

Evidence to Recommendation Framework: Use of 15-valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine in Children

Jennifer L Farrar, MPH

ACIP Meeting

February 24, 2022

PICO Question	Should PCV15 be recommended as an option for pneumococcal conjugate vaccination according to currently recommended dosing and schedules, for U.S. children?						
Population	U.S. children aged ≤2 years underlying medical conditions						
Intervention	PCV15 according to currently recommended dosing and schedules						
Comparison	PCV13 according to currently recom	nmended dosing and schedules					
Outcomes	VT-IPD, VT- pneumonia, VT- AOM, VT- pneumococcal deaths, serious adverse events following immunization						

VT: vaccine-type, IPD: invasive pneumococcal disease, AOM: acute otitis media

Evidence to Recommendations (EtR) Framework

EtR Domain	Question
Public Health Problem	 Is the problem of public health importance?
Benefits and Harms	 How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects? How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects? Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects? What is the overall certainty of this evidence for the critical outcomes?
Values	 Does the target population feel the desirable effects are large relative to the undesirable effects? Is there important variability in how patients value the outcomes?
Acceptability	 Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders?
Feasibility	 Is the intervention feasible to implement?
Resource Use	 Is the intervention a reasonable and efficient allocation of resources?
Equity	 What would be the impact of the intervention on health equity?

Public Health Problem

Is pneumococcal disease of public health importance in children?

Public Health Problem

- AOM one of most common reasons for outpatient care in children^{1,2}
 - Pneumococcus one of most common bacterial causes
- Administrative data have shown AOM and pneumonia rates in children decreased over time
- IPD rates decreased after PCV introduction in children, but young children are at increased risk of pneumococcal disease
 - Among children aged <5 years, overall and PCV13-type IPD incidence plateaued since 2013-2014
 - Incidence of IPD caused by PCV15 serotypes has remained stable
 - Two additional PCV15 serotypes caused 17% of IPD in 2018–2019
 - Overall IPD rates in children aged ≥5 years remained small; 25% IPD in children aged
 6-18 years was in children with immunocompromising conditions

¹Tong BMC Health Services Research 2018 ²Lewnard CID 2021

AOM: acute otitis media, IPD: invasive pneumococcal disease, PCV: pneumococcal conjugate vaccine

Public Health Problem

Is pneumococcal disease of public health importance in children?

No
Probably no
Probably yes
Yes
Varies
Don't know

- How substantial are the <u>desirable</u> anticipated effects?
- How substantial is the anticipated effect for:
 - Vaccine-type IPD
 - Vaccine-type non-bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia Vaccine-type acute otitis media
 - Vaccine-type death?

How substantial are the <u>undesirable</u> anticipated effects?

- How substantial is the anticipated effect for **serious adverse** events?

Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?

- What is the balance between the desirable effects relative to the undesirable effects?

What is the overall certainty of this evidence for the critical outcomes?

- Effectiveness of the intervention
- Safety of the intervention

Search Strategy



No PCV15 studies directly assessed vaccine effectiveness against the critical outcomes

Studies included in Evidence Review

PICO – Routine Use

Author, year Study design		Intervention	Country	Age	Total population	N Intervention	N comparison
Platt, 2020 (V114-008)	Phase 2 RCT (proof of concept); healthy children	PCV15 3+1 (2,4, 6, 12-15m)	Canada, Denmark, Finland, Israel, Spain, US	6-12 weeks at enrollment	1044	350 (Lot 1) 347 (Lot 2)	347
V114-029 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (pivotal study); healthy children	PCV15 3+1 (2,4, 6, 12-15m); co- administration pentacel, recombivax, rotateq	Puerto Rico, Thailand, Turkey, US	42-90 days at enrollment	1714	858	856
V114-027 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (product interchangeability); healthy children	Group 1: PCV13 @ 2,4,6, 12-15m Group 2: PCV13 + PCV13 + PCV13 + PCV15 (booster) Group 3: PCV13 + PCV13 + PCV15 + PCV15 (booster) Group 4: PCV13 + PCV15 + PCV15 + PCV15 (booster) Group 5: PCV15 @ 2,4,6, 12-15m	Puerto Rico, Thailand, Turkey, US	42-90 days at enrollment	896	Group 2 (n=181) Group 3 (n=178) Group 4 (n=179) Group 5 (n=179)	Group 1 (n=179)
V114-024 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (catch up); healthy children	7-11m: 3 doses (dose 1 @ 0w, dose 2 @ 4-8w PD1, dose 3 @ 8-12w PD2 AND >12m 12-23m: 2 doses (dose 1 @ 0w, dose 2 @ 4-8w PD1) 2-17y: 1 dose (>8w after previous PCV)	Finland, Malaysia, Poland, Russia, Thailand	7 months – 17 years	606	2-11m (n=64) 12-23m (n=62) 2-17y (n=177)	2-11m (n=64) 12-23m (n=64) 2-17y (n=175)
V114-031 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT, full-term v. pre-term infants	PCV15 3+1 (2,4, 6, 12-15m)	Australia, Canada, Finland, Germany, Israel, Malaysia, Peru, Taiwan, Thailand, US	Full-term (>37 wks) and pre- term infants (<37 wks); 42-90 days at enrollment	2398	1965	433

All studies funded by Merck; comparator is PCV13 for all studies

Studies included in Evidence Review

PICO – Routine Use

Author, year	Study design	Intervention	Intervention Country Age		Total population	N Intervention	N comparison
Platt, 2020 (V114-008)	Phase 2 RCT (proof of concept); healthy children	PCV15 3+1 (2,4, 6, 12-15m)	Canada, Denmark, Finland, Israel, Spain, US	6-12 weeks at enrollment	1044	350 (Lot 1) 347 (Lot 2)	347
V114-029 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (pivotal study); healthy children	PCV15 3+1 (2,4, 6, 12-15m); co- administration pentacel, recombivax, rotateq	Puerto Rico, Thailand, Turkey, US	42-90 days at enrollment	1714	858	856
V114-027 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (product interchangeability); healthy children	Group 1: PCV13 @ 2,4,6, 12-15m Group 2: PCV13 + PCV13 + PCV13 + PCV15 (booster) Group 3: PCV13 + PCV13 + PCV15 + PCV15 (booster) Group 4: PCV13 + PCV15 + PCV15 + PCV15 (booster) Group 5: PCV15 @ 2,4,6, 12-15m	Puerto Rico, Thailand, Turkey, US	42-90 days at enrollment	896	Group 2 (n=181) Group 3 (n=178) Group 4 (n=179) Group 5 (n=179)	Group 1 (n=179)
V114-024 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (catch up); healthy children	7-11m: 3 doses (dose 1 @ 0w, dose 2 @ 4-8w PD1, dose 3 @ 8-12w PD2 AND >12m 12-23m: 2 doses (dose 1 @ 0w, dose 2 @ 4-8w PD1) 2-17y: 1 dose (>8w after previous PCV)	Finland, Malaysia, Poland, Russia, Thailand	7 months – 17 years	606	2-11m (n=64) 12-23m (n=62) 2-17y (n=177)	2-11m (n=64) 12-23m (n=64) 2-17y (n=175)
V114-031 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT, full-term v. pre-term infants	PCV15 3+1 (2,4, 6, 12-15m)	Australia, Canada, Finland, Germany, Israel, Malaysia, Peru, Taiwan, Thailand, US	Full-term (>37 wks) and pre- term infants (<37 wks); 42-90 days at enrollment	2398	1965	433

All studies funded by Merck; comparator is PCV13 for all studies

Summary of Evidence from PCV15 studies – Routine use: Benefits (VT-IPD, pneumonia, deaths)

	Certainty assessment							Nº of patients		Results	
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	PCV15 (intervention)	PCV13 (comparison)	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty
Vaccine effectiveness: Vaccine-type pneumococcal disease (assessed with immunogenicity data)								^			
4 ¹⁻⁴	Randomize d studies	Not serious	Not serious	Seriousª	Not serious	Not serious	2575	1685	 PCV15 noninfer all 13 shared se statistically sign immune respon PCV15 statistica higher immune PCV13 for 22F a st) 	ior to PCV13 for rotypes; ificantly higher se for st3 Illy significantly responses to nd 33F (unique	2

These are all immunogenicity studies and there are no correlates of protection

References

a.

1. Platt HL, Greenberg D, Tapiero B, Clifford RA, Klein NP, Hurley DC. A Phase II Trial of Safety, Tolerability and Immunogenicity of V114, a 15-Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine, Compared With 13-Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine in Healthy Infants. Pediatric Infectious Diseases Journal 2020.

2. V114-029. Safety, Tolerability, and Immunogenicity of V114 in Healthy Infants (V114-029)

3. V114-027. A Study to Evaluate the Interchangeability of V114 and Prevnar 13™ in Healthy Infants (V114-027/PNEU-DIRECTION)

4. V114-024. Safety and Immunogenicity of Catch-up Vaccination Regimens of V114 (V114-024)

How substantial are the <u>desirable</u> anticipated effects?

PCV15 routine use in children <2 years of age?</p>



How substantial are the <u>desirable</u> anticipated effects?

- PCV15 routine use in children <2 years of age?</p>
- No PCV15 studies directly assessed clinical outcomes
- Improved immunogenicity against serotype 3 unknown
- PCV15 provides additional coverage for 2 additional serotypes compared with PCV13, if improved immune response against these two serotypes translates to clinical effectiveness

Summary of Available Evidence from PCV15 studies- Routine Use: Harms

			Certainty as	Nº of patients		Results					
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	PCV15	PCV13	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty
Serious ad	dverse events fo	llowing ir	nmunization								
5 ¹⁻⁵	Randomized studies	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious ^a	Not serious	5/4540	0/2117	1.30 (0.22 -7.74) ^b		2
- F						•					

a. Few vaccine-related serious adverse events reported

b. Pooled estimate includes 3 of 5 studies where outcome occurred; two studies with no SAE were excluded.

References

1. Platt HL, Greenberg D, Tapiero B, Clifford RA, Klein NP, Hurley DC. A Phase II Trial of Safety, Tolerability and Immunogenicity of V114, a 15-Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine, Compared With 13-Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine in Healthy Infants. Pediatric Infectious Diseases Journal 2020.

2. V114-029. Safety, Tolerability, and Immunogenicity of V114 in Healthy Infants (V114-029)

3. V114-027. A Study to Evaluate the Interchangeability of V114 and Prevnar 13™ in Healthy Infants (V114-027/PNEU-DIRECTION)

4. V114-024. Safety and Immunogenicity of Catch-up Vaccination Regimens of V114 (V114-024)

5. V114-031. A Study to Evaluate the Safety and Tolerability of V114 and Prevnar 13™ in Healthy Infants (V114-031/PNEU-LINK)

How substantial are the <u>undesirable</u> anticipated effects?

PCV15 routine use in children <2 years of age?</p>



Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?

- What is the balance between the desirable effects relative to the undesirable effects?

□ Favors intervention*

Favors current recommendation
Favors both
Favors neither
Varies
Don't know

*Intervention:

 PCV15 use as an additional option to PCV13 in children <2 years of age

Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?

- What is the balance between the desirable effects relative to the undesirable effects?

- Responses split between "favors intervention" and "favors both"
- Some WG members thought the option "favors PCV15 use" gave the impression that a preferential recommendation was being proposed when intention is to assess whether PCV15 could be used as an option in addition to PCV13

What is the overall certainty of this evidence for the critical outcomes?

- Effectiveness of the intervention: 2 (moderate)
- Safety of the intervention: 2 (moderate)

Studies included in evidence review

PICO – Children with underlying medical conditions

Author, year	Study design	Country	Age	Total population	N Intervention	N comparison
V114-023 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (one dose of PCV15 vs. PCV13), children with sickle cell disease, 5 – 17 years	Brazil, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Greece, Italy, Panama, US		103	69	34
V114-030 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (PCV15+PPSV23 vs. PCV13 + PPSV23), children living with HIV, 6 – 17 years	South Africa, Thailand, Ukraine	6-17 years	407	203	204

All studies funded by Merck

How substantial are the <u>desirable</u> anticipated effects?

- PCV15 routine use in children with underlying medical conditions 2 18 years of age?
- No PCV15 studies directly assessed clinical outcomes
- WG split between "moderate" and "large" responses
 - Some uncertainty around added benefit from PCV15 (not just from additional serotypes, but also against serotype 3)
- Improved immunogenicity against serotype 3 unknown
 - PCV15 provides additional coverage for 2 additional serotypes compared with PCV13, if improved immune response against these two serotypes translates to clinical effectiveness

Summary of Available Evidence from PCV15 studies-Underlying medical conditions: Harms

			Certainty ass	essment			Nº of patients		Results		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	PCV15	PCV13	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty
Serious a	adverse events	following	gimmunization								
2 ^{1,2}	Randomized studies	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Very serious ^a	Not serious	0/272	0/238	not estimable		3
a. Nov	vaccine-related se	rious adve	rse events reported	; sample size ve	ery small						
Reference 1. V114-	es 023. A Study to Ev	aluate the	Safety, Tolerability, a	and Immunoger	nicity of V114 in	Children With Sickle C	Cell Disease (V114	4-023/PNEU-SIC	CKLE)		

2. V114-030. Safety and Immunogenicity of V114 in Children Infected With Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) (V114-030/PNEU-WAY PED)

How substantial are the <u>undesirable</u> anticipated effects?

PCV15 routine use in children with underlying medical conditions 2 - 18 years of age?



Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?

- What is the balance between the desirable effects relative to the undesirable effects?

□ Favors intervention*

Favors current recommendation
Favors both
Favors neither
Varies
Don't know

*Intervention:

 PCV15 use as an additional option to PCV13 in children with underlying medical conditions 2 – 18 years of age

Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?

- What is the balance between the desirable effects relative to the undesirable effects?

- Responses split between "favors intervention" and "favors both"
- Some WG members thought the option "favors PCV15 use" gave the impression that a preferential recommendation was being proposed when intention is to assess whether PCV15 could be used as an option in addition to PCV13

What is the overall certainty of this evidence for the critical outcomes?

- Effectiveness of the intervention: 2 (moderate)
- Safety of the intervention: 3 (low)

Criterion 1: Does the target population feel that the desirable effects from vaccination are large relative to undesirable effects?

Criterion 2: Is there important uncertainty about, or variability in, how much people value the main outcomes?

Values and Preferences of PCV15 use in Children

- Data on values and preferences of PCV15 as an option for pneumococcal vaccination among U.S. children and caregivers not identified.
- High vaccination coverage (92.4%) for ≥3 doses of PCV by age 24 months demonstrates that the target population feels that the desirable effects of PCV vaccination outweigh the undesirable effects.

Estimated PCV coverage (%) by age 24 months, among children born during 2015–2018 National Immunization Survey-Child, United States, 2016–2020

PCV Doses	Born 2015-16	Born 2017-18
≥3 doses	91.9	92.4
≥4 doses	81.2	82.3

Hill et al. MMWR 2021

Criterion 1: Does the target population feel that the desirable effects from vaccination are large relative to undesirable effects?

No
Probably no
Probably yes
Yes
Varies
Don't know

- PCV15 routine use in children <2 years of age</p>
- PCV15 use in children with underlying medical conditions 2 18 years of age

Criterion 1: Does the target population feel that the desirable effects from vaccination are large relative to undesirable effects?

 WG split in responses likely due to small potential added impact of PCV15 use over PCV13 use, not uncertainty about whether vaccine is able to prevent serious pneumococcal disease

Criterion 2: Is there important uncertainty about, or variability in, how much people value the main outcomes?

- PCV15 routine use in children <2 years of age</p>
- PCV15 use in children with underlying medical conditions 2 18 years of age

Important uncertainty or variability
 Probably important uncertainty or variability
 Probably not important uncertainty or variability
 No important uncertainty or variability
 No known undesirable outcomes

Equity

What would be the impact on health equity?

All serotypes	PCV13	non- PCV13	PCV15/ non- PCV13	
				<5
				5-18

Year (2008-2019, 2 year increments)

Race: 🔶 Black 🔶 White

Rate per 100,000



Year (2008-2019, 2 year increments)

Race: - Black - White



С С

5-18



Unpublished CDC data, Active Bacterial Core surveillance



Race: - Black - White



Race: - Black - White

Equity: Native American/Alaskan Native children

- IPD rates in Native American children decreased after PCV13 use, but remain 4x higher compared to children of all races in 2018¹
- Alaskan Native infant OM-associated outpatient visit rate 1.6-fold higher than general U.S. infant population²
- NA/AN experience cyclical outbreaks due to serotype 12F³
 - Serotype 12F not included in PCV13; included in PPSV23

Foreign-born children aged 19–35 months significantly lower pneumococcal vaccine coverage vs. U.S.-born children

National Immunization Survey, 2010–2012, ≥4 doses of PCV

	Coverage (%)	P-value	
Foreign-born	46.4	p<0.001	
US-born	83.9	Reference	

Varan, AK et al. 2017. J Immigr Minor Health.

Fewer <u>Native American</u> children aged 19–35 months up-todate with ≥4 PCV doses compared with White children in North Dakota

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Native American Coverage %	70.6	67.4	69.1	67.8	66.3
White Coverage %	80.4	80.2	80.7	81.9	80.1

Woinarowicz, M & Howell, M. 2020. Public Health.

≥4 doses of PCV Coverage by age 24 months low among children who are uninsured, Black non-Hispanic, living in non-MSA, and living <133% FPL

Dimensions		Coverage (%)
	Private Insurance only	87.2
Insurance	Any Medicaid	77.3
Coverage	Uninsured	62.2
	Other	78.5
Race/Ethnicity	White, Non-Hispanic	83.6
	Black, Non-Hispanic	76.5
	Hispanic	80.4
	Other/Multiple Races, Non-Hispanic	80.7
	Living in MSA Principal City	81.3
Urbanicity	Living in MSA Non-Principal City	82.4
	Living in Non-MSA	78.6
	<133% FPL	75.5
Poverty	133% to <400% FPL	81.3
	>400% FPL	90.0

FPL=federal poverty level, MSA=metropolitan statistical area

National Immunization Survey. 2020.

Equity

What would be the impact of recommending PCV15 for U.S. children on health equity?

Reduced
Probably reduced
Probably no impact
Probably increased
Increased
Varies
Don't know

Equity

What would be the impact of recommending PCV15 for U.S. children on health equity?

 WG split in responses likely due to uncertainty regarding whether PCV15 use will improve healthy equity compared to PCV13 use

Summary of Work Group Interpretation on EtR Domains

EtR Domains	PCV15, <2 years	PCV15, 2 – 18 years old				
Public Health Problem	Yes					
Benefits and Harms						
a. Benefits	Moderate	2				
b. Harms	Minimal					
c. Benefit>Harm?	Favors intervention					
d. Overall certainty: effectiveness	2 (moderate)					
e. Overall certainty: safety	2 (moderate)	3 (low)				
Values						
a. Desirable>Undesirable?	Yes/Probably	Yes				
b. Uncertainty?	Probably not important unce	rtainty or variability				
Equity	Probably Incre	eased				

Acknowledgements

- ACIP and the Pneumococcal Work Group
- CDC contributors and consultants: Miwako Kobayashi, Tamara Pilishvili, Ryan Gierke, Emma Accorsi, Namrata Prasad, Heather Walker, Chukwuebuka Nsofor, Lana Childs, Heidi Moline, Pedro Moro, Sarah Schillie, Marc Fischer, Wei Xing, Rebecca Morgan, Doug Campos-Outcalt

Thank you

For more information, contact CDC 1-800-CDC-INFO (232-4636) TTY: 1-888-232-6348 www.cdc.gov

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.



EXTRA SLIDES

GRADE tables

PICO – routine use

Outcomes and Rankings

Outcome	Importance*	Included in evidence profile
Vaccine-type invasive pneumococcal disease	Critical	No**
Vaccine-type pneumonia	Critical	No**
Vaccine-type acute otitis media	Critical	No**
Vaccine-type pneumococcal deaths	Critical	No**
Serious adverse events following immunization	Critical	Yes

*Three options: 1. Critical; 2. Important but not critical; 3. Not important for decision making

**No clinical evidence available; immunogenicity data used as proxy for vaccine effectiveness of outcomes

Author, year	Study design; population and age	Intervention	N intervention	N comparison	Comparator vaccine	IgG GMC ratios [range (serotype)] ¹	Absolute difference in % seroresponders (serotype) ²	Interpretation	Study limitations (Risk of Bias)
Platt, 2020 (V114-008)	Phase 2 RCT (proof of concept); healthy children, 6-12 weeks	PCV15 3+1 (2,4, 6, 12- 15m)	350 (Lot 1) 347 (Lot 2)	347	PCV13	Post-dose 3 Lot 1: 0.54 (6A) to 1.98 (3) Lot 2: 0.57 (6A) to 1.93 (3) Post-dose 4 Lot 1: 0.67 (7F) to 1.44 (3) Lot 2: 0.66 (6A) to 1.48 (3)	Post-dose 3 Lot 1: -5.6 (6A) to 24.3 (3) Lot 2: -0.8 (19F) to 22.4 (3) Post-dose 4 Lot 1: -1.1 (23F) to 8.6 (3) Lot 2: 0 (4, 5, 6A, 7F, 9V, 14, 18C) to 9.6 (3)	 GMC ratios Post-dose 3 PCV15 > PCV13 for 3/13 (Lot 1) and 4/13 (Lot 2) shared serotypes; significantly higher for st3 (Lot 1 and 2) and 23F (Lot 2) PCV15 (Lot 1 and 2) > PCV13 for 22F and 33F Post-dose 4 PCV15 > PCV13 for st3 and 6B (Lot 1) and st3 and 18 (Lot 2); significantly higher for st3 only (Lot 1 and 2) PCV15 (Lot 1 and 2) > PCV13 for 22F and 33F %seroresponders Post-dose 3 PCV15 (Lot 1 and Lot 2) noninferior³ to PCV15 for all 13 shared serotypes PCV15 > PCV13 for 9/13 (Lot 1) and 8/13 (Lot 2) shared st; significantly higher for st3 only (Lot 1 and 2) PCV15 (Lot 1 and 2) > PCV13 for 22F and 33F POSt-dose 4 PCV15 (Lot 1 and 2) > PCV13 for 22F and 33F Post-dose 4 PCV15 (Lot 1 and 2) > PCV13 for 22F and 33F POSt-dose 4 PCV15 > PCV13 for 5/13 (Lot 1) and 6/13 (Lot 2) shared st; significantly higher for st3 only (Lot 1 and 2) PCV15 = PCV13 for 5/13 (Lot 1) and 7/13 (Lot 2) shared st PCV15 = PCV13 for 5/13 (Lot 1) and 7/13 (Lot 2) shared st PCV15 (Lot 1 and 2) > PCV13 for 22F and 33F 	Not serious

Author, year	Study design; population and age	Intervention	N intervention	N comparison	Comparat or vaccine	IgG GMC ratios [range (serotype)] ¹	Absolute difference in % seroresponders (serotype) ²	Interpretation	Study limitations (Risk of Bias)
V114-029 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (pivotal study); healthy children, 42-90 days	PCV15 3+1 (2,4, 6, 12-15m); co- administrati on pentacel, recombivax, rotateq	858	856	PCV13	Post-dose 3: 0.52 (6A) to 1.73 (3) Post-dose 4: 0.60 (6A) to 1.35 (3)	Post-dose 3 -5 (6A) to 16 (3) Post-dose 4 Not reported	 GMC ratios Post-dose 3 PCV15 noninferior⁴ to PCV13 for 12/13 (no for 6A) shared serotypes; statistically significantly higher for st3 PCV15 statistically significantly higher to PCV13 for 22F and 33F (unique st) PCV15 > PCV13 for st3 only (statistically significant) PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F Post-dose 4 PCV15 noninferior⁴ to PCV13 for all 13 shared serotypes; statistically significantly higher for st3 PCV15 statistically significantly higher to PCV13 for 22F and 33F (unique st) PCV15 statistically significantly higher to PCV13 for 22F and 33F (unique st) Non-inferiority met for concombinant use PCV15 > PCV13 for st3 (statistically significant) PCV15 > PCV13 for st3 (statistically significant) PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F %seroresponders Post-dose 3 PCV15 noninferior⁵ to PCV13 for all 13 shared serotypes; statistically significantly higher for st3 PCV15 statistically significantly higher to PCV13 for 22F and 33F (unique st) PCV15 statistically significantly higher for st3 PCV15 statistically significantly higher to PCV13 for 22F and 33F (unique st) PCV15 > PCV13 for st 3 (statistically significant) PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F 	Not serious

Ratio calculated as [GMC (PCV15)]/[GMC (comparator vaccine)]; blood draws occurred 30 days or 1 month post-dose.

Seroresponse: proportion of participants meeting IgG threshold value of >=0.35µg/mL; blood draws occurred 30 days or 1 month post-dose.

Noninferiority requires the lower bound of the 2-sided 95% CI for the difference in response rates (V114–PCV13) to be >-15 percentage points for the shared serotypes.

Noninferiority requires the lower bound of the 2-sided 95% CI for IgG GMC ratio (V114/PCV13) to be >0.5 (1-sided p-value <0.025

Noninferiority requires the lower bound of the 2-sided 95% CI for the difference in response rates (V114–PCV13) to be >-10 percentage points (1-sided p-value <0.025

Author, year	Study design; population and age	Intervention	N intervention	N comparison	Comparator vaccine	IgG GMC ratios [range (serotype)] ¹	Absolute difference in % seroresponders (serotype) ²	Interpretation	Study limitations (Risk of Bias)
V114-027 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (product interchangeability); healthy children, 42- 90 days	Group 2: PCV13 + PCV13+ PCV13 + PCV13 + PCV15	181	179	Group 1: PCV13 @ 2,4,6, 12- 15m	0.83 (1) to 1.51 (18C)	0 (6A, 7F, 9V, 14, 19F) to 6.5 (23F)	 GMC ratio (post-dose 4): PCV15 > PCV13 for 7/13 shared st; significant for 6B, 14, 18C % seroresponders (post-dose 3): PCV15 > PCV13 for 8/13 shared st; significant for 14 and 23F PCV15 = PCV13 for 5/13 st PCV15 > PCV13 for 33F 	
		Group 3: PCV13 + PCV13+ PCV15 + PCV15	178	179	Group 1: PCV13 @ 2,4,6, 12- 15m	0.84 (4 and 19A) to 1.44 (18C)	-4.9 (4) to 5.9 (3)	 GMC ratio (post-dose 4): PCV15 > PCV13 for 6/13 shared st; significant for 14 and 18C % seroresponders (post-dose 3): PCV15 > PCV13 for 4/13 shared st; significant for st4 PCV15 = PCV13 for 7F PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F 	Not serious
		Group 4: PCV13 + PCV15+ PCV15 + PCV15	179	179	Group 1: PCV13 @ 2,4,6, 12- 15m	0.77 (23F) to 1.08 (6B)	-91.4 (23F) to 8.7 (3 and 6B)	 GMC ratio (post-dose 4): PCV15 > PCV13 for 4/13 shared st % seroresponders (post-dose 3): PCV15 > PCV13 for 5/13 shared st; significant for st3 PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F 	
		Group 5: PCV15 @ 2,4,6, 12-15m	179	179	Group 1: PCV13 @ 2,4,6, 12- 15m	0.67 (7F) to 1.22 (3)	-4.7 (19A) to 20.7 (3)	 GMC ratio (post-dose 4): PCV15 > PCV13 for 2/13 shared st; significant for st3 % seroresponders (post-dose 3): PCV15 > PCV13 for 6/13 shared st; significant for st3 PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F 	

Author, year	Study design; population and age	Intervention	N interventio n	N comparison	Comparato r vaccine	IgG GMC ratios [range (serotype)] ¹	Absolute difference in % seroresponders (serotype) ²	Interpretation	Study limitations (Risk of Bias)
V114-024 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (catch up); heathy children, 7 months – 17 years	PCV15 (7- 11m: 3 doses (dose 1 @ 0w, dose 2 @ 4- 8w PD1, dose 3 @ 8-12w PD2 AND >12m)	64	64	PCV13 (3 doses)	0.52 (6A) to 1.55 (3)	-3.3 (6A and 6B) to 3.4 (3)	 GMC ratio (post-dose 3): PCV15 > PCV13 for st3 (significant) PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F % seroresponders (post-dose 3): PCV15 > PCV13 for st3 PCV15 = PCV13 for 8/13 shared st PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F 	
		, PCV15 (12- 23m: 2 doses (dose 1 @ 0w, dose 2 @ 4- 8w PD1))	62	64	PCV13 (2 doses)	0.54 (6A) to 1.76 (3)	-11.1 (6A) to 8.2 (3)	 GMC ratio (post-dose 2): PCV15 > PCV13 for 5/13 shared st; significant for st3 and 18C PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F % seroresponders (post-dose 2): PCV15 > PCV13 for 6/13 shared st; significant for st3 and 4 PCV15 = PCV13 for 19F PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F 	Not serious
		PCV15 (2-17y: 1 dose (>8w after previous PCV)	177	175	PCV13 (1 dose)	0.48 (4) to 1.60 (18C)	-1.2 (4) to 8 (3)	 GMC ratio (post-dose 1): PCV15 > PCV13 for 6/13 shared st; significant for st3 and 18C PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F % seroresponders (post-dose 1): PCV15 > PCV13 for 5/13 shared st; significant for st3 PCV15 = PCV13 for 4/13 st PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F 	

Summary of studies: safety

Author, year	Study Design; population and age		N intervention	N comparison	Comparator vaccine	Absolute % difference (% SAE PCV15 – % SAE comparator)*	N related to vaccine	Study limitations (Risk of Bias)
Platt, 2020 (V114-008)	Phase 2 RCT (proof of concept); healthy children, 6-12 weeks		697 (lots 1 and 2 combined)	347	PCV13	1	2	Not serious
V114-029 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT (pivotal s	tudy); healthy children, 42-90 days	858	855	PCV13	0.8	0	Not serious
		Group 2: PCV13 + PCV13+ PCV13 + PCV15 (booster)	181	179	Group 1: PCV13 @ 2,4,6, 12-15m	1.6	0	
V114-027	Phase 3 RCT (product interchangeability); healthy children, 42- 90 days	Group 3: PCV13 + PCV13+ PCV15 + PCV15	178	179	Group 1: PCV13 @ 2,4,6, 12-15m	-3.3	1	Notcorious
Merck, unpublished		Group 4: PCV13 + PCV15+ PCV15 + PCV15	179	179	Group 1: PCV13 @ 2,4,6, 12-15m	-1.6	0	Not serious
		Group 5: PCV15 @ 2,4,6, 12- 15m	179	179	Group 1: PCV13 @ 2,4,6, 12-15m	0	0	
	Phase 3 RCT (catch	PCV15 (7-11m: 3 doses (dose 1 @ 0w, dose 2 @ 4-8w PD1, dose 3 @ 8-12w PD2 AND >12m)	64	64	PCV13 (3 doses)	3.1	0	
V114-024 Merck, unpublished	up); heathy children, 7 months – 17 years	PCV15 (12-23m: 2 doses (dose 1 @ 0w, dose 2 @ 4-8w PD1))	62	64	PCV13 (2 doses)	0.2	0	Not serious
		PCV15 (2-17y: 1 dose (>8w after previous PCV)	177	175	PCV13 (1 dose)	0	0	
V114-031 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT, full-terr	n v. pre-term infants, 41 – 90 days	1965	433	PCV13	-0.6	2	Not serious

Summary of Evidence for outcomes of interest

Outcome	Importance	Included in profile	Certainty
VT- invasive pneumococcal disease	Critical	No*	2
VT- pneumonia	Critical	No*	2
Vaccine-type acute otitis media	Critical	No*	2
Vaccine-type pneumococcal deaths	Critical	No*	2
Serious adverse events following immunization	Critical	Yes	2

*No clinical evidence available; immunogenicity data used as proxy for vaccine effectiveness of outcomes

GRADE tables

PICO – children with underlying medical conditions

Outcomes and Rankings

Outcome	Importance*	Included in evidence profile
Vaccine-type invasive pneumococcal disease	Critical	No**
Vaccine-type pneumonia	Critical	No**
Vaccine-type acute otitis media	Critical	No**
Vaccine-type pneumococcal deaths	Critical	No**
Serious adverse events following immunization	Critical	Yes

*Three options: 1. Critical; 2. Important but not critical; 3. Not important for decision making

**No clinical evidence available; immunogenicity data used as proxy for vaccine effectiveness of outcomes

Author, year	Study design; population and age	N intervention	N comparis on	Comparator vaccine	IgG GMC ratios [range (serotype)] *	Absolute difference in % seroresponder s (serotype)	Interpretation**	Study limitations (Risk of Bias)
V114-023 Merck, unpublishe d	Phase 3 RCT (one dose of V114 vs. PCV13), children with sickle cell disease, 5 – 17 years	69	34	PCV13	0.54 (4) to 1.67 (6B)	Not reported	 GMC ratio (post-dose 1): PCV15 > PCV13 for 6/13 shared st PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F 	Not serious
V114-030 Merck, unpublishe d	Phase 3 RCT (V114+PPSV23 vs. PCV13 + PPSV23), children living with HIV, 6 – 17 years	203	204	PCV13 followed by PPSV23 8 weeks later	Post-PCV: 0.61 (4) to 1.65 (6B) Post- PPSV23: 0.65 (4) to 1.43 (6B)	Not reported	 Post-PCV: PCV15 > PCV13 for 7/13 shared st; significant for st3 and 6B PCV15 = PCV13 for 18C PCV15 > PCV13 for 22F and 33F Post-PPSV23: PCV15+PPSV23 for 3/13 shared st; significant for 6B PCV15+PPSV23 < PCV13+PPSV23 for 22F and 33F 	Not serious

* IgG GMC ratio = [GMC (PCV15)] / [GMC (comparator vaccine)]

**Blood draws occurred 30 days post-dose

Summary of studies: safety

Author, year	Study Design; population and age	N intervention	N comparison	Comparator vaccine	Absolute % difference (% SAE PCV15 – % SAE comparator)*	N related to vaccine	Study limitations (Risk of Bias)
V114-023 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT, children with sickle cell disease, 5 – 17 years	69	34	PCV13	-4.7	0	Not serious
V114-030 Merck, unpublished	Phase 3 RCT, children living with	203	204	PCV13	0	0	
	HIV, 6 – 17 years	203	202	PCV13 + PPSV23	0	0	Not serious

*Reported serious adverse events include those that occurred after dose 1 through completion of study participation.