

604 **Supplemental Table 1. Variant filtering criteria<sup>a</sup>**

Primary criteria for autosomal dominant inheritance models	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Not in GATK tranche, AD &gt;10, GQ &gt;50</li> <li>● AF ≤0.0001 in public databases</li> <li>● Genes with ≥2 loss-of-function variants or ≥3 loss-of-function or missense variants</li> <li>● GDI &lt;50th percentile</li> </ul>
Secondary criteria for autosomal dominant inheritance models	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Not in GATK tranche, AD &gt;10, GQ &gt;50</li> <li>● AF ≤0.001 in public databases</li> <li>● Genes with ≥2 loss-of-function variants or ≥3 loss-of-function or missense variants</li> <li>● GDI &lt;75th percentile</li> </ul>
Criteria for compound heterozygotes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Not in GATK tranche, AD &gt;10, GQ &gt;50</li> <li>● AF ≤0.01 in public databases</li> <li>● Genes with ≥2 loss-of-function or missense variants in the same sample that were inherited from different parents.</li> <li>● GDI &lt;75th percentile</li> </ul>
Criteria for previously reported genes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● ≥1 loss-of-function or missense variants in gene with prior evidence for association with sacral agenesis</li> <li>● AF ≤0.001 in public databases</li> <li>● No quality filters</li> </ul>
Criteria for <i>de novo</i> screen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Each member of trio with variant GQ &gt;40 and AD &gt;8; Alternate AD ≥5 in child</li> <li>● AF ≤0.001 in public databases</li> <li>● Focused on loss-of-function or missense variants</li> </ul>
Criteria for autosomal recessive inheritance models	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Not in GATK tranche, AD &gt;10, GQ &gt;50</li> <li>● AF ≤0.01 in public databases</li> <li>● Homozygous for loss-of-function or missense variants</li> <li>● GDI &lt;75th percentile</li> </ul>
Criteria for X-linked recessive inheritance models	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Not in GATK tranche, AD &gt;10, GQ &gt;50</li> <li>● AF ≤0.0001 in public databases</li> <li>● Homozygous (female) or hemizygous (male) loss-of-function or missense variants on chromosome X</li> <li>● GDI &lt;75th percentile</li> </ul>

605 *AD* allelic depth, *AF* allele frequency, *GDI* gene damage index, *GQ* genotype quality, *WGS* whole genome  
 606 sequencing, *ESP* Exome Sequencing Project.

607 <sup>a</sup>The quality of all variants was reviewed using the Integrated Genomics Viewer.

608 When filtering by AF, the maximum AF was used from the following populations/public databases, as annotated by  
 609 ANNOVAR v2018Apr16: October 2014 and August 2015 releases of 1000 genomes - (all + AFR/EUR/EAS), ESP (all +  
 610 AFR/EUR, ExAC-non-TGCA (all + AFR/AMR/EAS/FIN/NFE/OTHER/SAS), gnomAD Whole genome sequencing (all +  
 611 AFR/AMR/ASJ/EAS/FIN/NFE/OTHER), gnomAD Whole exome sequencing (all +  
 612 AFR/AMR/ASJ/EAS/FIN/NFE/OTHER/SAS) ), plus AF from approximately 50,000 internal WGS controls (all +  
 613 AFR/AMR/EUR/EAS/SAS).

614

615 **Supplemental Table 2. Known candidate genes meeting filtering criteria<sup>a</sup>, ordered by gene damage**  
616 **index. National Birth Defects Prevention Study, 1997-2011.**  
617

Child	Gene	Variant	Locus	[Allele1, Allele2]	GQ	AD	AF <sup>b</sup>	CADD	GDI
19	<i>CYP26A1</i>	p.R65S	10:93074313	[G, C]	99	[17, 16]	0	12.6	44.9%
20	<i>BOC</i>	p.H151P <sup>c</sup>	3:113250909	[A, C]	99	[57, 56]	0.0010	0.8	68.8%
21	<i>SIDT1</i>	p.H24D <sup>c</sup>	3:113533091	[C, G]	99	[24, 19]	0	9.0	83.2%
22	<i>PDZD2</i>	p.P1033R	5:32074204	[C, G]	99	[24, 28]	0.00010	23.8	84.9%
19	<i>USF3</i>	p.N607S <sup>c</sup>	3:113659862	[T, C]	99	[68, 61]	0.00020	0.8	85.0%
23	<i>SPTBN5</i>	p.R2992Q	15:41856432	[C, T]	99	[7, 9]	0.00040	24.0	99.7%
2	<i>SPTBN5</i>	p.S583L	15:41883140	[G, A]	99	[26, 14]	0.00040	8.7	99.7%

618 *AD* allelic depth for the [Allele1, Allele2], *AF* allele frequency, *CADD* combined annotation dependent depletion  
619 score, *GDI* gene damage index, *GQ* genotype quality.

620 <sup>a</sup>AF ≤ 0.001, No quality filters.

621 <sup>b</sup>Maximum allele frequency observed in any public database for any subpopulation.

622 <sup>c</sup>Variants not found in ClinVar.

623 The following 34 genes previously reported to be associated with sacral agenesis were evaluated: *ACD*, *ATP6V1A*,  
624 *BOC*, *CCDC191*, *CDX2*, *CDX4*, *CFAP44*, *CLTCL1*, *CYP26A1*, *DRD3*, *GRAMD1C*, *HOXA13*, *HOXB13*, *HOXC13*, *HOXD13*,  
625 *MNX1*, *MORN1*, *NAA50*, *NOP53*, *PCSK5*, *PDZD2*, *PTEN*, *PTF1A*, *QTRT2*, *SIDT1*, *SPTBN5*, *TBX4*, *TBXT*, *USF3*, *VANGL1*,  
626 *WNT3A*, *ZDHHC23*, *ZNF330*, *ZNF80*.

627

628 **Supplemental Table 3. De novo missense and loss-of-function variants meeting filtering criteria<sup>a</sup>,**  
629 **ordered by gene damage index. National Birth Defects Prevention Study, 1997-2011.**  
630

Child	Gene	Variant	Locus	[Allele1, Allele2]	GQ	AD	AF <sup>b</sup>	CADD	GDI
4	<i>METTL1</i>	p.R137X	12:57769384	[G, A]	99	[30, 47]	0.00080	9.7	7.4%
5	<i>EIF3E</i>	p.I196V	8:108229081	[T, C]	99	[39, 30]	0.000020	21.0	10.6%
6	<i>EXOSC1</i>	p.N141S	10:97437250	[T, C]	99	[19, 32]	0.0000090	12.3	10.9%
30	<i>KHDRBS1</i>	p.Y397H	1:32039528	[T, C]	99	[46, 29]	0	31.0	11.4%
7	<i>BRI3BP</i>	p.S169A	12:125025179	[T, G]	99	[41, 37]	0	20.8	16.5%
8	<i>RBPJ</i>	p.I419L	4:26430804	[A, C]	99	[31, 37]	0	12.8	20.8%
9	<i>FAM175B</i>	p.F132L	10:124826723	[C, A]	99	[34, 28]	0	24.0	25.8%
3	<i>OTUD6B</i>	p.R50H	8:91070443	[G, A]	99	[22, 19]	0	33.0	48.7%
10	<i>PRKG1</i>	p.P100L	10:51074889	[C, T]	99	[178, 39]	0	23.5	58.1%
11	<i>REST</i>	p.I644M	4:56930790	[A, G]	99	[39, 40]	0	0.0	69.4%
10	<i>DYNC1H1</i>	p.L525V <sup>c</sup>	14:101985798	[C, G]	99	[57, 42]	0	16.1	74.5%
12	<i>ADRB2</i>	p.M171V	5:148827342	[A, G]	99	[35, 41]	0	21.0	88.4%

631 *AD* allelic depth for the [Allele1, Allele2], *AF* allele frequency, *CADD* combined annotation dependent depletion  
632 score, *GDI* gene damage index, *GQ* genotype quality.

633 <sup>a</sup>AD >8, GQ >40, Max AF ≤0.001 in public databases.

634 <sup>b</sup>Maximum allele frequency observed in any public database for any subpopulation.

635 <sup>c</sup>Variant confirmed in proband via Sanger sequencing. Variant not detected in either parent.

636 None of the variants were found in ClinVar.

637

638 Supplemental Table 4. Variants that met secondary inclusion criteria<sup>a</sup>, ordered by gene damage index.  
 639 National Birth Defects Prevention Study, 1997-2011.

Child	Gene	Variant	Locus	[Allele1, Allele2]	GQ	AD	AF <sup>b</sup>	CADD	GDI
3	<i>SLC35F3</i>	p.K260R	1:234318782	[A, G]	99	[31, 31]	0.000045	24.1	33.7%
27	<i>SLC35F3</i>	p.N355S	1:234323041	[A, G]	99	[23, 29]	0.0010	22.7	33.7%
20	<i>SLC35F3</i>	p.R413K	1:234323215	[G, A]	99	[50, 48]	0.00011	18.5	33.7%
11	<i>SLIT2</i>	p.K561E	4:20533588	[A, G]	99	[15, 30]	0.000030	23.1	45.0%
29	<i>SLIT2</i>	p.D1042N	4:20589703	[G, A]	99	[25, 23]	0.00070	25.8	45.0%
9	<i>SLIT2</i>	p.P1316L	4:20617033	[C, T]	99	[24, 29]	0.0010	18.0	45.0%
17	<i>COLGALT1</i>	p.R102W	19:17559354	[C, T]	99	[24, 21]	0.00041	34.0	52.9%
30	<i>COLGALT1</i>	p.R221H	19:17568546	[G, A]	99	[28, 27]	0.00080	28.9	52.9%
26	<i>COLGALT1</i>	p.T237I	19:17568594	[C, T]	99	[27, 16]	0.0000097	24.7	52.9%
7	<i>SH3BP4</i>	p.R234W	2:235041469	[C, T]	99	[43, 38]	0.0010	24.7	56.4%
20	<i>SH3BP4</i>	p.P612L	2:235042604	[C, T]	99	[86, 60]	0	17.2	56.4%
12	<i>SH3BP4</i>	p.V801I	2:235043170	[G, A]	99	[24, 20]	0.0010	22.1	56.4%
20	<i>ENTPD8</i>	p.R249W	9:137436562	[G, A]	99	[23, 16]	0.00010	28.0	61.2%
17	<i>ENTPD8</i>	p.A124fs	9:137437183	[GC, G]	99	[11, 8]	0.00020	.	61.2%
13	<i>ENTPD8</i>	p. M1	9:137438284	[A, C]	99	[16, 10]	0.00080	23.6	61.2%
1	<i>SLC12A4</i>	p.L842V	16:67946254	[G, C]	99	[33, 30]	0.00010	14.0	61.9%
2	<i>SLC12A4</i>	p.L594F	16:67948128	[G, A]	99	[22, 8]	0.00030	25.7	61.9%
10	<i>SLC12A4</i>	p.L594F	16:67948128	[G, A]	99	[17, 17]	0.00030	25.7	61.9%
1	<i>SLC12A4</i>	p.M587T	16:67948148	[A, G]	99	[14, 11]	0.000018	26.7	61.9%
29	<i>MYO6</i>	p.V781D	6:75881744	[T, A]	99	[21, 16]	0.0010	25.2	62.7%
23	<i>MYO6</i>	p.Q999R	6:75892579	[A, G]	99	[22, 20]	0	7.3	62.7%
6	<i>MYO6</i>	p.N1144D <sup>c</sup>	6:75911689	[A, G]	99	[20, 37]	0	17.8	62.7%
2	<i>BPTF</i>	p.S1005C	17:67910898	[C, G]	99	[25, 24]	0.000036	10.5	64.5%
21	<i>BPTF</i>	p.P1925L	17:67928377	[C, T]	99	[47, 37]	0.00020	24.8	64.5%
4	<i>BPTF</i>	p.Q2402E	17:67945912	[C, G]	99	[83, 77]	0	12.0	64.5%
24	<i>MEGF8</i>	p.R26W	19:42326319	[C, T]	99	[19, 15]	0.000018	20.2	65.5%
29	<i>MEGF8</i>	p.R808C	19:42350271	[C, T]	99	[5, 14]	0.00010	35.0	65.5%
12	<i>MEGF8</i>	p.R1511H	19:42356884	[G, A]	99	[10, 12]	0.00050	23.2	65.5%
5	<i>MEGF8</i>	p.E2662K	19:42376422	[G, A]	99	[14, 6]	0	24.0	65.5%
6	<i>CHD7</i>	p.A1953V <sup>c</sup>	8:60852211	[C, T]	99	[46, 41]	0.00020	23.3	66.6%
4	<i>CHD7</i>	p.D2038E	8:60852839	[C, A]	99	[35, 37]	0.000009	24.1	66.6%
7	<i>CHD7</i>	p.M2482V	8:60856724	[A, G]	99	[45, 39]	0.00030	14.3	66.6%
10	<i>CHD7</i>	p.A684T	8:60865136	[G, A]	99	[30, 38]	0.00030	26.5	66.6%
4	<i>NCAN</i>	p.T468R	19:19226816	[C, G]	99	[27, 22]	0.000019	4.1	69.2%
7	<i>NCAN</i>	p.A703S	19:19227727	[G, T]	99	[41, 26]	0	0.0	69.2%

19	<i>NCAN</i>	p.E855K	19:19228183	[G, A]	99	[51, 43]	0.0010	0.8	69.2%
13	<i>NCAN</i>	p.V1007G	19:19233789	[T, G]	99	[28, 17]	0	0.0	69.2%
13	<i>PCK2</i>	p.T109M	14:24098253	[C, T]	99	[37, 23]	0.00040	33.0	72.6%
8	<i>PCK2</i>	p.T483A <sup>C</sup>	14:24103234	[A, G]	99	[23, 21]	0.00010	28.0	72.6%
19	<i>PCK2</i>	p.R537H	14:24103651	[G, A]	99	[43, 34]	0.00050	33.0	72.6%
26	<i>SGSM1</i>	p.V53I	22:24847651	[G, A]	99	[5, 6]	0.0010	20.7	73.2%
19	<i>SGSM1</i>	p.R58Q	22:24847667	[G, A]	99	[11, 7]	0.000045	24.6	73.2%
10	<i>SGSM1</i>	p.I224V	22:24855549	[A, G]	99	[46, 40]	0.0010	13.8	73.2%

640 *AD* allelic depth for the [Allele1, Allele2], *AF* allele frequency, *CADD* combined annotation dependent depletion  
641 score, *GDI* gene damaging index, *GQ* genotype quality.

642 <sup>a</sup>*AD*>10, *GQ*>50, *AF*≤0.001, *GDI*<75<sup>th</sup> percentile, ≥2 loss-of-function variants or ≥3 loss-of-function or missense  
643 variants.

644 <sup>b</sup>Maximum allele frequency observed in any public database for any subpopulation.

645 <sup>c</sup>Variants are present in ClinVar but predicted to be benign or likely benign or of uncertain significance; sacral  
646 agenesis was not one of the phenotypes reported.