



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Med Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Med Care. 2021 April 01; 59(Suppl 2): S158–S164. doi:10.1097/MLR.0000000000001444.

Health Care Utilization of Individuals Affected by Homelessness: Illinois, 2011–2018

Dana Madigan, DC, MPH, PhD^{1,2,*}, Lee S. Friedman, PhD¹

¹University of Illinois at Chicago, School of Public Health, Division of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, 1603 W. Taylor St, Chicago, Illinois 60612

²National University of Health Sciences, 200 E Roosevelt Rd, Lombard, Illinois 60148

Abstract

Objectives: To describe individuals coded as homeless in state-level data comprising of outpatient and inpatient cases over a multi-year period to provide public health surveillance data on the health care utilization and needs of this population.

Research Design: In this cross-sectional study, outpatient and inpatient visits coded for homelessness were identified from the Illinois Hospital Discharge Database for January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2018. Demographic characteristics, primary diagnosis and comorbid conditions, and hospital course of treatment were described. Predictors of discharge to a healthcare facility versus routine discharge to home or self-care were evaluated using multivariable logistic regression.

Results: There were 154,173 patient visits predominantly involving males, those aged 25–64 years, and non-Hispanic whites and African-Americans. The majority had comorbidities of depression, psychosis and/or substance abuse (70.2%) and a routine discharge to home or self-care (81.9%). Discharge to home or self-care relative to another health care institution was associated with having charity coverage and being Black/African-American.

Conclusions: Those experiencing homelessness experience a high burden of health concerns. Hospital billing records can be used to prioritize the distribution of limited public health resources for health care programs and interventions among those experiencing homelessness.

Keywords

homeless persons; delivery of health care; population surveillance

*Corresponding author: dmadig2@uic.edu; 1603 W. Taylor St, Chicago, Illinois 60612.

Authors' Contributions: All authors meet the criteria for authorship stated in the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals and were involved with the conception, design, analysis, and drafting of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Human Protections: This study received IRB approval under University of Illinois at Chicago's protocol #2008–0060.

INTRODUCTION

Those with unstable or a lack of housing are at an increased risk and experience increased severity of many health conditions, but these health concerns are difficult to measure and address with early intervention. The homeless population is comprised of families that move to where there is available work, individuals living out of personal vehicles, individuals that sleep in makeshift homes predominately in urban settings, persons living in various public and private shelters, and rough sleeping encampments.^{1,2} Because of the challenges in reaching this population, hospitals can play an important role in surveillance of this population because they may be the only point of contact a person affected by homelessness has with formal public health infrastructure outside of law enforcement and public education services. Additionally, it is likely to be the only location we can gather comprehensive and reliable information about the health characteristics of these individuals.

A systematic review examining the health of individuals affected by homelessness in high-income countries noted that while there are many common public health priorities shared among the homeless across these countries, surveillance needs to occur regularly within these health systems since the optimal public health strategies for this population can vary across time and region and their health care needs are generally greater than the general population.³ Infectious diseases, such as tuberculosis, hepatitis, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and chronic infestations of scabies and lice are more common among homeless individuals.³ Chronic age-related conditions affect the homeless 10–15 years earlier than the general population, which is important since the median age of the homeless in the U.S. is approximately 50 years old.³ In the US, these include substance abuse, mental illness, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, cognitive impairments, and functional impairments.³ Homeless individuals are more likely than the non-homeless to seek care at an emergency department or hospital and the subset of individuals with substance abuse and mental disorders have been shown to seek care in the hospital setting more frequently during a calendar year.^{3–6}

Improved surveillance data of health care utilization patterns of the homeless may lend insights to programmatic and service needs of this population.⁷ Studies currently tend to look at hospital data covering very short periods of one year or less, restricted to specific facilities serving small geographic regions, include only those identified as homeless through newly implemented screening questionnaires rather than general ICD (*International Classification of Diseases*; Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization;) coding, and limit their inclusion criteria to specific populations such as veterans or the mentally ill.^{6,8–10} We aimed to describe all individuals coded as homeless in state-level data comprising of outpatient and inpatient cases over a multi-year time frame to provide public health surveillance data on the health care utilization and needs of this population.

METHODS

Data Source

Data from the Illinois Hospital Discharge Database for January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2018 were used to identify outpatient and inpatient visits where patients are identified as

affected by homelessness at the time of the clinical visit. The outpatient database includes all patients treated in emergency rooms for less than 24 hours who were not admitted to the hospital and those that are registered in the emergency department for ambulatory services. The inpatient database includes all patients treated for 24 hours or more for any medical reason. Both databases are based on billing records and include variables on patient demographics (age, gender, race/ethnicity), exposure (mechanism of injury), health outcomes (diagnoses, hospital procedures, discharge status), and economics (hospital charges, payer source). Based on the annual state audit of hospitals, the hospitals included in the datasets used for this analysis comprise 96.5% of all patient admissions statewide.^{11,12}

Case Definition

Patient visits were identified as cases in accordance with previous studies using hospital data to examine homelessness using a billing code of V60.0 for “lack of housing” (*International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* [ICD-9; Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1980]) or the equivalent code of Z59.0 for “homelessness” (*International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision* [ICD-10; Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1992]).¹³ Alternative codes for inadequate housing (V60.1), which refers to poor infrastructure and unspecified housing or economic circumstances (V60.9), were not included in the case definition despite their inclusion in broader definitions¹⁴ because we do not have the capacity to verify unstable or lack of housing as opposed to inadequate housing.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) equivalence mapping between ICD-9 and ICD-10 recommends that V60.0 is equivalent to Z59.0. The difference in coding reflects the transition in the United States (US) in the fourth quarter of 2015 from ICD-9 to ICD-10. The coding schemas for ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes are essentially capturing the same type of homeless population despite the slight change in terminology. The ICD-9 V60.0 codes captures persons with a lack of housing and explicitly identifies the following subgroups: hobos, social migrants, tramps, transients and vagabonds. The ICD-10 Z59.0 code captures persons with a lack of housing (permanent) (temporary) or shelter and explicitly identifies the following subgroups: nomad, nomadism (i.e. new term for hobo), social migrant, tramp, transient, vagabond, vagabondage, and vagrancy.

Identifying Unique Visits Using Probabilistic Linkage

Using probabilistic data linkage methodology, we identified unique hospital visits. Identifying duplicates within datasets was done by using facility, attending clinician, primary ICD code, date of birth, gender, zip code, date of admission, and date of discharge. Then we manually screened these duplicates to identify truly mismatched ICD codes. Duplicates can result from system errors (e.g. a record is submitted twice after data entry), errors between coders (e.g. two or more coders accidentally enter a patient’s information twice), or from quality control practices at facilities that intentionally have two coders enter the same patient information. In addition, duplicate entries occur from split billing and sequential billing practices. Split billing generally is used when separate bills are issued for treatment by different specialists during a single hospitalization (e.g. neurologist, endocrinologist, and surgeon). The patients will have multiple records for the same period of time, but the diagnoses may differ substantially based on the treating physician’s specialty.

Sequential billing occurs in some hospitals where patients have multiple records with identical diagnoses, but different and consecutive admission and discharge dates. These occur primarily among patients with long lengths of stay. This resulted in removing 4,318 duplicates for a final sample of 154,173 patient visits for those with an ICD code for homelessness.

Covariates

Key variables summarized include patient characteristics (gender, age, race and ethnicity), facility information (name, location, trauma level), length of stay, total hospital costs, insurance coverage, discharge status, reason for visit, comorbidities, and acute injuries. Metropolitan areas were designated using the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural-Urban Continuum Codes.¹⁵ Total hospital charges is the sum of all procedure, service, and facility charges accumulated from the point of admission to discharge. Total hospital charges are in 2018 US dollars adjusted for annual inflation using the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Consumer Price Index (CPI-U).¹⁶ Hospital charges are a proxy measure for cost, but may not directly reflect reimbursement rates, resource consumption, or comprehensive economic costs. As data were coded using both the ICD-9 and ICD-10 classification system, diagnosis were categorized and crosswalked to summarize groups of conditions. There were 10,448 (6.8%) visits without a coded primary diagnosis, primarily in outpatient visits (n=9,470; 90.6%). The Elixhauser Comorbidity Index was used to assess comorbidities.¹⁷

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS software (v.9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). As part of the descriptive analysis, we compared demographic characteristics, geospatial trends, temporal trends, primary diagnosis, comorbid conditions, and hospital course of treatment measures.

An adjusted multivariable logistic regression model was developed to evaluate predictors of being discharged to a healthcare facility versus being routinely discharged to home or self-care, a predefined status code, which for this population likely meant returning to a state of homelessness. Statistical evaluation of covariates, as well as a priori knowledge, was used to determine inclusion of covariates in the final models. The final model included patient characteristics (gender, age, race and ethnicity), insurance coverage, operations, acute injuries, diagnoses, and comorbidities. A modified Elixhauser Comorbidity Index was created for this purpose that excluded depression and psychosis. These groups were modeled separately as crude analysis demonstrated a difference in discharge status based on these comorbidity groups. No evidence of multicollinearity among the independent variables was indicated. A two-sided p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Homeless Being Treated in the Hospital Setting

The number of hospital visits of those with reported homelessness increased between 2011–2018 from 9,882 to 29,765 (average annual increase=2,602, $p<0.001$). There was minimal variability in visits seen by month or day of the week. Hospital visits predominantly

involved males, adults between the ages of 25–64 years, and non-Hispanic whites and African-Americans (Table 1). Government insurance was the primary payer for these visits (Table 1). There were dramatic increases in those covered by Medicare and Medicaid (48.9% of visits in 2011 to 77.7% in 2018) and conversely, reductions in self-pay (i.e. no health insurance; 32.0% to 10.5%) and charity care (9.6% to 1.5%) (Figure 1). This change was concentrated around the implementation of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (2014). Charity care refers to visits that have waived medical fees. Total cumulative charges in 2018 adjusted US dollars (USD) for treating homeless patients between 2011–2018 in Illinois was \$2.34 billion USD with most of the charges occurring among inpatient cases (\$2.02 billion).

Location and Type of Hospitals Treating Homeless in Illinois

The vast majority of visits were for those who identified as being Illinois residents; 93.1% of visits had patient zip codes located in Illinois and less than 2% of those claiming Medicaid coverage did so through a state other than Illinois Medicaid. The majority of visits were in facilities within Chicago (48.3%) and the surrounding suburbs (31.0%). In addition, a large proportion of visits occurred in facilities with a level I or II trauma unit (30.3% and 22.2% respectively). Out of approximately 208 hospitals reporting to the billing system annually, around 30% of inpatient cases and 40% of outpatient cases were treated in just 10 hospitals within Illinois. Over 95% of visits were to metropolitan hospitals located in USDA metropolitan areas.

Reason for Hospital Visits

The most common primary reasons for hospital visits were mental disorders, substance abuse (drug and alcohol), musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders, diseases of the respiratory system, diseases of the circulatory system, and skin diseases. This was similar when comparing males and females; however, pregnancy complications replaced diseases of the circulatory system as the 5th most common primary diagnosis in females. When comparing primary diagnosis for the following major age groups -- children (under 18 years), adults (aged 18–64), and older adults (aged 65 and older) -- mental disorders remained the most common diagnosis in all three age groups. However, among children, diseases of the respiratory system, infectious diseases, skin diseases, superficial injuries, and conditions of the eye and ear were the next most common. In older adults, mental disorders were followed by diseases of the circulatory system, diseases of the respiratory system, substance abuse, musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders, and skin diseases. There was little variation when comparing those with governmental insurance to those that were self-pay or charity, with only skin diseases being more common than diseases of the circulatory system in self-pay or charity visits.

The primary reason for the hospital visits coincided strongly with the reported co-morbid conditions as characterized by the Elixhauser Comorbidity Index (Table 2). Drug abuse, depression, alcohol abuse, or psychosis was found in 108,232 visits (70.2%), while HIV/AIDS was identified as a comorbidity in 1,691 visits (1.1%).

When stratifying mental disorders, the most common diagnosis was for mood disorders (n=24,507; 31.9% of inpatient visits versus n=4,502; 5.8% of outpatient visits) followed by non-mood psychotic disorders (e.g. schizophrenia, schizotypal, and delusional disorders; n=14,122; 18.4% of inpatient visits versus n=3,719; 4.8% of outpatient visits). The most common substance abuse diagnoses were as follows: alcohol (n=5,609; 7.3% of inpatient visits versus n=10,534; 13.6% of outpatient visits), opioids and analgesics (n=1,371; 1.8% of inpatient visits versus n=570; 0.7% of outpatient visits), cocaine (n=221; 0.3% of inpatient visits versus n=290; 0.4% of outpatient visits), sedatives, hypnotics, and anxiolytics (n=234; 0.3% of inpatient visits versus n=61; 0.1% of outpatient visits), other stimulants (n=141; 0.2% of inpatient visits versus n=60; 0.1% of outpatient visits), and cannabis and hallucinogens (n=64; 0.1% of inpatient visits versus n=124; 0.2% of outpatient visits).

Acute injuries accounted for 15.2% of visits (n=23,457) and these injuries were most commonly due to exposures to weather and environmental conditions (n=2,988; 12.7%; of which n=2,304 were cold-related and n=300 were heat-related), falls (n=2,862; 12.2%), assaults (n=1,813; 7.7%), suicide attempts (n=1,242; 5.3%), and poisonings or adverse effects of drugs (n=1,233; 5.3%).

Length of Stay and Discharge Outcomes

A small percentage of hospitalizations resulted in death or discharge to hospice (n=351; 0.23%) (Table 3). The large majority of visits (81.9%) had a routine discharge to home or self-care (76.4% of inpatient visits and 87.4% of outpatient visits) (Table 3). Approximately 4.8% of visits (n=7,472) resulted in being discharged or transferred to psychiatric facilities (Table 3), of which 397 (5.3%) were following suicide attempts and 3,560 (47.6%) had a primary diagnosis code for a mental disorder. Individuals discharged or transferred to psychiatric facilities were predominantly male (n=5,456; 73.0%), white (n=3,756; 50.3%), aged 45–54 years (n=2,029; 27.2%) and with government insurance (n=5,156; 69.0%). Few were discharged or transferred to a court or law enforcement (0.37%) (Table 3). Visits resulting in being discharged or transferred to a court or law enforcement had a primary diagnosis code for a mental disorder (n=239; 42.1%) or drug and alcohol abuse (n=88; 16.0%) and individuals were predominantly male (n=437; 76.9%), white (n=329; 57.9%), aged 25–34 years (n=159; 28.0%), and with government insurance (n=353; 62.1%).

We identified several predictors of discharge to a healthcare facility opposed to discharge to home or self-care, which were similar between inpatient and outpatient visits. The strongest predictor that a homeless individual would be discharged to a secondary healthcare facility was a suicide attempt (Table 4). Other factors consistently associated with discharge to a healthcare facility include having governmental insurance, serious comorbidities as measured by the modified Elixhauser Comorbidity Index, depression, and psychosis (Table 4). Suicide attempts, depression, and psychosis were much stronger predictors for outpatient visits than inpatient visits (Table 4). Having charity coverage and being Black/African-American were consistently associated with not being discharged to a healthcare facility (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

This study found that the large majority of hospital visits in Illinois for those coded as homeless had comorbidities of depression, psychosis, and/or substance abuse (70.2%). Only a small fraction of visits were treated for acute injuries, the most common causes of injury were from weather-related risks, falls, and from being assaulted. Mental health issues were the most common primary diagnosis across all major age groups, even in children. Among hospital visits for the female homeless, pregnancy complications were identified in the top five primary diagnoses.

The large majority of visits (81.9% had a routine discharge to home or self-care (76.4% of inpatient visits and 87.4% of outpatient visits) which for this population would predominately involve returning to their conditions of homelessness. However, this study demonstrates that disparities persist for racial groups or those that are uninsured. African-Americans represented 40% of the hospital visits despite representing less than 15% of the Illinois population (or approximately 30% in Chicago), and African-Americans were far less likely to be discharged to a secondary facility that could delay return to conditions of homelessness or assist with transitioning out of homelessness.

This work provides comprehensive details on what has been observed in other studies examining the health concerns of those affected by homelessness; there is a high prevalence of mental disorders, substance abuse, infectious disease, and chronic disease seen at an earlier age³⁻⁶ and observed very few patients over the age of 75 years. There has traditionally been a lot of focus on subpopulations suffering from health conditions with significant consequences, such as HIV/AIDS. This data shows there is a much higher prevalence of other conditions and there may be unintended health consequences from focusing exclusively on narrow subgroups, rather than developing broad programs. However, policies that have been successful in addressing conditions like HIV/AIDS in this population could provide insights for further improving the general health care of those affected by homelessness.

There remains a significant burden of care and cost to hospitals for the healthcare of those affected by homelessness. However, with the expansion of the insurance coverage in Illinois because of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act implementation (January 1, 2014), the proportion of patient visits without any insurance coverage decreased dramatically. While reimbursement rates fluctuate, it can be assumed they are significantly lower than \$2.34 billion dollars in charges for this population found in this study and these charges only represent one component of direct costs. These visits are concentrated in a small percentage of hospitals within the state of Illinois and demonstrates that this burden of care falls disproportionately on specific facilities. While it may be hard to adequately address and accommodate at the facility level, this clustering of visits may provide benefits when trying to reach this population or implement interventions that provide a transition from homelessness to residency programs.

It is not feasible to develop transition programs in every hospital but our data show that programs could be housed in a small fraction of hospitals that treat the vast majority of the

homeless population seen in emergency departments. Discharge to medical respite programs which are short-term residential care programs for those too sick to be discharged back to the streets have demonstrated some success in limiting readmissions, but it occurs far less frequently. Most homeless individuals are discharged to other settings which have not been shown to achieve similar results as medical respite programs.¹⁸ Safety-net hospitals, which provide care regardless of insurance status or ability to pay, are more likely to serve this population but have been shown to employ fewer strategies to reduce readmissions.¹⁹

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act may allow for increased access of care outside of emergency departments, but this population has shown frequent hospitalizations and emergency department visits despite this coverage, which may be due to a lack of alternative services.⁶ For example, while those with insurance coverage are better able to access mental health treatment, Illinois has one of the lowest Medicaid-to-Medicare fee ratios resulting in physicians getting reimbursed much less through Medicaid than they would receive for the same service through Medicare. This can create a barrier for the Medicaid population in accessing services as providers may be more reluctant to treat this population and may contribute to the behavioral health care professional shortage in Illinois (14 professionals per 10,000 residents compared to the already less-than ideal national average of 21 per 10,000).²⁰ Illinois spends \$77 per capita on mental health services compared to the \$133 national average, with approximately three-quarters of those funds going to community-based mental health programs and one-quarter to in-state psychiatric hospitals.²¹ Mental illness is a known risk factor for incarceration and has significant costs to individuals and society.²¹ Therefore, more comprehensive interventions are indicated to better address the health needs of those affected by homelessness.

Limitations

There are several limitations to this study. Primarily, misclassification is a concern as many individuals may not be coded as affected by homelessness and there is no way to validate the cases that are coded relative to duration, cause, and current status of homelessness. Because of common social biases for this population, substance abuse and mental illness may be coded differentially in this population. Additionally, ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes cannot be converted in a one-to-one fashion and there may be some lost information that is incongruent between the two systems.

CONCLUSIONS

Hospital discharge data are a valuable public health surveillance tool for evaluating healthcare issues affecting the homeless. Those experiencing homelessness experience a high burden of health concerns and may lack the supportive services needed for improving their health when routinely discharged to home or self-care. Hospital billing records can be used to prioritize the distribution of limited public health resources for health care programs and interventions among those experiencing homelessness. While hospitals provide a valuable source of care to this population, they are likely experiencing a larger burden of care as this is a main access point for psychiatric and other healthcare services since other facilities have been shut down or experienced a loss in funding or reimbursement.

Additionally, there is evidence that when these health services are not taken on by hospitals, it is shifted to other social systems that are not designed to address psychiatric and other medical needs, such as the criminal justice.²¹ Therefore, it is imperative that this population has access to services at the most cost-effective, primary prevention point.

Acknowledgments:

This project is in partial fulfillment of the dissertation requirements for the doctoral degree at the Graduate College of the University of Illinois at Chicago (DM).

Funding Sources: The research reported in this publication was funded, in part, by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Illinois Education and Research Center (#T42OH008672). The sponsors had no role in the design, methods, subject recruitment, data collections, analysis or preparation of paper.

REFERENCES

1. Rossi PH. *Down and Out in America: The Origins of Homelessness Chicago*: The University of Chicago Press; 1989.
2. Wasserman JA, Clair JM. *At Home on the Street: People, Poverty, and a Hidden Culture of Homelessness* Boulder: Lynne Reinner Publishers, Inc.; 2010.
3. Fazel S, Geddes JR, Kushel M. The Health of Homeless People in High-Income Countries: Descriptive Epidemiology, Health Consequences, and Clinical and Policy Recommendations. *Lancet* 2014;384(9953):1529–1540. [PubMed: 25390578]
4. Lam C, Arora S, Menchine M. Increased 30-Day Emergency Department Revisits Among Homeless Patients with Mental Health Conditions. *West J Emerg Med* 2016;17(5):607–612. [PubMed: 27625726]
5. Mitchell MS, León CLK, Byrne TH, Lin W-C, Bharel M. Cost of Health Care Utilization Among Homeless Frequent Emergency Department Users. *Psychol Serv* 2017;14(2):193–202. [PubMed: 28481604]
6. Lin W-C, Bharel M, Zhang J, O'Connell E, Clark RE. Frequent Emergency Department Visits and Hospitalizations Among Homeless People With Medicaid: Implications for Medicaid Expansion. *Am J Public Health* 2015;105 Suppl:S716–22. [PubMed: 26447915]
7. Hwang SW, Burns T. Health Interventions for People Who Are Homeless. *Lancet* 2014;384(9953):1541–1547. [PubMed: 25390579]
8. Feldman BJ, Calogero CG, Elsayed KS, et al. Prevalence of Homelessness in the Emergency Department Setting. *West J Emerg Med* 2017;18(3):366–372. [PubMed: 28435486]
9. Cheung A, Somers JM, Moniruzzaman A, et al. Emergency Department Use and Hospitalizations Among Homeless Adults with Substance Dependence and Mental Disorders. *Addict Sci Clin Pract* 2015;10(1):17. [PubMed: 26242968]
10. Montgomery AE, Dichter ME, Thomasson AM, Roberts CB, Byrne T. Disparities in Housing Status Among Veterans with General Medical, Cognitive, and Behavioral Health Conditions. *Psychiatr Serv* 2015;66(3):317–320. [PubMed: 25727122]
11. Illinois Department of Public Health; Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review. Annual Hospital Questionnaire: Hospital Profiles and Annual Bed Reports, 2011 Springfield; 2013. http://www.idph.state.il.us/about/hfph/HospProf_ABR.htm.
12. Lale A, Krajewski A, Friedman LS. Undertriage of Firearm-Related Injuries in a Major Metropolitan Area. *JAMA Surg* 2017;152(5):467–474. [PubMed: 28114435]
13. Kanak M, Stewart A, Vinci R, Liu S, Sandel M. Trends in Homeless Children and Young Adults Seeking Shelter in a Boston Pediatric Emergency Department Following State Housing Policy Changes, 2011–2016. *Am J Public Health* 2018;108(8):1076–1078. [PubMed: 29927643]
14. Peterson R, Gundlapalli AV, Metraux S, et al. Identifying Homelessness among Veterans Using VA Administrative Data: Opportunities to Expand Detection Criteria. Smalheiser NR, ed. *PLoS One* 2015;10(7):e0132664. [PubMed: 26172386]

15. United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service. USDA ERS - Rural-Urban Continuum Codes
16. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Consumer Price Index (CPI) Inflation Calculator
17. Elixhauser A, Steiner C, Harris DR, Coffey RM. Comorbidity Measures for Use with Administrative Data. *Med Care* 1998;36(1):8–27. Accessed October 18, 2017. [PubMed: 9431328]
18. Kertesz SG, Posner MA, O’Connell JJ, et al. Post-Hospital Medical Respite Care and Hospital Readmission of Homeless Persons. *J Prev Interv Community* 2009;37(2):129–142. [PubMed: 19363773]
19. Figueroa JF, Joynt KE, Zhou X, Orav EJ, Jha AK. Safety-net Hospitals Face More Barriers Yet Use Fewer Strategies to Reduce Readmissions. *Med Care* 2017;55(3):229–235. [PubMed: 28060053]
20. Heun-Johnson H, Menchine M, Goldman D, Seabury S. The Cost of Mental Illness Illinois Facts and Figures; 2018. <http://www.chicagotribune.com/suburbs/daily-southtown/opinion/ct-sta-kadner-mental-st-0529-20150528-story.html>.
21. Heun-Johnson H, Menchine M, Goldman D, Seabury S. The Cost of Mental Illness Illinois Facts and Figures; 2018.




FIGURE 1. Percent of visits covered by government insurance, self-pay, and charity each year.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

TABLE 1 -

Demographic Characteristics of Patient Visits of those Experiencing Homelessness

	Inpatient (N=76,952)	%	Outpatient (N=77,221)	%
Gender				
Female	20,445	26.57	20,809	26.95
Male	56,498	73.42	56,411	73.05
Unknown	9	0.01	1	0.00
Age				
0 to 4 yrs	121	0.16	240	0.31
5 to 9 yrs	86	0.11	120	0.16
10 to 14 yrs	148	0.19	99	0.13
15 to 19 yrs	1,315	1.71	1,049	1.36
20 to 24 yrs	5,592	7.27	4,558	5.90
25 to 34 yrs	13,983	18.17	12,067	15.63
35 to 44 yrs	15,435	20.06	15,467	20.03
45 to 54 yrs	21,671	28.16	21,804	28.24
55 to 64 yrs	14,740	19.15	17,729	22.96
65 to 74 yrs	3,283	4.27	3,370	4.36
75 yrs and older	578	0.75	712	0.92
Unknown	0	0.00	6	0.01
Mean Age (sd)	44	13.63	45	13.66
Race/Ethnicity				
Non-Hispanic White	35,021	45.51	31,332	40.57
Non-Hispanic African-American	27,324	35.51	33,992	44.02
Hispanic	5,159	6.70	4,981	6.45
Asian	292	0.38	319	0.41
Pacific Islander	1,268	1.65	161	0.21
American Indian/Alaska Native	250	0.32	129	0.17
Other	5,334	6.93	4,617	5.98
Unknown	2,304	2.99	1,690	2.19
Primary payer type				
Medicaid	39,002	50.68	35,665	46.19
Medicare	14,819	19.26	13,627	17.65
Self-pay/Self-administered	8,377	10.89	15,969	20.68
Charity	2,019	2.62	3,754	4.86
Private/Commercial Insurance	11,124	14.46	7,131	9.23
Military (Champus Tricare, Champva)	90	0.12	65	0.08
Workers' Compensation	7	0.01	18	0.02
Other	1,514	1.97	992	1.28
Median Hospital Charges (IQR)	\$16,025.50	\$10,002.00-\$27,450.00	\$2,049.12	\$921.00-\$4,478.00

TABLE 2-

Elixhauser Comorbidities in Patient Visits of those Experiencing Homelessness

	Inpatient (N=76,952)	%	Outpatient (N=77,221)	%
Mean Number of Comorbidities (sd)	3.07	1.68	1.37	1.33
Number of patient visits with 3+ comorbidities	45,013	58.49	13,233	17.14
Type of Comorbidity				
Drug Abuse	37,428	48.64	11,706	15.16
Depression	29,184	37.92	12,422	16.09
Alcohol Abuse	28,433	36.95	18,338	23.75
Psychosis	22,378	29.08	11,276	14.60
Hypertension (Uncomplicated)	22,274	28.95	14,776	19.13
Chronic Pulmonary Disease	17,419	22.64	9,674	12.53
Fluid And Electrolyte Disorders	12,495	16.24	2,555	3.31
Other Neurological Disorders	7,814	10.15	4,258	5.51
Diabetes (Uncomplicated)	6,805	8.84	5,537	7.17
Obesity	6,706	8.71	1,105	1.43
Cardiac Arrhythmia	5,981	7.77	2,851	3.69
Liver Disease	4,935	6.41	1,241	1.61
Congestive Heart Failure	4,313	5.60	1,705	2.21
Hypertension (Complicated)	3,617	4.70	1,159	1.50
Diabetes (Complicated)	3,601	4.68	1,315	1.70
Coagulopathy	3,052	3.97	413	0.53
Renal Failure	3,031	3.94	848	1.10
Hypothyroidism	2,906	3.78	863	1.12
Weight Loss	2,871	3.73	300	0.39
Deficiency Anemia	2,777	3.61	442	0.57
Peripheral Vascular Disorders	1,411	1.83	453	0.59
Pulmonary Circulation Disorders	1,105	1.44	144	0.19
HIV/AIDS	968	1.26	723	0.94
Solid Tumor without Metastasis	957	1.24	222	0.29
Valvular Disease	888	1.15	281	0.36
Rheumatoid Arthritis/collagen	664	0.86	402	0.52
Paralysis	563	0.73	188	0.24
Peptic Ulcer Disease without Bleeding	554	0.72	121	0.16
Metastatic Cancer	421	0.55	57	0.07
Blood Loss Anemia	261	0.34	20	0.03
Lymphoma	126	0.16	34	0.04

TABLE 3 -

Length of Stay and Discharge Status of Patient Visits of those Experiencing Homelessness

	Inpatient (N=76,952)	%	Outpatient (N=77,221)	%
Length of Stay				
Outpatient visits greater than one day	NA	NA	5,615	7.27
Inpatient length of stay (mean days (sd))	6.21	6.99	NA	NA
Visit Characteristics				
Operations	5,941	7.72	565	0.73
Suffered an acute injury in the hospital	755	0.98	38	0.05
Discharge Description				
Routine discharge to home or self-care	58,803	76.42	67,485	87.39
Discharge/transferred to long-term/intermediate facility	8,704	11.31	646	0.84
Discharged/transferred to a psychiatric hospital or psychiatric distinct unit of a hospital	2,601	3.38	4,871	6.31
Left against medical advice/discontinued care	3,458	4.49	2,260	2.93
Discharged/transferred to a short-term/acute facility	1,297	1.69	877	1.14
Other	1,088	1.41	697	0.90
Discharged/transferred to court/law enforcement	291	0.38	277	0.36
Discharge/transferred to home health care service	416	0.54	51	0.07
Expired/hospice	294	0.38	57	0.07

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

TABLE 4 - Predictors of Discharge to Another Healthcare Facility Compared to Routine Discharge to Home or Self-care

	Inpatient Adjusted OR CI95%	Outpatient Adjusted OR CI95%	All Adjusted OR CI95%
Year of Visit	0.98 (0.97, 0.99)	1.01 (1.00, 1.03)	0.99 (0.98, 0.99)
Male	0.94 (0.9, 0.99)	1.02 (0.96, 1.09)	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)
Age (per 10 years)	1.31 (1.28, 1.32)	0.86 (0.84, 0.88)	1.09 (1.08, 1.10)
Race/Ethnicity			
Black/African-American	0.81 (0.78, 0.85)	0.66 (0.62, 0.70)	0.76 (0.74, 0.79)
Hispanic or Latino	0.91 (0.84, 0.99)	1.00 (0.89, 1.12)	0.94 (0.88, 1.01)
Other	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)	0.80 (0.71, 0.91)	0.94 (0.88, 0.99)
Payor			
Government Insurance	1.11 (1.05, 1.17)	1.18 (1.08, 1.29)	1.13 (1.08, 1.19)
Charity	0.61 (0.52, 0.71)	0.67 (0.56, 0.80)	0.63 (0.56, 0.71)
Self-Pay	0.59 (0.54, 0.65)	0.95 (0.85, 1.06)	0.74 (0.70, 0.79)
Acute Injury	1.74 (1.65, 1.83)	0.71 (0.65, 0.78)	1.34 (1.28, 1.39)
Suicide Attempt	2.52 (2.19, 2.91)	7.75 (5.86, 10.25)	3.15 (2.78, 3.57)
Comorbidity			
Depression	1.09 (1.05, 1.14)	4.26 (4.02, 4.51)	1.91 (1.85, 1.98)
Psychoses	1.75 (1.67, 1.83)	4.26 (4.01, 4.53)	2.76 (2.66, 2.86)
Operation	2.42 (2.27, 2.58)	1.06 (0.77, 1.45)	3.14 (2.95, 3.33)
Additional Comorbidity (Modified Elixhauser)	1.09 (1.08, 1.10)	1.11 (1.09, 1.13)	1.17 (1.15, 1.18)