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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Deaths Following Female Sterilization with Unipolar Electrocoagulating Devices

The number of women undergoing tubal sterilization in U.S. hospitals increased from 200,000 in 1970 to 700,000 in 1978 (1). Since 1979, CDC has been collecting information on deaths associated with tubal sterilization. Of 20 reported deaths, only 3 can be directly attributed to complications of a particular sterilization technique. All 3 followed thermal bowel injury sustained with unipolar electrocoagulating devices. Two of these deaths (Cases 1 and 2) were recently reported elsewhere (2). These and a third death are summarized below.

Case 1: A 41-year-old woman, gravida 6, para 5, abortus 1, underwent an apparently uneventful sterilization via laparoscopy with a unipolar coagulating instrument. Twentythree days after sterilization, she returned to the hospital with a history of abdominal pain and vomiting for several hours. At laparotomy, the peritoneal cavity contained copius amounts of pus, and a perforation was noted on the anterior wall of the midportion of the sigmoid colon. A colectomy and a diverting colostomy were performed. The patient's condition deteriorated, and she died 41 days after laparotomy. Histologic review of the colectomy specimen revealed that the perforation had occurred at the site of a thermal injury.

Case 2: A 22-year-old woman, gravida 4, para 4, underwent a dilation and curettage followed by laparoscopic coagulation sterilization with a unipolar device. The curettage was without incident and yielded a scant amount of normal tissue. Electrocoagulation of the tubes was performed without apparent difficulty. Seven days after the operation, she returned to the hospital with fever, abdominal pain, and vomiting. At emergency laparotomy, the patient was noted to have massive peritonitis. An attempt to identify a perforation site was unsuccessful because the bowel was covered with purulent material. The pelvis appeared entirely normal. Material from the abdominal cavity was cultured, the cavity was irrigated, and the abdominal incision was closed. The patient continued to deteriorate, and she died 2 days later from septic shock. At the postmortem examination, no source of the peritonitis could be identified. Cultures of the abdominal cavity material grew Enterobacter cloacae, Citrobacter freundii, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Clostridium perfringens, and Bacteroides spp.

Case 3: A 27-year-old woman, gravida 1, para 1, had an apparently uneventful laparoscopic sterilization with a unipolar coagulating instrument. Thirty-six hours after sterilization, she developed abdominal pain. Over the next 24 hours, her pain increased and she began vomiting. On the third day after sterilization, she was seen in the emergency room with peritonitis and was found to be in septic shock. The patient had a cardiorespiratory arrest before an emergency laparotomy could be performed. After the
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patient was resuscitated, she underwent laparotomy. Findings included diffuse peritonitis with an area of hyperemia and necrosis at the distal ileum, where a perforation had occurred. An attempt was made to surgically correct the injury, but the patient had a second cardiorespiratory arrest before the operation was completed. She could not be resuscitated.
Reported by the Epidemiology Studies Br, Family Planning Evaluation Div, Center for Health Promotion and Education, CDC.
Editorial Note: These 3 deaths apparently resulted from bowel injury following electrical accidents with unipolar electrocoagulating devices. For the first and third patients there was both gross and histologic evidence that bowel burns had occurred. For the second case, there was microbiologic evidence that the patient's peritonitis most likely followed a bowel perforation.

In the early 1970s, laparoscopy became popular as a technique for female sterilization (1). Until the mid-1970s most laparoscopic sterilizations were performed with unipolar electrocoagulating devices (3). Unipolar instruments are used to coagulate the fallopian tube by applying an electric current that proceeds through the operating instrument to the grasping forceps (active electrode). The current coagulates the tube and courses through the patient's body to a ground plate (return electrode) on the patient's skin. This system (Figure 1), which makes the patient an integral part of the electrical circuit, has resulted in major accidents; over 100 cases of thermal bowel injury have been reported (2). These serious complications occur in approximately 0.5 of every 1,000 cases of unipolar electrocoagulation (4). Burns of the abdominal wall (5) and burns to the face and hands of the operator have also been reported (6).

Concerns about the hazards of unipolar electrocoagulation led to the development in

FIGURE 1. Unipolar laparoscopy
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the mid-1970s of several instruments for laparoscopic sterilization that reduce the risk of electrical injury, including a bipolar coagulation instrument in which the jaws of the grasping forceps serve as both the active and return electrodes so that current only coagulates tissue grasped by the forceps (7). Only 1 bowel burn has been reported with use of the bipolar system (8). Mechanical techniques which use a spring clip (9) or a silastic band (10) completely eliminate the risk of electrical accidents.

Studies conducted by CDC and others indicate that all methods of laparoscopic sterilization, including unipolar electrocoagulation, have low complication rates and that deaths from these operations are rare. Unipolar electrocoagulation, however, carries a greater risk for electrical accidents than the alternative laparoscopic techniques (bipolar electrocoagulation, spring clip, silastic band) without demonstrably greater efficacy. Thus, the need for its continued use in female sterilization should be questioned.

CDC's Family Planning Evaluation Division is interested in learning about deaths from tubal sterilization. Any such deaths may be reported to state health departments and to CDC at the following address: Family Planning Evaluation Division, Centers for Disease Control, Attention: Sterilization Mortality, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.
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## Deaths Associated with Liquid-Manure Systems - United States

Since August 1979, CDC has received reports of 8 deaths and 2 cases of near-fatal illness associated with liquid-manure disposal systems on swine and dairy farms. These deaths and illnesses were the result of 3 similar but separate accidents in lowa, South Dakota, and Utah.

More than 1 death was associated with each accident reported. Characteristically, 1 person entered a disposal tank (to retrieve a fallen object in 2 accidents, and to fix a broken pump in the other) and was overcome by fumes within about 30 seconds to 2 minutes. Coworkers attempting rescue without respiratory equipment were also promptly overcome. In each case, survivors and the bodies of persons who died were retrieved from the tanks by local firemen wearing oxygen packs. All the persons involved in these
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accidents were otherwise healthy; they ranged in age from 18-57 years.
Within a few days of the incident in Utah, state health authorities performed air analyses in an attempt to determine whether toxic gases had caused the problem. Using the same liquid-manure tank in which the accident had occurred, but allowing much less time for the manure to ferment, they measured a hydrogen sulfide ( $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ concentration of 76 parts per million ( ppm ). On the basis of this finding, the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ concentration at the time of the accident was estimated to have been $>570 \mathrm{ppm}$. (The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health [ NIOSH ] recommends that an average measured concentration of no more than 10 ppm be present during a 10 -hour work shift and that areas with levels of $>47 \mathrm{ppm}$ be evacuated immediately [1]). During the simulation, the measured oxygen level was somewhat reduced (to 18\%), and the carbon dioxide ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) level was slightly elevated (to 2\%). Measured levels of ammonia and carbon monoxide were not thought to be high enough to cause sudden collapse. Nitrogen dioxide was not detected.
Reported by RM Perkins, MD, Office of Medical Examiner, Scott County, Iowa; LA Wintermeyer, MD, State Epidemiologist, lowa State Dept of Health; B Thorpe, Grant County Sheriff's Dept, South Dakota; JD Corning, BA, State Epidemiologist, South Dakota State Dept of Health; MD Atwood, Bur of Radiation and Occupational Health, RE Johns, Jr, MD, State Epidemiologist, Utah State Dept of Health; K Dally, JP Davis, MD, State Epidemiologist, Wisconsin State Dept of Health and Social Services; JM Smucker, Milk Safety Br, Food and Drug Administration; Div of Surveillance, Hazard
(Continued on page 157)

TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States
[Cumulative totals include revised and delayed reports through previous weeks.]

| OISEASE | 13th WEEK ENDING |  | MEDIAN19761990 | cumulayive, fifsi 13 weeks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | April 4 1981 | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 28 \\ 1980 \end{gathered}$ |  | April 4 1981 | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 28 \\ 1980 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEOIAN } \\ & 19761980 \end{aligned}$ |
| Areptic meningitis | 52 | 77 | 63 | 817 | 835 | 472 |
| Brucellosis | 4 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 42 | 42 |
| Chickenpox | 7.463 | 6.884 | 6,884 | 76.164 | 69.337 | 74,183 |
| Diphtheria | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 20 |
| Encaphalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne \& unspec.) | 10 | 12 | 12 | 185 | 149 | 146 |
| Post infectious | 4 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 39 | 39 |
| Hepatitis, Viral: Type B | 342 | 347 | 298 | 4.560 | 3,970 | 3.736 |
| Type A | 444 | 533 | 537 | 6,153 | 6,807 | 7.396 |
| Type unspecified | 190 | 201 | 185 | 2.778 | 2.677 | 2.298 |
| Malaria | 18 | 49 | 8 | 294 | 360 | 101 |
| Massles (rubeola) | 80 | 522 | 817 | 696 | 3.294 | 6.989 |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 74 | 78 | 55 | 1.250 | 850 | 699 |
| Civilian | 13 | 18 | 55 | 1.247 | 841 | 692 |
| Military | 1 | - | - | 3 | 9 | 6 |
| Mumps | 105 | 285 | 486 | 1.406 | 3.656 | 5,507 |
| Pertussis | 20 | 20 | 21 | 268 | 262 | 275 |
| Rubella (German measles) | 84 | 113 | 475 | 682 | 1.196 | 3.487 |
| Tetanus | 2 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 11 | 10 |
| Tuberculosis | 682 | 583 | 616 | 6,210 | 6,098 | 6.612 |
| Tularemia | - | 2 | 1 | 22 | 23 | 23 |
| Typhoid fever | 15 | 9 | 9 | 113 | 76 | 89 |
| Typhus fever, tick borne (Rky. Mi. spotted) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 10 | 11 |
| Venereal diseases: <br> Gonormea: Civilian | 19,593 | 19,588 | 17,308 | 239.627 | 237,254 | 231.732 |
| Military | 340 | 384 | 469 | 6,903 | 6.810 | 6.810 |
| Syphilis, primary \& secondary: Civilian | 452 | 585 | 411 | 1,435 | 6,600 | 6,100 |
| Milizary | 4 | 7 | 7 | 94 | 96 | 81 |
| Rabies in animals | 167 | 165 | 71 | 1,490 | 1.282 | 618 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency. United States

|  | CUM. 1981 |  | CUM, 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Poliomyelitis Total | - |
| Botulism | 13 | Paralytic | - |
| Cholera | - | Psittacosis | 18 |
| Congenital ruballa syndrome | 2 | Rabies in man | - |
| Leprosy (Calif. 1) | 47 | Trichinosis (Conn. 1. N.J. 2) | 56 |
| Leptospirosis | 13 | Typhus fever, flea borne (endemic, murine)(Va. 1. Tex. 11 | 2 |
| Plague | 1 |  |  |

All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 4, 1981 and March 29, 1980 (13th week)

| Reporting ahea | ASEPTIC MENIN. GITIS <br> 1981 | BRU CEL LESIS <br> 1981 | CHICKEN <br> POX <br> 1981 | DIPHTHERIA |  | ENCEPHALITIS |  |  | HEPATITIS (VIRAL), BY TYPE |  |  | MALARIA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary |  | Post-in fectious <br> 1981 | B <br> 1981 | $\frac{A}{1981}$ | Unspecified <br> 1981 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1991 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | 1980 |  |  |  |  | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 52 | 4 | 7,463 | - | 3 | 10 | 12 | 4 | 342 | 444 | 190 | 18 | 294 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 2 | - | 890 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 12 | 20 | 2 | 6 | 19 |
| Maine | 1 | - | 208 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| N.H. | - | - | 144 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Vt . | - | - | 91 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Mass. | - | - | 234 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 11 |
| R.I. | 1 | - | 65 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | $\underline{-}$ | - | 1 |
| Comn. | - | - | 148 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 5 | 1 | - | 2 | 3 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 9 | - | 267 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 44 | 40 | 17 | 1 | 23 |
| Upstate N.Y. | $-$ | - | 55 | - | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | 2 | 17 | 10 | - | 7 |
| N.Y. City | 1 | - | 160 | - | - | - | - | - | 28 | 16 | 5 | - | 12 |
| N.J. | 4 | - | NN | - | - | - | 1 | - | NA | NA | NA | 1 | 3 |
| Pa. | 4 | - | 52 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 7 | 2 | - | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL Ohic | 4 | - | 2.907 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 46 | 59 | 22 | 1 | 6 |
| Ohic | 4 | - | 134 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 11 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Ind. | - | - | 557 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 15 | 10 | - | 1 |
| Mich. | - | - | 871 | - | - |  | 1 | - | 17 | 22 | 6 | - | 1 |
| Wis. | - | - | 673 672 | - | - | - | $\underline{1}$ | - | 9 | 4 | 3 | - | 3 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | - | 1 | 883 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 20 | 4 | - | 10 |
| Minn. | - | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | 2 |
| lowa | - | - | 327 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Mo. | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 7 | 2 | - | 1 |
| N. Dak, | - | - | 60 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| S. Dak. | - | - | 39 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Nebr. | - | - | 36 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - |
| Kans. | - | - | 407 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 10 | 1 | 1,235 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 92 | 56 | 28 | 2 | 31 |
| Del. | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Md. | 1 | - | 70 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 12 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {a }}$. | - | - | $17 \frac{1}{1}$ | - | - | 1 | - | - | 7 | 5 | 4 | - | 1 |
| W. Va. | 1 | $\underline{1}$ | 173 252 | - | - | $\underline{1}$ | - | - | 7 | 5 3 | 4 | - | 9 |
| N.C. | 3 | - | NN | - | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | 7 | 3 | - | 2 |
| G.C. | 1 | - | 12 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 10 | 7 | 3 | - | - |
| $\stackrel{\text { Fa. }}{ }$ | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 9 | - | - | 4 |
| Fa. | 4 | - | 706 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 41 | 21 | 8 | 1 | 9 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 5 | 1 | 466 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 13 | 14 | 4 | - | 1 |
| Ky. | 4 | - | 154 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 14 | 4 | - | $\underline{-}$ |
| Tenn. | 1 | 1 | NN | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Ala. | , | - | 39 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | 4 | - | - |
| Miss. | - | - | 273 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | 4 | - | 1 |
| W.S CENTRAL | 4 | 1 | 557 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 29 | 42 | 45 | 3 | 21 |
| Ark. | - | 1 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | - | - | NN | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 8 | - | 2 |
| Okla | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | - | 2 |
| Tex. | 4 | - | 544 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 32 | 31 | 3 | 15 |
| MOUNTAIN | 4 | - | 141 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 21 | 55 | 25 | - | 6 |
| Mont. | - | - | 14 | - | 1 | $-$ | - | $\underline{-}$ | 21 | 4 | 25 | - | 6 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Colo. | - | - | 136 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | 17 | 10 | - | 2 |
| N. Mex. | - | - | - | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | 4 | 12 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Ariz. | 4 | - | NN | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 10 | 12 | - | 2 |
| Utah | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 |
| Nev. | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 |
| PACIFIC <br> Wash | 14 | - | 117 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | 76 | 138 | 43 | 5 | 177 |
| Wash. | 2 | - | 90 | - | - | - | - | - | 76 | 5 | 2 | S | 11 |
| Calif. | 11 | - | 3 | - | - | $\overline{7}$ | 1 | - | 7 | 8 | - | - | 5 |
| Alaska | 10 | - | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 63 | 120 | 39 | 5 | 160 |
| Hawaii | 1 | - | 5 19 | - | $\underline{1}$ | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | $\overline{7}$ | - | - |
| Guam | Na | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | Na | NA | NA | NA | - |
| P.R. | 1 | - | 34 | - | - | Na | - | - | 5 | 6 | 1 | N | 3 |
| V.I. | $-$ | - | 34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | 1 | - | 1 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | Na | NA | NA | NA | - | NA | - | - | Na | NA | NA | NA | $\underline{-}$ |

Not notifiable. NA. Not available
All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 4, 1981 and March 29, 1980 (13th week)

| REPORTING AREA | MEASLES (RUBEOLA) |  |  | MENING OCOCCAL INFECTIONS TOTAL |  |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | RUBELLA |  | TETANUS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | CUM. <br> 1981 | CUM. <br> 1980 | 1981 | CUM. <br> 1981 | CUM. <br> 1980 | 1981 | CUM. <br> 1981 | 1981 | 1981 | CUM. <br> 1981 | CUM. <br> 1981 |
| UNITED STATES | 88 | 696 | 3.294 | 74 | 1.250 | 850 | 105 | 1.406 | 20 | 84 | 682 | 12 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 1 | 26 | 279 | 2 | 82 | 49 | 5 | 65 | - | 7 | 65 | - |
| Maine | - | 2 | 4 | - | 12 | 2 | - | 14 | - | - | 31 | - |
| N.H. | 1 | 3 | 148 | - | 6 | 4 | 1 | 9 | - | 3 | 14 | - |
| Vt. | - | 1 | 115 | - | 2 | 6 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | - | 16 | 8 | 1 | 21 | 16 | 2 | 22 | - | 2 | 16 | - |
| R.I. | - | - | 2 | - | 7 | 3 | 1 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | - | 4 | 2 | 1 | 34 | 18 | 1 | 9 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 35 | 233 | 788 | $\theta$ | 142 | 133 | 32 | 173 | 2 | 22 | 92 | 1 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 5 | 153 | 203 | 1 | 47 | 50 | 1 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 32 | - |
| N.Y. City | 6 | 23 | 227 | 2 | 15 | 39 | 1 | 25 | - | 3 | 17 | 1 |
| N.J. | 3 | 18 | 151 | - | 41 | 29 | 23 | 52 | - | 7 | 30 | - |
| Pa. | 21 | 39 | 207 | 5 | 39 | 15 | 7 | 65 | 1 | 10 | 13 | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 4 | 44 | 390 | 7 | 144 | 96 | 26 | 401 | 5 | 19 | 150 | 1 |
| Ohio | - | 13 | 52 | 2 | 50 | 37 | 4 | 54 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Ind. | - | 3 | 20 | 1 | 18 | 16 | 5 | 53 | - | 4 | 50 | - |
| III. | - | 6 | 95 | 3 | 40 | 14 | 10 | 74 | - | 10 | 42 | - |
| Mich. | 4 | 22 | 97 | 1 | 32 | 22 | 5 | 167 | 3 | - | 20 | 1 |
| Wis. | - | - | 126 | - | 4 | 7 | 2 | 53 | 1 | 5 | 38 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | - | 4 | 424 | 4 | 50 | 37 | 6 | 118 | - | 1 | 33 | 2 |
| Minn. | - | 1 | 282 | 1 | 21 | 11 | - | 2 | - | - | 5 | 1 |
| Iowa | - | 1 | - | 2 | 11 | 3 | - | 28 | - | - | - | - |
| Ma. | - | - | 47 | 1 | 12 | 16 | 1 | 21 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | - | 1 | 50 | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Kans. | - | 1 | 45 | - | 4 | 4 | 2 | 63 | - | 1 | 26 | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 11 | 195 | 734 | 27 | 331 | 207 | 8 | 197 | 1 | 4 | 69 | 1 |
| Del. | - | - | 1 |  | 4 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | - | 1 | 19 | 2 | 14 | 18 | - | 36 | - | - | - | - |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Va . | - | 2 | 144 | 6 | 40 | 17 | 1 | 50 | - | 1 | 8 | - |
| W. Va. | - | 6 | 3 | - | 15 | 6 | 4 | 35 | _ | - | 12 | - |
| N.C. | - |  | 36 | 3 | 47 | 39 |  | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | - |
| S.C. | - | - | 91 | 3 | 44 | 25 | 1 | 5 | - | - | 4 | 1 |
| Ga. | 4 | 74 | 295 | 8 | 56 | 47 | 1 | 18 | 1 | - | 18 | 1 |
| Fla. | 7 | 112 | 145 | 5 | 110 | 53 | 1 | 46 | - | 2 | 24 | - |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | 1 | 102 | 3 | 93 | 82 | 5 | 45 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 1 |
| Ky. | - | - | 31 | 2 | 30 | 24 | 2 | 17 | - | 1 | 10 | - |
| Tenn. | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | 27 | 19 | 1 | 16 | 2 | - | 7 | - |
| Ala. | - | - | 15 | - | 26 | 23 | 2 | 11 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Miss. | - | - | 51 | - | 10 | 16 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 21 | 57 | 259 | 15 | 228 | 87 | 9 | 80 | 1 | 6 | 47 | 2 |
| Ark. | - | - | 6 | - | 17 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| La. | - | - | 7 | 5 | 49 | 26 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Okla. | - | 3 | 177 | 2 | 18 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 21 | 54 | 69 | 8 | 144 | 48 | 9 | 77 | - | 4 | 41 | 1 |
| MOUNTAIN | 6 | 14 | 63 | 4 | 43 | 35 | 4 | 43 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 1 |
| Mont. | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - |  | 1 |  |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Wro. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Colc. | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 19 | 9 | 4 | 21 | - | 2 | 16 | - |
| N. Mex. | - | - | 2 | - | 4 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arix. | - | 1 | 27 | 3 | 11 | 5 | - | 7 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Utah | - | - | 29 | $-$ | 3 | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - |
| Nev . | 3 | 10 | 2 | - | 2 | 9 | - | 5 | - | - | 1 | - |
| PACIFIC | 10 | 122 | 255 | 4 | 137 | 124 | 10 | 284 | 8 | 21 | 186 | 3 |
| Wash. | - |  | 99 | 1 | 30 | 17 | 3 | 85 | 2 | 2 | 44 |  |
| Oreg. | - | - | - | - | 13 | 26 | 2 | 35 | - | - | 4 | - |
| Calif. | 10 | 122 | 148 | 3 | 87 | 79 | 5 | 152 | 6 | 19 | 138 | 3 |
| Alaska | - |  | 5 | - | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 19 | - | 3 |
| Hawaii | - | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Guam | Na | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | NA | 1 | NA | Na | - | - |
| P.R. | 11 | 88 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 35 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| V.I. | - | 2 | 4 | - |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | NA | - | 3 | - | - | - | NA | 1 | NA | Na | 1 | - |

NA: Not available.
All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 4, 1981 and March 29, 1980 (13th week)

| AEPORTING AREA | TUBERCULOSIS |  | rula REMIA <br> CUM <br> 1981 | TYPHOID FEVER |  | TYPHUS FEVER (Tiek-horne) (RMSF) |  | VENEREAL DISEASES (Civilian) |  |  |  |  |  | fabies (in Animals) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | GONORAHEA |  |  | ILIS \Prin. | Sec.) |  |
|  | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUMM. } \\ & 1881 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CuM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CuM. } \\ & \text { 1980 } \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CUM. } \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES 482 |  | 6,210 |  | 22 | 15 | 113 | 1 | 14 | 19.593 | 239.527 | 237,254 | 452 | 7,435 | 6,800 | 1,490 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 10 | 170 | - | - | 7 | - | - | 397 | 5.995 | 6,173 | 11 | 172 | 148 | 6 |
| Maine | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 291 | 391 | - | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| N.H. | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 221 | 225 | 1 | 7 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$. | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 100 | 173 | 1 | 6 | 1 | - |
| Mass. | 8 | 95 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 175 | 2.439 | 2.496 | 7 | 102 | 82 | - |
| R.I. | 1 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 284 | 362 | 1 | 12 | 8 | - |
| Conn. | 1 | 39 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 176 | 2.660 | 2.526 | 1 | 44 | 56 | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa. | 52 | 1.058 | - | 5 | 24 | - | 3 | 2.530 | 27.890 | 25.756 | 77 | 1.140 | 926 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 160 | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | 425 | 4.404 | 4,409 | - | 99 | 73 | 1 |
|  | 23 | 464 | - | 5 | 16 | - | 2 | 950 | 11.025 | 10,637 | 55 | 119 | 603 | - |
|  | 1 | 184 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 574 | 5.726 | 4,444 | 7 | 129 | 118 | - |
|  | 26 | 250 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 581 | 6,735 | 6.466 | 15 | 193 | 132 | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL <br> Ohio <br> Ind. <br> III. <br> Mich. <br> Wis. | 62 | 812 | 1 | - | 5 | - | 1 | 1.633 | 36.682 | 38,174 | 34 | 451 | 626 | 192 |
|  | 9 | 148 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 511 | 14.146 | 9.982 | 3 | 72 | 97 | 13 |
|  | Na | 35 | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | 181 | 3.091 | 3.819 | 2 | 32 | 62 | 8 |
|  | 29 | 353 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 107 | 7,980 | 12,149 | - | 216 | 332 | 146 |
|  | 19 | 236 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 586 | 8.169 | 8,260 | 27 | 103 | 106 | - |
|  | 5 | 40 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 248 | 3.296 | 3,964 | 2 | 28 | 29 | 25 |
| W.N. CENT <br> Minn. <br> iowa <br> Ma. <br> $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ Dak. <br> S. İak. <br> Nobr. <br> Kans. | 24 | 206 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 798 | 11.464 | 10.380 | 4 | 130 | 72 | 605 |
|  | 3 | 34 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 172 | 1,881 | 1.778 | 3 | 47 | 25 | 111 |
|  | - | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | 68 | 1.135 | 1.130 | - | 8 | 4 | 220 |
|  | 15 | 83 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 380 | 5.186 | 4.320 | 1 | 64 | 41 | 49 |
|  | $\overline{2}$ | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 150 | 148 | - | 1 | - | 89 |
|  | 2 | 17 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 14 | 305 | 330 | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 52 |
|  | 4 | 27 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 835 | 891 | - | 3 | 1 | 41 |
|  | 4 | 27 | - | - | - | - | - | 135 | 1.972 | 1.783 | - | 7 | 1 | 43 |
| S. ATLAN <br> Del. <br> Md. <br> D.C. <br> V . <br> W. Va. <br> N.C. <br> S. C . <br> Ga. <br> Fla. | 134 | 1.397 | 5 | 3 | 14 | - | 4 | 4.789 | 60.208 | 57,294 | 139 | 1.957 | 1.589 | 98 |
|  | 21 | 16 137 | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | 37 583 | + 900 | 838 5.940 | 16 | 3 155 | 5 | 1 |
|  | 21 | 137 | - | 3 | 5 | - | - | 583 | 6.425 | 5,940 | 16 | 155 | 112 | 1 |
|  | 23 | 80 159 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 350 | 3.994 | 4.254 | 15 | 173 | 111 | 16 |
|  | 2 | 49 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 426 70 | 5.640 866 | 4.771 | 11 | 186 4 | 134 | 16 |
|  | 23 | 263 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 593 | 9.743 | 9,051 | 20 | 153 | 123 | - |
|  | 7 | 121 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 421 | 5.396 | 5,446 | 4 | 134 | 82 | 4 |
|  | 17 | 216 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1.228 | 12,063 | 10,229 | 29 | 508 | 460 | 48 |
|  | 33 | 356 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1.081 | 15.181 | 15,974 | 44 | 641 | 558 | 16 |
| E.S. CENTRAL Ky. <br> Tenn. <br> $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{a}}$. <br> Miss. | 54 | 552 | 2 | - | 4 | - | 3 | 1.982 | 20,066 | 19.078 | 29 | 508 | 543 | 104 |
|  | 18 | 142 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 180 | 2,557 | 2,821 | 1 | 21 | 33 | 31 |
|  | 15 | 184 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 499 | 7,257 | 6,801 | 12 | 201 | 216 | 59 |
|  | 13 | 164 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 911 | 6.659 | 5,440 | 9 | 143 | 107 | 14 |
|  | 8 | 62 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 392 | 3.593 | 4,016 | 7 | 143 | 187 |  |
| W.S. CENTRAL <br> Ark. <br> La. <br> $\mathrm{Ok}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{B}}$. <br> Tex. | 56 | 564 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2.991 | 33,398 | 30,511 | 71 | 1,772 | 1,251 | 304 |
|  | 7 | 54 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 216 | 2,065 | 2,290 | 3 | 36 | 47 | 50 |
|  | 6 | 117 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 595 | 5.258 | 4.828 | 5 | 378 | 295 | 12 |
|  | - | 72 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 191 | 3.318 | 3.068 | - | 44 | 18 | 51 |
|  | 43 | 321 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1.989 | 22.757 | 20.325 | 63 | 1.314 | 891 | 191 |
| MOUNT <br> Mont. <br> Idaho <br> Wyo. <br> Colo. <br> N. Mex <br> Ariz. <br> Utah <br> $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ev}}$. | 8 | 173 | 6 | - | 6 | - | - | 859 | 9.825 | 8.914 | 3 | 210 | 162 | 27 |
|  | - | 17 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 28 | 354 | 326 | - | 4 | - | 25 |
|  | - | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 63 | 391 | 458 | - | 2 | 5 | - |
|  | - | 2 | - | - | $\overline{7}$ | - | - | 9 | 209 | 261 | $\overline{-}$ | 2 | 7 | 2 |
|  | 3 | 11 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 210 | 2.537 | 2,280 | 3 | 65 | 41 | - |
|  | 3 | 41 | - | - | - | - | - | 92 | 1,155 | 1.180 | - | 40 | 25 | - |
|  | 4 | 70 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | - | 294 | 3.235 | 2,469 | - | 44 | 62 | - |
|  | 1 | 9 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 25 | 450 | 441 | - | $5{ }^{3}$ | 5 | - |
|  | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 138 | 1,494 | 1.559 | - | 50 | 17 | - |
| PACIfic <br> Wash. <br> $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{rag}}$. <br> Calit. <br> Alaska <br> Hawaii | 82 | 1. 278 | 1 | 5 | 41 | - | - | 3,614 | 36.099 | 40,914 | 84 | 1,095 | 1,283 | 162 |
|  | 12 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | 239 | 3.013 | 3.280 | - | 23 | 13 | - |
|  | 2 | 44 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 216 | 2.613 | 2.903 | 3 | 27 | 30 | 1 |
|  | 65 | 1.098 | 1 | 5 | 37 | - | - | 3.011 | 26.767 | 32,977 | 81 | 1.017 | 1. 146 | 149 |
|  | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | 83 | 947 | 923 | - | 4 | 1 | 12 |
|  | 3 | 24 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 65 | 759 | 831 | - | 24 | 33 | - |
| Guam <br> P. $\boldsymbol{\text { . }}$ <br> V.I. <br> Pac. Trust Terr. <br> NA | NA | - | - |  | - | Na | - | NA | 14 | 29 | NA | - | - | - |
|  | Na | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | N | - | 89 | 851 | 659 | 19 | 183 | 145 | 20 |
|  | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 10 | 17 | 40 | - | - | 7 | - |
|  | NA | 16 | - | Na | - | Na | - | NA | 82 | 113 | Na | - | - | - |

Not available
All delaved reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending April 4, 1981 (13th week)

| REPORTING AREA | ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\& I }{ }^{+*} \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | REPORTING AREA | ALl CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\& I** } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ALL AGES | $\geq 65$ | 45.64 | 2544 | $<1$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | $>65$ | 45.64 | 25-44 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 658 | 462 | 135 | 29 | 22 | 59 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,401 | 890 | 340 | 84 | 51 | 52 |
| Boston, Mass. | 178 | 108 | 41 | 12 | 12 | 27 | Atlanta, Ga. | 139 | 79 | 36 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 41 | 32 | 9 | - | - | 3 | Baltimore, Md. | 350 | 233 | 85 | 13 | 10 | 7 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 31 | 25 | 6 | - | - | 3 | Charlotte, N.C. | 63 | 34 | 18 | 8 | 3 | 2 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 41 | 28 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Jacksonville, Fla | 100 | 65 | 28 | 7 | $\bar{\square}$ | 4 |
| Hartord, Conn. | 48 | 27 | 10 | 6 | 3 | - | Miami, Fla. | 119 | 74 | 28 | 13 | 2 | 1 |
| Lowell, Mass. | 34 | 28 | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | Norfolk, Va. | 60 | 29 | 19 | 5 | 7 | 4 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 21 | 17 | 4 | - | - | - | Richmond, Va. | 69 | 45 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 8 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 23 | 21 | 2 | - | - | 1 | Savannah, Ga. | 46 | 27 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 47 | 33 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | St. Petersburg. Fla. | 136 | 117 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 8 |
| Providence, R.I. | 59 | 42 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 6 | Tampa, Fla. | 70 | 40 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 9 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 2 | Washington, D.C. | 204 | 118 | 59 | 15 | 6 | 5 |
| Springtield, Mass. | 39 | 24 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 5 | Wilmington, Del. | 45 | 29 | 13 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 33 | 23 | 9 | 1 | - | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 54 | 46 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTRAL | 723 | 454 | 177 | 58 | 18 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala. | 112 | 71 | 32 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,538 | 1,636 | 627 | 158 | 59 | 111 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 60 | 34 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 4 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 43 | 27 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 1 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 63 | 41 | 16 | 4 | , | 3 |
| Allentown. Pa. | 24 | 18 | 6 | - | - | - | Louisville, Ky. | 94 | 61 | 24 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 116 | 80 | 22 | 6 | 6 | 10 | Memphis, Tenn. | 197 | 114 | 51 | 21 | 5 | 9 |
| Camden, N.J. | 51 | 31 | 18 | 2 | - | 3 | Mobile. Ala. | 30 | 23 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 19 | 13 | 5 | - | - | 1 | Montgamery, Ala. | 49 | 36 | 11 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{5}$ |
| Erie, Pa. $\dagger$ | 39 | 33 | 3 | 2 | - | 7 | Nashville, Tenn. | 118 | 74 | 22 | 13 | 4 | 5 |
| Jersey City. N.J. | 51 | 33 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newark, N.J. | 42 | 23 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N.Y. | 1. 351 | 854 | 327 | 107 | 27 | 46 | w.s. CENTRAL | 1.354 | 783 | 352 | 112 | 45 | 46 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 24 | 14 | 9 | - | 1 | 1 | Austin, Tex. | 47 | 32 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Philade/phia, Pa. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 291 | 172 | 88 | 17 | 1 | 15 | Baton Rouge, La. | 63 | 38 | 17 | 3 | 3 | - |
| Pittsburgh, Pa. $\dagger$ | 73 | 45 | 23 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 54 | 27 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Reading, Pa. | 31 | 23 | 5 | 2 | - | 2 | Dallas, Tex. | 196 | 114 | 41 | 19 | 8 | 1 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 142 | 94 | 35 | 4 | 5 | 11 | El Paso. Tex. | 59 | 31 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 21 | 16 | 4 | - | - | - | Fort Worth, Tex. | 76 | 44 | 25 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Scranton, Pa. $\dagger$ | 33 | 26 | 5 | 2 | - | - | Houston, Tex. | 311 | 155 | 91 | 37 | 12 | 7 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 92 | 61 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 4 | Little Fock, Ark. | 52 | 34 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Trenton, N.J. | 36 | 24 | 8 | 3 | 1 | $-$ | New Orleans, La | 228 | 137 | 62 | 12 | 7 | 4 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 28 | 26 | 2 | - | - | 2 | San Antonio. Tex. | 144 | 85 | 40 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 31 | 23 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | Shreveport, La | 44 | 29 | 10 |  | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tulsa, Okla. | 80 | 57 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2. 301 | 1,424 | 588 | 137 | 80 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Akron, Ohio | 90 | 50 | 32 | 2 | 4 | 5 | MOUNTAIN | 597 | 346 | 131 | 54 | 29 | 24 |
| Canton, Ohio | 44 | 36 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 6 | Albuquerque. N. Mex | 87 | 41 | 28 | 10 | 1 | 3 |
| Chicago. III. | 560 | 313 | 158 | 45 | 20 | 10 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 22 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 121 | 72 | 29 | 7 | 8 | 11 | Denver, Colo. | 128 | 67 | 26 | 13 | 14 | 4 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 167 | 90 | 51 | 16 | 2 | 2 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 68 | 45 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 134 | 81 | 36 | 8 | 6 | 4 | Ogden, Utah | 14 | 9 | 24 | 12 | 10 | 1 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 119 | 76 | 32 | 4 | 3 | 4 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 146 | 88 | 24 | 12 | 10 | 1 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 237 | 141 | 65 | 19 | 7 | 6 | Pueblo. Colo. | 19 | 13 | 4 | 1 | - |  |
| Evansville, Ind. | 43 | 32 | 10 | 1 | - | 3 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 39 | 23 | 10 | 3 | - | - |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 60 | 45 | 10 | 5 | - | 2 | Tucson, Ariz. | 74 | 46 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 10 |
| Gary, Ind. | 19 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 65 | 43 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 176 | 108 | 46 | 7 | 9 | 1 | PACIFIC | 1.661 | 1. 108 | 342 | 106 | 50 | 86 |
| Madison, Wis. | 44 | 29 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 4 | Berkeley, Calif. | 21 | 15 | 3 | , | 2 | $\overline{9}$ |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 139 | 100 | 28 | 7 | 1 | 1 | Fresno, Calif. | 67 | 44 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Peoria, III. | 52 | 32 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 9 | Glendale, Calif. | 35 | 32 | 2 |  | - | 2 |
| Rockford, III. | 34 | 26 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | Henolulu, Hawaii | 48 | 30 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 37 | 29 | 7 | - | - | 2 | Long Beach, Calif. | 98 | 64 | 21 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 108 | 74 | 25 | 4 | 3 | 5 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 416 | 283 | 90 | 23 | 7 | 13 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 52 | 35 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 | Oakland, Calif. $\dagger \uparrow$ | 70 | 44 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 24 | 20 | 4 | - | - | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Oreg. | 116 | 83 | 26 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 743 | 484 | 173 | 37 | 30 | 36 | Sacramento, Calif. | 69 | 39 | 15 | 8 | , | 4 |
| Des Moines, Iowa | 55 | 32 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 1 | San Diego, Calif. | 96 | 59 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 34 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 4 | San Francisco, Calif. | 152 | 109 | 24 | 11 | 5 | 10 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 31 | 14 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 1 | San Jose, Calif. | 148 | 94 | 32 | 11 | 4 | 12 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 92 | 62 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 10 | Seattle, Wash. | 176 | 109 | 41 | 16 | 6 | 7 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 27 | 24 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | Spokane, Wash. | 74 | 50 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 71 | 47 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 2 | Tacoma, Wash. | 51 | 33 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 92 | 58 | 28 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 173 | 109 | 44 | 9 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 73 | 49 | 19 | 4 | - | 3 | TOTAL | 11.976 | 7.587 | 2.865 | 775 | 384 | 538 |
| Wichita, Kans. | 95 | 68 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Liquid-Manure Systems - Continued
Evaluations, and Field Studies, NIOSH, Special Studies Br, Chronic Diseases Div, Center for Environmental Health, CDC.
Editorial Note: The Utah incident was the basis for the second report to CDC of a liquid-manure-associated accident for which gas analyses indicated that $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ was the most likely causative agent (2); the first was a report from Wisconsin in 1978 of a young male who collapsed and died while working near a liquid-manure system (3). This gas is extremely toxic and can rapidly cause coma, respiratory paralysis, and death. At low concentrations, the gas has a characteristic "rotten egg" odor. However, this odor may not signal persons to evacuate an area quickly enough to avoid ill effects because olfactory sensation is rapidly impaired by the presence of high concentrations of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

The levels of oxygen and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ measured after the Utah accident would not be expected to cause otherwise-healthy persons to collapse suddenly. However, at the time of the accident, oxygen may have been even more depleted and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ even more elevated than the later measurements indicated. Thus, hypoxia and hypercapnia may have been contributory causes of the observed acute health effects. Analyzing gases as soon as possible after such accidents would help to clarify which specific agents produce sudden death or illness.

Liquid-manure systems are an efficient and increasingly popular method for disposing of or recycling animal wastes. This series of accidents highlights the need for increased awareness on the part of farm personnel of the potential hazards posed by these systems. No one should enter liquid-manure tanks without wearing a self-contained breathing apparatus. Any person entering one of these tanks should also be tied to a cord or rope line, the other end of which is held by a "buddy" standing a safe distance away. Buildings housing liquid-manure tanks should be well ventilated.

The potential hazards of working in confined spaces are an increasingly recognized public health problem. Death or severe illness is not uncommon among persons who have occupational exposure to chemical agents in poorly ventilated areas. For example, a recent report describing 32 deaths indicates that toxic-gas mixtures found in the holds of ships are a common but previously unrecognized cause of death in the fishing industry (4). NIOSH has recently published criteria for recommended standards for work done in confined areas (5).

The increasingly widespread use of liquid-manure systems, their definite tendency to create toxic gases, and the common practice of locating liquid-manure storage tanks directly beneath dairy barns have caused the Food and Drug Administration to be concerned about possible adverse effects of fumes on milk quality. To date, milk from dairies using liquid-manure systems has not been shown to be unwholesome.

Reports of accidents involving liquid-manure systems may be sent through state health departments to the Special Studies Branch, Chronic Diseases Division, Center for Environmental Health, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.
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## Current Trends

## School Immunization Requirements for Measles - United States, 1981

Because schools are the major site of measles transmission in the United States, a major focus of the measles-elimination program has been to document that very high percentages of school-age children are immune to measles. The importance of schools as sites for measles transmission is reflected by the fact that the school-age population $5-19$ years old accounted for almost three-fourths (72.4\%) of the measles cases in 1979 (1), the most recent year for which age-specific data on measles cases are available.

In recent years, increasing attention has been paid to requiring documentation of measles disease or measles vaccination before allowing children of all ages to attend school. In March 1979, only 17 states and the District of Columbia had comprehensive laws requiring evidence of immunity to measles for all students from kindergarten through grade 12. By March 1981, the number of states with comprehensive laws covering all grades had more than doubled (35, plus the District of Columbia) (Figure 2). Fourteen states have only school-entry laws, that is, laws that cover only school entrance at kindergarten or first grade; 1 state's law covers only entrance to elementary school.

During the most recent school year (1979-1980), reporting areas whose laws cover only entry into kindergarten or first grade had higher incidences of measles than reporting areas with comprehensive laws covering all grades. Figure 3 shows the 15 reporting areas with the highest measles incidence during the $1979-1980$ school year. The laws for 9 (60.0\%) of these areas covered only entry into kindergarten or first grade (Florida, Minnesota, New Hampshire, Upstate New York, New York City, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Vermont, and Virginia). Of the 37 reporting areas with low incidences, only 11
FIGURE 2. School immunization laws for measles, by state, March 31, 1981
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$\mathbf{( 2 9 . 7 \% )}$ had laws covering only entry into kindergarten or first grade. Un the basis of this rank order, there is a statistically significant association between having a high measles incidence and having only a school-entry law ( $X^{2}=4.1, p<.05$ ). Several of these states with high incidence-Minnesota, New York, and South Carolina-have since enacted comprehensive school immunization laws.

Day-care centers are becoming increasingly important as sites for measles transmission in the United States. In recent years, numerous outbreaks of measles have occurred in such centers (2-6). The percentage of measles cases affecting children $<5$ years of age has increased from $14.1 \%$ in 1977 to $20.7 \%$ in 1979.
Reported by Surveillance and Assessment Br, Immunization Div, Center for Prevention Services, CDC. Editorial Note: The existence of regulations requiring documented immunity to measles before children are allowed to enter kindergarten or first grade has been shown to correlate with reduced incidence of measles $(7,8)$. The data reported above indicate that comprehensive laws covering all levels from kindergarten through grade 12 are associated with even lower incidence of measles.

Vigorous enforcement of school immunization laws is the key to their effectiveness. Excluding from school students who have not provided documented evidence of immunity to measles is the most effective means of enforcement. Experience with such enforcement programs indicates that necessary vaccinations are quickly obtained by most susceptible pupils and that exclusion from school for significant periods is uncommon (9-11).

The relationship of low measles incidence to comprehensive school immunization laws and to enforcement procedures has recently been emphasized in the report of a nationwide study (12). Of the 54 immunization project areas in the United States (all 50 states, New York City, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and Guam), 13 areas with

FIGURE 3. Reporting areas with highest incidence rates of measles, 1979-1980 school year
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low incidence of measles in 1977 and 1978 were compared with 10 areas with high incidence of measles. There were no statistically significant differences in demographic characteristics, vaccine utilization, or surveillance systems between low- and high-incidence areas. However, in low-incidence areas, school immunization laws were more comprehensive and more strictly enforced. Low- and high-incidence areas did not differ significantly in terms of having school-exclusion provisions in their immunization laws, indicating that such a provision has limited value unless it is rigorously enforced.

Continued progress toward eliminating measles from the United States will require that all states have comprehensive immunization laws covering schools and day-care centers. Enacting and strictly enforcing such comprehensive laws should receive high priority in all public health efforts to control or eliminate measles.
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[^0]:    - Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100.000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
    - Preumonia and influenza
    t Because of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
    $\dagger 4$ Data not available this week. Figures are estimates based on average percent of regional totals.

[^1]:    *Rhode Island and Texas laws extend beyond 12th grade to include college. District of Columbia law includes students through age 25.

