

# **HHS Public Access**

J Occup Environ Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

J Occup Environ Med. 2022 January 01; 64(1): 79-85. doi:10.1097/JOM.0000000002355.

## The hidden cost of caregiving: The association between self-assessed caregiving-related awakenings and nighttime awakenings and workplace productivity impairment among caregivers to older adults in the US

Rebecca Robbins, Ph.D.<sup>1,2</sup>, Matthew D. Weaver, Ph.D.<sup>1,2</sup>, Stuart F. Quan, M.D.<sup>1,2</sup>, Laura K. Barger, Ph.D.<sup>1,2</sup>, Stephany Zhivotovsky<sup>3</sup>, Charles A. Czeisler, Ph.D., M.D., FRCP<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA\*

<sup>2</sup>Division of Sleep Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

<sup>3</sup>Harvard College, Cambridge, MA

## Abstract

**Objective**—To evaluate whether caregiving for older adults is associated with insomnia symptoms and diminished workplace productivity.

**Methods**—We analyzed data collected from caregivers to older adults in the US. Participants self-reported awakenings from sleep (caregiving-related or spontaneous) and workplace measures

Conflict of Interest:

**<sup>&</sup>lt;u>Corresponding author</u>**: Rebecca Robbins, 221 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115. rrobbins4@bwh.harvard.edu. \*Denotes institution where work was performed.

Authors disclose all industry relationships below, in accordance with ICMJE recommendations.

**Dr. Robbins** has received consulting fees from Denihan Hospitality; Sleep Cycle A.B.; With Deep, Inc., Wave Meditation, Inc., Savoir Beds Ltd., Loóna Inc., and Rituals Cosmetics B.V.

Dr. Weaver reports consulting fees from the University of Pittsburgh and the National Sleep Foundation

**Dr. Barger** reports consulting fees from University of Pittsburgh, Sygma, Insight and Puget Sound Pilots.

Dr. Quan reports personal fees from Jazz Pharmaceuticals, outside the submitted work.

Dr. Czeisler reports grants/ to BWH from Dayzz Live Well Ltd., Delta Airlines, FAA, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, NHLBI, NIA, NIOSH, NASA, Puget Sound Pilots, Regeneron, and DOD; is/was a paid consultant or received lecture fees from Emory University, Inselspital Bern, UCLA, Institute of Digital Media and Child Development, Klarman Family Foundation, National Council for Mental Wellbeing, National Sleep Foundation, Physician's Seal, Sleep Research Society Foundation, Tencent Holdings Ltd, Teva Pharma Australia, With Deep Inc., and Vanda Pharmaceuticals Inc, in which Dr. Czeisler also holds an equity interest; received travel support from Aspen Brain Institute, Bloomage International Investment Group, Inc., UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, Bouley Botanical, Dr. Stanley Ho Medical Development Foundation, European Biological Rhythms Society, German National Academy of Sciences (Leopoldina), National Safety Council, National Sleep Foundation, Stanford Medical School Alumni Association, Tencent Holdings Ltd, and Vanda Pharmaceuticals Inc; receives research/education support through BWH from Arbor Pharmaceuticals, Avadel Pharmaceuticals, Bryte Foundation, Cephalon, Alexandra Drane, DR Capital Ltd, Eisai, Mary Ann & Stanley Snider via Combined Jewish Philanthropies, Harmony Biosciences LLC, Jazz Pharmaceuticals PLC Inc, Johnson & Johnson, NeuroCare, Inc., Optim, Philips Respironics Inc/Philips Homecare Solutions, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Regional Home Care, ResMed, San Francisco Bar Pilots, Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd, Sanofi SA, Sleep Cycle A.B., Optum, ResMed, San Francisco Bar Pilots, Sanofi, Schneider, Simmons, Sysco, Vanda Pharmaceuticals; is/was an expert witness in legal cases, including those involving Advanced Power Technologies, Aegis Chemical Solutions LLC, Amtrak; Casper Sleep Inc, C&J Energy Services, Catapult Energy Services Group, LLC, Covenant Testing Technologies, LLC, Dallas Police Association, Enterprise Rent-A-Car, Espinal Trucking/Eagle Transport Group LLC/Steel Warehouse Inc, FedEx, Greyhound Lines Inc/Motor Coach Industries/FirstGroup America, PAR Electrical Contractors Inc, Product & Logistics Services LLC/Schlumberger Technology Corp/Gelco Fleet Trust, Puckett Emergency Medical Services LLC, Puget Sound Pilots, Union Pacific Railroad, UPS, and Vanda Pharmaceuticals; serves as the incumbent of an endowed professorship provided to Harvard University by Cephalon, Inc.; and receives royalties from McGraw Hill, and Philips Respironics for the Actiwatch-2 and Actiwatch Spectrum devices. Dr. Czeisler's interests were reviewed and are managed by the Brigham and Women's Hospital and Mass General Brigham in accordance with their conflict of interest policies.

(presenteeism, absenteeism, and productivity impairment). We conduct generalized linear modeling to examine the relationship between awakenings and workplace outcomes, controlling for confounders.

**Results**—258 caregivers to older adults reported current employment and met our inclusion criteria. Adjusted analyses found that reporting caregiving-related awakenings was associated with presenteeism (OR=1.27,95%CI:1.16-1.40), absenteeism (OR=1.10,95%CI:1.06-1.15), and productivity impairment (OR=1.41,95%CI:1.25-1.58). Adjusted analyses found that spontaneous nighttime awakenings were associated with absenteeism (OR=1.05, 95%CI: 1.01-1.08) and productivity impairment (OR=1.12, 95%CI: 1.02-1.124) but not presenteeism.

**Conclusions**—Caregiving-related awakenings are a risk factor for workplace productivity impairment. Future studies may examine means for improving caregiver sleep.

#### Keywords

Caregiving; older adults; dementia; sleep; workplace productivity

## 1. Introduction

The number of older adults (age 65 years and above) has reached 46 million in the United States (US), and is projected to reach 74 million by 2030.<sup>1</sup> Nationally representative research in the US has shown that approximately 50% of older adults require care from an unpaid family or spouse caregiver to assist with various functions, ranging from routine household tasks to mobility.<sup>2</sup> A higher proportion (70%) of older adults who have a chronic condition, such as Alzheimer's Disease, require assistance with daily tasks.<sup>3</sup> Older adults are expected to live on average 19 years longer than similarly aged individuals were expected to live 30 years ago,<sup>4</sup> presenting a growing and enduring need for caregivers. Caring for an older spouse or family member can be stressful and challenging due to the lack of predictability, often little respite from responsibilities, and physical exertion.<sup>5</sup> The responsibilities of caregiving may impact other areas of the life of the caregiver, including their workplace productivity.

Insomnia is a common problem.<sup>6</sup> Symptoms of insomnia include: 1) difficulty initiating sleep; 2) nighttime awakenings (characterized as frequent awakenings from sleep or trouble returning to sleep after waking); and 3) early morning awakenings with the inability to fall back asleep with attendant daytimes consequences (e.g., daytime sleepiness, fatigue, low energy).<sup>7</sup> Insomnia has been examined among general employee populations and found to have adverse workplace implications. Specifically, employed individuals who report severe insomnia symptoms have significantly worse productivity and performance compared to employees without these symptoms, and cost an additional \$1,967 annually in terms of accidents, illness, and presenteeism,<sup>8</sup> which refers to showing up to work but under-performing on the job.<sup>9</sup> Global economic analyses have shown that, in the aggregate, insomnia symptoms and insufficient sleep duration among employees extracts a 411 billion dollar toll worldwide due to productivity losses, accidents, and injuries.<sup>10</sup>

Research shows that insomnia symptoms are common among caregivers.<sup>11,12</sup> According to Flaskerud and colleagues, difficulties initiating sleep were reported by 61%, 60%, and 46% of caregivers to individuals with AIDS, dementia, and cancer respectively, and nighttime awakenings were reported by 53%, 61%, and 76% of caregivers to individuals with AIDS, dementia, and cancer, respectively.<sup>12</sup> According to a meta-analysis, caregivers of older adults with dementia lost between 2.5 and 3.5 hours of sleep each week due to caregiving and demonstrated significantly lower self-reported sleep quality, according to the validated Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index,<sup>13</sup> compared to non-caregiving controls.<sup>14</sup> In the study conducted by Flaskerud and colleagues, insomnia symptoms were also associated with significantly higher levels of depression and anxiety among caregivers.<sup>12</sup> Similarly, Carter and Chang found that insomnia symptoms among caregivers to cancer patients were strongly associated with clinically relevant depression.<sup>11</sup> Furthermore, caregivers to older adults diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease may be at particularly high risk for poor health outcomes associated with insufficient or fragmented sleep. Specifically, research conducted by Mills and colleagues found that male caregivers to older adults with advanced Alzheimer's disease spent significantly more time awake after sleep onset (an objective marker of the insomnia symptom pertaining to sleep difficulty maintaining sleep) than female caregivers to older adults with advanced Alzheimer's disease and more time awake after sleep onset than non-caregivers.<sup>15</sup> Also, Mills and colleagues found that male caregivers to older adults with advanced Alzheimer's disease were at significantly higher risk for inflammation and cardiovascular disease than their female as well non-caregiver counterparts.

In addition to poor health outcomes, one study found that caregiving was associated with adverse workplace outcomes to those caregivers who are employed outside the home. Giovannetti and colleagues found that caregivers of older adults with multiple chronic conditions reported losing, on average, 1.5 days in an average work week due to caregiving.<sup>16</sup> While research has examined the relationship between insomnia symptoms and workplace productivity in employees of all ages,<sup>8</sup> it has not been investigated extensively in caregivers. Further, research has examined workplace consequences of caregiving<sup>16</sup> and insufficient sleep among caregivers<sup>14</sup> separately, yet little attention has been paid to insomnia symptoms, specifically awakenings (either caregiving-related or spontaneous), and adverse workplace outcomes among caregivers. In this study we examine nighttime awakenings, either caregiving-related or spontaneous, and the toll these awakenings present in terms of workplace and career outcomes for caregivers. This study draws on nationally representative, population-based data collected among caregivers identified by older adults requiring assistance in a linked nationally representative survey of Medicare beneficiaries. We evaluate caregivers' self-reported awakenings (caregivingrelated or spontaneous) and their relationship to their self-reported workplace productivity.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Participants

The data for this study were obtained from the 2011 National Health and Aging Trends Study (NHATS) and the National Study of Caregiving (NSOC), two linked nationally

representative surveys. First, the NHATS is a nationally representative sample of Medicare beneficiaries aged 65 and above in the US. NHATS participants complete an annual telephone interview where they are asked a variety of questions assessing daily activities and health. There is no cognitive requirement for participation. Instead, if a participant is unable to provide responses, a proxy respondent will respond to the survey on their behalf. Second, the NSOC study is a nationally representative survey of family members and other unpaid caregivers providing care to NHATS participants. NSOC participants are identified by NHATS respondents who are asked to identify up to five individuals who live in their community and provide them with assistance in personal care or household tasks.<sup>17</sup> All caregivers identified by NHATS participants are contacted by study personnel and invited to participate in the NSOC. Those helpers who agree to participate comprise the NSOC cohort.

Among the 7,609 NHATS participants, 2,007 caregivers responded in 2011 and were thus included in the NSOC (26% response rate). Telephone interviews of NSOC participants lasted approximately 30 minutes. Because we were interested in workplace productivity, we limited the caregiver NSOC sample to caregivers who reported current employment. In addition, because we were interested in the caregiving interruptions from sleep as well as spontaneous awakenings from sleep, we limit the sample to caregivers living with the older adult (n=258). The publicly available data examined in this study did not include individual identifiers, and are thus exempt from IRB review.

#### 2.2 Measures

We examined caregivers' demographic and health characteristics, including gender, marital status, education, work schedule, income, and caregiving burden (i.e., number of hours per day of care provided to the older adult).

Two sleep-related variables were available in the NSOC study. First, caregiving-related awakenings were measured by a single item. Participants were asked "In the last month, how often was your sleep interrupted due to caregiving?" on a scale from 1 ("never") to 2 ("rarely"), 3 ("some nights"), 4 ("most nights") and 5 ("every night"). Second, spontaneous nighttime awakenings, which are one symptom of insomnia, were measured consistent with the approach taken in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES),<sup>18</sup> by asking participants "In the last month, how often did you wake up early and had difficulty falling back asleep?" on a scale from 1 ("never") to 2 ("rarely"), 3 ("some nights"), 4 ("most nights") and 5 ("every night").

Workplace productivity was measured with the Work and Productivity and Activity Impairment (WPAI) questionnaire. The WPAI has several components, including presenteeism, absenteeism, and total productivity impairment. This instrument, developed by Giovannetti and colleagues, was designed to assess caregiving-related work productivity impairment.<sup>16</sup> WPAI asks caregivers to respond to questions with their work in the previous week. Participants who reported rotating schedules or other alternative schedules (i.e., nonregular daytime shifts) were asked to report on their work during the "last week they worked."

Presenteeism was measured by asking caregivers to report the extent to which caregiving affected productivity while at work in the past week on a number from 1 ("made your work a little harder") to 10 ("made your work a lot harder"). Participant responses were divided by 10 and multiplied by 100 to create a proportion from 0 to 100%.

Absenteeism was measured by asking participants to report the number of hours of work missed due to caregiving using a one-month period. Caregivers were also asked the number of hours that they "typically" work in a week and the hours they "actually worked," which was then standardized to a month by multiplying by 4.33, and served as the denominator in the calculation of absenteeism to report missed time from work due to caregiving in a typical month. Absenteeism was calculated as the proportion of hours missed from work due to caregiving divided by the hours missed due to caregiving and the hours actually worked.

A composite measure of total productivity impairment (WPAI) was created with the below equation:<sup>19</sup>

 $WPAI = Absenteeism + [(1 - Absenteeism) \times Presenteeism]$ 

### 2.3. Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize participant characteristics. We then performed analysis of variance (ANOVA) to compare awakenings (caregiving-related awakenings and spontaneous awakenings) across workplace productivity factors. We also performed an ANOVA to compare workplace productivity factors (presenteeism, absenteeism, and WPAI) by type of work (day work v. non-day work). We found that presenteeism (F =0.8, p=0.349) and total workplace productivity impairment (F=2.9, p=0.088) did not vary by work type, but absenteeism did vary by work type (F=1.25, p=0.0169). As only one workplace variable differed by work type, we retained both day and non-day workers in the analytic sample. Further, sensitivity analyses were performed to examine sleep disruptions and workplace outcomes in the sample when restricted to only day workers (Supplemental Table 4) using generalized linear modeling. The pattern of findings was similar to that when the sample is retained in full, though the sample size for these sensitivity analyses is limited.

The two awakening variables were categorized as: 0 ("never" or "rarely"), 1 ("some nights"), and 2 ("most nights" or "every night"). Generalized Linear Modeling (GLM) with maximum likelihood estimation, a normal distribution, and identify link was used to examine the relationships between caregiving-related awakenings and each workplace productivity outcome, including presenteeism, absenteeism, and total productivity among caregivers. Similarly, we conducted several GLM's to examine the relationships between nighttime awakenings and each workplace productivity outcome. Finally, we conducted GLM's with both caregiving-related awakenings and spontaneous nighttime awakenings as predictors in the same models of each workplace outcome to determine if each sleep variable contributes unique variance.

The distribution of the data was assessed to ensure assumptions for all hypothesis testing were met (i.e., normality of residuals and homogeneity of variance according to the Bartlett test in the case of ANOVA). All models controlled for potentially confounding factors

including education, gender, relationship status, and self-reported health. All tests were two-sided with alpha set at 0.05. All analyses were performed in Stata (Version 16, College Station, TX).

## 3. Results

Table 1 displays descriptive statistics summarizing the study sample. Average age of participants (n=258) was 60.0 years old (s.d.=11.5 years). Caregivers were mostly female (69%) and married (35%). Approximately half of the sample (49%) had a college or graduate degree. The majority of caregivers also had a day work schedule (n=195, 75%), as opposed to a non-day schedule (e.g., rotating or night shift schedule). On average, caregivers reported on average 4.0 hours (s.d.=4.1 hours) of care per day.

Figure 1 shows descriptive statistics summarizing awakenings reported by the sample. Regarding caregiving-related awakenings, 78.3% (n=202) reported never/rarely, 14.3% (n=37) reported some nights, and 7.4% (n=19) reported most/every night experiencing this awakening. Regarding spontaneous nighttime awakenings, 60.4% (n=156) reported never/ rarely, 26.4% (n=68) reported some nights, and 13.6% (n=35) reported most/every nights experiencing these awakenings.

In the sample, presenteeism averaged 7.6% (s.d.=18.4%), absenteeism averaged 2.1% (s.d.=9.8%), and total productivity impairment averaged 9.7% (s.d.=24.0%). The amount of presenteeism varied with the frequency of caregiving-related awakenings (p<0.001) but not by reports of spontaneous nighttime awakenings (p=0.648). The amount of absenteeism varied with the frequency of caregiving-related awakenings (p<0.001), but not by reports of spontaneous nighttime awakenings (p<0.001), but not by reports of spontaneous nighttime awakenings (p<0.001), but not by reports of spontaneous nighttime awakenings (p=0.106). There was a statistically significant difference in productivity impairment by caregiving-related awakenings (p<0.001), but not spontaneous nighttime awakenings (p=0.390). Descriptive statistics summarizing workplace productivity factors by sleep are shown in Table 2.

Covariate-adjusted GLM analyses results are shown in Figure 2a-2c. Figure 2a displays the GLM's after adjustment for potentially confounding factors examining caregiving-related awakenings and workplace outcomes. Reporting caregiving-related awakenings most or every night was associated with a 27% increase in presenteeism (OR= 1.27, 95%CI: 1.16 to 1.40) compared to those reporting never or rarely experiencing these awakenings. Reporting caregiving-related awakenings most or every night was associated with a 10% increase in absenteeism (OR= 1.10, 95%CI: 1.06 to 1.15) compared to those reporting never or rarely experiencing these awakenings most or every night was associated with a 41% increase in productivity impairment (OR= 1.41, 95%CI: 1.25 to 1.58), as compared to those not reporting these awakenings. Supplemental Table 1 features the full regression results.

Figure 2b displays the GLM's after adjustment for potentially confounding factors examining spontaneous nighttime awakenings and workplace outcomes. Reporting spontaneous nighttime awakenings most or every night was not associated with presenteeism. Spontaneous nighttime awakenings were associated with a 5% increase in

absenteeism (OR=1.05, 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.08), compared to reporting no awakenings. Reporting spontaneous nighttime awakenings most nights or every night was associated with a 12% increase in productivity impairment (OR=1.12, 95% CI:1.02 to 1.24) compared to reporting no awakenings. Supplemental Table 2 features the full regression results.

Figure 2c displays the GLM's after adjustment for potentially confounding factors examining, in a combined model, both caregiving-related awakenings and spontaneous nighttime awakenings as predictors of workplace outcomes. Reporting caregiving-related awakenings most or every night was associated with a 27% increase in presenteeism (OR=1.27, 95% CI: 1.15 to 1.40) compared to those reporting never or rarely experiencing these awakenings. Spontaneous nighttime awakenings were not related to presenteeism in the combined model in predicting workplace outcomes. Reporting caregiving-related awakenings most or every night was associated with a 9% increase in absenteeism (OR=1.09, 95% CI: 1.04 to 1.14) compared to those reporting never or rarely experiencing these awakenings. Spontaneous nighttime awakenings were not related to absenteeism in the combined model. Reporting caregiving-related awakenings most or every night was associated with a 9% increase in total productivity impairment (OR=1.38, 95% CI: 1.23 to 1.56) compared to those reporting never or rarely experiencing these awakenings. Spontaneous nighttime avakenings were not related to absenteeism in the combined model. Supplemental Table 3 features the full regression results.

## 4. Discussion

Results from this cross-sectional study of caregivers for older adults requiring assistance in the US demonstrate a strong, positive association between reported caregiving-related awakenings and adverse workplace outcomes, including presenteeism, absenteeism, and productivity impairment. Specifically, our results show that among those caregivers experiencing routine caregiving-related awakenings "most" or "every" night, these individuals were 27% more likely to demonstrate presenteeism, 10% more likely to be absent from the workplace, and 41% more likely to be impaired in their overall productivity. On the other hand, caregivers who reported spontaneous nighttime awakenings "most" or "every" night were approximately 5% more likely to demonstrate absenteeism in the workplace and 12% more overall productivity impairment. Finally, in models that included both caregiving-related awakenings and spontaneous nighttime awakenings, the odds of workplace impairment for those reporting caregiving-related awakenings was similar, while the relationship between spontaneous nighttime awakenings and workplace impairment were no longer significant.

Our results show that caregiving-related awakenings "most nights" or "every night" were reported by 22% of employed caregivers. The prevalence of spontaneous nighttime awakenings "most nights" or "every night" in our study was reported by approximately 40% of the population. Other research found that prevalence of insomnia among employed adults in the US was 23%.<sup>20</sup> A possible explanation for the lower prevalence in our sample could be that our study narrowly examined two types of awakenings: caregiving-related and nighttime/spontaneous awakenings. Our data set did not include queries of other insomnia symptoms such as difficulty initiating sleep. Had we access to other types of insomnia

symptoms such as this, we may have observed a higher prevalence of these symptoms overall.

Although spontaneous nighttime awakenings were more common than caregiving-related awakenings in our study, caregiving-related sleep disturbances were associated with greater than 40% increased odds of workplace impairment. In comparison, spontaneous nighttime awakenings were associated with modest impairments to workplace performance when looked at individually, and were not associated with workplace performance after controlling for caregiving-related awakenings. There are several plausible mechanisms for this discrepancy. First, previous research has shown that spontaneous arousals from sleep, such as awakening to use the bathroom, commonly last only a few minutes in duration.<sup>21</sup> yet awakenings due to caregiving likely last longer. Second, although we did not have information pertaining to how long caregiving-related awakenings lasted, it is possible that such caregiving-related awakenings from sleep may also be more stressful than spontaneous nighttime awakenings that arise from natural causes (e.g., noise in the bedroom environment), particularly if the person requiring care is affected by dementia. Research shows that disorientation and confusion are heightened at night among those affected by this condition.<sup>22</sup> Thus, an awakening to calm an older individual with dementia is likely particularly stressful as the patient may be disoriented, confused, or upset – a circumstance from which the caregiver is likely to struggle to calm down and return to sleep.

With an aging population, caregiving for an older family member or friend has become increasingly common among the population.<sup>23</sup> Our data highlight an underexplored manifestation of caregiving-related sleep awakenings, which is the toll on caregiver workplace performance. In documenting a strong association between routine caregivingrelated awakenings and lower productivity, our study offers several important clinical and practical implications. First, research has shown that insomnia symptoms in the older adult for whom care is being provided are among the most common reasons older individuals are placed in care facilities.<sup>24,25</sup> Although this literature has emphasized these issues from the perspective of the older adult needing care, our study highlights the awakenings experienced by caregivers. If caregiving-related awakenings affect the caregivers' work and career, they may be more likely be more likely to institutionalize the older adult for whom they care. Second, particularly concerning from the standpoint of the health and well-being for the older caregivers is that chronic sleep disruption has been shown to increase risk for cognitive decline.<sup>26,27</sup> Third, research has shown that poor sleep among caregivers was associated with adverse outcomes for transplant patients receiving care.<sup>28</sup> Fourth, our findings suggest a clear opportunity for future researchers and practitioners to design interventions to improve sleep among caregivers and older adults requiring assistance. Unfortunately, few employers focus on sleep enhancement programs for employees. Specifically, whereas nutrition and physical activity programs are reported by between 20 and 25% of employers, fewer than 10% of worksites in the US report a sleep enhancement or fatigue reduction program for employees.<sup>29</sup> Future research might include the design workplace interventions, such as nap rooms or blue light therapy, for caregivers experiencing adverse daytime consequences and productivity impairment. Interventions could also be designed to improve sleep for the older adult requiring assistance so as to reduce the number of awakenings the older adult and the caregivers experience. By way of example, previous research has

shown that daytime bright light therapy is beneficial for nighttime sleep among older adults affected by dementia.<sup>30</sup> This would reduce the older adults awakenings and may reduce the caregiver-related awakenings. Moreover, recent evidence collected among unpaid caregivers during the COVID-19 pandemic suggests that caregivers are at particularly high risk for sleep difficulties and associated mental health consequences while caring for others during the pandemic.<sup>31</sup> Future research that aims to design interventions to improve sleep among caregivers in workplace settings so as to buffer against adverse mental health consequences in addition to workplace considerations may be particularly important given these conditions.

## 4.1. Limitations

Our study used a national, population-based sample of caregivers of older adults receiving assistance in the US. Our study was limited in that the only two metrics of sleep measured in this NSOC study were caregiving-related awakenings and spontaneous nighttime awakenings. Other sleep issues that would have been useful to describe caregiver sleep, but were not included in the data set include difficulty initiating sleep as well as sleep duration. However, the specific attention to caregiving-specific awakenings offered insight in our study into the specific awakenings due to caregiving. It is a limitation that we neither had access to more comprehensive insomnia symptom or diagnosis information nor quantitative measures of sleep (e.g., via actigraphy) among participants. Insomnia information would have been informative in this sample of caregivers as the average age was 60 years old and insomnia is more common among older adults.<sup>32</sup> Nevertheless, it is interesting that after controlling for nighttime awakenings, one symptom of insomnia, the odds of adverse workplace outcomes among those reporting caregiving-related awakenings remained high. Also, objective measures of sleep may be informative and considered in future research. Another limitation pertaining the higher mean age of the sample in this analysis is that the caregivers were not screened for cognitive impairment, which may have limited their ability to accurately recall their symptoms.

It should also be noted that our sample of caregivers living with the older adult and employed outside the home represented 13% of the full caregiver sample in the NSOC study. It may be that the productivity and performance of caregivers who are not employed outside the home was also impaired by caregiving-related awakenings, however, productivity data were not collected from those individuals. It is possible that caregivers employed outside the home who have severe insomnia symptoms and time constraints with multiple personal, family, and professional obligations, may have been more likely to have been non-responsive to the survey. However, in separate and combined models, caregiving-related awakenings had a profound and highly statistically significant adverse effect on workplace outcomes among caregivers employed outside the home whereas nighttime awakenings had in most cases a much smaller or no impact on those parameters. Thus, it is unlikely that sampling bias could account for these results. It is a limitation, however, that we do not have access to different types of caregiving. For instance, a caregiver who is caring for an older adult with dementia may face significantly higher caregiving intensity than someone caring for an older adult without such cognitive impairment. Finally, although the response rate on the NSOC

was 26%, multiple studies have indicated that surveys with a response rate of approximately 20-30% yield results that are comparable to those with a 60% response rate.<sup>33,34</sup>

## 5. Conclusion

Our results from this sample of family and unpaid caregivers of older adults show that those caregivers who reported routine caregiving-related awakenings also demonstrated adverse workplace performance outcomes, including more presenteeism and absenteeism, and productivity impairment. In contrast, whereas spontaneous nighttime awakenings from non-caregiving performance outcomes, including more presenteeism and absenteeism, and productivity impairment. In contrast, whereas spontaneous nighttime awakenings from non-caregiving sources were also associated with presenteeism, their impact on workplace outcomes was much less pronounced in comparison to caregiving-related awakenings. Our findings suggest the need for greater attention to sleep among caregivers of older adults requiring assistance so as to avoid the professional handicap that may result from caregiving.

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Sources of Funding:

This work was supported by the NIH grant numbers: K01HL150339 (RR), R01OH011773 (MDW, LKB), R56HL151637 (MDW, RR, LKB).

### References

- 1. U.S. Bureau of the Census. American FactFinder [website]. Accessed December 12, 2019. http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml? pid=DEC\_10\_DP\_DPDP1&src=pt
- Wolff J, Spillman B. Older adults receiving assistance with physician visits and prescribed medications and their family caregivers: prevalence, characteristics, and hours of care. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2014;69((suppl 1)):S65–S72. [PubMed: 25342825]
- 3. Wolff J, Kaper J. Caregivers of frail elders: updating a national profile. Gerontologist. 2006;46(3):344–56. [PubMed: 16731873]
- National Center for Health Statistics. Health U.S. 2015, Trend Tables—Table 15. Accessed December 12, 2019. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hus/hus15.pdf
- Bom J, Bakx P, Schut F, van Doorslaer E. The Impact of Informal Caregiving for Older Adults on the Health of Various Types of Caregivers: A Systematic Review. The Gerontologist. 2019;59(5):e629–e642. doi:10.1093/geront/gny137 [PubMed: 30395200]
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fifth Edition. American Psychiatric Association; 2013. doi:10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596
- Winkelman JW. Insomnia Disorder. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(15):1437–1444. doi:10.1056/ NEJMcp1412740 [PubMed: 26444730]
- Rosekind MR, Gregory KB, Mallis MM, Brandt SL, Seal B, Lerner D. The Cost of Poor Sleep: Workplace Productivity Loss and Associated Costs. J Occup Environ Med. 2010;52(1):91–98. doi:10.1097/JOM.0b013e3181c78c30 [PubMed: 20042880]
- Goetzel RZ, Long SR, Ozminkowski RJ, Hawkins K, Wang S, Lynch W. Health, absence, disability, and presenteeism cost estimates of certain physical and mental health conditions affecting US employers. J Occup Environ Med. 2004;46(4):398–412. [PubMed: 15076658]

- Hafner M, Stepanek M, Taylor J, Troxel WM, Van Stolk C. Why sleep matters the economic costs of insufficient sleep. Published 2016. Accessed August 17, 2017. https://www.rand.org/pubs/ research\_reports/RR1791.html
- Carter PA, Chang BL. Sleep and depression in cancer caregivers. Cancer Nurs. 2000;23(6):410–415. [PubMed: 11128119]
- Flaskerud JH, Carter PA, Lee P. Distressing emotions in female caregivers of people with AIDS, age-related dementias, and advanced-stage cancers. Perspect Psychiatr Care. 2000;36(4):121–130. [PubMed: 12035204]
- Buysse DJ, Reynolds III CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res. 1989;28(2):193– 213. doi:10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4 [PubMed: 2748771]
- 14. Gao C, Chapagain NY, Scullin MK. Sleep Duration and Sleep Quality in Caregivers of Patients With Dementia. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(8). doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.9891
- Mills PJ, Ancoli-Israel S, von Känel R, et al. Effects of Gender and Dementia Severity on Alzheimer's Disease Caregivers' Sleep and Biomarkers of Coagulation and Inflammation. Brain Behav Immun. 2009;23(5):605–610. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2008.09.014 [PubMed: 18930805]
- 16. Giovannetti ER, Wolff JL, Frick KD, Boult C. Construct validity of the Work Productivity and Activity Impairment questionnaire across informal caregivers of chronically ill older patients. Value Health J Int Soc Pharmacoeconomics Outcomes Res. 2009;12(6):1011–1017. doi:10.1111/ j.1524-4733.2009.00542.x
- Wolff JL, Spillman BC, Freedman VA, Kasper JD. A national profile of family and unpaid caregivers who assist older adults with health care activities. JAMA Intern Med. 2016;176(3):372– 379. [PubMed: 26882031]
- DHHS, ed. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2005 November National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. In: 2005-2006 Public Data General Release File Documentation. CDC.
- Wolff J. Calculating Work Productivity Loss in the National Study of Caregiving. NHATS Technical Paper; 2016.
- Kessler RC, Berglund PA, Coulouvrat C, et al. Insomnia and the Performance of US Workers: Results from the America Insomnia Survey. Sleep. 2011;34(9):1161–1171. doi:10.5665/ SLEEP.1230 [PubMed: 21886353]
- Klerman EB, Davis JB, Duffy JF, Dijk D-J, Kronauer RE. Older People Awaken More Frequently but Fall Back Asleep at the Same Rate as Younger People. Sleep. 2004;27(4):793–798. doi:10.1093/sleep/27.4.793 [PubMed: 15283016]
- 22. Bliwise DL, Lee K, Carroll JS, Dement WC. A rating scale for assessing sundowning in nursing home patients. Sleep Res. 1989;18:408.
- 23. Council NP. Healthy aging in action. Published online 2016.
- 24. Bianchetti A, Scuratti A, Zanetti O, et al. Predictors of mortality and institutionalization in Alzheimer disease patients 1 year after discharge from an Alzheimer dementia unit. Dement Basel Switz. 1995;6(2):108–112. doi:10.1159/000106930
- 25. Pollak CP, Perlick D. Sleep Problems and Institutionalization of the Elderly: Top Geriatr. Published online 9 2, 2016. doi:10.1177/089198879100400405
- 26. Robbins R, Sonnega A, Turner RW, et al. Sleep Difficulties and Cognition over a 10-Year Period in a National Sample of U.S. Older Adults. Innov Aging. doi:10.1093/geroni/igaa025
- 27. Robbins R, Quan SF, Weaver MD, Bormes G, Barger LK, Czeisler CA. Examining sleep deficiency and disturbance and their risk for incident dementia and all-cause mortality in older adults across 5 years in the United States. Aging. 2021;13(3):3254–3268. doi:10.18632/ aging.202591 [PubMed: 33570509]
- Sannes TS, Mikulich-Gilbertson SK, Natvig CL, Brewer BW, Simoneau TL, Laudenslager ML. Caregiver Sleep and Patient Neutrophil Engraftment in Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant: A Secondary Analysis. Cancer Nurs. 2018;41(1):77–85. doi:10.1097/ NCC.00000000000447 [PubMed: 27922914]

- 29. Robbins R, Weaver MD, Quan SF, et al. Employee Sleep Enhancement and Fatigue Reduction Programs: Analysis of the 2017 CDC Workplace Health in America Poll. Am J Health Promot AJHP. Published online 11 11, 2020:890117120969091. doi:10.1177/0890117120969091
- 30. Riemersma-van der Lek RF, Swaab DF, Twisk J, Hol EM, Hoogendijk WJG, Van Someren EJW. Effect of bright light and melatonin on cognitive and noncognitive function in elderly residents of group care facilities: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2008;299(22):2642–2655. doi:10.1001/ jama.299.22.2642 [PubMed: 18544724]
- 31. Czeisler MÉ. Mental Health Among Parents of Children Aged less than 18 Years and Unpaid Caregivers of Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic — United States, December 2020 and February–March 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2021;70. doi:10.15585/ mmwr.mm7024a3
- 32. Ohayon MM. Epidemiology of insomnia: what we know and what we still need to learn. Sleep Med Rev. 2002;6(2):97–111. doi:10.1053/smrv.2002.0186 [PubMed: 12531146]
- Visser PS, Krosnick JA, Marquette J, Curtin M. Mail surveys for election forecasting? An evaluation of the Columbus Dispatch Poll. Public Opin Q. 1996;60(2):181–227. doi:10.1086/297748
- 34. Keeter S, Kennedy C, Dimock M, Best J, Craighill P. Gauging the Impact of Growing Nonresponse on Estimates from a National RDD Telephone Survey. Public Opin Q. 2006;70(5):759–779.



#### Figure 1.

Proportion of caregivers employed outside the home reporting caregiving-related sleep interruption (Panel A) or spontaneous nighttime awakenings (Panel B).

Author Manuscript



#### Figure 2a.

Covariate-adjusted generalized linear regressions examining caregiving-related sleep interruption "most" or "every night" and workplace outcomes, compared to those reporting caregiving-related sleep interruption "never" or "rarely" (n=258). **Notes** Asterisks note significance (\*p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\*p<.001).

Author Manuscript



### Figure 2b.

Covariate-adjusted generalized linear regressions examining spontaneous early morning awakenings "most" or "every night" and workplace outcomes, compared to those reporting nighttime awakenings "never" or "rarely" (n=258).

Notes Asterisks note significance (\*p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\*p<.001).

Robbins et al.



## **Odds Ratio**

## Figure 2c.

Covariate-adjusted combined generalized linear regressions examining caregiving-related sleep disruption and spontaneous early morning awakenings "most" or "every night" and workplace outcomes, compared to those not reporting sleep disruptions (n=258). **Notes** Asterisks note significance (\*p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\*p<.001).

### Table 1

Demographics of study sample (n=258).

| <i>ariable</i>       |   | N(% | N(%) |  |
|----------------------|---|-----|------|--|
| Age (Mean=60.0 yea   | rs; S.D.=11.5 years; Range: 26 to 98 years) |     |      |  |
| Sex                  |   |     |      |  |
|                      | Male  | 80  | 31%  |  |
|                      | Female                                      | 179 | 69%  |  |
| Relationship Status  |   |     |      |  |
|                      | Married                                     | 72  | 35%  |  |
|                      | Living with Partner                         | 10  | 5%   |  |
|                      | Separated                                   | 8   | 4%   |  |
|                      | Divorced                                    | 46  | 229  |  |
|                      | Widowed                                     | 8   | 4%   |  |
|                      | Never Married                               | 63  | 30%  |  |
| Self-Reported Health | I   |     |      |  |
|                      | Poor  | 4   | 2%   |  |
|                      | Fair  | 39  | 15%  |  |
|                      | Good  | 74  | 29%  |  |
|                      | Very good                                   | 92  | 369  |  |
|                      | Excellent                                   | 48  | 19%  |  |
| Household Income     |   |     |      |  |
|                      | <\$29,000                                   | 43  | 179  |  |
|                      | 29,000-49,999                               | 35  | 149  |  |
|                      | 49,000-79,000                               | 34  | 139  |  |
|                      | >79,000                                     | 147 | 57%  |  |
| Education            |   |     |      |  |
|                      | Less than High School Diploma               | 9   | 4%   |  |
|                      | High School Diploma                         | 50  | 249  |  |
|                      | Some College                                | 49  | 23%  |  |
|                      | College Degree                              | 68  | 34%  |  |
|                      | Graduate Degree                             | 31  | 159  |  |
| Work Schedule        |   |     |      |  |
|                      | Day workers                                 | 195 | 75%  |  |
|                      | Other schedule (non-day work)               | 64  | 25%  |  |

## Table 2

ANOVA comparing sleep difficulty (caregiving-related awakenings or nighttime awakenings) and workplace outcomes.

|                               | Presenteeism            |       |                |            |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|----------------|------------|
|                               | Mean                    | S.E.  | F<br>Statistic | P<br>Value |
| Caregiving-related awakenings |                         |       | 14.0           | 0.000      |
| Never, rarely                 | 5.6%                    | 1.0%  |                |            |
| Some nights                   | 8.6%                    | 3.6%  |                |            |
| Most nights, every            | 27.9%                   | 7.6%  |                |            |
| Nighttime awakenings          |                         |       | 0.4            | 0. 648     |
| Never, rarely                 | 6.7%                    | 1.4%  |                |            |
| Some nights                   | 8.7%                    | 2.1%  |                |            |
| Most nights, every            | 9.4%                    | 3.9%  |                |            |
|                               | Absenteeism             |       |                |            |
|                               | Mean                    | S.E.  | F<br>Statistic | P<br>Value |
| Caregiving-related awakenings |                         |       | 19.5           | 0.000      |
| Never, rarely                 | 0.9%                    | 0.1%  |                |            |
| Some nights                   | 2.4%                    | 1.0%  |                |            |
| Most nights, every            | 14.8%                   | 7.4%  |                |            |
| Nighttime awakenings          |                         |       | 2.26           | 0.106      |
| Never, rarely                 | 1.8%                    | 0.1%  |                |            |
| Some nights                   | 1.2%                    | 0.1%  |                |            |
| Most nights, every            | 5.3%                    | 3.1%  |                |            |
|                               | Productivity Impairment |       |                |            |
|                               | Mean                    | S.E.  | F<br>Statistic | P<br>Value |
| Caregiving-related awakenings |                         |       | 23.0           | 0.000      |
| Never, rarely                 | 6.4%                    | 1.1%  |                |            |
| Some nights                   | 11.0%                   | 4.1%  |                |            |
| Most nights, every            | 42.7%                   | 12.5% |                |            |
| Nighttime awakenings          |                         |       | 0.9            | 0.390      |
| Never, rarely                 | 8.6%                    | 1.7%  |                |            |
| Some nights                   | 9.9%                    | 2.2%  |                |            |
| Most nights, every            | 14.8%                   | 6.6%  |                |            |