

# **HHS Public Access**

Drug Alcohol Depend. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 July 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Drug Alcohol Depend. 2021 July 01; 224: 108722. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2021.108722.

# Community Overdose Surveillance: Comparing Substances Collected from the Death Scene Investigation to Toxicology Results

Tracy-Lynn E. Lockwood, BS<sup>a,\*</sup>, Philip Huynh, MPH<sup>b</sup>, Alex Richard, BS<sup>a</sup>, Emily Sightes, MPH<sup>b</sup>, Katie Bailey, MPA<sup>b</sup>, Bradley Ray, PhD<sup>b</sup>, Marya Lieberman, PhD<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, College of Science, University of Notre Dame, South Bend, IN 46556, United States;

<sup>b</sup>Center for Behavioral Health and Justice, School of Social Work, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, United States

# Abstract

**Background:** Recent overdose trends are characterized by increased toxicological detection of stimulants with opioids, yet it is unclear whether these substances are mixed prior to consumption or purposefully used simultaneously.

**Methods:** Postmortem toxicology data were collected in Marion County, Indiana, from 45 fatal overdose cases involving heroin, fentanyl, methamphetamine, or cocaine. Substances found by death scene investigators at the scene of the fatal overdose (57 samples) were tested using high-pressure liquid chromatography mass-spectrometry (LC-MS) technology. We compared toxicology and LC-MS results to understand whether substances contributing to overdose were found in combination or separately at the scene of the overdose.

**Results:** Comparing toxicology reports with LC-MS results from substances found at the scene of overdose deaths involving opioids and stimulants reveal that deaths are largely the result of the co-use of opioids and stimulants, rather than use of stimulants combined with opioids.

**Conclusions:** Collecting and testing physical samples from fatal overdose scenes and comparing these to post-mortem toxicology results is a new way to examine polydrug use patterns. This community overdose surveillance method can be used to improve overdose prevention and response efforts.

Conflict of Interest

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: tlockwood@nd.edu, University of Notre Dame, 236 Cavanaugh Dr., Suite 251, South Bend, IN 46556, United States.

Contributors

BR and ML designed and oversaw the study procedures. PH and TL had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the analysis and the accuracy of the data. ES and KB assisted with post-mortem toxicology data collection procedures and manuscript development while AR aided TL and ML in chemical analysis procedures. All authors assisted in writing and preparing the manuscript.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

### Keywords

Toxicology; liquid chromatography mass-spectrometry (LC-MS); stimulant; opioid; overdose deaths

# 1. Introduction

The overdose epidemic remains one of the most pressing public health issues in the United States. There has been a two-fold increase in overdose deaths since 2000 and more than a half million deaths in the past decade (Seth et al., 2018). While many of these deaths have been opioid-related, the specific type of opioid has varied through multiple waves with each resulting in exponential increases in mortality (Ciccarone, 2017; Jalal et al., 2018). This epidemic started with increased availability of prescription opioids, which gave rise to the first wave of overdose deaths in the 1990s (Cicero et al., 2014; Grau et al., 2007). As availability of prescription opioids decreased, there was a second wave of overdose resulted from people transitioning to illicit heroin (Cicero et al., 2014; Rudd et al., 2014; Strickler et al., 2019). Beginning in 2013, the third wave of the overdose epidemic started and was driven by illicitly manufactured fentanyl, a synthetic opioid 50 to 100 times more potent than morphine (Gladden, 2016; O'Donnell et al., 2017).

The number of overdose deaths involving fentanyl has more than doubled each year since 2012, and since 2017 there has been a sharp increase in overdose deaths associated with fentanyl and illicit stimulants, specifically cocaine and methamphetamines (O'Donnell, 2020). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reports that the number of overdose deaths associated with cocaine in 2017 represent a two-fold increase since the peak in 2006, while deaths involving psychostimulants with abuse potential (drugs such as methamphetamine) have increased five-fold since 2010, sparking a fourth wave of the overdose epidemic with overdoses involving both opioids and stimulants (Hainer, 2019; Hedegaard et al., 2020).

While overdose associated with illicit stimulants are on the rise, there is no clarity on the role of opioids in these deaths (Jones et al., 2017, 2020; Kariisa, 2019; LaRue et al., 2019). Sources have reported that stimulants are being combined with fentanyl and that people who use illicit drugs are unaware of this adulteration (Amlani et al., 2015; Hayashi et al., 2018; Klar et al., 2016; McCrae et al., 2019; Nolan et al., 2019; Tomassoni et al., 2017; United States Drug Enforcement Administration, 2018). However, recent studies suggest that people are seeking both illicit stimulants and illicit opioids (heroin or fentanyl) to engage in "speedballing" or "goofballing," in search of the unique effect (Al-Tayyib et al., 2017; Meier et al., 2020; Peiper et al., 2019; Rouhani et al., 2019). Addressing this question can help shape overdose prevention and response efforts.

Local jurisdictions have employed tactics to surveil community-level overdose and drug supply trends, allowing for better prevention and response efforts. At an individual level, urine drug screens have often been used to determine the specific type of drug involved in an overdose event (Korneeva et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018). However, this strategy is only employed with persons who did not overdose or who survived a drug overdose. The National

Lockwood et al.

Vital Statistics System has been utilized to track the increased presence of opioids and stimulants in combination in fatal overdoses by geographical location (Hoots et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2017, 2018; Kariisa, 2019); yet the use of death certificates to determine drugrelated fatal overdose trends presents the challenge of incomplete information as research has documented undercounting of opioid-involved overdose fatalities on local death certificates (Gupta et al., 2020; Lowder et al., 2018; Ruhm, 2018); indeed, it is important that death certificates be linked to toxicology results to obtain accurate data (Hannah et al., 2017). Combining a variety of surveillance data sources, CDC has linked administrative datasets from participating states to create the State Unintentional Drug Overdose Reporting System (SUDORS). This system utilizes local and national information on opioid overdose deaths, such as information from death scene investigations, toxicology reports, and risk factors associated with fatal overdose events to provide timely updates to local stakeholders (Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2019). However, these surveillance methods rely on post-mortem toxicology, which provides information on substances found in a decedent's system and cannot speak to the makeup of the physical substances consumed that contributed to the overdose. While law enforcement provides surveillance insight into local drug supply by conducting tests to identify the presence of combined opioids and stimulants in samples from drug seizures (Park et al., 2020), the vast majority of these interdicted substances are not likely to have correspond to a fatal overdose event (Hart, 2021).

Given their access to information gleaned at a death scene investigation immediately following discovery of a fatal overdose medical examiners, or death scene investigators, play an important role in community overdose surveillance (Williams et al., 2017). Overdose surveillance involves tracking and recording overdose data at the local level in order to observe trends, patterns, and intervention points, with the overall goal of using this data to implement appropriate prevention strategies. In this paper we report on results from a novel death scene investigation study that surveilled the local drug supply by testing substances found at the scene of fatal overdoses. Analytic testing using high-pressure liquid chromatography mass-spectrometry (LC-MS) was conducted on the chemical composition of all substances and paraphernalia collected from the scene of a suspected drug overdose death by death scene investigators in Marion County, Indiana for one year. We compare the analytic composition of the substances from the overdose scene to post-mortem toxicology results to demonstrate the utility of this novel surveillance methodology in understanding polydrug overdose deaths.

#### 2. Methods

The CDC has provided ongoing funding to the Indiana State Department of Health to collect real-time toxicology data in Marion County. These data have been used to surveil trends in fatal overdose events (Carter et al., 2018; Phalen et al., 2018; Ray et al., 2017, 2019) and document gaps in the death investigation process (Gupta et al., 2020; Lowder et al., 2018). The toxicology results, which include both blood and urine screenings, have been collected on all suspected accidental drug overdose events in the county since 2010.

In this study, we focused on a subset of overdose cases that occurred during the data collection period (February 1, 2019 to February 1, 2020). The inclusion criteria were deaths

Lockwood et al.

for which toxicology reports documented the presence of 6-monoacetylmorphine (metabolite of heroin), fentanyl (and other synthetic analogues such as carfentanyl), methamphetamine, or cocaine (see Supplement 1 for a list of all substances including metabolites for substances previously mentioned tested for in the toxicology panel) and were determined to be accidental overdoses. Toxicology reports were obtained from the Marion County Deputy Coroner's Office. Reporting limits for the toxicology results were determined by the testing agency (NMS Labs), and for each substance listed, is the smallest concentration that could be accurately reported for the displayed analyte (NMS Labs, 2020). Analytes, which were included as contributing causes of death, were determined by the death scene investigator following state and national guidelines for cause of death reporting (Indiana Department of Health, 2020). During the data collection period, there were 380 accidental fatal overdoses that occurred in Marion County. Working with death scene investigators, we collected samples from 56 death scenes, of which 46 were determined to be an accidental overdose death. Of the 46 cases, only a single case's toxicology report did not detect one of the four substances of interest; this case was removed from the study. Our final study size was 45 cases, which represents 11.8% of all accidental overdoses in Marion County during the study period. Only one decedent was involved in each case-there were no cases with multiple deaths at one scene

The collection and storage of substances found at a death scene investigation was not previously part of death scene investigation protocol; instead, these substances were disposed of to prevent harm to others in the household of the decedent. Death scene investigators were monetarily incentivized to begin collecting all paraphernalia and substances found at the scene of a fatal overdose for the one-year study period. Personal protective equipment, sample collection and storage supplies, and naloxone kits were provided to ensure safe collection of the substances for later transport to the chemical laboratory. The substances collected by the death scene investigators came from a variety of sources, including spoons, pipes, syringes, cookers, bags, straws, and pill bottles in the form of powder, crystals, or pills. Table 1 displays these sources and indicates what substances were detected in the samples. There was an average of 1.9 (SD=1.5, Range 1–9) samples collected from each death investigation scene. Each substance was individually bagged and placed into a plastic bin containing all samples from that specific case along with the case number and field deputy report (see Supplement 2 for photos of substances). The bins were then transported to the university laboratory where they were cataloged and photographed, and samples of the substances were prepared for LC-MS analysis. Liquid chromatography mass-spectrometry analysis was performed on all samples. Liquid chromatography with tandem mass-spectrometry (LC-MS-MS) was used to confirm the identities of targeted analytes with multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The time between sample collection by death scene investigators and LC-MS analysis ranged from two to four weeks given the availability of transport and instrumentation. In order to transport and test these substances, researchers obtained certification to handle Schedule I-V substances from the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA). Integrity of the samples was maintained by documented chain of custody from the death scene investigators to analysts. Samples were stored for six months after analysis and disposed following the university laboratory's DEA protocol.

We compared the LC-MS analysis from 57 samples collected from 45 fatal overdoses where the postmortem toxicology results indicated presence of an opioid and/or an illicit stimulant. There was at least one sample collected at the death investigation scene from each of these 45 overdose events. In total there were 87 samples of powders, tablets, and drug paraphernalia collected; however, 16 of those samples were leafy materials or paraphernalia (e.g., spoons or cookers) that did not have sufficient drug residues to test and 14 were pharmaceutical tablets and capsules that did not contain any of the four targeted drugs and were excluded from further analysis.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Toxicology and LC-MS Analysis

For the four target drugs, toxicology detected fentanyl in almost all (80.0%; n=36 cases) and methamphetamine in many (31.1%; n=14 cases) of the cases. Cocaine (28.9%; n=13 cases) and heroin (26.7%; n=12) were also detected in many of the cases. Toxicology results also showed that, among the cases studied, nearly half of the fatal overdose cases involved fentanyl in combination with another illicit substance (44.4%; n=20 cases), and only a fifth had illicit substances without fentanyl (20.0%, n= 9 cases).

As shown in Table 2, over one-third of the case toxicology reports detected only fentanyl (35.6%; n=16 cases), followed by cocaine only (6.7%; n=3 cases), methamphetamine only (6.7%; n=3 cases), and heroin only (2.2%; 1 case). In fact, based just on post-mortem toxicology results, the illicit stimulants (cocaine and methamphetamine) were more often detected in combination with fentanyl than alone. Fentanyl with methamphetamine (13.3%; n=6) was twice as common as methamphetamine alone (6.7%; n=3 cases). Similarly, fentanyl with cocaine was detected more frequently (11.1%; n= 5 cases) than cocaine alone (6.7%; n=3 cases). Table 2 also shows that illicit stimulants were more often detected alongside fentanyl than heroin and that only one death involved all four substances.

We linked the LC-MS sample testing to the toxicology results to determine how often we were able to match the drug(s) detected in the toxicology results to the drug(s) found in sample(s) collected from the scene. Liquid chromatography mass-spectrometry information came from the instrumental analysis of the physical samples found at death scenes whereas the toxicology data came from analysis of the decedent's bodily fluids. After LC-MS analysis, fentanyl was detected in slightly more than half (54.4%; n=31 samples) of the samples, followed by heroin (33.3%; n=19 samples), cocaine (31.6%; n=18 samples), and methamphetamine (22.8%; n=13 samples). We were able to identify the same drug noted in the toxicology report from the sample gathered at the scene in all of the heroin, cocaine, and methamphetamine-involved deaths. However, in the 16 cases where the toxicology report detected only fentanyl, only 12 cases yielded samples with enough material for analysis, and only six cases yielded samples that contained fentanyl or residue from prior fentanyl use. In the other ten cases, fentanyl may have been fully consumed by the decedent, leaving no residue of fentanyl at the scene. Further, of the total number of scene samples where only fentanyl was detected (n=12), six of the samples directly matched decedent toxicology reports. The remaining six samples did not match toxicology reports and may have been the result of the gap in time between when a person used a substance, when they were recovered

by the death scene investigator, and when toxicology was run. In all four cases where the toxicology reports indicated both heroin and fentanyl, the LC-MS analysis detected samples that contained this polydrug combination. Other polydrug combinations found in the toxicology reports (fentanyl and cocaine or fentanyl, heroin, and cocaine) did not match to the compositions of any of the samples found at these death scenes (Table 2).

#### 3.2 Combination or Co-Use

From our study, toxicology results indicated that in 51% of the suspected drug overdose deaths, a single substance was detected, and this was predominantly fentanyl (35.6%; n=16 cases). This result is echoed in the LC-MS results from the samples collected at the death scenes. According to LC-MS analysis, 34 of the 57 samples (59.6%) contained only a single substance; 21.1% (n=12) contained only fentanyl, 19.3% (n=11) contained only cocaine, 15.8% (n=9) contained only methamphetamine, and 3.5% (n=2) contained only heroin. For the remainder of deaths, multiple substances were detected by the toxicology screen, usually involving fentanyl as one of the components. Liquid chromatography mass-spectrometry analysis showed that fentanyl and heroin were frequently combined in samples found at overdose scenes (28.1%; n=16). The situation was just the opposite for the 26 overdose deaths involving illicit stimulants as only four of the samples found at the death scenes tested positive for both cocaine and methamphetamine, while cocaine was found separately in 11 samples and methamphetamine in nine samples.

Only three of the scene samples (5.2%) contained a mixture of an illicit opioid and a stimulant; two were cocaine with fentanyl and the third contained cocaine, fentanyl, and heroin. One of the cocaine and fentanyl samples was found in a pharmaceutical bottle that contained a rolled cigarette and the other was a small plastic bag of white powder. The sample that included a mixture of heroin, cocaine, and fentanyl was found in the residue on the inside of a straw. It is unclear whether the combination of these samples of drugs occurred during manufacturing or by choice of the person using the drugs. Within the samples studied, there were no instances of fentanyl or heroin combined with methamphetamine in a single substance. Overall, with the exception of fentanyl and heroin, multiple illicit drugs were rarely found combined in a single sample indicating more significant co-use drug behavior rather than use of combined mixtures.

To further explore the polysubstance toxicology results, we analyzed combinations of illicit drugs found in these cases and whether these components were found separately at the scene of the overdose. Among the 22 polysubstance cases identified in toxicology reports, we identified three (13.6%) in which the separate samples found at the scene matched the same polysubstance combination (see Table 2, rightmost column). Many substances can be detected by sensitive toxicology tests long after they have been ingested, so it is not surprising that substances were sometimes detected in decedents that were not present at the overdose scene (Allan & Roberts, 2009; Hudson, 2020; Pounder & Jones, 1990). This finding reinforces the need to collect more information about the composition of substances that are associated with overdose deaths to assist community health leaders and the implementation of better community overdose surveillance.

# 4. Discussion

As the overdose epidemic continues to evolve, improved surveillance of the substances linked to overdose remains crucially important. As demonstrated in this study, testing substances from the scene of a fatal drug overdose is a means of surveilling local drug markets. Moreover, comparing these results to post-mortem toxicology results sheds light on polydrug overdose deaths and allows for better overdose prevention planning (Larochelle et al., 2019). The key finding from this study is that in Indianapolis, Indiana, from February 2019 through February 2020, stimulants and opioids were not being mixed in the drug supply. This is contrary to claims of illicit stimulants combined with fentanyl. However, fentanyl was frequently found in combination with heroin among the samples examined in this study. This finding is consistent with studies that have shown an increase in the presence of fentanyl in augmenting heroin use (Bode et al., 2017; Carroll et al., 2017; Macmadu et al., 2017; Rhodes et al., 2019).

### 5. Limitations

Substances that were collected by death scene investigators do not necessarily represent all of the types of drugs that were used by those who fatally overdosed. There may be instances where substances at the scene were fully consumed by the decedent and thus could not be collected. Also, we are unable to determine if the substances that were collected at the scene were consumed specifically by the decedent. Study protocol directed that all drug paraphernalia and illicit substances be collected from the scene of the death investigation and stored for research. The substances collected may or may not have contributed to the decedent's death. During our collection period we had 16 samples, mostly drug paraphernalia, that did not contain enough physical substance to be tested by the LC-MS. These were not included in our sample set due to the lack of instrumental analysis results. Further, there were 11 cases in which substances were collected at the scene, but the cause of death listed was something other than an accidental overdose and therefore these cases were excluded from the study. The illicit drug market tends to have a great deal of regional variations in sample composition (Hedegaard et al., 2019). It should be noted that work and all samples collected were from Indianapolis, Indiana, a state in the mid-western region of the United States, and these results may differ in other geographical regions (Dombrowski et al., 2016).

# 6. Conclusion

This study provides a novel overdose surveillance method that includes testing substances from the scene of an overdose and comparing the scene results to the post-mortem toxicology results to understand polydrug use patterns. Based on study findings, and the continued rise in non-fatal overdoses across the United States (Hoots et al., 2020), it is recommended that public health initiatives aimed at reducing overdose risks provide education focused on the risks of stimulant and opioid co-use but also that heroin commonly contains fentanyl. Additionally, the methodology for testing substances at the scene of a fatal overdose described herein may be incorporated in surveillance activities to better identify the local drug supply.

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Alfie Ballew, Chief Deputy Coroner and Mallory Garza, Deputy Coroner, for their assistance in implementing and managing the drug collection protocol at the Marion County Coroner's Office.

#### **Role of Funding Source**

This study was supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) under Grant #5 NU17CE002721–02 and the Indiana Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute (CTSI) under Grant #UL1TR002529 from the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Clinical and Translational Sciences Award. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or Centers for Disease Control. The NIH and CDC had no role in the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data, writing the manuscript, or the decision to submit the paper for publication.

#### References

- Allan AR, & Roberts ISD (2009). Post-mortem toxicology of commonly-abused drugs. Diagnostic Histopathology, 15(1), 33–41. 10.1016/j.mpdhp.2008.11.001
- Al-Tayyib A, Koester S, Langegger S, & Raville L (2017). Heroin and Methamphetamine Injection: An Emerging Drug Use Pattern. Substance Use & Misuse, 52(8), 1051–1058. 10.1080/10826084.2016.1271432 [PubMed: 28323507]
- Amlani A, McKee G, Khamis N, Raghukumar G, Tsang E, & Buxton JA (2015). Why the FUSS (Fentanyl Urine Screen Study)? A cross-sectional survey to characterize an emerging threat to people who use drugs in British Columbia, Canada. Harm Reduction Journal, 12, 54. 10.1186/ s12954-015-0088-4 [PubMed: 26577516]
- Bode AD, Singh M, Andrews J, Kapur GB, & Baez AA (2017). Fentanyl laced heroin and its contribution to a spike in heroin overdose in Miami-Dade County. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 35(9), 1364–1365. 10.1016/j.ajem.2017.02.043 [PubMed: 28268113]
- Carroll JJ, Marshall BDL, Rich JD, & Green TC (2017). Exposure to fentanyl-contaminated heroin and overdose risk among illicit opioid users in Rhode Island: A mixed methods study. The International Journal on Drug Policy, 46, 136–145. 10.1016/j.drugpo.2017.05.023 [PubMed: 28578864]
- Carter JG, Mohler G, & Ray B (2018). Spatial Concentration of Opioid Overdose Deaths in Indianapolis: An Application of the Law of Crime Concentration at Place to a Public Health Epidemic. Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice, 1043986218803527. 10.1177/1043986218803527
- Centers for Disease Control & Prevention. (2019, 7 29). Enhanced State Opioid Overdose Surveillance. Opioid Overdose. https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/foa/state-opioid-mm.html
- Ciccarone D (2017). Fentanyl in the US heroin supply: A rapidly changing risk environment. International Journal of Drug Policy, 46, 107–111. [PubMed: 28735776]
- Cicero TJ, Ellis MS, Surratt HL, & Kurtz SP (2014). The changing face of heroin use in the United States: A retrospective analysis of the past 50 years. JAMA Psychiatry, 71(7), 821–826. [PubMed: 24871348]
- Dombrowski K, Crawford D, Khan B, & Tyler K (2016). Current Rural Drug Use in the US Midwest. Journal of Drug Abuse, 2(3). https://drugabuse.imedpub.com/abstract/current-rural-drug-use-inthe-us-midwest-11072.html
- Gladden RM (2016). Fentanyl law enforcement submissions and increases in synthetic opioid– involved overdose deaths—27 states, 2013–2014. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 65.

- Grau LE, Dasgupta N, Harvey AP, Irwin K, Givens A, Kinzly ML, & Heimer R (2007). Illicit use of opioids: Is OxyContin® a "gateway drug"? The American Journal on Addictions, 16(3), 166–173. [PubMed: 17612819]
- Gupta S, Cohen A, Lowder EM, & Ray B (2020). Validating Imputation Procedures to Calculate Corrected Opioid-Involved Overdose Deaths, Marion County, Indiana, 2011–2016. Public Health Reports, 135(1), 124–131. 10.1177/0033354919890022 [PubMed: 31835011]
- Hainer R (2019, 6 13). Polysubstance Use: A Dangerous Fourth Wave in the Opioid Crisis. Boston Medical Center. https://www.bmc.org/healthcity/population-health/polysubstance-use-dangerousfourth-wave-opioid-crisis
- Hannah HA, Arambula K, Ereman R, Harris D, Torres A, & Willis M (2017). Using Local Toxicology Data for Drug Overdose Mortality Surveillance. Online Journal of Public Health Informatics, 9(1). 10.5210/ojphi.v9i1.7733
- Hart C (2021). Drug Use for Grown-Ups: Chasing Liberty in the Land of Fear. Penguin Press.
- Hayashi K, Milloy M-J, Lysyshyn M, DeBeck K, Nosova E, Wood E, & Kerr T (2018). Substance use patterns associated with recent exposure to fentanyl among people who inject drugs in Vancouver, Canada: A cross-sectional urine toxicology screening study. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 183, 1–6. 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.10.020 [PubMed: 29220642]
- Hedegaard H, Bastian BA, Trinidad JP, Spencer MR, & Warner M (2019). Regional Differences in the Drugs Most Frequently Involved in Drug Overdose Deaths: United States, 2017. CDC: National Vital Statistics Reports, 68(12), 16.
- Hedegaard H, Miniño A, & Warner M (2020). Drug Overdose Deaths in the United States, 1999–2018 (No. 356; NCHS Data Brief). National Center for Health Statistics. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ products/databriefs/db356.htm
- Hoots B, Vivolo- Kantor A, & Seth P (2020). The rise in non-fatal and fatal overdoses involving stimulants with and without opioids in the United States. Addiction. 10.1111/add.14878
- Hudson H (2020, 6 19). How Long Do Drugs Stay in Your System? Addiction Center. https:// www.addictioncenter.com/drugs/how-long-do-drugs-stay-in-your-system/
- Indiana Department of Health. (2020, 12 31). Coroner Resources [Government]. IN.Gov. https:// www.in.gov/isdh/28637.htm
- Jalal H, Buchanich JM, Roberts MS, Balmert LC, Zhang K, & Burke DS (2018). Changing dynamics of the drug overdose epidemic in the United States from 1979 through 2016. Science, 361(6408). 10.1126/science.aau1184
- Jones CM, Baldwin GT, & Compton WM (2017). Recent Increases in Cocaine-Related Overdose Deaths and the Role of Opioids. American Journal of Public Health, 107(3), 430–432. 10.2105/ AJPH.2016.303627 [PubMed: 28177817]
- Jones CM, Bekheet F, Park JN, & Alexander GC (2020). The Evolving Overdose Epidemic: Synthetic Opioids And Rising Stimulant-Related Harms. Epidemiologic Reviews. 10.1093/epirev/mxaa011
- Jones CM, Einstein EB, & Compton WM (2018). Changes in synthetic opioid involvement in drug overdose deaths in the United States, 2010–2016. Jama, 319(17), 1819–1821. [PubMed: 29715347]
- Kariisa M (2019). Drug Overdose Deaths Involving Cocaine and Psychostimulants with Abuse Potential—United States, 2003–2017. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 68. 10.15585/mmwr.mm6817a3
- Klar SA, Brodkin E, Gibson E, Padhi S, Predy C, Green C, & Lee V (2016). Notes from the Field: Furanyl-Fentanyl Overdose Events Caused by Smoking Contaminated Crack Cocaine - British Columbia, Canada, July 15–18, 2016. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 65(37), 1015–1016. 10.15585/mmwr.mm6537a6 [PubMed: 27657853]
- Korneeva N, Cvek U, Leskova A, Hutchinson K, Callahan A, Patek G, Trutschl M, Kilgore PCSR, McGauly P, Goeders N, & Arnold T (2018). Urine drug screen trends from 1998 through 2011 among emergency department patients treated in a University Teaching Hospital. Toxicology Communications, 2(1), 24–34. 10.1080/24734306.2018.1468539 [PubMed: 30906915]
- Larochelle MR, Bernstein R, Bernson D, Land T, Stopka TJ, Rose AJ, Bharel M, Liebschutz JM, & Walley AY (2019). Touchpoints – Opportunities to predict and prevent opioid overdose: A cohort

study. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 204, 107537. 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.06.039 [PubMed: 31521956]

- LaRue L, Twillman RK, Dawson E, Whitley P, Frasco MA, Huskey A, & Guevara MG (2019). Rate of Fentanyl Positivity Among Urine Drug Test Results Positive for Cocaine or Methamphetamine. JAMA Network Open, 2(4), e192851. 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.2851 [PubMed: 31026029]
- Liu L, Wheeler SE, Venkataramanan R, Rymer JA, Pizon AF, Lynch MJ, & Tamama K (2018). Newly Emerging Drugs of Abuse and Their Detection MethodsAn ACLPS Critical Review. American Journal of Clinical Pathology, 149(2), 105–116. 10.1093/ajcp/aqx138 [PubMed: 29385414]

Lowder EM, Ray B, Huynh P, Ballew A, & Watson DP (2018). Identifying unreported opioid deaths through toxicology data and vital records linkage: Case study in Marion County, Indiana, 2011– 2016. American Journal of Public Health, 108(12), 1682–1687. 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304683 [PubMed: 30359109]

- Macmadu A, Carroll JJ, Hadland SE, Green TC, & Marshall BDL (2017). Prevalence and correlates of fentanyl-contaminated heroin exposure among young adults who use prescription opioids nonmedically. Addictive Behaviors, 68, 35–38. 10.1016/j.addbeh.2017.01.014 [PubMed: 28088741]
- McCrae K, Tobias S, Tupper K, Arredondo J, Henry B, Mema S, Wood E, & Ti L (2019). Drug checking services at music festivals and events in a Canadian setting. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 205, 107589. 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.107589 [PubMed: 31605958]
- Meier A, Moore SK, Saunders EC, McLeman B, Metcalf SA, Auty S, Walsh O, & Marsch LA (2020). Understanding the increase in opioid overdoses in New Hampshire: A rapid epidemiologic assessment. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 209, 107893. 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.107893 [PubMed: 32065941]

NMS Labs. (2020, 61). NMS Labs. NMS Labs. http://www.nmslabs.com/tests/8054B

- Nolan ML, Shamasunder S, Colon-Berezin C, Kunins HV, & Paone D (2019). Increased Presence of Fentanyl in Cocaine-Involved Fatal Overdoses: Implications for Prevention. Journal of Urban Health : Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine, 96(1), 49–54. 10.1007/ s11524-018-00343-z [PubMed: 30635841]
- O'Donnell JK (2020). Vital Signs: Characteristics of Drug Overdose Deaths Involving Opioids and Stimulants — 24 States and the District of Columbia, January–June 2019. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 69. 10.15585/mmwr.mm6935a1
- O'Donnell JK, Halpin J, Mattson CL, Goldberger BA, & Gladden RM (2017). Deaths involving fentanyl, fentanyl analogs, and U-47700—10 states, July–December 2016. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 66(43), 1197. [PubMed: 29095804]
- Park JN, Rashidi E, Foti K, Zoorob M, Sherman S, & Alexander GC (2020). Fentanyl and fentanyl analogs in the illicit stimulant supply: Results from U.S. drug seizure data, 2011–2016. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 218, 108416. 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.108416 [PubMed: 33278761]
- Peiper NC, Clarke SD, Vincent LB, Ciccarone D, Kral AH, & Zibbell JE (2019). Fentanyl test strips as an opioid overdose prevention strategy: Findings from a syringe services program in the Southeastern United States. International Journal of Drug Policy, 63, 122–128. 10.1016/ j.drugpo.2018.08.007 [PubMed: 30292493]
- Phalen P, Ray B, Watson DP, Huynh P, & Greene MS (2018). Fentanyl related overdose in Indianapolis: Estimating trends using multilevel Bayesian models. Addictive Behaviors, 86, 4–10. 10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.03.010 [PubMed: 29631798]
- Pounder DJ, & Jones GR (1990). Post-mortem drug redistribution—A toxicological nightmare. Forensic Science International, 45(3), 253–263. 10.1016/0379-0738(90)90182-X [PubMed: 2361648]
- Ray B, Lowder E, Bailey K, Huynh P, Benton R, & Watson D (2019). Racial differences in overdose events and polydrug detection in Indianapolis, Indiana. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 107658. 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.107658 [PubMed: 31734032]
- Ray B, Quinet K, Dickinson T, Watson DP, & Ballew A (2017). Examining Fatal Opioid Overdoses in Marion County, Indiana. Journal of Urban Health, 94(2), 301–310. 10.1007/s11524-016-0113-2 [PubMed: 28127666]

Lockwood et al.

- Rhodes B, Costenbader B, Wilson L, Hershow R, Carroll J, Zule W, Golin C, & Brinkley-Rubinstein L (2019). Urban, individuals of color are impacted by fentanyl-contaminated heroin. International Journal of Drug Policy, 73, 1–6. [PubMed: 31330274]
- Rouhani S, Park JN, Morales KB, Green TC, & Sherman SG (2019). Harm reduction measures employed by people using opioids with suspected fentanyl exposure in Boston, Baltimore, and Providence. Harm Reduction Journal, 16(1), 39. 10.1186/s12954-019-0311-9 [PubMed: 31234942]
- Rudd RA, Paulozzi LJ, Bauer MJ, Burleson RW, Carlson RE, Dao D, Davis JW, Dudek J, Eichler BA, & Fernandes JC (2014). Increases in heroin overdose deaths—28 states, 2010 to 2012. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 63(39), 849. [PubMed: 25275328]
- Ruhm CJ (2018). Corrected US opioid- involved drug poisoning deaths and mortality rates, 1999–2015. Addiction, 113(7), 1339–1344. [PubMed: 29430760]
- Seth P, Scholl L, Rudd RA, & Bacon S (2018). Overdose deaths involving opioids, cocaine, and psychostimulants—United States, 2015–2016. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 67(12), 349–358. 10.15585/mmwr.mm6712a1 [PubMed: 29596405]
- Stein MD, Kenney SR, Anderson BJ, & Bailey GL (2019). Perceptions about fentanyl-adulterated heroin and overdose risk reduction behaviors among persons seeking treatment for heroin use. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 104, 144–147. [PubMed: 31370978]
- Strickler GK, Zhang K, Halpin JM, Bohnert AS, Baldwin G, & Kreiner PW (2019). Effects of mandatory prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) use laws on prescriber registration and use and on risky prescribing. Drug and Alcohol Dependence.
- Tomassoni AJ, Hawk KF, Jubanyik K, Nogee DP, Durant T, Lynch KL, Patel R, Dinh D, Ulrich A, & D'Onofrio G (2017). Multiple Fentanyl Overdoses—New Haven, Connecticut, June 23, 2016. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 66(4), 107–111. 10.15585/mm6604a4 [PubMed: 28151928]
- United States Drug Enforcement Administration. (2018, 9 14). Cocaine laced with fentanyl leads to multiple deaths, overdoses. https://www.dea.gov/press-releases/2018/09/14/cocaine-laced-fentanyl-leads-multiple-deaths-overdoses
- Williams KE, Freeman MD, & Mirigian L (2017). Drug Overdose Surveillance and Information Sharing via a Public Database: The Role of the Medical Examiner/Coroner. Academic Forensic Pathology, 7(1), 60–72. 10.23907/2017.007 [PubMed: 31239957]

# Highlights

• Fentanyl is not commonly mixed into stimulants

- There is an increase in the presence of fentanyl combined with heroin
- Overdose deaths involving opioids and stimulants are often the result of couse of separate substances

#### Table 1:

Sample Type and Substance Detected (N=57)

	Fentanyl	Heroin	Cocaine	Meth
		n (Per	cent)	
Spoon	4 (4.6)	3 (3.4)	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)
Syringe	3 (3.4)	2 (2.3)	1 (1.1)	0 (0.0)
Pipe	1 (1.1)	0 (0.0)	5 (5.7)	7 (8.0)
Bag/paper/foil	13 (14.9)	7 (8.0)	5 (5.7)	7 (8.0)
Bottle (any)	3 (3.4)	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)
Pill	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.1)
Other*	7 (8.0)	6 (6.9)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.1)

\*Includes straws, pen caps, and other miscellaneous containers

Author Manuscript

Author

Author Manuscript

Lockwood et al.

3	
≝	
ä	
Ë.	

Q
E.
Я
~~~
<b>•</b> 1
ē
-9
-
В
5
.н
4
5
ā
÷
8
Ĕ
Ξ
20
$\circ$
$\sim$
č5
-
9
В
a
Ň
В
5
ы
÷
S
It.
Ľ.
S
e
$\sim$
$\boldsymbol{\Omega}$
Y
4
-
Ų
Ц
Ĕ
Id LC
und LC
and L(
y and LC
gy and LC
ogy and LC
ology and LC
cology and LC
icology and LC
xicology and LC
oxicology and LC
Toxicology and LC
1 Toxicology and LC
m Toxicology and LC
em Toxicology and LC
rtem Toxicology and LC
ortem Toxicology and LC
fortem Toxicology and LC
Mortem Toxicology and LC
-Mortem Toxicology and LC
st-Mortem Toxicology and LC
ost-Mortem Toxicology and LC
Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
d in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
ed in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
cted in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
ected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
stected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
betected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
Detected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
s Detected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
es Detected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
ces Detected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
nces Detected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
ances Detected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
stances Detected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
ostances Detected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
ibstances Detected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC
substances Detected in Post-Mortem Toxicology and LC

	Scene Sam	oles Tested on LC-MS (n=57)	Post-Mortem	Toxicology Results n=45)	Direct Match Matched to S	es (Toxicology Scene Sample)	# of Tox Resu Samples Dete	ilts where Scene ccted Separately
Any Detection *								
Fentanyl	31	54.4%	36	80.0%				
Heroin	19	33.3%	12	26.7%				
Cocaine	18	31.6%	13	28.9%	I			
Methamphetamine	13	22.8%	14	31.1%				
Single Substance Detection								
Fentanyl	12	21.1%	16	35.6%	9	37.5%		
cHeroin	2	3.5%	1	2.2%	1	100.0%	[	I
Cocaine	11	19.3%	3	6.7%	ю	100.0%		
Methamphetamine	6	15.8%	3	6.7%	3	100.0%		
Polysubstance Detection								
Four Substances								
Fentanyl + Heroin + Cocaine + Meth	0	0.0%	2	4.4%			0	0.0%
Three Substances								
Fentanyl + Heroin + Cocaine	1	1.8%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Fentanyl + Heroin + Meth	0	0.0%	1	2.2%			0	0.0%
Fentanyl + Cocaine + Meth	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Heroin + Cocaine + Meth	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Two Substances								
Fentanyl + Heroin	16	28.1%	4	8.9%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%
Fentanyl + Cocaine	2	3.5%	5	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%
Fentanyl + Meth	0	0.0%	9	13.3%	Ι		1	16.7%
Heroin + Meth	0	0.0%	2	4.4%	I		0	0.0%
Heroin + Cocaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	I			
Cocaine + Meth	4	7.0%	0	0.0%	I			
* Substance detection not mutually exclusive.	"—" indicates	not applicable for substa	nce					