assessed as follows: ‘Think back over the last two weeks. How many times have you had five or more drinks in a row? (response options: none, once, twice, three to five, six to nine, 10+)’. Nicotine/tobacco items queried use in the last 30 days and yielded four groups: no nicotine/tobacco use, combustible cigarette use only, vaped nicotine use only and both vaped and combustible nicotine/tobacco use.

Demographic items included: sex and race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic/Latino, non-Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander and multi-racial). Parental education included at least one parent with a college degree versus none. Urbanicity was defined based on whether a respondent resided in a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) as

defined by census designation. The sample ( $n = 51\,052$ ) included 48.6% male respondents and was diverse in race/ethnicity (Table 1; non-Hispanic white, 49.3%). More of the sample had at least one parent with a college degree (58.2%) and resided in an MSA (80.2%).

### Statistical analysis

We mapped patterns of survey-weighted cannabis prevalence from 2017 to 2019. Survey weighting addressed selection probabilities, differing sample sizes by grade/school and the over-representation of 2019 data. We stratified cannabis prevalence across sex, race/ethnicity, parental education and urbanicity, and tested for interaction between years (2017, 2018 and 2019) and each demographic variable on cannabis use using survey weighted multinomial logistic regression. Lastly, we used survey-weighted multinomial logistic regressions to test the associations between cannabis use and nicotine use and binge drinking in separate models. We ran models with and without adjusting for grade, sex, race/ethnicity, parental education, urbanicity, year and the remaining substance use item not already included. These analyses were not pre-registered, and so the results should be considered exploratory. Missing data in study covariates ranged from 1.5% (nicotine use pattern) to 19.3% (parental education); covariate missingness was handled with multiple imputation by chained equations in Stata ( $k = 5$ ).

## RESULTS

### Trends in the prevalence and frequency of cannabis use with and without vaping

As cannabis use with vaping increased, cannabis use without vaping declined. By 2019, frequent cannabis use with vaping was more prevalent than occasional cannabis use with no vaping (5.4 versus 4.4%). Figure 1 describes trends in frequent and occasional cannabis use, with and without vaping. Increases in prevalence were observed for frequent (six or more past 30-day instances) and occasional (one to five instances) cannabis use that included any vaping, with the largest increases for frequent cannabis use including vaping, increasing from 2.1% in 2017 to 5.4% in 2019. Frequent (3.8% in 2017 to 2.1% in 2019) and occasional (6.9% in 2017 to 4.4% in 2019) cannabis use without vaping declined from 2017 to 2019. Overall, the prevalence of any level of cannabis use increased from 13.9% in 2017 to 15.4% in 2019. Using a higher threshold for frequent use (10+, 20+ or 40+ past 30-day instances) yielded similar trends (Supporting information, Figs S1, S2 and S3); specifically, there were increases in cannabis use with vaping and decreases in cannabis use without vaping. However, it is worth noting that frequent cannabis use with vaping, and other cannabis use patterns, each impacted fewer than 10% of adolescents in this sample.

### Differences in trends by socio-demographic groups defined by sex, race/ethnicity, parental education and urbanicity

Figure 2 describes trends in frequency of cannabis vaping and other patterns of cannabis use by sex; the prevalence estimates underlying the figures can be found in Supporting information, Table S1. The largest increase observed across the study period among both male and female respondents was frequent cannabis use with vaping. Among male respondents, frequent cannabis use with vaping increased from 2.9% in 2017 to 6.2% in 2019 and among female respondents from 1.3% in 2017 to 4.7% in 2019. The absolute

increase in frequent cannabis use with vaping was similar by sex, with an increase of 3.3 to 3.4 percentage points in both groups.

The Supporting information (Figs S4-S6 and Table S1) depicts trends in frequency of cannabis vaping and other patterns of cannabis use by race/ethnicity, parental education and urbanicity. Supporting information, Fig. S4 demonstrates that the pattern of frequent cannabis use with vaping was the most prevalent for all racial/ethnic groups by 2019 except non-Hispanic black adolescents, for whom occasional cannabis use with no vaping remained the most prevalent pattern for all years (e.g. prevalence of 5.2% in 2019). Results for trends by parental education indicate that students without a parent with a college degree had higher prevalence of frequent use of cannabis with vaping (2019 prevalence: 6.8 versus 4.5% among those with a parent with a college degree) and a sharper increase from 2017 to 2019 (Supporting information, Fig. S5), but similar trends overall in cannabis use with and without vaping. Patterns across years were relatively similar between levels of urbanicity (Supporting information, Fig. S6).

### **Association between cannabis use with and without vaping with concurrent nicotine and alcohol use**

Table 2 describes associations between levels of past 30-day nicotine use with or without vaping and concurrent past 30-day cannabis use, adjusted for binge-drinking, year and demographic factors. Results indicate a consistent pattern between substances; students who only vaped nicotine (no combustible cigarette use) had nearly 20 times higher odds of cannabis use with vaping than students who did not use nicotine [adjusted odds ratio (aOR) = 19.76, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 17.29, 22.57], while the magnitude of association between nicotine vaping and cannabis use without vaping was lower (aOR = 4.16, 95% CI = 3.63, 4.76). However, the link between nicotine use without vaping and cannabis use without vaping (aOR = 6.99, 95% CI = 5.42, 9.01) was similar to the link between nicotine use without vaping and cannabis use with vaping (aOR = 7.96, 95% CI = 5.75, 11.02). The strongest association was between smoking/vaping nicotine and cannabis use with vaping (aOR = 42.28, 95% CI = 33.14, 53.93). Unadjusted associations can be found in Supporting information, Table S2, and follow similar patterns. Supporting information, Table S3 describes the associations between nicotine use and cannabis use, with latter category split into frequent (six or more occasions) and occasional (one to five) overall use with or without vaping. All smoking/vaping nicotine categories were strongly associated with cannabis use with and without vaping (aORs = 3.76–54.94).

Table 3 describes associations between frequency of past 2-week binge drinking with past 30-day cannabis use with and without vaping, adjusted for past 30-day nicotine/tobacco use, year and demographic factors. Results indicate that different frequency levels of binge drinking were typically more strongly associated with cannabis use with vaping (aOR range = 4.48–10.09) than without vaping (aOR range = 3.89–6.23). The magnitude of these associations increased as the number of binge drinking occasions increased. Supporting information, Table S4 describes unadjusted associations, with similar findings, and Supporting information, Table S5 depicts these associations with cannabis use split into frequent (six or more occasions) and occasional (one to five) use.

Supporting information, Tables S6 and S7 examined the links between cannabis use patterns and nicotine use and binge drinking patterns with a higher cut-point between occasional (one to nine occasions/30 days) and frequent (10+ occasions/30 days) cannabis use. Supporting information, Tables S8 and S9 examined these links with an even higher cut-point (one to 19 versus 20+ occasions/30 days), while Supporting information, Tables S10 and S11 used the highest possible available threshold (one to 39 versus 40+ occasions/30 days). Patterns were similar to other analyses with a lower cut-point.

## DISCUSSION

The present study documents that cannabis vaping is increasing as the most popular method of cannabis delivery among adolescents in the United States, and that frequent (six or more times per month) use is increasing faster than occasional use. Using cannabis with vaping delivery systems is now more common than smoking alone in almost every demographic group across sex, race/ethnicity, urbanicity and parental education. The increases in frequent cannabis use with vaping among US adolescents were also observable across almost all demographic groups, and increased most among Hispanic/Latino adolescents and those of lower socio-economic status. Underscoring the importance of mode of administration, cannabis vaping is very strongly associated with other substance use; those who vape and smoke nicotine are more than 40 times more likely to also vape and smoke cannabis, and frequency of cannabis use with vaping increases in a monotonic fashion with increasing occasions of binge drinking. Given rising concerns about cannabis vaping in terms of safety, high THC delivery and potential for transition to cannabis use disorder (especially at frequent levels of use), these results indicate an urgent need for public health intervention and increased regulation. Furthermore, given the strong associations of cannabis vaping with use of other substances, this study may portend increasing risk of injury and other adverse health consequences.

Cross-sectional studies of adolescents in the United States and elsewhere have documented that cannabis vaping is highly prevalent among youth and associated with use of other vaped (and non-vaped) products.<sup>10,26-28</sup> Time-series analyses of MTF and other national data sources have established that prevalence has been increasing since approximately 2015, when measures of vaping were first introduced into national surveys.<sup>11,13</sup> We add to that literature by showing that the increase in prevalence is not solely due to mere experimentation in use. Indeed, frequent cannabis use with vaping had the highest yearly increases from 2017 to 2019. Tobacco use and e-cigarettes, as well as binge drinking, are strongly linked to frequent cannabis use (both vaping and non-vaping), exhibiting a dose-response relationship with ORs that are in the 10–12 times higher range, suggesting a high risk for potential harms.

Increases in cannabis vaping are probably due to a combination of factors. Vaped delivery systems for products such as cannabis and nicotine are growing in number, and they provide an efficient delivery system that has minimal odor, thus making them more concealable by teens from parents and teachers.<sup>29</sup> The rise in adolescent nicotine vaping may serve as a new risk factor for subsequent cannabis vaping and other cannabis delivery, given the strong prospective association between nicotine use and subsequent cannabis use.<sup>30</sup>

Overall, cannabis products are generally more available to youth as more states allow legalized use for adults, although the impact of these laws on youth cannabis use remains inconsistent and generally null.<sup>31-36</sup> Indeed, adolescent cannabis vaping prevalence is higher in some states with legalized cannabis for adults.<sup>37</sup> Marketing efforts for a wide variety of cannabis products have proliferated in the legalized cannabis environment; these campaigns are viewed frequently by adolescents,<sup>38,39</sup> and probably influence their behavior.

Cannabis vaporizers are marketed on a wide variety of platforms including social media; on Instagram, cannabis vaporizers are followed by upwards of half a million people, and advertisements often feature young women and do not discuss age restrictions on use.<sup>40</sup> While states have regulations restricting the targeting of advertisements to youth,<sup>41</sup> enforcement is difficult. Adolescents who report engaging with cannabis promotions on social media are more likely to use cannabis,<sup>42</sup> and thus efforts to reduce exposure to marketing is critical to curbing high levels of adolescent use.

Trends by demographic subgroup also warrant attention. Generally, the groups with largest increases in frequent cannabis vaping are those at higher risk for cannabis use overall, including those with less educated parents. However, across racial/ethnic groups, adolescents are increasing frequent cannabis use, with non-Hispanic black adolescents exhibiting lower levels of frequent cannabis vaping than other racial/ethnic groups. Previous studies have documented that non-Hispanic black adolescents have a higher prevalence of blunt smoking than other racial/ethnic groups, which may include high THC content.<sup>43</sup> Historically, non-Hispanic white adolescents had a higher prevalence of cannabis use than racially minoritized groups,<sup>44-46</sup> yet the gap by race/ethnicity has been closing for more than a decade.<sup>47</sup> Overall, efforts to provide inclusive and broadly based drug use education and prevention need to include health information on vaped product use. Further, given that racially minoritized students are more likely to face repercussions for drug use (from the criminal legal system<sup>48,49</sup> and schools<sup>50,51</sup>), these efforts should focus upon equity and access to prevention and treatment services, such as early school-based education efforts.<sup>52</sup>

The results of this and other studies underscore the need to reduce cannabis use, including vaped cannabis, in adolescents. Intervention development has been limited, and existing programs focus mainly upon vaped nicotine.<sup>53-57</sup> Interventions for adolescents who engage in heavy cannabis use involve evidence-based, clinician-administered motivational interviewing or cognitive behavioral therapy.<sup>58,59</sup> Barriers to treatment include cost, availability and the failure to recognize a need for treatment.<sup>60,61</sup> More broadly, a focus upon policies that deter cannabis use among adolescents should be a priority as legalization efforts continue. These policies should be crafted to reduce an emphasis on criminalization in preference for public health promotion given the history of unequal application of punitive consequences of drug use for racialized minorities in the United States.

Limitations of the study should be noted. The questionnaire did not query students about the THC or cannabidiol content of cannabis products used, thus the potency and chemical composition of the cannabis used is not known. However, evidence indicates that THC concentrations of cannabis products sold nationally are increasing,<sup>62</sup> and that the concentration of THC in vaped cannabis concentrates is available in particularly strong

formulations,<sup>63</sup> thus it is probable that adolescents are increasingly exposed to higher THC levels than in the past. We also do not have information on the quantity of cannabis used, only the frequency, and the questionnaire did not ask separate questions for vaping of cannabis oils versus other vaped cannabis products, so we have limited information on total exposure. Binge drinking was assessed as five or more drinks for all students, rather than four or more for female students, which may undercount binge drinking among female students. Vaping items had slightly different wording across time which may impact measurement, although these items have been dichotomized into ‘any’ versus ‘none’ to minimize any potential measurement discrepancies, and sensitivity analyses suggest robust findings across operationalizations that employ this division. The MTF sampling frame includes only school-attending adolescents, among whom cannabis use may differ from those who do not attend school. Thus, prevalence estimates and associations should be generalized only to school-attending students. Lastly, data are cross-sectional, and so we cannot make causal attributions to the associations between past 30-day nicotine use or binge drinking and cannabis use.

In summary, an increasing national trend of frequent cannabis use with vaping among adolescents may signal future increases in harms associated with cannabis. These risks arise from the greater efficiency of vaping as a THC delivery device, high association of its use with other products that are known to be harmful to health and observations that heavy and prolonged periods of cannabis use increase the risk of cannabis use disorder<sup>64</sup> as well as other adverse health outcomes.<sup>65</sup> As cannabis legalization continues across US states, and as products, delivery systems, potency and marketing proliferate within a for-profit industry, increased attention to youth trends, including investment in sustained and evidence-based prevention and intervention, is increasingly necessary.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the following sources of funding:

National Center for Injury Prevention and Control: R49-CE003094 National Institute on Drug Abuse: R01DA001411, R01DA016575, R01DA037866, R01DA048853, R01DA048860.

## REFERENCES


1. Miech RA, Johnston LD, O’Malley PM, Bachman JG, Schulenberg JE, Patrick ME. Monitoring the Future national survey results on drug use, 1975–2020. Volume I, secondary school students. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Institute for Social Research. 2021.
2. Volkow ND, Baler RD, Compton WM, Weiss SRB. Adverse health effects of marijuana use. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370:2219–27. [PubMed: 24897085]
3. Volkow ND, Swanson JM, Evins AE, DeLisi LE, Meier MH, Gonzalez R, et al. Effects of cannabis use on human behavior, including cognition, motivation, and psychosis: a review. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;73:292–7. [PubMed: 26842658]
4. Spindle TR, Bonn-Miller MO, Vandrey R. Changing landscape of cannabis: novel products, formulations, and methods of administration. *Curr Opin Psychol*. 2019;30:98–102. [PubMed: 31071592]

5. Spindle TR, Cone EJ, Schlienz NJ, Mitchell JM, Bigelow GE, Flegel R, et al. Acute pharmacokinetic profile of smoked and vaporized cannabis in human blood and oral fluid. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2019;43:233–58. [PubMed: 30615181]
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Outbreak of Lung Injury Associated with the Use of E-Cigarette, or Vaping, Products. Atlanta, GA: CDC; 2020.
7. Lozier MJ, Wallace B, Anderson K, Ellington S, Jones CM, Rose D, et al. Update: demographic, product, and substance-use characteristics of hospitalized patients in a nationwide outbreak of E-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injuries—United States, December 2019. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2019;68:1142–1148.
8. Adkins SH, Anderson KN, Goodman AB, Twentyman E, Danielson ML, Kimball A, et al. Demographics, substance use behaviors, and clinical characteristics of adolescents with e-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury (EVALI) in the United States in 2019. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2020;174:e200756. [PubMed: 32421164]
9. Miech RA, Patrick ME, O’Malley PM, Johnston LD, Bachman JG. Trends in reported marijuana vaping among US adolescents, 2017–2019. *JAMA.* 2020;323:475–6. [PubMed: 31848566]
10. Trivers KF, Phillips E, Gentzke AS, Tynan MA, Neff LJ. Prevalence of cannabis use in electronic cigarettes among US youth. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2018;172:1097–9. [PubMed: 30242366]
11. Farsalinos K, Barbouni A, Niaura R. Changes from 2017 to 2018 in e-cigarette use and in ever marijuana use with e-cigarettes among US adolescents: analysis of the National Youth Tobacco Survey. *Addiction.* 2021;116:139–49. [PubMed: 32533631]
12. Dai H Self-reported marijuana use in electronic cigarettes among US youth, 2017 to 2018. *JAMA.* 2020;323:473–4. [PubMed: 31848567]
13. Patrick ME, Miech RA, Kloska DD, Wagner AC, Johnston LD. Trends in marijuana vaping and edible consumption from 2015 to 2018 among adolescents in the US. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2020;174:900–2. [PubMed: 32250422]
14. Hamilton AD, Jang JB, Patrick ME, Schulenberg JE, Keyes KM. Age, period and cohort effects in frequent cannabis use among US students: 1991–2018. *Addiction.* 2019;114:1763–72. [PubMed: 31106501]
15. Keyes KM, Wall M, Feng T, Cerda M, Hasin DS. Race/ethnicity and marijuana use in the United States: Diminishing differences in the prevalence of use, 2006–2015. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2017;179: 379–86. [PubMed: 28846954]
16. Moss HB, Chen CM, Yi H. Early adolescent patterns of alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana polysubstance use and young adult substance use outcomes in a nationally representative sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2014;136:51–62. [PubMed: 24434016]
17. Cassidy RN, Meisel MK, DiGuseppi G, Balestrieri S, Barnett NP. Initiation of vaporizing cannabis: Individual and social network predictors in a longitudinal study of young adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2018;188:334–40. [PubMed: 29857317]
18. Pokhrel P, Fagan P, Kawamoto CT, Okamoto SK, Herzog TA. Predictors of marijuana vaping onset and escalation among young adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2020;216:108320. [PubMed: 33039921]
19. Kritikos AF, Johnson JK, Hodgkin D. Past 30–day marijuana vaping: prevalence and predictors of use in a nationally representative study of US youth. *Am J Prev Med.* 2021;60:258–66. [PubMed: 33309447]
20. Jackson DB, Boccio CM, Leal WE. Do youth who vape exhibit risky health lifestyles? Monitoring the future, 2017. *Prev Med.* 2020;136:106101. [PubMed: 32348854]
21. Jackson DB, Boccio CM, Leal WE, Vaughn MG. It’s all the rage! Exploring the nuances in the link between vaping and adolescent delinquency. *J Crim Justice.* 2019;63:58–69.
22. Foxon F, Selya AS. Electronic cigarettes, nicotine use trends and use initiation ages among US adolescents from 1999 to 2018. *Addiction.* 2020;115:2369–78. [PubMed: 32335976]
23. Miech R, Keyes KM, O’Malley PM, Johnston LD. The great decline in adolescent cigarette smoking since 2000: consequences for drug use among US adolescents. *Tob Control.* 2020;29:638–43. [PubMed: 31941823]


24. Keyes KM, Rutherford C, Miech R. Historical trends in the grade of onset and sequence of cigarette, alcohol, and marijuana use among adolescents from 1976–2016: implications for ‘gateway’ patterns in adolescence. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2019;194:51–8. [PubMed: 30399500]
25. Bachman JG, Johnston LD, O’Malley PM, Schulenberg JE, Miech RA. *The Monitoring the Future Project After Four Decades: Design and Procedures.* Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Institute for Social Research; 2015.
26. Morean ME, Kong G, Camenga DR, Cavallo DA, Krishnan-Sarin S. High school students’ use of electronic cigarettes to vaporize cannabis. *Pediatrics.* 2015;136:611–6. [PubMed: 26347431]
27. Fataar F, Hammond D. The prevalence of vaping and smoking as modes of delivery for nicotine and cannabis among youth in Canada, England and the United States. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2019;16:4111. [PubMed: 31731420]
28. Knapp AA, Lee DC, Borodovsky JT, Auty SG, Gabrielli J, Budney AJ. Emerging trends in cannabis administration among adolescent cannabis users. *J Adolesc Health.* 2019;64:487–93. [PubMed: 30205931]
29. Morean ME, Lipshie N, Josephson M, Foster D. Predictors of adult e-cigarette users vaporizing cannabis using e-cigarettes and vape-pens. *Subst Use Misuse.* 2017;52:974–81. [PubMed: 28323498]
30. Kandel ER, Kandel DB. A molecular basis for nicotine as a gateway drug. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371:932–43. [PubMed: 25184865]
31. Sarvet AL, Wall MM, Fink DS, Greene E, Le A, Boustead AE, et al. Medical marijuana laws and adolescent marijuana use in the United States: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Addiction.* 2018;113:1003–16. [PubMed: 29468763]
32. Cerdá M, Mauro C, Hamilton A, Levy NS, Santaella-Tenorio J, Hasin D, et al. Association Between Recreational Marijuana Legalization in the United States and Changes in Marijuana Use and Cannabis Use Disorder From 2008 to 2016. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2020;77:(2):165. 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.3254 [PubMed: 31722000]
33. Cerdá M, Wall M, Feng T, Keyes KM, Sarvet A, Schulenberg J, et al. Association of state recreational marijuana laws with adolescent marijuana use. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2017;171:142–9. [PubMed: 28027345]
34. Anderson DM, Hansen B, Rees DI, Sabia JJ. Association of marijuana Laws with teen marijuana use: new estimates from the youth risk behavior surveys. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2019;173:879–81. [PubMed: 31282944]
35. Coley RL, Kruzik C, Ghiani M, Carey N, Hawkins SS, Baum CF. Recreational marijuana legalization and adolescent use of marijuana, tobacco, and alcohol. *J Adolesc Health.* 2021;69:41–9. [PubMed: 33243722]
36. Borodovsky JT, Lee DC, Crosier BS, Gabrielli JL, Sargent JD, Budney AJ. U.S. cannabis legalization and use of vaping and edible products among youth. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2017;177:299–306. [PubMed: 28662974]
37. Bhatia D, Hinckley J, Mikulich S, Sakai J. Cannabis legalization and adolescent use of electronic vapor products, cannabis, and cigarettes. *J Addict Med.* 2022;16:e16–22. [PubMed: 35120066]
38. Dai H Peer review. Exposure to advertisements and marijuana use among US adolescents. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2017;14:170253.
39. Whitehill JM, Trangenstein PJ, Jenkins MC, Jernigan DH, Moreno MA. Exposure to cannabis marketing in social and traditional media and past-year use among adolescents in states with legal retail cannabis. *J Adolesc Health.* 2020;66:247–54. [PubMed: 31708374]
40. Spillane TE, Wong BA, Giovenco DP. Content analysis of instagram posts by leading cannabis vaporizer brands. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2021;218:108353. [PubMed: 33109462]
41. Barry RA, Glantz SA. Marijuana regulatory frameworks in four us states: an analysis against a public health standard. *Am J Public Health.* 2018;108:914–23. [PubMed: 29874509]
42. Trangenstein PJ, Whitehill JM, Jenkins MC, Jernigan DH, Moreno MA. Active cannabis marketing and adolescent past-year cannabis use. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2019;204:107548. [PubMed: 31550611]

43. Montgomery L, Mantey D. Racial/Ethnic Differences in Prevalence and Correlates of Blunt Smoking among Adolescents. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*. 2018;50(3):195–205. 10.1080/02791072.2017.1401186 [PubMed: 29199906]
44. Pacek LR, Malcolm RJ, Martins SS. Race/ethnicity differences between alcohol, marijuana, and co-occurring alcohol and marijuana use disorders and their association with public health and social problems using a national sample. *Am J Addict*. 2012;21:435–44. [PubMed: 22882394]
45. Finlay AK, White HR, Mun E-Y, Cronley CC, Lee C. Racial differences in trajectories of heavy drinking and regular marijuana use from ages 13 to 24 among African-American and White males. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2012;121:118–23. [PubMed: 21908109]
46. Wu LT, Woody GE, Yang C, Pan JJ, Blazer DG. Racial/ethnic variations in substance-related disorders among adolescents in the United States. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2011;68:1176–85. [PubMed: 22065533]
47. Keyes KM, Vo T, Wall MM, Caetano R, Suglia SF, Martins SS, et al. Racial/ethnic differences in use of alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana: is there a cross-over from adolescence to adulthood? *Soc Sci Med*. 2015;124:132–41. [PubMed: 25461870]
48. Kakade M, Duarte CS, Liu X, Fuller CJ, Drucker E, Hoven CW, et al. Adolescent substance use and other illegal behaviors and racial disparities in criminal justice system involvement: findings from a US National Survey. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102:1307–10. [PubMed: 22594721]
49. Gase LN, Glenn BA, Gomez LM, Kuo T, Inkelas M, Ponce NA. Understanding racial and ethnic disparities in arrest: The role of individual, home, school, and community characteristics. *Race Soc Probl*. 2016; 8:296–312. [PubMed: 28713449]
50. Bottiani JH, Bradshaw CP, Mendelson T. A multilevel examination of racial disparities in high school discipline: black and white adolescents' perceived equity, school belonging, and adjustment problems. *J Educ Psychol*. 2017;109:532–545.
51. Morris EW, Perry BL. The punishment gap: school suspension and racial disparities in achievement. *Soc Probl*. 2016;63:68–86.
52. Mewton L, Visontay R, Chapman C, Newton N, Slade T, Kay-Lambkin F, et al. Universal prevention of alcohol and drug use: an overview of reviews in an Australian context. *Drug Alcohol Rev*. 2018;37:S435–69. [PubMed: 29582489]
53. Graham AL, Amato MS, Cha S, Jacobs MA, Bottcher MM, Papandonatos GD. Effectiveness of a vaping cessation text message program among young adult e-cigarette users: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2021;181:923–30. [PubMed: 33999133]
54. Chaplin MD, Brogie J, Burch A, Hetzler J, Hough D, Gustafson B, et al. Effectiveness of an educational intervention on health risks of vaping for high school-aged adolescents. *J Am Pharm Assoc*. 2020;60:e158–61.
55. Hadland SE, Chadi N. Through the haze: what clinicians can do to address youth vaping. *J Adolesc Health*. 2020;66:10–4. [PubMed: 31866054]
56. Kong G, Bold KW, Cavallo DA, Davis DR, Jackson A, Krishnan-Sarin S. Informing the development of adolescent e-cigarette cessation interventions: a qualitative study. *Addict Behav*. 2021;114:106720. [PubMed: 33162230]
57. Wyman PA, Rulison K, Pisani AR, Alvaro EM, Crano WD, Schmeelk-Cone K, et al. Above the influence of vaping: peer leader influence and diffusion of a network-informed preventive intervention. *Addict Behav*. 2021;113:106693. [PubMed: 33069108]
58. Tanner-Smith EE, Wilson SJ, Lipsey MW. The comparative effectiveness of outpatient treatment for adolescent substance abuse: a meta-analysis. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 2013;44:145–58. [PubMed: 22763198]
59. Hardie JH, Pearce LD, Denton ML. The dynamics and correlates of religious service attendance in adolescence. *Youth Soc*. 2016;48: 151–75. [PubMed: 26900186]
60. Sylwestrzak A, Overholt CE, Ristau KI, Coker KL. Self-reported Barriers to Treatment Engagement: Adolescent Perspectives from the National Comorbidity Survey-Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *Community Mental Health Journal*. 2015;51:(7):775–81. 10.1007/s10597-014-9776-x [PubMed: 25326732]
61. Wisdom JP, Cavaleri M, Gogel L, Nacht M. Barriers and facilitators to adolescent drug treatment: youth, family, and staff reports. *Addict Res Theory*. 2011;19:179–88.

62. Chandra S, Radwan MM, Majumdar CG, Church JC, Freeman TP, ElSohly MA. New trends in cannabis potency in USA and Europe during the last decade (2008–2017). *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2019;269:5–15. [PubMed: 30671616]
63. Solowij N. Peering through the haze of smoked vs vaporized cannabis—to vape or not to vape? *JAMA Netw Open*. 2018;1:e184838–8. [PubMed: 30646385]
64. Hasin DS, Saha TD, Kerridge BT, Goldstein RB, Chou SP, Zhang H, et al. Prevalence of marijuana use disorders in the United States between 2001–2002 and 2012–2013. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2015;72: 1235–42. [PubMed: 26502112]
65. Cerda M, Moffitt TE, Meier MH, Harrington HL, Houts R, Ramrakha S, et al. Persistent cannabis dependence and alcohol dependence represent risks for midlife economic and social problems: a longitudinal cohort study. *Clin Psychol Sci*. 2016;4:1028–46. [PubMed: 28008372]



**FIGURE 1.** Trends in smoked and vaped cannabis use among US adolescents, 2017–19, by frequency of use [one to five past 30-day instances (occasional) versus six or more (frequent)]



**FIGURE 2.** Trends in cannabis use, 2017–19, by sex. *Note:* Test for interaction between year and sex in predicting cannabis use frequency with and without vaping:  $F = 1.61$ , degrees of freedom (d.f.) = (8, 677.2),  $P = 0.1170$

TABLE 1

Demographic characteristics and outcome distribution, 2017–19,  $n = 51052$ 

Variable	Weighted/imputed %
Sample size ( $n = 51\ 052$ )	100.0
Cannabis use in past 30 days	
None	85.6
Use without vaping	8.7
Use with vaping	5.7
Sex	
Male	48.6
Female	51.4
Race/ethnicity	
Non-Hispanic white	49.3
Non-Hispanic black	11.8
Hispanic or Latino	27.8
Multiracial	5.5
Non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander	5.6
Parental education	
At least one college graduate	58.2
No college graduate parent	41.8
Urbanicity	
MSA residence	80.2
Non-MSA residence	19.8
Grade	
8	35.2
10	35.9
12	28.9
Nicotine use in past 30 days	
None	85.8
Smoke only	1.8
Vape only	10.1
Smoke and vape	2.3
Binge drinking in the past 2 weeks	
None	91.3
Once	4.3
Twice	2.4
Three to five	1.5
Six to nine	0.3
10+	0.3

MSA, Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Adjusted<sup>a</sup> association between past 30-day nicotine use (combustible and vaping) with past 30-day cannabis use (combustible and vaping), 2017–19

**TABLE 2**

Past 30-day nicotine use predictor	Past 30-day cannabis outcome	Odds ratio	Lower bound 95% CI	Upper bound 95% CI
Smoking only (versus no use)	Without vaping (versus no use)	6.99	5.42	9.01
Smoking only (versus no use)	With vaping (versus no use)	7.96	5.75	11.02
Vaping only (versus no use)	Without vaping (versus no use)	4.16	3.63	4.76
Vaping only (versus no use)	With vaping (versus no use)	19.76	17.29	22.57
Smoking and vaping (versus no use)	Without vaping (versus no use)	8.96	7.04	11.40
Smoking and vaping (versus no use)	With vaping (versus no use)	42.28	33.14	53.93

CI, confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for grade, sex, race, parental education, urbanicity, binge drinking and year.

Adjusted<sup>a</sup> association between occasions of binge drinking in the past 2 weeks with cannabis use (combustible and vaping), 2017–19

**TABLE 3**

Number of times binge drinking in past 2 weeks	Past 30-day cannabis outcome	Odds ratio	Lower bound 95% CI	Upper bound 95% CI
Once versus none	Without vaping (versus no use)	3.89	3.23	4.70
Once versus none	With vaping (versus no use)	4.48	3.66	5.48
Twice versus none	Without vaping (versus no use)	4.12	3.26	5.20
Twice versus none	With vaping (versus no use)	5.73	4.49	7.32
Three to five versus none	Without vaping (versus no use)	4.26	3.18	5.72
Three to five versus none	With vaping (versus no use)	7.96	5.70	11.12
Six to nine versus none	Without vaping (versus no use)	6.23	3.55	10.95
Six to nine versus none	With vaping (versus no use)	6.21	3.33	11.57
10+ versus none	Without vaping (versus no use)	5.96	2.82	12.60
10+ versus none	With vaping (versus no use)	10.09	4.51	22.53

CI, confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for grade, sex, race, parental education, urbanicity, nicotine/tobacco use and year.