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Foreword

The average number of hours worked annually by workers in the United States has increased steadily over the past several decades and currently surpasses that of Japan and most of Western Europe. The influence of overtime and extended work shifts on worker health and safety, as well as on worker errors, is gaining increased attention from the scientific community, labor representatives, and industry. U.S. hours of service limits have been regulated for the transportation sector for many years. In recent years, a number of states have been considering legislation to limit mandatory overtime for health care workers. The volume of legislative activity seen nationwide indicates a heightened level of societal concern and the timeliness of the issue.

This document summarizes recent scientific findings concerning the relationship between overtime and extended work shifts on worker health and safety. The number of studies increased dramatically over the past few years, but important research questions remain. I am confident that this document will contribute to an informed discussion of these issues and provide a basis for further research and analysis.

John Howard, M.D.
Director,
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Overtime and Extended Work Shifts

Executive Summary

PURPOSE

This report provides an integrative review of 52 recently published research reports that examine the associations between long working hours and illnesses, injuries, health behaviors, and performance. The report is restricted to a description of the findings and methods and is not intended as an exhaustive discussion of all important issues related to long working hours. Findings and methods are summarized as reported by the original authors, and the study methods are not critically evaluated for quality.

SUMMARY

In 16 of 22 studies addressing general health effects, overtime was associated with poorer perceived general health, increased injury rates, more illnesses, or increased mortality. One meta-analysis of long work hours suggested a possible weak relationship with preterm birth. Overtime was associated with unhealthy weight gain in two studies, increased alcohol use in two of three studies, increased smoking in one of two studies, and poorer neuropsychological test performance in one study. Some reports did not support this trend, finding no relationship between long work hours and leisure-time physical activity (two of three studies) and no relationship with drug abuse (one study).

A pattern of deteriorating performance on psychophysiological tests as well as injuries while working long hours was observed across study findings, particularly with very long shifts and when 12-hour shifts combined with more than 40 hours of work a week. Four studies that focused on effects during extended shifts reported that the 9th to 12th hours of work were associated with feelings of decreased alertness and increased fatigue, lower cognitive function, declines in vigilance on task measures, and increased injuries. Two studies examining physicians who worked very long shifts reported deterioration on various measures of cognitive performance.

When 12-hour shifts combined with other work-related demands, a pattern of more adverse findings was detected across studies. Six studies examining 12-hour shifts combined with more than 40 hours of work per week reported increases in health complaints, deterioration in performance, or slower pace of work. Two studies comparing 8- and 12-hour schedules during day and night shifts reported that 12-hour night shifts were associated with more physical fatigue, smoking, or alcohol use. Two studies examining start times for 12-hour shifts reported that decrements in alertness or more health complaints were associated with early 6:00 a.m. start times. One study examining 12-hour shifts in hot work environments reported a slower pace of work as compared with shorter shifts. Another study examining high workloads during 12-hour shifts showed increased discomfort and deterioration in performance as compared with shorter shifts.

More definitive statements about differences between 8-hour and 12-hour shifts are difficult because of the inconsistencies in the types of work schedules examined across studies. Work schedules differed by the time of day (i.e., day, evening, night), fixed versus rotating schedules, speed of rotation, direction of rotation, number of hours worked per week, number of consecutive days worked, number of rest days, and number of weekends off. All of these factors could have interacted with overtime and influenced study results. Also, some studies did not report how many hours participants worked per week or other details about the work shifts, which complicated the assessment of their results. The many
differences in the 8- and 12-hour shift schedules studied may have accounted for their contradictory findings.

Few studies have examined related topics, such as the combined influence of shift work and overtime, or how worker control over their work time and mandatory overtime might influence their health.

Some studies examined functional abilities or injuries during the 1st to 12th hours of work, but little has been reported about effects after the 12th hour. Few studies have investigated the influence of long working hours on the health and safety of women or older workers. Few studies have explored how long working hours influence workers with pre-existing health problems, or how the hours relate to symptom management and the course of common chronic diseases. Little data are available regarding the influence of occupational exposures (i.e., chemical, heat, noise, lifting) in combination with long working hours on health and safety.

Although the number of published studies examining long working hours appears to be increasing, many research questions remain on how overtime and extended work shifts influence worker health and safety.
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The annual number of hours worked per person in the United States surpasses Japan and most of Western Europe.

[International Labour Office 2002]

1. Introduction

Overtime is common in the United States and has increased steadily from 1970 through the 1990s [Hetrick 2000; Rones et al. 1997]. According to the International Labour Office [2002], the annual number of hours worked per person in the United States surpasses Japan and most of Western Europe. Figure 1 displays the average annual work hours for the locations of studies discussed in this document [International Labour Office 2003]. As illustrated, work hours in the United States are only surpassed by Thailand, Hong Kong, and South Korea.

This document provides an integrative review of selected health and safety issues associated with overtime and extended work shifts. Findings are summarized as reported by the original authors, and the study methods are described, but not critically evaluated. For this document, overtime is defined as more than 40 hours per week and extended work shifts are defined as shifts longer than 8 hours.

Seventy-five research reports, including one meta-analysis, were identified according to the following criteria:

1. Focused on overtime or extended work shifts
2. Published from 1995 through 2002
3. Peer-reviewed publication
4. Published in the English language

The information retrieval databases used to identify reports include Medline, Current Contents, PsycINFO, and ScienceDirect. Keywords used in the search were overtime, extended work shifts,

Figure 1. Average Annual Work Hours by Country [International Labour Office 2003]
work hours, work schedule tolerance, 12-hour shifts, 10-hour shifts. Additionally, the references cited in the retrieved reports were examined for any relevant research reports. The studies examined a variety of health and safety issues, ranging from illnesses and injuries to social life and job satisfaction. The present report is limited to a summary of those studies that addressed associations between long working hours and illnesses, injuries, health behaviors, and performance. The health behaviors include physical activity, smoking, alcohol use, and body weight. Performance measures include automobile crashes, tests of cognitive functioning, executive functioning, subjective alertness, cardiovascular fatigue, and muscle fatigue. Of the 75* reports examined, 51 studies and one meta-analysis addressed these outcomes and are summarized below. The summary of findings does not include the remaining 23 reports that did not examine illnesses, injuries, health behaviors, or performance.

*Note: The papers not discussed in this document are indicated in the Reference section by ‡.
2. Description of the Work Schedules and the Samples

To examine the relationship of overtime and extended work shifts on health and safety, 52 research studies were classified under four categories, based on the information contained in the reports:

1. **Overtime**: most studies compared the number of hours worked by full-time participants and reported no other work schedule details.

2. **Extended work shifts**: 10- or 12-hour shifts were compared with 8-hour shifts in most studies and used a standard 40-hour work week. Some studies, however, did not clearly report the number of hours worked per week. Some studies, however, did not clearly report the number of hours worked per week.

3. **Extended work shifts combined with more than 40 hours per week**: 12-hour shifts compared with 8-hour shifts in most studies.

4. **Very long shifts** (e.g., resident physician on 32-hour call schedule and 48-hour taxi driver schedule).

The process of classifying the studies into these categories often was hampered by lack of a complete and clear description of the work schedules. For example, the studies examining 12-hour work shifts did not always clearly report the number of hours worked per week. Thus, some misclassification of studies is possible in this summary document. In addition, the complexity and wide variety of work schedules studied made it difficult to compare and synthesize findings across the 52 reports.

Work schedules differ in many ways, and more than 10,000 schedules are in use worldwide [Knauth 1998]. Time of work (day, evening, night), fixed or rotating shift, the degree of worker control over work times, number of hours worked per day, number of consecutive workdays before rest days, number of hours worked per week, number of rest days, and number of weekends off were all factors that combined in a variety of ways across these field studies. An individual study’s finding for shift length or number of hours worked per week may have been influenced by time of work or other characteristics of the work schedule. Thus, some caution should be exercised in characterizing some of these studies or interpreting their findings solely in terms of long hours of work or extended shifts.

Tables 1 and 2 list the country where the studies were conducted and the type of work. Approximately 20% of the studies were conducted in the United States, 28% in Asia, and 35% in Europe. The studies were conducted in field settings, except for three laboratory investigations. The most frequent types of work studied were health care, white collar, and manufacturing. The age groups studied ranged from young adults to older workers in their 60s, but only two studies specifically addressed the relationship of age to health effects. Men were examined exclusively in 40% of the studies, as compared with 10% of studies that examined only women.

Work schedules differ in many ways, and more than 10,000 schedules are in use worldwide.  

[Knauth 1998]
Table 1.
Countries Where Studies Were Conducted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States and Canada</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States and Europe</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than Two Locations</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Table covers all 75 publications examined.

Table 2.
Types of Work Investigated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Administration and Services</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Collar Work</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Specified</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Table covers all 75 publications examined. Frequency counts will not sum to total number of publications, as some publications used multiple work types.
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3. Health and Safety Findings

A summary of the findings for cardiovascular diseases and other illnesses, injuries, health behaviors, and performance effects are listed below. Findings are discussed for each of the four work schedule categories listed earlier.

3.1 FINDINGS ASSOCIATED WITH OVERTIME

Twenty-nine studies and one meta-analysis examined associations between overtime and the outcomes targeted for this report. The studies were conducted in Japan (10), United States (5), Sweden (5), Germany (2), South Korea (2), Canada (1), Hong Kong (1), Netherlands (1), Thailand (1), and United Kingdom (1). The studies used a variety of criteria to group participants based on the number of hours worked. For example, the criterion used to define the group with the lowest number of hours worked ranged widely from 35 to 60 hours per week across studies.

3.1a Overtime and Cardiovascular Findings

Table 3 displays the methods and results for the studies examining overtime and cardiovascular findings. Two case-control studies of Japanese workers reported that overtime during the previous month was associated with an increased risk for acute myocardial infarction. Liu et al. [2002] reported that 61 or more hours of work per week and less than 2 days off a month increased the odds by two-fold or more. Sokejima and Kagamimori [1998] observed a U-shaped relationship: as compared with 7 to 9 hours of work per day, higher risk was associated with both shorter hours (less than 7 hours a day) and longer hours (more than 11 hours a day).

Findings for hypertension were inconsistent across four studies. Iwasaki et al. [1998] reported significantly elevated systolic blood pressure in older salesmen (ages 50 – 60) whose combined commute and work hours exceeded 61 hours per week as compared with older salesmen working 57 hours or less. No differences were reported in younger workers (ages 20 – 49 years). Hayashi et al. [1996] observed increased blood pressure in groups of white collar employees working 84 to 96 mean hours of overtime per month as compared with those working 25 to 43 mean hours of overtime. Nakanishi et al. [2001b], however, published the opposite results: white collar workers reporting 10 or more hours of work per day had a lower risk for developing hypertension when compared with workers reporting less than 8 hours of work per day. Lastly, Park et al. [2001a] reported no correlation between blood pressure and work hours in Korean engineers whose work hours during the previous month ranged from an average of 52 hours to 89 hours per week. No participants in this study worked less than 52 hours on average per week.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Measure of Overtime</th>
<th>Cardiovascular Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Hayashi et al. 1996 | Compared three groups of male white collar workers at one electronics plant:  
- Group sizes: 10 – 19  
- Group M ages 36 – 47  
- Japan | One month daily diary of work hours (overtime hours/month by group):  
- Comparison 1 with normal BP:  
  - High overtime: 88 +/- 42  
  - Low overtime: 25 +/- 7  
- Comparison 2 with elevated BP:  
  - High overtime: 84 +/- 42  
  - Low overtime: 26 +/- 7  
- Comparison 3 with workers examined twice during busy and slow season:  
  - High overtime: 96 +/- 28  
  - Low overtime: 43 +/- 18 | 24-h blood pressure and heart rate measured every hour with portable monitor:  
- Normal BP: systolic < 140; diastolic < 85  
- Elevated BP: systolic >140 to <160; diastolic > 90 to < 105 | t-test for independent samples tested the repeated measures of BP and pulse readings  
- Paired t-test tested seasonal group | In Comparison 1 with normal BP, high overtime showed:  
- Higher average systolic and diastolic BP  
- Heart rate NS  
In Comparison 2 with elevated BP, high overtime showed:  
- Higher average diastolic BP and heart rate  
- Systolic BP NS  
In Comparison 3, workers during busy season showed:  
- Higher average systolic and diastolic BP  
- Higher heart rates |
| Iwasaki et al. 1998 | 71 salesmen:  
- Age R 22 – 60  
- Japan | One-time survey*:  
- Short work hours—57 h/wk  
- Long work hours—61 to 68 h/wk  
* Work hours defined as hours in office plus commute time during last month | During one evening measured mean of two blood pressure readings. | t-test | Mean systolic blood pressure elevated for long-hour group as compared with short-hour group for ages 50 – 60, and no difference noted for ages 20 – 49. |
| Liu et al. 2001 | 260 men with acute myocardial infarction (AMI)  
- 445 male controls  
- Age R 40 – 79  
White collar and blue collar workers  
- Japan | One-time interview:  
- Work h/wk: ≤ 40; 41 – 60; ≥ 61  
- Days off/month: < 2; 2 – 7; ≥ 8  
- Rotating shifts yes/no  
- Interactions: work hours and sleep length; work hours and days off/month | Hospital records identified cases with AMI who survived to receive rehabilitation from 1996 to 1998.  
- Controls free of AMI: resident registers used to match for age, sex, residence.  
- Other measures:  
  - Workday sleep hours: ≤ 5; 6 – 8; ≥ 9  
  - Days off sleep hours: ≤ 5; 6 – 8; ≥ 9 h  
  - Days/wk with < 5 h sleep | ANOVA  
- ANACOVA  
- Logistic regression analysis: interaction of sleep with working hours assessed with likelihood ratio test.  
- Covariates: hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia, overweight, cigarette smoking, parental coronary heart disease, blue collar/white collar job, sedentary job | Working > 61 h/wk increased risk by two fold for AMI compared with ≤ 40 h (CI 1.1 – 3.5)  
- < 2 days off in previous month increased risk (OR 2.9; CI 1.3 – 6.5).  
- Categories of longer work and less days off or short sleep time showed trend for increase in the OR, but none of interactions were significant. |
| Nakani et al. 2001b | 941 male white collar workers from one building contractor:  
- No history of hypertension (HTN)  
- Age R 35 – 54  
- Japan | Interview in 1994: work h/day ≤ 8.0; 8.0 – 8.9; 9.0 – 9.9; 10.0 – 10.9; ≥ 11.0 | BP measurements during annual health exam from 1994 to 1999  
- World Health Organization criteria for HTN:  
  - Systolic blood pressure ≥ 160 mm Hg  
  - Diastolic blood pressure ≥ 95 mm Hg  
- Taking BP medication | ANOVA  
- Cox proportional hazard method: covariates (measured 1994): age, occupation, position, BMI, alcohol intake, commute time, vegetable and salt intake, eating breakfast, smoking, exercise, sleep length. | 336 men developed borderline HTN or definite HTN.  
- 88 men developed definite HTN.  
- Relative risk for borderline HTN or definite HTN (reference category < 8 h/day):  
  - 10 – 10.9 h/day .63 (CI 1.43 – 9.1)  
  - >11.0 h/day .48 (CI 0.34 – 0.74)  
- Relative Risk for definite HTN:  
  - >11 h/day .33 (CI 1.11 – 95) |
| Park et al. 2001a | 238 male engineers from 3 electronics manufacturing plants:  
- Age M 32, R 22 – 46  
- South Korea | One-time questionnaire: M h/wk during previous month (R 22 – 89 h/wk) | Blood pressure on afternoon of the survey day | Correlation coefficients  
- Multiple linear regression  
- Covariates: age and hours of sleep | Weekly working hours showed no significant correlation with blood pressure. |
Table 3. Studies Examining Overtime and Cardiovascular Outcomes: Methods and Findings (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Measure of Overtime</th>
<th>Cardiovascular Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sokejima and Kagaminori 1998</td>
<td>195 men (age M 55) with first acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and 331 controls (age M 54); 51% managers; 49% other occupations; Japan</td>
<td>Self-administered survey: M work h/day for each of 2 months before AMI from cases or before enrollment into study from controls; M work h/day for the months with the shortest and longest mean work hours during previous year</td>
<td>AMI cases established from hospital records; Control cases with no coronary artery disease from workplace medical exam; Matched for age and occupation</td>
<td>Logistic regression; Covariates: age, occupation category, hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes, body mass index, smoking habits, proportion of sedentary work, and burnout index</td>
<td>Hours worked during previous month showed U-shaped relationship. Higher risk for AMI associated with shorter hours (&lt;7 h/day; OR 2.8, CI 1.5 – 5.3) and longer hours (&gt;11 h/day; OR 2.9, CI 1.4 – 6.3) as compared with the reference category of (7 – 9 h/day).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Abbreviations used: BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; CI = 95% confidence interval; CIR = cumulative incidence ratio; D = day; E = evening; h = hours; M = mean; N = night; NS = not significant; OR = odds ratio; PR = prevalence risk ratio; R = range; RR = relative risk ratio; wk = week; y = years.
3.1b Overtime and Other Illnesses

Table 4 displays the methods and results for the studies examining overtime and other illnesses. Overtime was associated with poorer perceived general health in three of four studies [Ettner and Grzywacz 2001; Kirkcaldy et al. 2000; Siu and Donald 1995; Worrall and Cooper 1999], increased neck or musculoskeletal discomfort in two studies [Bergqvist et al. 1995; Fredriksson et al. 1999], increased mortality in one study [Nylén et al. 2001], and subfecundity in one study [Tuntiseranee et al. 1998].

Mozurkewich et al. [2000] conducted a meta-analysis of 10 studies published between 1987 and 1997 and reported no association between long work hours and preterm birth. Further analysis of the six higher quality studies suggested a weak relationship between long working hours and preterm birth (Odd Ratio = 1.24 with a 95% Confidence Interval of 1.04 to 1.48). In contrast, Voss et al. [2001] reported that more than 50 hours of overtime during the previous year was associated with less sick time in a Swedish study.

Associations with diabetes mellitus in two Japanese prospective health studies were contradictory. Kawakami et al. [1999] reported that 50 or more hours of overtime per month increased the risk for development of diabetes mellitus as compared with 25 hours or less. In contrast, Nakanishi et al. [2001a] reported that 11 hours or more a day was associated with a reduced risk as compared with less than 8 hours. Both studies collected work hour data at the initial contact and did not examine the influence of working long hours over the course of several years.

In summary, overtime was associated with increased morbidity and mortality in 8 of 12 studies, and one meta-analysis suggested a possible weak relationship between overtime and preterm birth.

Combined relationship of pressure to work overtime and rewards in Dutch postal workers was examined by van der Hulst et al. [2001]. Rewards included salary, job security, and career opportunities. They reported that high pressure to work overtime in combination with low rewards was associated with a 3-fold increase in the odds for somatic complaints as compared with a reference category of low overtime pressure in combination with high rewards. In contrast, high pressure in combination with high rewards did not differ from the reference category. Ninety-five percent of the sample worked less than 50 hours per week.

Siu and Donald [1995] also reported a relationship with overtime pay. Men from Hong Kong who received no payment for overtime reported more health complaints when compared with men who received payment.

Mizoue et al. [2001] examined the relationship of overtime and sick building syndrome among Japanese municipal employees working in an environment with few workplace smoking restrictions. Thirty hours of overtime or more during the previous month was associated with a 2.6-fold increased risk for symptoms of general malaise and irritation of the mucous membranes and skin.

Fredriksson et al. [1999] examined the combined influence of domestic workload and overtime in workers from a broad range of occupations in Sweden. Additional domestic workload increased the cumulative incidence or prevalence risk for disorders of the neck in men and women who were working overtime.
Table 4. Studies Examining Overtime and Other Illnesses: Methods and Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Measure of Overtime</th>
<th>Other Illness Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bergqvist et al. 1995</td>
<td>260 visual display terminal workers: Women 76%, Sweden</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire: frequent overtime yes/no</td>
<td>One-time Nordic Survey of Musculoskeletal Symptoms and physical therapeutic examination identified discomfort of arm/hand, neck/shoulder, back</td>
<td>• Multivariate logistic regression</td>
<td>Arm/hand discomforts associated with extensive overtime (OR 2.2, CI 1.2 – 4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ettner and Grzywacz 2001</td>
<td>Data from the 1995 mic-lif... residents: Age R 25 – 74, M 42, Women 51%, United States</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire: average h/wk working at all jobs &lt; 35, 35 – 45, &gt;45</td>
<td>Combined responses from two questions asking effect of job on physical or emotional/mental health: 1 = negative; 2 = mixed; 3 = positive</td>
<td>• Ordinal logistic regression</td>
<td>Working &gt;45 h/wk increased by 25% likelihood of reporting negative effects of work on health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fredriksson et al. 1999</td>
<td>484 white collar and blue collar workers from broad range of occupations without musculoskeletal diagnoses in 1969: Women M 48, R 42 – 59, Women 52%, Sweden</td>
<td>Interview in 1969: Overtime yes/no (work hour criteria not specified): Day versus night or shift work; Interactions: overtime and domestic workload</td>
<td>• Medical exam recorded neck disorders in 1969; In 1993, 17 questions from 1969 interview used for follow-up structured medical interview to record neck, shoulders, hands and wrists disorders</td>
<td>• PR in 1969 and 1993</td>
<td>• For neck disorders in women in 1993: Overtime associated with PR of 2.3 (CI 1.0 – 5.0; age adjusted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawakami et al. 1999</td>
<td>2,194 male managers, clerks, mechanics, machine operators at one electrical plant who did not have diabetes or cardiovascular disease: Age R 18 – 60, Japan</td>
<td>Questionnaire in 1984 and 1985: Overtime h/month: 24 h/week: 26 – 50 h (9 – 10 h/day, 47 – 52 h/week)</td>
<td>• Urine/blood glucose measured annually from 1984 to 1992; Glucose tolerance test as needed; Diagnosis based on WHO criteria</td>
<td>• Cox’s proportional hazard model</td>
<td>• For neck disorders in women in 1993: Overtime associated with PR of 2.3 (CI 1.0 – 5.0; age adjusted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirkaldy et al. 2000</td>
<td>262 public and private managers: Women 66%, Age R 18 – 65, Germany</td>
<td>Source not clearly reported: work h/wk &lt; 48, &gt; 48</td>
<td>One-time physical symptom subscale, created from Pressure Management Indicator, a 120 item survey</td>
<td>Multiple one-way ANOVA</td>
<td>Physical symptoms not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4. Studies Examining Overtime and Other Illnesses: Methods and Findings (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Measure of Overtime</th>
<th>Other Illness Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Mizousu et al. 2001<sup>a</sup> | 1,281 municipal employees:  
- Age not reported  
- Men 72%  
- Japan | One-time questionnaire (overtime hours during previous month 0 ≤ 10; 10 < 30; ≥ 30) | One-time questionnaire:  
- Sick building syndrome symptoms  
- Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) | Multivariate logistic regression  
- Covariates: age, gender, position type, asthma or hay fever, VDT use, work interest, work overload, work control, colleague support, distress, sports activity, sleep hours | Percent of workers active in sports by overtime groups: 10h = 17%, 10 < 30h = 16%, ≥ 30h = 8%  
Percent of workers in overtime groups with ETS: 10h = 49%, 10 < 30h = 59%, ≥ 30h = 62%  
Working overtime ≥ 30 h/month increased risk of at least one sick building syndrome symptom (OR 2.6, CI 1.4 – 4.5; adjusted for some covariates)  
OR 2.96 for ≥ 30 h when adjusting for fixed covariates (age, etc.)  
Addition to model of lifestyle and stress-related covariates reduced OR to 2.5 |
| Nakanishi et al. 2001<sup>a</sup> | 1,266 male office workers free of impaired fasting glucose (IFG), type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2), or history in 1994:  
- Age M 46, R 35 – 59  
- Japan | Interview in 1994: work h/wk < 8.0; 8.0 – 8.9; 9.0 – 9.9; 10.0 – 10.9; ≥11.0 | Questionnaires and medical measurements during an annual health examination from 1994 to 1999  
- American Diabetes Association guidelines used to define IFG and DM2 by fasting plasma glucose | Cox’s proportional hazards model  
- Covariates: age, BMI, occupation, position, smoking, alcohol, eating habits, physical activity, family history of diabetes, blood pressure, fasting glucose, total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein | Risk of developing IFG or DM2 decreased in a dose-dependent manner with an increase in work h/day.  
Reference group: < 8 h/day,  
Adjusted relative risk for ≥ 11 h/day was 0.59 (CI 0.25 – 0.98) |
| Nylen et al. 2001<sup>a</sup> | 20,632 workers with job titles from Swedish twin registry:  
- Men 54%  
- Sweden | Survey in 1973:  
- Overtime h/wk: ≤ 5, >5  
- Extra work h/wk (e.g., outside normal employment): ≤ 5, >5 | Swedish Cause of Death Registry over a 24-y period 1973 – 1996: mortality analyzed at 5 y and 24 y in the final models | Cox’s proportional hazards model: separate models for men and women  
- Covariates in 1973: age, marital status, smoking, alcohol use, tranquilizer use, extraversion and serious illness | In women when controlling for other factors, ≤ 5 h/wk overtime reduced mortality at 24 y follow-up (RR = 1.92, CI 1.13 – 3.25)  
In men when controlling for other factors, ≤ 5 h/wk overtime increased mortality at 24 y follow-up (RR 0.58, CI 0.43 – 0.80), while > 5 h/wk increased mortality at 5-y follow-up (RR 2.0, CI 1.02 – 3.95), and extra work hours increased mortality at 5-y follow-up (RR 2.57, CI 1.12 – 5.52) |
| Siu and Donald 1995<sup>a</sup> | 332 workers from broad range of occupations:  
- Women 57%  
- Age R 18 – 55  
- Hong Kong | One-time interview:  
- Overtime: yes/no  
- Paid for overtime: yes/no  
- Night or rotating: yes/no | One-time interview: Health complaints scale of 14 psychological, physical, medical symptoms | Multiple regression  
- Covariates: gender, environmental conditions, and relationship with supervisor and coworkers | Overtime associated with more health complaints (β = 0.149, p < 0.001).  
Payment for overtime decreased health complaints in men (β = – 0.13, p > 0.05) |
### Table 4. Studies Examining Overtime and Other Illnesses: Methods and Findings (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Measure of Overtime</th>
<th>Other Illness Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumisane et al. 1998</td>
<td>907 pregnant women and male partners who planned pregnancy and worked for pay before pregnancy: • Thailand</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire and clinic interview during pregnancy: • Work h/wk: &lt; 60, 61 – 70, 71 • Shift work: yes/no</td>
<td>• Sub-fecundity from antenatal clinic records for length of unprotected intercourse • Months to pregnancy categories tested: &gt; 7.8; &gt; 9.5; &gt; 12</td>
<td>Kaplan-Meier survival analysis curve</td>
<td>• Working &gt; 71 h/wk increased risk (OR 2.3, CI 1.0 – 5.6) in primigravid and in all pregnant women (OR 1.6, CI 1.0 – 2.7) for &gt; 9.5 months to pregnancy. • When both men and women worked &gt; 70 h/wk, the odds ratio increased to 4.1 (CI 1.3 – 13.4) in primigravid and 2.0 (CI 1.1 – 3.8) for &gt; 9.5 months to pregnancy for all pregnant women. • In men, work hours showed no association.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Hulst and Geurts 2001</td>
<td>535 full-time* postal workers and managers: • Age M 43.6 y • Men 95% • Netherlands</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire: • Overtime h/wk dichotomized: no overtime versus ≥ 1 h/wk • Interaction 1: pressure to work overtime (low/high) and rewards (low/high) • Interaction 2: overtime (no/yes) and rewards (low/high)</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire dichotomized items: • Pressure to work overtime • Job and career awards • Recovery time • Burn-out • Work-home interference • “Psychosomatic” health complaint scale of 13 items</td>
<td>Multivariate logistic regression • Covariates: age, gender, executive position, partner status, and parental status</td>
<td>• Overtime with low reward associated with poor recovery, burnout, negative work-home interference (risks increased 2.2 – 3.4 times over group with no overtime high reward). “Psychosomatic” complaints NS. • Low reward with no overtime showed similar risks. • Low rewards and high pressure to work overtime associated with adverse “psychosomatic” complaints, burnout, poor recovery, negative work-home interference (risks increased 2.6 to 8.1 times over group with no overtime and high rewards).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voss et al. 2001</td>
<td>2,628 postal workers: • Men 54% • Age M men 39.5 y • Age M women 42.9 y • Sweden</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire asked about workplace in 1993: • &gt; 50 h overtime (yes/no) • Other dichotomized work schedule features: full time/part time, shift work, flexible hours, desired hours</td>
<td>Sweden Post’s register of absenteeism for 1993 established: • Low incidence: &lt; two events/v • High incidence: ≥ two events/v</td>
<td>Multivariate logistic regression • Covariates: 150 physical, psychosocial and organizational factors tested</td>
<td>&gt; 50 h overtime/year associated with lower incidence of sickness absence while controlling for other factors (men OR 0.70, CI 0.53 – 0.91; women OR 0.58, CI 0.43 – 0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worrall and Cooper 1999</td>
<td>1,312 managers: • Gender/age not specified • United Kingdom</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire: work h/wk &lt; 35 to &gt; 60</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire: perception of health</td>
<td>Percent by managerial type</td>
<td>Report that long work hours adversely affect health: • 59% of all managers • 71% working &gt; 60 h/wk • 21% working &lt; 35 h/wk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = Full time = 38 h/wk

Note. Abbreviations used: BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; CI = 95% confidence interval; CIR = cumulative incidence ratio; D = day; E = evening; H = hours; M = mean; N = night; NS = not significant; OR = odds ratio; PR = prevalence risk ratio; R = range; RR = relative risk ratio; wk = week; y = years.

* Mizoue reference appears also in Table 6.
3.1c Overtime and Injuries

Table 5 displays the methods and results for the studies examining overtime and injuries. Two studies reported that overtime was associated with higher on-the-job injury rates in construction workers or health care workers [Lowery et al. 1998; Simpson and Severson 2000]. Åkerstedt et al. [2002], however, reported no relationship between more than 50 hours of work per week and work-related fatalities in a 20-year prospective Swedish study.

3.1d Overtime and Health Behaviors

Table 6 displays the methods and results for the studies examining overtime and health behaviors. Studies by Nakamura et al. [1998] and Shields [1999] reported that overtime was associated with increased odds for unhealthy weight gain in men. Shields also reported that changing from a 40-hour workweek to longer working hours raised the odds for smoking in both men and women. In contrast, Park et al. [2001b] found no difference in smoking across three groups of engineers whose work hours ranged from a minimum of 52 hours per week to a maximum of 89 hours.

Differences in alcohol consumption also varied among studies. Shields [1999] reported a U-shaped relationship: women who either reduced or increased their average hours worked per week during the previous two years increased their odds for higher alcohol consumption. Trinkoff and Storr [1998] reported higher alcohol consumption in nurses was associated with working more overtime shifts per month. Park et al. [2001b] reported no differences in alcohol use among engineers whose work hours ranged from minimum of 52 hours per week to a maximum of 89 hours.

Mizoue et al. [2001] found a significant decrease in the percentage of workers who participated in regular sports activity as overtime hours increased. Studies by Shields [1999] and Kageyama et al. [1998], however, reported no significant relationship between long working hours and leisure-time physical activity.

3.1e Overtime and Performance

Table 6 displays the methods and results for the studies examining overtime and performance. Proctor et al. [1996] investigated 248 United Auto Workers working day and evening shifts. The researchers reported poorer performance on tests of cognitive function (e.g., Trail-making Test, Wisconsin Card Sort Task, Symbol Digit Substitution Task, Visual Reproduction, Pattern Memory, Vocabulary Task) and executive function (the ability to prioritize and plan tasks) for those individuals who worked overtime as compared with those who did not. Kirkcaldy et al. [1997] reported that as work hours increased in health care workers, automobile crashes and on the job “accidents” increased.

3.2 FINDINGS ASSOCIATED WITH EXTENDED WORK SHIFTS

Twelve field studies and three laboratory studies examined associations between extended work shifts and the outcomes targeted for this report. The field studies were conducted in United States (4), Australia (2), Sweden (2), United Kingdom (2), France (1), and Germany (1). The studies compared a variety of extended shift schedules: 12-hour day shifts and 12-hour night shifts; 8-hour rotations and 12-hour rotations; 8-hour and 10-hour rotations. Injuries and performance across the 1st hour through the 12th hour of long shifts were also examined. Table 7 displays the methods and results for studies that examined extended work shifts.
Table 5. Studies Examining Overtime and Injuries: Methods and Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Measure of Overtime</th>
<th>Health or Safety Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Åkerstedt et al. 2002</td>
<td>47,680 employed men and women beginning at age 16 (total cohort):</td>
<td>Interviewed regularly for 20 y: work h/wk &lt; 50 or &gt; 50</td>
<td>Occupational fatality from Swedish Cause of Death Registry across 20 y</td>
<td>• Cox regression survival analysis</td>
<td>No significant relationship reported between &gt;50 h/wk and occupational fatality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Covariates: demographics, sleep, other work characteristics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowery et al. 1998</td>
<td>2,140 airport construction contracts involving approximately 32,000</td>
<td>Percent of payroll that was overtime by contract:</td>
<td>4,634 paid workers’ compensation claims to determine:</td>
<td>Poisson regression</td>
<td>• Numbers of LWT injuries were small and NS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>workers (men 95%):</td>
<td>0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%</td>
<td>• Non-lost work time (non-LWT) injury rate</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Rate ratio for non-LWT injuries increased to 1.57 (CI 1.13 – 2.17) for contracts with &gt; 20% overtime.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Employed 12/1990 to 8/1994,</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Lost work time (LWT) injury rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Age R 15 – 60’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 155 injured and 2,092 non-injured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Covariates: age, gender, ethnicity, job title, physical demand rating, work hours obtained from hospital records</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Age not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Women 81%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Abbreviations used: BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; CI = 95% confidence interval; CIR = cumulative incidence ratio; D = day; E = evening; h = hours; M = mean; N = night; NS = not significant; OR = odds ratio; PR = prevalence risk ratio; R = range; RR = relative risk ratio; wk = week; y = years
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Measure of Overtime</th>
<th>Health or Safety Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Kageyama et al. 1998 | 223 male white collar workers: Age M 30.8, Japan | One-time questionnaire and interview (overtime h/month = 20; 20 – 59; ≥60) | One-time questionnaire and interview measured exercise: rarely, 1 – 2/month, 1 wk, ≥2/wk | • General linear models  
• Covariates: age, body mass index, smoking and alcohol intake, commute time  
• Kendall’s rank correlation | Frequency of exercise not correlated with overtime |
| Kirkcaldy et al. 1997 | 2,500 health care workers: Women 87%, Age M 33, R 15 – 86, Germany | One-time questionnaire: work h/wk | One-time questionnaire: driving crashes and job-related “accidents” | • Multiple regression  
• Covariates: age, gender, work climate, commute distance, job stress, children | As work hours increased, job-related “accidents” and driving crashes increased (p < .05) |
| Mizoue et al. 2001a | 1,281 municipal employees: Age not reported, Men 72%, Japan | One-time questionnaire (overtime hours during previous month 0 – < 10; 10 < 30; ≥30) | One-time questionnaire:  
• Sick building syndrome symptoms  
• Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS)  
• Multivariate logistic regression  
• Covariates: age, gender, position type, asthma or hay fever, VDT use, work interest, work overload, work control, colleague support, distress, sports activity, sleep hours | • Percent of workers active in sports by overtime group: < 10h < 17%, 10 < 30h < 16%; ≥30 h < 8%  
• Percent of workers in overtime groups with ETS: < 10h < 49%, 10 < 30h = 59%; ≥30 h < 62%  
• Working overtime ≥30 h/month increased risk of at least one sick building syndrome symptom (OR 2.6, CI 1.4 – 4.5; adjusted for some covariates)  
• OR 2.96 for ≥30 h when adjusting for fixed covariates (age, etc)  
• Addition to model of lifestyle and stress-related covariates reduced OR to 2.5 |
| Nakamura et al. 1998 | 248 male non-management white collar workers: Age M 31, R 21 – 56, Japan | • From time clocks between 1990 and 1993  
• Overtime: average monthly work hours beyond 40 h/wk | 1990 and 1993 measured height, weight, abdominal and hip circumference, skin-fold thickness, serum cholesterol/triglycerides.  
• Pearson, Spearman Correlation  
• Multiple linear regression with stepwise procedures  
• Covariates: age, gender, marital status, education and lifestyle (e.g., eating habits, exercise, smoking, alcohol use) | • Increased overtime correlated with an increase in BMI (r = .172, p < .01) and waist circumference (r = .218, p < .01) from 1990 to 1993, but not with 1993 measurements alone.  
• While controlling for late dinner, overtime associated with an increase in BMI (β = 0.0103, p < .05).  
• While controlling for age, overtime associated with an increase in waist circumference (β = 0.0415, p < .05). |
| Park et al. 2001b | 238 male engineers from 3 electronics manufacturing plants: Age M 32, South Korea | One-time questionnaire (M h/wk during previous month):* ≤ 60; > 60 < 70; > 70  
* ≈52 – 89 h/wk | One-time questionnaire:  
• Number of cigarettes per day  
• Number of alcoholic drinks per week  
• Analysis of covariance adjusting for age  
• Duncan’s multiple comparison procedure | No significant differences in mean number of daily cigarettes smoked or mean number of weekly alcohol drinks across the three working hour groups |
### Table 6. Studies Examining Overtime, Health Behaviors, and Performance Outcomes: Methods and Findings (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Measure of Overtime</th>
<th>Health or Safety Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Proctor et al. 1996 | 248 hourly paid automotive workers:  
- Age M 36  
- Men 64%  
- United States | Overtime calculated from payroll records week before test day:  
- Overtime defined as hours > 8 per shift or > 5 days per week  
- Shifts were fixed days or evenings | One-time neuro-psychological test battery: Trail-making Test, Wisconsin Card Sort Task, Symbol-digit, Visual Reproduction, Recognition Test, Pattern Memory, Vocabulary Test | • Pearson’s correlation  
• Student’s t-test  
• Multiple linear stepwise regression models  
• Covariates: age, education, gender, alcohol use, repeated school grade, petroleum naphtha exposure, shift, job type, number consecutive days worked before testing, and number work hours on test day | • Mean test performance for overtime group tended to be worse than comparison group for 15 of 24 tasks (primarily areas of attention and executive function).  
• Three reached statistical significance:  
  - Time to complete Trails B (β = 1.6, CI 0.66 – 2.5)  
  - 2-min recall on Delayed Recognition Span Test  
  - The Vocabulary Test (Student’s t test, p < 0.05)  
• In final models, overtime predicted impaired performance on Trails A, Trails B, Wisconsin Card Sorting, and Vocabulary tests. |
| Shields 1999     | Randomly selected sample of 2,181 men, 1,649 women in the National Population Health Survey from various occupations:  
- Work ≥ 35 h/week entire year before 1994/1995  
- Age R 25 – 54  
- Canada | Phone interviews in 1994/95 and 1996/97:  
- Work h/wk: 35 – 40 (standard); ≥ 41 (long).  
- Shift type: day versus all types of shift work. | Phone interviews in 1994/95 and 1996/97:  
- Alcohol: number of drinks/day during week before interview  
- Smoking: number of cigarettes/day  
- Weight: BMI, underweight BMI < 20; acceptable BMI, 20 – 24.9; some excess BMI, 25 – 27; overweight BMI > 27  
- Physical activity: number of times ≥ 15 minutes during last 3 months | • Multiple logistic regression models run separately for men and women  
• Covariates: age, marital status, household income, presence of children < 12 at home, education, stress occupation, shift work, self-employment, multiple jobs, high job strain, job insecurity, low supervisor support | • Alcohol consumption:  
  - Women who either increased (standard to long hours) or reduced hours (long hours to standard) showed increased risk (2.0, CI: 1.1 – 3.4; 1.6, CI: 1.0 – 2.6, respectively) for higher alcohol consumption  
  - Men who increased weekly hours were not associated with more alcohol consumption, and reduction in their work hours decreased odds of increasing consumption (0.5, CI: 0.3 – 0.9)  
• BMI:  
  - Men who moved from standard hours to long hours showed increase odds (2.2, CI: 1.2 – 4.0) for weight gain compared to men who continued to work standard hours  
  - Men who worked long hours increased odds for excess weight by 1.4  
  - No significant changes for women  
• Smoking:  
  - Men who changed from standard to long working hours increased the odds (2.2, CI: 1.1 – 4.5) of smoking more  
  - Women increased their odds even more (4.1, CI: 1.4 – 11.6)  
• Exercise showed no significant changes. |
Table 6. Studies Examining Overtime, Health Behaviors, and Performance Outcomes: Methods and Findings (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Measure of Overtime</th>
<th>Health or Safety Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Trinkoff and Storr 1998<sup>a</sup> | National random sample of 3,917 registered nurses employed full- or part-time:  
- Age M 43  
- 95% women  
- United States | One-time questionnaire:  
- Number h/day: > 8, ≤ 8  
- Overtime days/month 0, 1 – 3, 4 – 7, ≥ 8  
- Shift type: day, evening, night  
- Rotation: yes/no  
- Interaction: shift by hours worked/day | One-time questionnaire:  
- Drug use in past year yes/no: marijuana, cocaine, prescription drug use without prescription  
- Alcohol use: 5 or more drinks on one occasion  
- Smoking: > 10 cigarettes/day | Logistic regression adjusted for demographics |  
- Overtime and shift length NS for drug use.  
- Risk for smoking increased with > 8-h night shifts (OR 1.62; CI: 1.14 – 2.31).  
- Risk for alcohol increased:  
  - > 8-h (OR 1.44; CI 1.2 – 1.72) versus ≤ 8-h  
  - 1 to 7 days overtime/month (OR 1.44 – 1.49)  
  - > 8-h night (OR 1.4; CI 1 – 1.98) and > 8-h rotating shifts (OR 1.52; CI 1.04 – 2.22). |

Note. Abbreviations used: β = beta; BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; CI = 95% confidence interval; CIR = cumulative incidence ratio; D = day; E = evening; h = hours; M = mean; N = night; NS = not significant; OR = odds ratio; PR = prevalence ratio; R = range; RR = relative risk ratio; wk = week; y = years.

<sup>a</sup>Mixed reference appears also in Table 4. <sup>b</sup>Trinkoff and Storr reference appears also in Table 7.
3.2a Extended Work Shifts and Illnesses

Lipscomb et al. [2002] reported that working 12 or more hours per shift was associated with increased risk for back disorders in nurses when compared with an 8-hour shift. Prunier-Pouilmaire et al. [1998] reported that a 12-hour fast rotation (shift change more than once a week) was associated with increased leg pain, and visual complaints, as compared with day shift. In addition, the 8-hour 3-shift rotation showed increased risk for more leg pain, as well as more cardiovascular and gastrointestinal complaints, when compared with day shift. In contrast, Johnson and Sharit [2001] reported that a 12-hour fast rotation was associated with better perceived general health and fewer gastrointestinal complaints when compared with a fast 8-hour 3-shift rotation.

Smith et al. [1998] compared 12-hour day-night rotations with flexible start times and 12-hour rotations with rigid start times, but found no differences in cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, or pain symptoms.

3.2b Extended Work Shifts and Injuries

Hänecke et al. [1998] analyzed 1.2 million injury reports from two national databases in Germany and reported a higher risk for injury after the 8th or 9th hour at work for all shifts. The report indicated more pronounced risk for evening and night shifts as compared to days. Macias et al. [1996] examined hospital incident reports for a 30-month period at one university hospital in the United States and reported that needlestick and biological fluid exposure rates increased during the last 2 hours of 12-hour shifts, whereas no increase occurred during the last 2 hours of 8-hour shifts. Johnson and Sharit [2001], however, reported that production workers who changed from an 8-hour to a 12-hour shift did not show an increase in recordable injuries or lost-time incidents after the change.

3.2c Extended Work Shifts and Health Behaviors

Trinkoff and Storr [1998] reported increased odds for higher alcohol use in nurses who worked longer rotating or night shifts and increased odds for smoking in nurses who worked extended night shifts. No relationship was reported between working hours and drug abuse.

3.2d Extended Work Shifts and Performance

Two laboratory studies reported deterioration in performance with extended shifts. Rosa et al. [1998] compared a 2-week 12-hour day/night rotation and a 2-week 8-hour day-night rotation using a simulated manual assembly task at three repetition rates and three torque loads. They reported that upper extremity fatigue increased more quickly with increasing time on shift and occurred more quickly during night shifts. The highest fatigue levels were found during 12-hour night shifts. Macdonald and Bendak [2000] compared a 12-hour shift to a more standard workday (7.2 hours) in a laboratory study and reported that the longer workday was associated with deterioration in grammatical reasoning and alertness.

In contrast, four field studies reported no differences in their performance measures during extended shifts. Schroeder et al. [1998] reported that air traffic control personnel working four 10-hour shifts did not significantly differ from personnel working five 8-hour shifts on tests of grammatical reasoning, reaction time, and digit addition although performance of both groups declined across the workweek. Similarly, Smith et al. [1995] reported no significant declines in alertness or cognitive performance between 8-hour and 12-hour shifts in nuclear power plant shift workers. Axelsson et al. [1998] reported no significant difference in simple reaction time and vigilance task measures between 8- and 12-hour shifts in Swedish power plant workers. Also, Lowden et al. [1998] reported no consistent
### Table 7. Studies Examining Extended Work Shifts: Methods and Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Description of Work Schedule</th>
<th>Health or Safety Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axelsson et al. 1998</td>
<td>28 power plant workers:</td>
<td>3-shift fast forward rotation with 8-h shifts Monday to Thursday, 12-h shifts Friday to Sunday (4 – 7 on, 2 – 10 off), M 35 h/wk</td>
<td>Simple reaction time and 10 minute vigilance tests compared 8-h and 12-h shifts at the beginning and end of 3 day shifts and 3 night shifts</td>
<td>Repeated measures ANOVA with Huynh-Feldt epsilon correction method</td>
<td>No significant performance differences between 8-h and 12-h shifts on simple reaction time tests and vigilance tasks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brake and Bates 2001</td>
<td>45 male underground miners acclimatized to hot work environment</td>
<td>First summer worked 6-h shifts</td>
<td>Pre- and post-intervention at shift start, middle, and end for several shifts collected continuous heart rate:</td>
<td>Student’s t-test.</td>
<td>No significant changes in full shift continuous heart rate reported between 6-h shifts and self-paced extended shifts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 controls in sedentary thermoneutral conditions</td>
<td>Intervention during next summer was self-paced 10-h, 12-h, or 12.5-h shifts</td>
<td>– Polar ECG-type recorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Data collected during day and night shifts, but did not report time-of-day effects</td>
<td>– Cycle ergometer heart rates with pedal rate = 50 rpm at 100 Watts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Work h/wk not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hänecke et al. 1998</td>
<td>1.2 million workers’ compensation records for “accidents” at work:</td>
<td>1994 Workers’ compensation records:</td>
<td>1994 workers’ compensation records of “accident” at work leading to &gt; 3 days absence</td>
<td>Chi Square</td>
<td>Relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender/age not reported</td>
<td>Hour at work when “accident” occurred: 1st to 12th hour, &gt; 12th hour</td>
<td>Used two German work hour surveys in 1992 and 1993 to estimate population exposed (the denominator)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Time of day “accident” occurred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction: time of day by hour at work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson and Sharit 2001</td>
<td>Production workers at one manufacturing site:</td>
<td>6-h 3-shift rotation changed to 12-h day/night rotation</td>
<td>OSHA-related injury/illness records examined over 10 years (2 y before schedule change, 8 y after change)</td>
<td>Z-scores adjusted for age and gender</td>
<td>Tested standardized incident/illness rate, lost time case rate, lost workday rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One division (n = 350, 90% male) that changed shift length was compared with other divisions (n = about 7700, 84% male)</td>
<td>Work h/wk not available</td>
<td>Hours of Work Questionnaire measured overall health and digestive symptoms while on 8-h shifts, 11 months after change to 12-h shifts, and 8 y after change</td>
<td>Chi Square for independence tested digestive symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age groups: &gt; 30, 30 – 39, 40 – 49, &gt; 50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipson et al. 2002</td>
<td>1,163 working nurses randomly selected from two states:</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire:</td>
<td>One-time Nordic Survey of Musculoskeletal Symptoms</td>
<td>Logistic regression adjusted for age</td>
<td>Compared to 8-h shift, ≥ 12-h/day increased risk for back disorders (OR 1.61, CI 1.05 – 2.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age M 45</td>
<td>Work h/day: ≤ 8, 9 – 11, ≥12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interactions suggested that ≥ 12-h/day combined with ≥ 40-h/wk elevated risk for disorders of neck (OR 2.30, CI 1.03 – 5.11), shoulder (OR 2.48, CI 1.07 – 5.77), and back (OR 2.67, CI 1.26 – 5.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women 95%</td>
<td>Work h/wk: ≤40, 41 – 49, ≥50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Work days/wk: 1 – 5, 6 – 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day shift versus other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interactions: h/shift by h/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowden et al. 1998</td>
<td>14 shift workers, 9 day workers at a chemical plant:</td>
<td>8-h 3-shift backward fast rotation with M 40 h/wk changed to 12-h day/night fast rotation (2N, 5 off, 2D, 2 off, 3N) with M 36 h/wk</td>
<td>Before shift change and 10 months after tested simple visual reaction time at beginning and end of shift</td>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>Chi square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender age not reported for subsample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Newman-Keuls post hoc procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 7. Studies Examining Extended Work Shifts: Methods and Findings (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Health or Safety Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Macnold and Henkak, 2000 | Two laboratory studies: 
- 2 men and 2 women performing cognitive tasks; 
- 2 men and 2 women performing high physical work; 
- Age M 29.5; 
- Field study; 
- Production operators at one plant: 12-h shift n = 17 men; 8-h shift n = 17, 76% male; 
- Age R 21 – 61; 
- Australia | Laboratory study: 
- High physical work lab study compared 7.2-h 5-day week with 12-h 3-day week; 
- Cognitive task lab study compared 7.2-h days during 1 wk at high workload, 1 wk at low workload, and 12-h days during 1 wk at high workload, 1 wk at low workload; 
- Field study: 
- Plant schedules: 8-h fixed day or day/evening weekly rotation; 12-h day/night biweekly rotation (2 – 3 on, 2 – 3 off); h/wk not reported; 
- Interactions: workday duration and workload | Lab study assessment battery items: bodily discomfort chart with rating scales, alertness ratings, workload ratings, hand steadiness, Critical Flicker Fusion, Grammatical Reasoning, dual task (Grammatical Reasoning and auditory choice reaction time), simultaneous pattern comparison, tapping; 
- Field study measures: job analysis, workload measurement, personal characteristics questionnaire, assessment battery at start, middle, end of 3 day shifts | Repeated measures ANOVA; 
- Regression analysis; 
- Other factors in the analysis: demographics, health, commute time, job coping skills, alertness rating, bodily discomfort score | In laboratory study, 12-h shift showed decreased self-rated alertness (F=10.65, p < 0.05), increased grammatical reasoning errors (F=11.83, p < 0.05), higher perceived physical workload ratings (F=10.14, p < 0.05); 12-h shifts with high cognitive tasks showed slightly more errors than 7.2-h shifts while 12-h shifts with low cognitive tasks showed marginally better performance. 
In field study, increases in workloads on 12-h shifts showed increased discomfort and grammatical reasoning errors, and deterioration in alertness and hand steadiness. |
| Macis et al., 1996 | 393 biological hazard exposure incidents to health care workers in one hospital; 
- Age gender not reported; 
- United States | Retrospective record review of work schedule data over 30 months: 
- Hour into workday when incident occurred; 
- 8-h shift versus 12-h (>12-h shifts excluded) | Biological hazardous exposure obtained from hospital records over 30 months; 
- Number of workers exposed, number of hazardous procedures obtained from records or estimated | Kolmogorov-Smirnov one sample test; 
ANOVA with Tukey procedure | Exposures per worker increased last 2 hours of 12-h shift (F = 5.75, p < 0.01); 
Exposures per procedure increased risk during first hour into shift (F=5.62, p < 0.01) and last 2 hours of 12-h shift (F=5.75, p < 0.01); 
No increased risk during last hour of 8-h shift. |
| Prunier-Pouliaire et al., 1998 | 302 customs service workers from 44 national units: 
- Age/gender distribution not clearly reported; 
- France | Types of schedules: 
- Day shift reference group; 
- 6-h 4-shift fast rotation; 
- 8-h 3-shift fast rotation; 
- 12-h day/night fast rotation; 
- Work h/wk not specified | One-time questionnaire measured gastrointestinal and cardiovascular complaints, medications, eating habits, caffeine and smoking consumption, sleep difficulties. | Logistic regression; 
Covariates: age, gender, physically demanding job, boring job, conflicts with travelers | 12-h day/night rotation was associated with visual problems (OR 3.0, CI 1.14 – 7.77), and pain in the legs (OR 3.4, CI 1.36 – 8.26) compared with the day shift reference group; 
8-h 3-shift rotation and 6-h 4-shift rotation had 3-fold or more increase in cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, sleeping, and leg complaints compared with the day-shift reference group. |
| Reid and Lawson, 2001 | 32 participants in laboratory study divided into two age groups: 
- Younger group of 4 women and 12 men, M age 21, R 18 – 30; 
- Older group of 3 women and 13 men, M age 44, R 35 – 56; 
- Australia | Simulated 12-h shift schedule: 
- DDNN; 
- Interaction: age by shift | Every hour carried out three 1-minute compensatory tracking tests of the Occupational Safety Performance Assessment Test (OPS-AT). | Repeated measures ANOVA; 
Bonferroni tests; 
Simple regression analyses | Performance consistently lower in older workers, including baseline (p < .002) and each shift (Day shift 1, p < .0001; Day shift 2, p < .0001; Night shift 1, p < .0001; Night shift 2, p < .0001). 
Performance significantly increased across day shifts and decreased across nights in the older group, but remained stable in the younger group. |
| Rosa et al., 1998 | 16 participants in laboratory study assigned randomly to one of four simulated shift schedules: 
- 50% male; 
- Age R 21 – 40; 
- United States | Simulated shift schedules: 
- 8-h: 5D, 2 off, 5N; 
- 8-h: 5N, 2 off, 5D; 
- 12-h: 4D, 3 off, 4N; 
- 12-h: 4N, 3 off, 4D; 
- Interaction: shift length by shift time of day (day versus night) | Simulated manual assembly work tasks that manipulated load and repetition rates; 
- Upper extremity fatigue using the Borg CR-10 scale; 
- Yoshitake Questionnaire for Subjective Symptoms of Fatigue | Repeated measures ANOVA: 
2 schedules (8-h versus 12-h) X 4 days X 2 shifts (day versus night) X 4 work sessions X 3 load levels X 3 repetition rates | Highest fatigue observed during 12-h night shifts. Similar fatigue levels reached at end of both weeks of 8-h night shifts and 12-h day shifts. 
Fatigue observed more quickly with increasing time on shifts and with night shifts compared with day shifts. |
### Table 7. Studies Examining Extended Work Shifts: Methods and Findings (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Description of Work Schedule</th>
<th>Health or Safety Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Schroeder et al. 1996 | 52 air traffic controllers:  
- Age M 37.0, R 28–50  
- Men 86%  
- United States | Compared 8-h fast backward rotation (EEDD) and 16-h fast rotation (EEDD) | Cognitive performance using the NIOSH Fatigue test battery (choice reaction time, digit addition, grammatical reasoning), administered three times each workday for 3 weeks. | Least squares regression  
- Repeated measures ANOVA  
- Newman-Keuls tests to determine significant mean differences across day of work week and test session | Test battery performance on the 10-h rotation no different from 8-h rotation when the initial 4 days of the work week were compared  
- Poorer performance for night shift  
- For both schedules, decrements were observed on some of the NIOSH performance measures at the end of the workday and at the end of the workweek. |
| Smith et al. 1995 | 22 male nuclear power plant workers:  
- Divided into two groups:  
  - 11 engineer + reactor operators (EROP)  
  - 11 craftsmen + maintenance (CMOP)  
- Age M 42  
- United Kingdom | Both groups worked 8-h 3-shift backward rotation, but the EROP group also worked two 12-h day shifts and two 12-h night shifts in their 35-day cycle  
- Work h/wk not specified | Obtained every 2 hours during 8 selected shifts:  
- Computerized test battery (choice reaction time, memory search task [SAM-5]), 20 pt. visual analog scale to subjectively assess alertness | Repeated measures ANOVA and Turkey's tests used for post hoc comparisons  
- No significant difference between 8-h and 12-h shifts on alertness or cognitive performance  
- No major group by shift type or time on shift interaction effects were found. |
| Smith et al. 1998 | 92 police officers at four sites:  
- Age M 42.4  
- Gender not reported  
- United Kingdom | Two control sites on 8-h 3-shift fast backward rotation, M 42 h/wk, n=44  
- Two trial sites on 8-h rotation changed to 12-h day/night fast forward rotation (2D, 2N, 3 Off), M 39 h/wk, n=48  
- Flexible start times at one site  
- Rigid 6 a.m. start time at other site | Data collection pre- and 6 months post-work schedule change at all four sites: alertness and physical symptoms in Standard Shiftwork Index:  
- ANOVA  
- ANCOVA  
- Covariates: demographics, work and shift work experience, workload, job pacing, morningness, sleep flexibility, chronic fatigue | 12-h day shift with flexible start time showed improvements in alertness versus rigid start time.  
- Cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, pain symptoms NS.  
- Differences in shift work experience between control and trial sites prevented comparison of 8-h and 12-h shifts. |
| Trunkoff and Storr 1998* | National random sample of 3,917 registered nurses employed full- or part-time:  
- Age M 43  
- 95% women  
- United States | One-time questionnaire:  
- Number h/day: >8 ≤8  
- Overtime days/month 0 – 3, 4 – 7, 8 +  
- Shift type: day, evening, night  
- Rotation: yes/no  
- Interaction: shift by hours worked day | One-time questionnaire:  
- Drug use in past year yes/no: marijuana, cocaine, prescription drug use without prescription  
- Alcohol use: 5 or more drinks on one occasion  
- Smoking: 10 cigarettes/day | Logistic regression adjusted for demographics | Overtime and shift length NS for drug use  
- Risk for smoking increased with >8-h night shifts (OR 1.62; CI 1.14 – 2.31).  
- Risk for alcohol increased:  
  - >8-h (OR 1.44; CI 1.2 – 1.72)  
  - 1 to 7 days overtime/month (OR 1.44 – 1.49)  
  - >8-h night (OR 1.4; CI 1 – 1.98) and >5-h rotating shifts (OR 1.52; CI 1.04 – 2.22) |

---

Note. Abbreviations used: BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; CI = 95% confidence interval; CIR = cumulative incidence ratio; D = day; E = evening; h = hours; M = mean; N = night; NS = not significant; OR = odds ratio; OSHA = Occupational Safety and Health Administration; PR = prevalence risk ratio; R = range; RR = relative risk ratio; wk = week; y = years.

*Lipscomb reference appears also in Table 8. **Trunkoff Storr reference appears also in Table 6.
differences on simple performance measures, such as reaction time, in shift workers who switched from an 8-hour to a 12-hour schedule.

The way other features of work schedules, work tasks, and work environment influenced the relationship of shift length and performance were examined by three studies. Smith et al. [1998] reported improvements in alertness in 12-hour day/night rotations with flexible start times when compared with 12-hour rotations with rigid start times. The field study by Macdonald and Bendak [2000] reported that a combination of high workload and 12-hour shifts was associated more consistently with increased errors on grammatical reasoning, greater deterioration in hand steadiness and alertness, and more discomfort when compared to high workload during 8-hour shifts.

Brake and Bates [2001] examined extended shifts in combination with heat stress in Australian underground miners. Cardiovascular fatigue assessed by continuous heart rate monitoring showed no difference between 6-hour shifts and self-paced extended shifts (10-hour to 12.5-hour shifts). Additional heart rate monitoring while riding cycle ergometers showed increases during the first half of long shifts, but decreases during the second half of the shift. Based on these results, the authors suggested that the miners had reduced their effort and were pacing themselves in the latter part of the extended shifts.

One study examined the influence of age. Reid and Dawson [2001] conducted a laboratory study of simulated 12-hour shifts and neurobehavioral performance in younger and older participants. Older laboratory subjects were less able than younger subjects to maintain performance across 12-hour shifts.

### 3.3 FINDINGS ASSOCIATED WITH EXTENDED WORK SHIFTS, COMBINED WITH MORE THAN 40-HOURS WORK PER WEEK

Six field studies examined extended work shifts which also had more than 40-hours of work per week. The studies in this section clearly reported that participants worked on average more than 40 hours per week over the course of several weeks. The studies were conducted in the United States (2), Australia (1), Brazil (1), Canada (1), and United Kingdom (1). A variety of 8-hour and 12-hour schedules were compared across the studies. Table 8 shows the methods and results for studies that examined extended work shifts when combined with more than 40 hours per week.

#### 3.3a Extended Work Shifts Combined with More than 40-Hours Work per Week and Illnesses

Lipscomb et al. [2002] reported that the combination of 12-hour shifts and 40 or more hours of work a week was associated with elevated risk for neck, shoulder, and back disorders as compared to five 8-hour shifts per week. In contrast, Mitchell and Williamson [2000] reported fewer health complaints during a 12-hour day/night fast forward rotation when compared with an 8-hour 3-shift weekly backward rotation.

Tucker et al. [1998a] examined early and late start times in workers on 12-hour shift rotations and 8-hour 3-shift rotations. Both work schedules changed shifts more than once a week. The 12-hour shift was associated with more cardiovascular and musculoskeletal complaints than the 8-hour shift. Workers on 12-hour shifts, having early changeover time, reported the most cardiovascular and musculoskeletal complaints.
### Table 8. Studies Examining Extended Work Shifts Combined with More than 40 Hours per Week: Methods and Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Description of Work Schedule</th>
<th>Health or Safety Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods</th>
<th>Results Reported by Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duchon et al. 1997</td>
<td>30 underground male miners: • Age not reported • Canada</td>
<td>• 8-h 3-shift rotation with M-41 h/wk changed to experimental 12-h day/night rotation (4 on, 4 off) with M 48 h/wk • Control group: 8-h day shift, 40 h/wk</td>
<td>• Tested pre-, mid-, post-shift for a week: † 8-h rotation ‡ After 12-h rotation for 10 months • Submaximal exercise testing • Continuous heart rate monitoring • Computerized test battery tested pursuit tracking, grammatical reasoning, choice reaction time, finger tapping</td>
<td>• Three-way mixed design ANOVA • Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA</td>
<td>• No significant main effects between 8- and 12-h shifts on neurobehavioral performance measures. • Continuous heart rate findings showed pacing of work effort on 12-h shifts relative to 8-h shifts. The 12-h workers appeared to pace their work at a slower rate than the 8-h workers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer et al. 2000</td>
<td>22 male workers at a petrochemical plant: • Age M 32.6 • Brazil</td>
<td>• 12-h day/night rapid rotation (2 – 3D, 2 – 3 N, 4 – 5 off) • 48 M h/wk</td>
<td>For 30 days, recorded subjective alertness at 2nd, 6th, 10th hour of day and night shifts using visual analog scales (10cm) from not at all alert to very alert.</td>
<td>• Repeated measures ANOVA • Tukey tests for post hoc comparisons</td>
<td>Significant reduction in alertness (p &lt; 0.001): • Days, from 2nd hour to 10th hour. • Nights, 10th hour reduced from 2nd and 6th hour. • No reduction seen across successive night shifts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipscomb et al. 2002*</td>
<td>1,163 working nurses randomly selected from two states: • Age M 45 • Women 95% • United States</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire: • Work h/day: ≤ 8; 9 – 11; ≥12 • Work h/wk: ≤40; 41 – 49; ≥50 • Work days/wk: 1 – 5; 6 – 7 • Day shift versus other • Interactions: h/shift by h/wk</td>
<td>One-time Nordic Survey of Musculoskeletal Symptoms</td>
<td>Logistic regression adjusted for age</td>
<td>• Compared to 8-h shift, ≥ 12-h/day increased risk for back disorders (OR 1.61, CI 1.05 – 2.48). • Interactions suggested that ≥ 12-h/day combined with ≥ 40-h/week elevated risk for disorders of neck (OR 2.30, CI 1.03 – 5.11), shoulder (OR 2.48, CI 1.07 – 5.77), and back (OR 2.67, CI 1.26 – 5.66).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 8. Studies Examining Extended Work Shifts Combined with More than 40 Hours per Week: Methods and Findings (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Description of Work Schedule</th>
<th>Health or Safety Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell and</td>
<td>27 male electrical power station employees:</td>
<td>8-h 3-shift backward weekly rotation with M 40-h/wk changed to 12-h fast forward rotation (4 on, 3 off, 5 on, 7 off, 5 on, 2 off) with M 42 h/wk</td>
<td>Data collected before and 10 months after schedule change; sick leave records, workplace accident records</td>
<td>Multivariate ANOVA and Bonferroni correction methods with post-hoc comparisons</td>
<td>More health complaints during 8-h rotation than 12-h rotation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamson 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol cigarette use:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40% on 8-h rotation smoked versus 25% on 12-h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On vigilance test 12-h workers made more errors on infrequent stimuli (1 = -2.43, p &lt; 0.02) at the end of both day and night shifts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No increase in vigilance task errors was found for the 8-h shift, but similar improvements reported at end of shift compared with beginning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No differences reported for the critical tracking task.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novak and Novick 1996</td>
<td>45 intensive care unit nurses from 1 hospital:</td>
<td>Focus groups during 1 night shift worked 48 h/wk (four 10-h shifts/wk)</td>
<td>Focus groups during 1 night shift discussed automobile crash or near miss and job performance</td>
<td>Transcript evaluations</td>
<td>95.5% reported crash or near-miss during past year while driving home after night shift.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Type of schedule: 12-h fixed night or 12-h day/night rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No job performance effects reported with consistent sleep and wake patterns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Many nurses reported that changing from night work to day activities was fatiguing and affected performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucker et al. 1998a</td>
<td>862 workers from 17 manufacturing companies divided into four groups depending on start time and shift length:</td>
<td>One-time administration of Survey of Shiftwork (SOS):</td>
<td>One-time administration: Survey of Shiftwork (SOS) to measure cardiovascular disease, musculoskeletal pain, fatigue</td>
<td>ANCOVA</td>
<td>Compared to 8-h shifts, 12-h shifts showed less symptoms of chronic fatigue (p &lt; 0.05), more cardiovascular symptoms (p &lt; 0.05), and more symptoms of musculoskeletal pain (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>° 12-hour day starting at 6 a.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Covariates: age; dependents; years on shift work; time on present system; work hours; workload; control of work pacing; sleep need</td>
<td>12-h shifts with early changeover showed more cardiovascular problems (p &lt; 0.01) and musculoskeletal pain (p &lt; 0.001); fatigue symptoms were NS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>° 8-hour day starting at 6 a.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-h rotation with late changeover showed fewest physical symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>° 12-hour day starting at 7 a.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>° 8-hour day starting at 7 a.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All rapid forward or backward rotations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Work about 45 h/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations used: BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; CI = 95% confidence interval; CIR = cumulative incidence ratio; D = day; E = evening; h = hours; M = mean; N = night; NS = significant; OR = odds ratio; PR = prevalence risk ratio; R = range; RR = relative risk ratio; wk = week; y = years.

**Note:** The table reference appears also in Table 7.
3.3b Extended Work Shifts Combined with More than 40-Hours Work per Week and Injuries

Mitchell and Williamson [2000] reported that injury data from Australian electrical power station workers were similar after changing from an 8-hour shift to a 12-hour shift; two injuries occurred during the 8-hour schedule, and one occurred during the 12-hour schedule.

3.3c Extended Work Shifts Combined with More than 40-Hours Work per Week and Health Behaviors

Mitchell and Williamson [2000] reported that 47% of workers on an 8-hour 3-shift weekly rotation reported using alcohol as a sleep aid when compared with 17% of workers on a 12-hour fast rotation. The 8-hour shifts also had a higher percentage of workers smoking.

3.3d Extended Work Shifts Combined with More than 40-Hours Work per Week and Performance

Four studies reported some deterioration in performance when 12-hour shifts were combined with more than 40 hours work per week. Novak and Auvil-Novak [1996] reported an unexpected outcome from the focus groups of nurses who worked four 12-hour night shifts per week: nearly all nurses reported an automobile crash or near-miss during the previous 12 months while driving home after working a 12-hour night shift. The nurses reported no job performance effects when they maintained consistent sleep and wake times, but changing from night work to day activities was fatiguing and affected performance. In a field study, Fischer et al. [2000] examined the 2nd, 6th, and 10th hours of 12-hour shifts in Brazilian petrochemical plant workers and reported a significant decline in subjective alertness at the 10th hour for both day and night shifts. Similarly, Mitchell and Williamson [2000] reported more vigilance task errors at the end of 12-hour day and night shifts when compared to the beginning of the shifts in Australian power plant workers, while no effect was reported for an 8-hour schedule. On the other hand, significant improvements were observed for simple reaction time and grammatical reasoning tests given at the end of the 12-hour shift when compared to the beginning. Although Duchon et al. [1997] reported no differences between 8- and 12-hour shifts on cognitive and psychomotor performance in Canadian mine workers, the heart rate findings suggest that the 12-hour workers slowed the pace of their work.

3.4 FINDINGS ASSOCIATED WITH VERY LONG SHIFTS

Three studies examined the relationship between very long shifts and immune function or performance. The studies were conducted in Ireland, Japan, and New Zealand. Table 9 displays the methods and results for the studies that examined very long work shifts.

3.4a Very Long Shifts and Other Illnesses

Nakano et al. [1998] reported better immune function in drivers who were allowed to work overtime as compared with drivers having work-hour restrictions. This Japanese study examined taxi drivers working 48-hour or longer shifts in 1992 and again in 1993, before and during the economic depression.

3.4b Very Long Shifts and Performance

A study in Ireland by Leonard et al. [1998] reported declines in two tests of alertness and concentration in medical residents who had worked 32-hour on-call shifts. They reported no significant declines in a test of psychomotor performance or a test of memory. A New Zealand survey of anesthesiologists linked long working hours to self-reported clinical errors [Gander et al. 2000].
## Table 9. Studies Examining Very Long Work Shifts: Methods and Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Description of Very Long Work Shift</th>
<th>Health or Safety Measure</th>
<th>Statistical Methods Controls</th>
<th>Results Reported By Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gander et al., 2000</td>
<td>• 301 anesthetists: o Specialists M age 46 o Trainees M age 33 • Gender not reported • New Zealand</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire (how many hours can work safely): o Maximum h/wk o Ongoing h/wk</td>
<td>One-time questionnaire: self-reported fatigue-related errors during last 6 months</td>
<td>Logistic regression</td>
<td>Specialists exceeding self-report limits on work hours increased the risk for fatigue-related errors in the last six months by 1.37 (CI 1.14 – 1.65) to 1.48 (CI 1.21 – 1.8).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonard et al., 1998</td>
<td>• 16 junior pre-registration medical house officers: o Men 50% o Age R 23 – 28; • Ireland</td>
<td>• Compared: o Pre-call shift (8 – 10-h) o Long, 32-h on-call shift • Randomly assigned order shifts tested</td>
<td>• Tests: Delayed Recall, Critical Flicker Fusion, Trail-making, Stroop Color Word Test, Grammatical Reasoning • Tested at end of one shift (4 6 p.m.)</td>
<td>Wilcoxon matched pairs</td>
<td>• End of 32-h on-call shift showed deterioration (p &lt; .05) on median scores of alertness and concentration tests (Stroop Color Word Test, Trail-making Test). • No significant differences reported on Delayed Story Recall, Critical Flicker Fusion, or Grammatical Reasoning Tests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakano et al., 1998</td>
<td>Random sample of 101 male taxi drivers; • Age R 40 – 59 • Japan</td>
<td>Examined company records in 1992 – 1993; • 48 h shifts started at 6 – 8 a.m. and ended at 2 a.m. next day with 1 day off/week. • Group A allowed to work past 2 a.m. for overtime. Group B not allowed overtime.</td>
<td>In 1992 and 1993 tested blood mononuclear cell proliferation assay and induction of Th1-type (IL-2) and Th2-type (IL-4) cytokines.</td>
<td>Student t-tests</td>
<td>During economic depression (1993), group B (not allowed overtime) increased IL-4 production and showed more depressed lymphocyte proliferation response than group A, who was allowed to work overtime.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Abbreviations used: BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; CI = 95% confidence interval; CIR = cumulative incidence ratio; D = day; E = evening; h = hours; M = mean; N = night; NS = not significant; OR = odds ratio; PR = prevalence risk ratio; R = range; RR = relative risk ratio; wk = week; y = year
Recent Findings on Illnesses, Injuries, and Health Behaviors

4. Summary

Overtime was associated with poorer perceived general health, increased injury rates, more illnesses, or increased mortality in 16 of 22 studies.

4.1 OVERTIME

Overtime was associated with poorer perceived general health, increased injury rates, more illnesses, or increased mortality in 16 of 22 studies. One meta-analysis of long work hours suggested a possible weak relationship with preterm birth. Overtime was associated with unhealthy weight gain in two studies, increased alcohol use in two of three studies, increased smoking in one of two studies, and poorer neuropsychological test performance in one study. Some reports did not support this trend, finding no relationship between long work hours and leisure-time physical activity in two of three studies and no relationship with drug abuse in one study.

4.2 EXTENDED WORK SHIFTS

A pattern of deteriorating performance on psychophysiological tests and injuries while working long hours was observed across study findings, particularly in very long shifts and when 12-hour shifts were combined with more than 40 hours of work per week, reported increases in health complaints, deterioration in performance, or slower pace of work. Two studies that compared 8- and 12-hour schedules during day and night shifts reported that 12-hour night shifts were associated with more fatigue, smoking, or alcohol use. Two studies examining start times for 12-hour shifts reported that decrements in alertness or more health complaints were associated with early 6:00 a.m. start times. One study examining 12-hour shifts in hot work environments also reported a slower pace of work as compared to shorter shifts. Another study examining high workloads during 12-hour shifts showed increased discomfort and deterioration in performance as compared to shorter shifts.

More definitive statements about differences between 8-hour and 12-hour shifts are difficult due to the inconsistencies in work schedules examined across studies. Work schedules differed by the time of day (i.e., day, evening, night), fixed versus rotating schedules, speed of rotation, direction of rotation, number of hours worked per week, number of consecutive days worked, and number of rest days on weekends. All of these factors can influence how overtime relates to health and safety. In addition, some studies of extended work shifts did not report how many hours participants worked per week or other details about their work schedules, which may have accounted for the findings. Also, some studies reported findings for groups of workers working mixed directional shift rotations and varying numbers of hours per week, details which complicated an assessment of the results.
4.3 OTHER WORK SCHEDULE CHARACTERISTICS

Few studies examined the combined influence of shift work and overtime on health. The laboratory study by Rosa et al. [1998] reported that four 12-hour night shifts per week were associated with the highest upper extremity muscle fatigue as compared to five 8-hour days and four 12-hour days. Trinkoff and Storr [1998] reported that nurses on extended night or extended rotating shifts were at increased odds for alcohol use and that extended night shifts increased the odds for smoking.

Some findings indicated that worker ability to exert control over work schedules may have influenced outcomes. For example, Smith et al. [1998] reported that 12-hour shifts having some flexibility in start times were associated with more favorable sleep quality, psychological well-being, and alertness, as compared with rigid schedules. One of the 52 summarized studies directly examined the influence of mandated or involuntary overtime. The combined influence of high pressure to work overtime and low rewards was associated by van der Hulst and Geurts [2001] with an increased risk for somatic complaints, poor recovery, burnout, and negative work-home interference. Previously published reviews of the literature did not address the influence of mandated overtime on health and safety [Rosa 1995; Sparks et al. 1997; Spurgeon et al. 1997]. Golden and Jorgensen [2002], however, cautioned that the mandated nature of overtime may limit the worker’s ability to plan for sleep and recuperation, and to arrange for child care and other family responsibilities. As a result, health and safety effects associated with mandated versus voluntary overtime may differ.

4.4 COMPENSATION, VACATION TIME, COMMUTE TIME

Siu and Donald [1995] and van der Hulst and Geurts [2001] suggested that compensation may reduce adverse effects. In addition, Nakano et al. [1998] indicated that economic conditions (prosperity as compared with recession or depression) may influence the relationship between pay, overtime, and health and safety. Few studies, however, systematically examined how compensation influenced the relationship between long work hours and health and safety.

Length of vacation and commute time may also influence associations of overtime with health and safety. Higher numbers of annual leave days may allow more rest and may reduce the impact of overtime. Also, commute time to work may add to the job strain and may influence associations with overtime. Few studies have examined the influence of vacation time or commute time on long work hours and health.

4.5 GENDER AND AGE

Studies have given more attention to male workers than to female workers and less is known about how overtime and extended work shifts influence health and safety in women. Statistics Canada [2000] reported that women tend to spend more of their time away from work on child care and domestic responsibilities, which may reduce the time available for sleep and recovery from work. The study by Fredriksson et al. [1999] provided some support for increased risk for musculoskeletal disorders when long hours worked combined with additional domestic workload.

Another consideration is the influence of long work hours on reproductive outcomes. One meta-analysis reported a possible weak relationship between overtime and preterm births, and another study reported an association between long work hours and subfertility [Mozurkewich et al. 2000; Tuntiseranee et al. 1998]. Few studies have examined the influence of overtime and extended work shifts in pregnant women, or prenatal and neonatal mortality and morbidity, as well as fertility rates.
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One laboratory study examining the influence of age on extended work shifts reported that younger participants maintained better performance across extended work shifts when compared with older participants [Reid and Dawson 2001]. However, few studies have examined the effect of worker age on performance or health and safety in real-work environments. In addition, little is known about the way various work tasks and other work-related factors influence the relationship with age.

4.6 CHRONIC HEALTH PROBLEMS

Studies of long working hours have examined healthy workers for the risk of contracting an acute myocardial infarction, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, subfecundity, and preterm birth. Little data, however, are available about symptom management and disease progression in workers with pre-existing chronic conditions.

According to Yelin et al. [1999], the 1992 data from the U.S. Health and Retirement Survey indicated that 83% of all persons aged 51 to 61 years live with a self-reported chronic condition.

4.7 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURES

Two of the 52 summarized reports addressed occupational exposures (i.e., chemical, heat, noise, lifting) in conjunction with overtime and extended work shifts. Mizoue et al. [2001] reported that overtime was associated with more sick building syndrome symptoms, and Brake and Bates [2001] found that miners working long shifts in hot environments paced themselves, thus, reducing their effort. Little has been reported on other occupational exposures. Extended work shifts and overtime lengthen exposure times and shorten recovery times, and the health consequences are uncertain.

Few studies have examined how long working hours influence health and safety outcomes in older workers, women, persons with pre-existing health problems, and workers with hazardous occupational exposures.
5. Concluding Remarks

The number of published studies examining overtime and extended work shifts appears to be increasing. Recent reviews that address overtime include approximately 34 research reports published over a span of about 32 years [Sparks et al. 1997; Spurgeon et al. 1997]. In comparison, the current search for reports published during the past 8 years found 75 reports that examined overtime, extended work shifts, or very long shifts. The latest review of long work hours by van der Hulst [2003] includes an additional 13 studies that have been published since 1996.

Despite the increased current interest in long working hours, research questions remain about the ways overtime and extended work shifts influence health and safety. Few studies have examined how the number of hours worked per week, shift work, shift length, the degree of control over one’s work schedule, compensation for overtime, and other characteristics of work schedules interact and relate to health and safety. Few studies have examined how long working hours influence health and safety outcomes in older workers, women, persons with pre-existing health problems, and workers with hazardous occupational exposures.

Previous research indicates that the influence of overtime and extended work shifts on health and safety may involve a complex interaction of several work schedule characteristics, as well as work tasks, worker characteristics, compensation, commute time, occupational exposures, and nature of worker control over work schedules. As a consequence, future research would benefit from a clear and complete description of the work schedules and other factors mentioned in this document. Such an approach would facilitate a detailed comparison of findings across studies.
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