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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Amebiasis Associated with Colonic Irigation - Colorado

The Colorado State Department of Health has reported an outbreak of amebiasis that occurred in the period December 1977-November 1980 and was associated with a chiropractic clinic. All of the cases had received colonic irrigation-a series of enemas performed by machine to "wash out" the colon-a practice that has been gaining popularity recently among some chiropractors, naturopaths, and nutritional counselors. Thirteen cases were confirmed by biopsy review or serologic tests. Seven cases were fatal.

Colorado health officials first learned of the association on January 9, 1981, when a gastroenterologist from Grand Junction, Colorado, reported 2 cases of amebiasis. Both patients had received colonic irrigation at a chiropractic clinic in Montrose. The gastroenterologist knew of 2 other cases of nonspecific colitis who had also had colonic irrigation at this clinic. Colorado Health Department epidemiologists contacted other clinicians and pathologists in this area of the western slope and found several other cases of colitis also associated with the same chiropractic office.

A systematic review was undertaken of all recently diagnosed, biopsy-confirmed cases of colitis in 1980 in the area served by the Montrose Memorial Hospital. Of the 12 cases found, 8 had had colonic irrigation at the same clinic. The practitioners of this clinic were notified, and with their cooperation a more definitive study was performed.

Clinic records showed that 221 individuals had been to this chiropractic office in the period September 1-December 31, 1980. Of these, 180 were reached by telephone and interviewed. Approximately $85 \%$ of these were residents of local towns (Montrose, Delta, Paonia, Norwood, and Ouray); the others were from other parts of Colorado and from other states. Eighty-seven ( $48 \%$ ) of those interviewed had received colonic irrigation (colonic group); 93 ( $52 \%$ ) had received other forms of treatment, such as spinal manipulation, without colonic irrigation (noncolonic group). Twenty percent of the colonic group reported having bloody diarrhea at some time after they began having this treatment; $1 \%$ of the noncolonic group reported having bloody diarrhea ( $X^{2}=15.45$; $\mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$ ).

Approximately $60 \%$ of the individuals contacted submitted stool and blood samples for testing at CDC. Stool results are pending. Indirect hemagglutination (IHA) titers for antibody to Entamoeba histolytica showed that $30 \%(16 / 53)$ of persons in the colonic group had an antibody titer of $\geqslant 128$, whereas $3 \%(1 / 38)$ of the noncolonic group had such a titer ( $p<0.01$ ).

As of February 14, 15 biopsy-confirmed cases of colitis with onsets of symptoms from December 1977 through November 1980 had been identified. Thirteen of these had evidence to support a diagnosis of amebiasis either on the basis of identification of the organism in a biopsy specimen or the presence of a high antibody titer. The other 2 cases have not yet been reviewed. Ten patients had such fulminant disease that they developed bowel perforation and had to have a partial or total colectomy. Seven of these patients died.

Cultures of specimens taken from the colonic irrigation machine after routine cleaning showed heavy contamination with coliform bacteria in virtually the entire system.

Reported by R Simmons, MD, MG Klein, MD, St. Mary's Hospital, Grand Junction; T Canfield, MD, M Benziger, MD, Montrose Memorial Hospital, Montrose; K Lampert, MD, Mesa County Health Dept; P Dickinson, RN, C Goller, RN, K Gordon, K Randol, Montrose County Health Dept; RS Hopkins, MD, State Epidemiologist, R Compton, $T$ Englert, $N$ Spencer, D Manning, LEisnach, Colorado Dept of Health; Parasitology Div and Parasitic Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases; Special Studies Br, Chronic Diseases Div, Center for Environmental Health; Field Services Div, Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.

Editorial Note: The isolation of coliform bacteria from the internal passages of the enema machine suggests that infective amebae from an earlier patient's effluent could have contaminated the common inflow/outflow tubing used for later patients. The usual mode of transmission of amebiasis in the United States is person to person and, rarely, contaminated food or drink. Infection presumably occurs by oral ingestion of amebic cysts. However, this investigation suggests a previously unreported means of infection-i.e., colonic irrigation. Since this practice is widespread, it is possible that other cases have occurred elsewhere through the use of improperly disinfected machines. CDC is interested in receiving reports of such cases, which should be submitted through state health departments.

Diagnosing amebiasis can be difficult. Successful diagnosis is facilitated by multiple stool specimens that are preserved promptly in fixative, concentrated and prepared for permanent stain and wet mount, and examined carefully by trained personnel (1). Sigmoidoscopic swabs or biopsy specimens may also contain identifiable amebae. The IHA serologic test available through state health laboratories and CDC can be helpful in diagnosis. Although only about $10 \%$ of asymptomatic cyst carriers and a minority of those with amebic diarrhea will have positive titers $\geqslant 256$ ), about $85 \%$ of those with invasive amebic dysentery and over $90 \%$ of those with amebic abscesses will have positive titers (2).

Intestinal amebiasis can resemble Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis, prompting the use of steroids that could exacerbate the infection (3). In such situations, early diagnosis and treatment of amebiasis may prevent complications such as perforation and even death.
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## Measles - Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, 1980

During a recent measles outbreak, a township in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, excluded from all township schools children who lacked documented proof of measles vaccination or antibody. This action was taken because measles had spread beyond the originally affected school and because students from different schools often interact during social activities and ride the same school bus. This control measure may have stemmed a potentially large outbreak.

In the period November 14-December 24, 1980, a total of 27 cases of measles occurred in 3 of the 11 schools in the township. Another case was that of a 23 -year-old teacher who worked at the central recreation center used by the students of the township schools (Figure 1). All cases had a prodrome of 3 or more days, a generalized maculopapular rash lasting 4 days or longer, a temperature of $\geqslant 101 \mathrm{~F}$ ( 38.3 C ), and cough, coryza, or conjunctivitis. The index patient, a 13-year-old student in the middle school, had been to Philadelphia on November 1, when measles cases were being reported there. Two of the 28 cases were confirmed by detection of measles-specific $\lg \mathrm{M}$ hemagglutination inhibition antibody.

A review of records in all 11 schools in the affected school district during the first week of December revealed that 1,968 ( $44.5 \%$ ) of the 4,424 enrolled students did not have on file adequate proof of vaccination (i.e., since 1968 and after the first birthday) or adequate evidence of measles disease (presence of detectable measles antibody). On December 5, the parents of all 1,968 students were issued a warning stating that their children would be excluded from school if they were not vaccinated or if they failed to show proof of measles antibody by December 9. On this date, vaccination clinics were held in each of the schools, and a certification office was established in the high school. Each student had to produce proof of adequate vaccination or documentation of measles antibody before being re-admitted.
FIGURE 1. Measles cases by date of onset, Montgomery County schools, November 14December 24, 1980


PRODROME ONSET (2-DAY PERIODS)

## Measles - Continued

A total of 460 students ( $10.4 \%$ of the total enrollment) were excluded on December 9. A make-up clinic at the high school on December 10 reduced the number excluded to 279 (6.3\%). By December 19, only 13 ( $0.3 \%$ ) students were still excluded, mostly for medical reasons. Five cases of measles occurred after December 10; 3 of these were definately incubating on the second day of the vaccination clinics, and the 2 others may have been.
Reported by C Butler, RN, EJ Witte, VMD, RD Gens, MD, Pennsylvania State Dept of Hea/th; Immunization Div, Center for Prevention Services, CDC.
Editorial Note: Prompt identification and exclusion from school of children who lack an adequate history of measles vaccination or immunity has proved effective in controlling measles outbreaks in schools (1). The decision in Montgomery County to apply this control measure to all 11 schools in the affected township appears to have been justified since substantial geographic spread had occurred before this measure was instituted. In 1 township elementary school without prior cases, 2 cases were incubating at the time the control program was implemented. Had investigators waited until these cases had been detected to apply the exclusion rule to that elementary school, several more cases and further geographic spread might have occurred.
(Continued on page 109)

TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States
[Cumulative totals include revised and delayed reports through previous weeks.]

| DISEASE | gth WEEK ENDING |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & 1976-1980 \end{aligned}$ | CUMULATIVE, FIRST 9 WEEKS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 7 <br> 1981 | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 1 \\ 1980 \end{gathered}$ |  | March 7 1981 | March 1 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & \text { 1976-1980 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ataptic meningitis | 61 | 73 | 35 | 547 | 591 | 347 |
| Brucsilosis | 1 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 30 | 30 |
| Chickenpox | 6,528 | 6.259 | 6.208 | 46,175 | 42,852 | 47.108 |
| Diphtheria | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 18 |
| Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne \& unspec.) | 9 | 10 | 11 | 116 | 107 | 107 |
| Post-infectious | 1 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 23 | 25 |
| Hepatitis, Viral: Type B | 382 | 285 | 288 | 2.986 | 2.602 | 2.566 |
| Type A | 541 | 613 | 620 | 4.115 | 4.753 | 5.074 |
| Type unspecified | 187 | 196 | 196 | 1.848 | 1.765 | 1.567 |
| Malaria | 32 | 30 | 6 | 213 | 233 | 69 |
| Masales (rubeola) | 80 | 324 | 615 | 409 | 1.461 | 3.147 |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 110 | 83 | 57 | 893 | 528 | 463 |
| Civilian Military | 110 | 81 | 57 | 892 | 522 | 460 |
| Mumps Military | 107 | 2¢ 2 | 500 | 1 905 | 6 2.265 | 3.633 |
| Pertussis | 107 | 293 14 | 500 | 905 175 | 2.265 185 | 3.633 210 |
| Rubella (German measles) | 58 | 114 | 298 | 416 | 629 | 1.657 |
| Tetanus | - | 1 | - | 8 | 6 | 6 |
| Tuberculosis | 553 | 564 | 564 | 4.050 | 3.948 | 4.391 |
| Tularemia | 2 | - | 2 | 16 | 13 | 14 |
| Typhoid fever | 9 | 9 | ¢ | 74 | 43 | 62 |
| TYphus fever, tick-borne (Rky. Mt. spotted) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 8 |
| Veneraal diseases: <br> Gonarmea: Civilian |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gonormea: Civilian Military | 17.347 544 | $\begin{array}{r} 20,165 \\ 427 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,304 \\ 453 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 165,372 \\ 4,777 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166.052 \\ 4.706 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 163.060 \\ 4.818 \end{array}$ |
| Syphilis, primary 81 secondary: Civilian | 525 | 515 | 455 | 5.152 | 4.527 | 4,169 |
| Military | 10 | 10 | 8 | 70 | 74 | 56 |
| Rabies in animals | 99 | 93 | 55 | 849 | 806 | 400 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | CUM. 1981 |  | CUM. 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Poliamyelitis: Total | - |
| Botulism | 9 | Paralytic | - |
| Cholera | - | Psittacosis Ohio 1, Wash. 2 | 13 |
| Congenital ruballa syndrome Calif. 1 | 2 | Rabies in man | - |
| Leprosy Texas 2, Nev. 1. Calif. 2, Hawaii 1 | 40 | Trichinoris Mass, 1, Conn. 3, N.J. 1, Tenn. 1, Calif. 1 | 47 |
| Leptospirosis Ohio 1, Hawaii 2 | 10 | Typhus tever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) | - |
| Plague N, Max. 1 | 1 |  |  |

All delayed reports and corrections will be iricluded in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 7, 1981 and March 1, 1980 (9th week)


NN: Not notifiable.
All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 7, 1981 and March 1, 1980 ( 9 th week)

| REPORTING AREA | MEASLES (RUBEOLA) |  |  | meningococcal infections TOTAL |  |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | RUBELLA |  | TETANLIS <br> cum. <br> 1481 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CuM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | CUM. <br> 1981 | cum. $1880$ | 1881 | CUM. 1991 | 1981 | 1881 | CUM. 1981 |  |
| UNITED STATES | 80 | 409 | 1,461 | 110 | 893 | 528 | 107 | 909 | 23 | 58 | 416 | 8 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 6 | 14 | 129 | 4 | 58 | 23 | 6 | 39 | - | - | 47 | - |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | 8 | 1 | 4 | 10 | - | - | 30 | - |
| N.H. | - | 2 | 78 | - | 5 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | 11 | - |
| Vt. | - | 1 | 49 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 6 | 7 | - | 2 | 14 | 8 | - | 14 | - | - | 6 | - |
| R.I. | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | - | 4 | 1 | 2 | 26 | 8 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 36 | 151 | 261 | 11 | 89 | 87 | 10 | 83 | 1 | 2 | 50 | 1 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 26 | 115 | 77 | 5 | 28 | 38 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 24 | - |
| N.Y. City | 4 | 13 | 74 | 2 | 6 | 20 | 2 | 10 | - |  | 9 | 1 |
| N.J. | - | 7 | 23 | 2 | 33 | 18 | 4 | 19 | - | - | 15 | - |
| Pe. | 6 | 16 | 87 | 2 | 22 | 11 | 3 | 31 | - | - | 2 | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 9 | 33 | 166 | 24 | 100 | 64 | 21 | 271 | 5 | 10 | 87 | 1 |
| Ohio | 4 | 11 | 18 | 6 | 32 | 29 | 3 | 46 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Ind. | 1 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 35 | 3 | 2 | 30 | - |
| III. | 1 | 6 | 45 | 10 | 30 | 6 |  | 38 |  | 2 | 20 | - |
| Mich. | 3 | 14 | 57 | 7 | 22 | 13 | 12 | 107 | - | 1 | 10 | 1 |
| Wis. | - | - | 35 | - | 4 | 4 | 3 | 45 | 1 | 3 | 25 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 1 | 3 | 186 | 2 | 27 | 20 | 6 | 69 | 5 | 4 | 22 | 2 |
| Minn. | - | 1 | 131 | - | 12 | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | 1 |
| lowa | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | B | 2 | 3 | 23 | - | - | - | - |
| Mo. | - | - | 34 | - | 3 | 9 | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kans. | - | - | 18 | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | 42 | 1 | 4 | 16 | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 10 | 89 | 383 | 28 | 241 | 116 | 21 | 139 | 2 | 7 | 47 | 1 |
| Dal. | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | - | - | 10 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 26 | - | - | - | - |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Va. | - | - | 72 | 4 | 25 | 12 | 2 | 35 | - | - | 5 | - |
| W. Va. | - | 3 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 23 | - | - | 10 | - |
| N.C. | - | - | 29 | 3 | 32 | 22 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - |
| S.C. | - | - | - | 7 | 34 | 13 | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | 1 |
| Ga . | 1 | 41 | 190 | 5 | 41 | 27 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - |
| Fie. | 9 | 45 | 80 | 4 | 81 | 29 | 10 | 32 | 2 | 4 | 14 | - |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | 1 | 90 | 9 | 72 | 50 | 4 | 32 | 2 | 4 | 11 | - |
| Ky. | - | - | 28 | 5 | 22 | 14 | - | 14 | 2 | 1 | 6 | - |
| Tenn. | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | 23 | 14 |  | 9 | - | 3 | 5 | - |
| Ala. | - | - | 12 | 1 | 18 | 14 | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Miss. | - | - | 46 | $=$ | 9 | 8 | $\underline{-}$ | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 3 | 25 | 100 | 17 | 176 | 60 | 3 | 41 | - | 2 | 28 | 1 |
| Ark. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ls. | - | , | 3 | - | 36 | 23 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Okla. | - | 2 | 50 | 3 | 9 | 4 | - |  | _ | - | 2 | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ |
| Tex. | 2 | 22 | 46 | 12 | 115 | 30 | 3 | 38 | - | 2 | 26 | 1 |
| MOUNTAIN | 1 | 8 | 33 | 2 | 36 | 29 | 4 | 30 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 1 |
| Mont. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | - | 1 |  |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |  | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Calo. | - | - | 2 | 2 | 17 | 8 | - | 11 | 4 | 4 | 13 | - |
| N. Max. | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Ariz. | 1 | 1 | 10 | - | 7 | 5 | - | 6 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Uteh | - | - | 18 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Nev. | - | 7 | 2 | - | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| PACIFIC | 14 | 85 | 113 | 13 | 94 | 79 | 32 | 205 | 4 | 25 | 106 | 1 |
| Wasth. | , | - | 21 | 5 | 16 | 13 | 6 | 61 | - | 4 | 20 | - |
| Oreg. | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 28 | - | - | 3 | - |
| Calif. | 14 | 84 | 89 | 6 | 64 | 55 | 25 | 108 | 3 | 21 | 83 | 1 |
| Alaska | , | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 21 | , | 1 |
| Hawaii | - | 1 | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | 7 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Guam | NA | 1 | 1 | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | - | Na | - | NA | NA | - | - |
| P.R. | 5 | 41 | 11 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| V.I. | NA | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | Na | 1 | NA | NA | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | NA | - | 3 | - | - | - | NA | - | NA | Na | 1 | - |

NA: Not available.
All delayed reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 7, 1981 and March 1, 1980 (9th week)

| Reporting area | TUgERCuIDSIS |  | tula REMIA | TYPHOID FEVER |  | TYPHUS FEVER(Tick-borne)(RMSF) |  | Venereal diseases (Civilian) |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { RABIES } \\ \text { (in } \\ \text { Animals) } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | GONORRHEA |  |  | SYPHILIS (Pri. 8 Sec.) |  |
|  | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { 1981 } \end{aligned}$ |  | CUM. 1981 | 1981 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & \text { I981 } \end{aligned}$ | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | CUM. <br> 1880 | 1981 | CuM. 1981 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CUM. } \\ 1980 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 553 | 4,050 | 16 | 9 | 74 | 2 | 12 | 17.347 | 165.372 | 166.052 | 525 | 5.152 | 4,527 | 849 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 23 | 115 | - | 4 | 5 | - | - | 450 | 4.308 | 4.549 | 18 | 127 | 105 | 5 |
| Maine <br> N.H. | 2 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | 205 | 302 | - | 1 | - | 5 |
| $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{H}$. Vt. | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | 163 | 149 | - | 9 |  |  |
| Vt | 5 | 7 | - | $\overline{-}$ | $\overline{5}$ | - | - | 8 | 63 | 136 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Mass. R.I. | 11 | 68 | - | 4 | 5 | - | - | 159 | 1.720 | 1,784 | 12 | 72 | 61 | - |
| R.I. Conn. | 4 | 5 26 | - | - | - | - | - | 23 215 | 191 1.966 | 265 .913 | 6 | 10 | 3 40 | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 113 | 703 | - | 2 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1,779 | 18,228 | 17.699 | 23 | 733 | 647 | 1 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 11 | 111 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 287 | 2,702 | 2,706 | - | 60 | 46 | 1 |
| N.Y. City | 50 | 289 | - | 1 | 6 | - | 2 | NA | 6,375 | 7.487 | NA | 448 | 432 | - |
| N.J. | 17 | 167 | - | - | - | - | - | 793 | 4,483 | 2.933 | 7 | 93 | 83 |  |
| Pa . | 35 | 136 | - | - | - | - | - | 699 | 4.668 | 4.573 | 16 | 132 | 86 | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 71 | 515 | - | - | 6 | - | 1 | 2,068 | 25,731 | 28,513 | 41 | 282 | 423 | 87 |
| Ohio | 10 | 88 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 567 | 9,922 | 7,457 | 2 | 52 | 63 | 7 |
| Ind, | - | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | 331 | 2,201 | 3,090 | 2 | 21 | 42 | 6 |
| III. | 30 | 230 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 443 | 5,582 | 9,252 | - | 117 | 244 | 49 |
| Mich. | 28 | 154 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 548 | 5.746 | 5,862 | 35 | 72 | 56 | - |
| Wis. | 3 | 20 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 179 | 2.280 | 2,852 | 2 | 20 | 18 | 25 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 17 | 138 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 732 | 8,041 | 7.207 | 15 | 95 | 46 | 355 |
| Minn. | 5 | 22 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 115 | 1.286 | 1.276 | 8 | 28 | 16 | 74 |
| lown | - | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | 87 | 790 | 813 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 131 |
| Mo. | 8 | 47 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 291 | 3,584 | 2,983 | 4 | 52 | 25 | 26 |
| N. Dak. | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | 100 | 97 | 1 | 1 | - | 63 |
| S. Dak. | - | 9 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 25 | 224 | 250 | - | - | - | 24 |
| Nabr. | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 58 | 610 | 615 | $\overline{-}$ | 3 | 1 | 17 |
| Kans. | 4 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | 131 | 1.447 | 1,173 | 1 | 6 | - | 20 |
| S ATLANTIC | 96 | 876 | 4 | 2 | $s$ | - | 4 | 4,562 | 42.315 | 40,296 | 205 | 1.411 | 1,092 | 58 |
| Del. <br> Md. | 1 | 7 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 65 | 644 | 602 | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | - |
| Md. | 2 | 67 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 443 | 4.380 | 3,823 | 15 | 108 | 82 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}$. | 1 | 58 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 295 | 2,858 | 3,005 | 26 | 128 | 70 | 11 |
| W. Va. | 3 | 74 36 | - | $\overline{1}$ | 4 | - | - | 365 55 | 3.892 553 | 3.507 557 | 1 | 133 | 4 | 12 |
| N.C. | 18 | 177 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 678 | 6.982 | 6,366 | 24 | 104 | 78 | - |
| SC. | 9 | 81 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 524 | 3.803 | 3.915 | 12 | 98 | 54 | 1 |
| Ga. | 19 | 133 | - | - | - | - | - | 753 | 8,357 | 7,126 | 35 | 345 | 323 | 31 |
| Fla. | 34 | 243 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1,384 | 10,846 | 11,395 | 79 | 489 | 377 | 12 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 35 | 331 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1.957 | 13.898 | 13,252 | 35 | 379 | 357 | 50 |
| Ky. | - | 72 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 264 | 1,764 | 1,955 | 1 | 17 | 21 | 17 |
| Tenn. | 16 | 118 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 602 | 5.124 | 4,759 | 17 | 149 | 150 | 25 |
| ${ }_{\text {Ala }}^{\text {Als }}$ | 6 | 107 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 810 | 4.597 | 3,701 | 7 | 107 | 59 | 8 |
| Miss. | 13 | 34 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 281 | 2,413 | 2,837 | 10 | 106 | 127 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 80 | 370 | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | 2,084 | 23.557 | 20,796 | 97 | 1.235 | 875 | 174 |
| Ark. | 29 | 51 | - | - | - | - | - | 216 | 1,396 | 1.595 | - | 20 | 30 | 33 |
| La. | 4 | 85 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 377 | 3,650 | 3,269 | 17 | 258 | 198 | 10 |
| Okla. | 12 | 54 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 245 | 2.327 | 2.146 | 1 | 25 | 13 | 24 |
| Tex. | 35 | 180 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 1,246 | 16.184 | 13.786 | 79 | 932 | 634 | 107 |
| MOUNTAIN | 15 | 121 | 5 | - | 5 | - | - | 852 | 6,685 | 6,265 | 4 | 150 | 113 | 23 |
| Mont. | 6 | 12 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 40 | 258 | 231 | - | 3 | - | 23 |
| Idaho | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 20 | 259 | 288 | - | 7 | 5 | - |
| Wro. | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 143 | 175 | - | 1 | 3 | - |
| Colo. | $\underline{-}$ | 8 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 213 | 1,763 | 1.533 | 3 | 40 | 32 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {N. Max. }}^{\text {Ariz }}$ | 6 | 27 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 98 | 808 | 9.942 | - | 29 | 18 |  |
| Ariz. | 2 | 49 | - | - | - | - | - | 244 | 2.114 | 1,702 | - | 32 | 40 | - |
| Utah | - | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 43 | 319 | 314 | 1 | 2 | 4 | - |
| Nev. | - | 12 |  | - | - | - | - | 178 | 1,021 | 1,080 | - | 36 | 11 | - |
|  | 103 | 877 | 1 | 1 | 33 | - | - | 2.863 | 22,609 | 27.475 | 87 | 740 | 869 | 96 |
| Wexh. | 12 | 64 | $=$ | - | - | - | - | 207 | 1.962 | 2,298 | - | 8 | 53 |  |
| Orag. | 2 | 33 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 268 | 1,962 | 1,728 | 2 | 13 | 21 | 8 |
| Calif. | 89 | 768 | 1 | 1 | 29 | - | - | 2.226 | 17.539 | 22.220 | 84 | 701 | 783 | 85 |
| Alaska Hawraii | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 989 | 609 | 634 | - | 17 | 1 | 10 |
| Hawrai | - | 11 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 63 | 537 | 595 | 1 | 17 | 11 | - |
| Guam | NA | - | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | - | 26 | Na | - | - | - |
| P.R. | Na | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | Na | - | 54 | 544 | 379 | 15 | 127 | 85 | 10 |
| V.I. | NA | - | - | NA | 1 | NA | - | NA | 7 | 30 | NA | - | 5 | - |
| Pac. Trust Tarr. | NA | 8 | - | NA | - | NA | - | NA | 46 | 94 | NA | - | - | - |

NA: Not available.
All delay日d reports and corrections will be included in the following week's cumulative totals.

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending March 7, 1981 (9th week)

| REPORTING AREA | ALL CAUSES, $\mathrm{gY} \mathrm{AGE} \mathrm{(YEARS)}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\& I": } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | REPORTING AREA | ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \mathrm{~g}_{1} \mathrm{E}^{\circ} \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ALL AGES | $>65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | $<1$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | $>65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 620 | 408 | 145 | 28 | 24 | 53 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,302 | 716 | 369 | 117 | 52 | 78 |
| Boston, Mass. | 159 | 95 | 46 | 8 | 6 | 19 | Atlanta, Ga. | 202 | 109 | 52 | 17 | 16 | 7 |
| Bridgepart, Conn. | 54 | 38 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 4 | Baltimore, Md. | 170 | 91 | 46 | 21 | 5 | 8 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 26 | 20 | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | Charlotte, N.C. | 91 | 46 | 31 | 7 | 3 | 8 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 25 | 21 | 4 | - | - | - | Jacksonville, Fla. | 111 | 66 | 31 | 9 | 3 | 4 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 47 | 30 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | Miami, Fla. | 147 | 74 | 44 | 15 | 6 | 4 |
| Lowell, Mass. | 25 | 16 | 8 | - | - | - | Norfolk, Va. | 56 | 26 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 10 |
| Lymn, Mass. | 21 | 19 | - | 1 | - | 2 | Richmond, Va. | 66 | 32 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 26 | 17 | 7 | 2 | - | - | Savannah, Ga. | 55 | 27 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 10 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 36 | 17 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 6 | St. Petarsburg, F/a. | 107 | 89 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Providence, R.I. | 53 | 31 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tampa, Fla. | 92 | 54 | 30 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 13 | 7 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | Washington, D.C. | 166 | 77 | 53 | 18 | 8 | 8 |
| Springtield, Mass. | 54 | 39 | 11 | - | 1 | 4 | Wilmington, Dal. | 39 | 25 | 11 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Watarbury, Conn. | 27 | 19 | 6 | 2 | - | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 54 | 39 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTRAL | 785 | 477 | 210 | 49 | 33 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala. | 133 | 77 | 41 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2.400 | 1,566 | 565 | 153 | 60 | 125 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 51 | 35 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 52 | 31 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 2 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 52 | 39 | 10 | 3 | - | - |
| Allentown, Pa | 23 | 13 | 8 | 2 | - | 2 | Louisville, Ky. | 140 | 80 | 42 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 100 | 61 | 25 | 2 | 9 | 9 | Memphis, Tenn. | 201 | 117 | 52 | 15 | 13 | 8 |
| Camden, N.J. | 39 | 30 | 7 | 2 | - | 2 | Mobile, Ala. | 47 | 26 | 16 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 28 | 18 | 9 | 1 | - | - | Montgomery, Ala. | 48 | 32 | 11 | 5 | - | 7 |
| Erie, Pa.t | 34 | 18 | 10 | 3 | - | 1 | Nashville, Tenn. | 113 | 71 | 31 | 8 | 1 | 10 |
| Jarsey City, N.J. | 52 | 22 | 18 | 6 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newark, N.J. | 63 | 28 | 19 | 8 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N.Y. | 1,307 | 862 | 286 | 99 | 28 | 54 | W.S CENTRAL | 1,461 | 827 | 365 | 130 | 74 | 55 |
| Patarson, N.J. | 20 | 11 | 6 | $\overline{7}$ | 2 | - | Austin, Tex. | 58 | 39 | 14 | 5 | - | 4 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. $\dagger$ | 249 | 176 | 85 | 17 | 6 | 19 | Baton Rouga, La | 60 | 40 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa-t | 59 | 38 | 19 | 1 | - | 3 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 48 | 29 | 13 | 1 | 3 | $\overline{7}$ |
| Reading, Pa | 33 | 28 | 3 | - |  | 4 | Dallas, Tex. | 234 | 137 | 63 | 19 | 8 | 7 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 111 | 82 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 7 | El Paso, Tex. | 51 | 36 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Schenectady, N. Y. | 30 | 23 | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 102 | 56 | 20 | 6 | 18 | 5 |
| Scranton, Pa, $\dagger$ | 27 | 23 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | Houston, Tex. | 395 | 184 | 107 | 57 | 17 | 7 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 44 | 31 | 9 | - | 3 | 2 | Litte Rock, Ark. | 52 | 29 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Tranton, N.J. | 39 | 31 | 8 | - | - | 5 | New Orleans, La | 119 | 64 | 36 | 8 | 5 | 1 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 23 | 21 | 2 | - | - | 1 | San Antonio, Tex. | 186 | 118 | 43 | 11 | 8 | 12 |
| Yonkers, N. Y. | 27 | 19 | 7 | 1 | - | 3 | Shreveport, La. | 58 | 34 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tulsa, Okla | 98 | 61 | 23 | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,250 | 1,379 | 547 | 153 | 80 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Akron, Ohio | 75 | 48 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 2 | MOUNTAIN | 653 | 396 | 158 | 38 | 40 | 29 |
| Canton, Ohio | 30 | 21 | 7 | - | 1 | 1 | Albuquerque, N. Max. | 54 | 31 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Chicago, III. | 497 | 279 | 131 | 46 | 15 | 7 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 34 | 23 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 128 | 92 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 12 | Denver, Colo. | 122 | 78 | 27 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 174 | 91 | 53 | 10 | 13 | 1 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 65 | 31 | 22 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 135 | 68 | 38 | 13 | 9 | 10 | Opden, Utah | 12 | 9 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 98 | 68 | 21 | 4 | 3 | 1 | Phoanix, Ariz. | 158 | 97 | 36 | 9 | 11 | 3 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 285 | 169 | 69 | 25 | 12 | 9 | Pueblo, Colo. | 27 | 20 | 5 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 57 | 38 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 63 | 38 | 22 | 3 | 8 | 3 |
| Fort Wzyne, lnd. | 56 | 43 | 9 | , | 2 | 2 | Tucson, Ariz. | 118 | 69 | 32 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Gary, Ind. | 11 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Mapids, Mich. | 64 | 45 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 155 | 80 | 47 | 14 | 5 | 2 | PACIFIC | 2.047 | 1. 309 | 458 | 130 | 75 | 75 |
| Madison, Wis. | 48 | 30 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 4 | Berkeley, Calif. | 21 | 13 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 149 | 107 | 27 | 8 | 3 | - | Fresno, Calif. | 56 | 36 | 14 | 5 | - | 3 |
| Peoria, III. | 46 | 29 | 9 | 5 | 2 | - | Glendale, Calif. | 31 | 23 | 5 | 3 | - | - |
| Rockford, III. | 48 | 31 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 58 | 35 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 38 | 31 | 4 | - | 1 | 2 | Long Baach, Calif. | 104 | 67 | 24 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 92 | 57 | 24 | 5 | 1 | 3 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 725 | 465 | 146 | 50 | 26 | 18 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 64 | 47 | 10 | 4 | - | - | Oakland, Calif. | 83 | 54 | 19 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 23 | 16 | 5 | 1 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Orag. | 131 | 89 | 30 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 751 | 504 | 141 | 47 | 33 | 41 | Sacramento, Calif. | 70 | 41 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| Das Mainas, lowa | 67 | 42 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 1 | San Diego, Calif. | 151 | 95 | 41 | 9 | 4 | - |
| Duluth, Minn. | 42 | 36 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | San Francisco, Calif. | 161 | 98 | 40 | 13 | 8 | 1 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 36 | 20 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 | San Jose, Calif. | 168 | 118 | 30 | 11 | 5 | 11 |
| Kankas City, Mo. | 117 | 79 | 22 | 9 | 7 | 6 | Sasttle, Wash. | 161 | 92 | 47 | 11 | 5 | 14 |
| Lincoln, Nabr. | 35 | 26 | 6 | 1 | - | 2 | Spokane, Wash. | 49 | 32 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 87 | 54 | 22 | 2 | 5 | 4 | Tacoma, Wash. | 55 | 35 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 92 | 66 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St Louis, Mo. | 123 | 72 | 31 | 10 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 78 | 60 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 5 | TOTAL | 12,269 | 7. 582 | 2.958 | 845 | 471 | 565 |
| Wichita, Kans. | 74 | 49 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]In school outbreaks, control efforts directed only at schools with known cases may be inadequate because of contact among students from various schools. Therefore, it is best to exclude children who lack an adequate history of measles vaccination or immunity not only from schools reporting cases, but also from surrounding schools. The greater the number of surrounding schools involved in the exclusion process, the greater the likelihood of stopping further measles transmission.

## Reference

1. Orenstein WA, Irvin J, Jennings MR, et al. Measles in a rural Ohio county. Am J Epidemiol 1980; 111:777-89.

## Tuberculosis - California

In 1979, a total of 3,639 newly active cases of tuberculosis were reported in California, for a case rate of 16.0 per 100,000 population. This was 288 cases more than in 1978, when the case rate was 15.0 per 100,000 . Through October of 1980,130 more cases were recorded than during the same period in 1979.

The pattern of tuberculosis in California differs from that seen nationwide. Between 1970 and 1979, the national case rate decreased from 18.3 to 12.6 , whereas in California it only changed from 16.8 to 16.0 . This is because the decrease in tuberculosis cases in native-born populations has been offset in California, and in a few other states, by an influx of immigrants from areas of the world where tuberculosis is still very common.

The age distribution of tuberculosis patients in California also differs from that seen nationwide. In many areas of the country, transmission of tuberculous infection to children is now uncommon, and a tuberculosis-free generation is growing up. Active tuberculosis in older persons stems mostly from infection acquired years ago, often in childhood.

But in California tuberculosis is still a disease of younger populations. Slightly over $50 \%$ of all reported cases in California are under 45 years of age while, nationally, only $38 \%$ are below this age. In Hispanics and Southeast Asian refugees in California, nearly $70 \%$ of cases are under 45 years of age. In the last few years children under 15 years of age have accounted for approximately $8 \%$ of all reported cases in California. Nationally, this age group represents $5.5 \%$ of the total.

In 1979, about 33\% of all tuberculosis patients in California were white; 33\%, Hispanic; 22\%, Asian; and 11\%, black. There is considerable variation among counties. The proportion of cases among Asians, for instance, varies from $2 \%$ in Tulare County to 34\% in Orange County to 58\% in San Francisco County.
Reported by the California Dept of Health Services, in the California Morbidity Weekly Report (1); and the Tuberculosis Control Div, Center for Prevention Services, CDC.
Editorial Note: Nearly 400,000 Southeast Asian refugees have arrived in the United States in the last few years. Based on their declared place of destination, about onethird of them are located in California. The actual figure is probably higher because of secondary migration into California from other states. Approximately $1 \%-2 \%$ of newly arriving Southeast Asian refugees have tuberculous disease, but they are not infectious on arrival because treatment began before they departed from the resettlement camps. These refugees pose no public health threat, but they do require re-examination, a full
course of therapy, and continued close monitoring. Preventive treatment of their family members is also necessary. Skin-test surveys indicate that about $50 \%$ of refugees have been infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Because most future cases will arise from this group of infected persons, efforts should be made to skin test all refugees and provide preventive therapy to those for whom it is indicated.
References

1. California Department of Health Services: Tuberculosis in California. California Morbidity Weekly Report 1980 Oct 24.
2. CDC. Preventive therapy of tuberculosis infection. MMWR 1975;24:71-2, 77-8.

## Current Trends

## Antigenic Analysis of Influenza A Viruses

Laboratory reports submitted to CDC by collaborating laboratories in the United States thus far this winter indicate that influenza A(H3N2) activity peaked in January 1981, but that increasingly more influenza $A(H 1 N 1)$ isolates were recovered in late January and early February (Figure 1). The ages of patients from whom virus was isolated were stated on 8,568 ( $61 \%$ ) of 14,061 laboratory reports. Although the proportion of influenza $A(H 3 N 2)$ infections documented by virus isolation was only slightly higher for children and young adults than for older persons, $89 \%$ of influenza $A(H 1$ N1) isolates were obtained from younger persons (Table 1).

FIGURE 2. Laboratory surveillance for influenza virus infection and virus isolates by WHO collaborating laboratories in the United States, 1980-1981


TABLE 1. Distribution of specimens tested for influenza isolates, by age group, reported by collaborating laboratories in the United States, October 3, 1980-February 20, 1981

|  | No. (\%) of laboratory reports for persons of known age |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $<\mathbf{2 8}$ yrs. | $\geqslant 28$ yrs. | Total of <br> known age |
| Specimens tested | $6,202(72)$ | $2,366(28)$ | $8,568(100)$ |
| Influenza A(H3N2) isolates | $516(57)$ | $389(43)$ | $905(100)$ |
| Influenza A(H1N1) isolates | $161(89)$ | $19(11)$ | $180(100)$ |

Antigenic analysis of specimens from this winter's epidemic showed that most influenza $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~N} 2$ ) isolates were intermediate between $\mathrm{A} /$ Texas/1/77 and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{Bangkok} / 1 / 79$, being equally inhibited by antisera to both these reference strains. Very few ( $<\mathbf{2 \%}$ ) $\mathrm{A} /$ Texas/1/77-like strains were isolated, whereas about $15 \%$ of isolates were very close to A/Bangkok/1/79.

Influenza $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ isolates from the United States were found to be antigenically heterogenous, as were those from outbreaks in India and the United Kingdom in 1980. Most of the isolates were well inhibited by antiserum to $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{Brazil} / 11 / 78$, but about $5 \%-10 \%$ were inhibited 4 - to 8 -fold less by $A / B r a z i l / 11 / 78$ serum than was the reference Strain. Reciprocal hemagglutination-inhibition tests showed that even strains well inhibited by $A / B r a z i l / 11 / 78$ antisera (e.g., A/England/333/80) were somewhat different from $A / B r a z i l / 11 / 78$ in that they were better inhibited by antiserum to the more distinct variants isolated in 1980, such as A/India/6263/80 (Table 2).

The predominant influenza $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ strains in the United States this winter are all considered to be slight variants from $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{Brazil} / 11 / 78$, since they generally resemble A/England/333/80 or $\mathrm{A} /$ India/6263/80. In some other countries (e.g., Hungary, Israel), however, widespread epidemics this winter of influenza $A(H 1 N 1)$ among young persons were caused by $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{Brazil} / 11 / 78$-like strains.
Reported by the World Health Organization Collaborating Center for Influenza, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

TABLE 2. Hemagglutination-inhibition (HI) reactions of influenza $A(H 1 N 1)$ variants* from 1980

| Antigen | Ferret sera |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A/USSR/92/77 | A/Brazil/11/78 | A/England/333/80 | A/India/6263/80 |
| A/USSR/90/77 | $\frac{320}{80}$ | 320 | 640 | 40 |
| A/Brazil/11/78 | 80 | $\underline{640}$ | 640 | 40 |
| A/England/333/80 | 320 | $\underline{1280}$ | 160 |  |
| A/India/6263/80 | 20 | 80 | 160 | $\underline{320}$ |

[^1]p79. In the article "Influenza A in a Hospital - Illinois," RN Harroff, Div of Laboratories, Illinois Dept of Public Health, should have been included as a contributor.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, circulation 106,874, is published by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia. The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly telegraphs to CDC by state health departments. The reparting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday.

The editor welcomes accounts of interesting cases, outbreaks, environmental hazards, or other public health problems of current interest to health officials. Send reports to: Attn: Editor, Morbidity and Mortality Weakly Report, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

Send mailing list additions, deletions and address changes to: Attn: Distribution Services, Management Analysis and Services Office, 1-SB-419, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333. Or call 404-329-3219. When requesting changas be sure to give your former address, including zip code and mailing list code number, or send an old address label.
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[^0]:    "Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not inciuded.

    - Preumonia and influenza
    $\dagger$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current weak. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weaks.

[^1]:    *The antigenic character of influenza virus hemagglutinin can be assessed by HI testing of virus isolates, using sera obtained from ferrets infected with prototype reference strains. The HI antibody titers obtained with the homologous virus (underlined in the table) are compared with those obtained with recent influenza isolates (Antigen). Antigenic differences between 2 viruses, reflected in reciprocal tests with antisera to both viruses, may be symmetrical (i.e., differences are seen with both sera) or asymmetrical (difference seen with only 1 serum). Titer differences of $\geqslant 4$-fold are considered significant when comparing reactions of 2 viruses with any serum.

