

Published in final edited form as:

Arch Sex Behav. 2021 October; 50(7): 2943–2946. doi:10.1007/s10508-021-02010-y.

Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Awareness among Black Men who have Sex with Men with a History of Criminal Justice Involvement in Six US Cities: Findings from the HPTN 061 Study

Jonathan Feelemyer¹, Maria Khan¹, Typhanye V. Dyer², Rodman Turpin², Christopher Hucks-Oritz³, Charles Cleland¹, Joy Scheidell¹, Lee Hoff¹, Kenneth H. Mayer⁴, Russell Brewer⁵

¹Department of Population Health, New York University School of Medicine, New York NY

²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Maryland School of Public Health, College Park, MD

³Black AIDS Institute, Los Angeles CA

⁴The Fenway Institute, Fenway Health and Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center/Harvard Medical School, Boston MA

⁵Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL

Abstract

Transition from detention to the community for Black men who have sex with men with criminal justice involvement (BMSM-CJI) represents a particularly vulnerable period for HIV acquisition and transmission. We examined levels of HIV PrEP awareness among BMSM-CJI. PrEP awareness among BMSM-CJI was low (7.9%) with evidence of lower awareness levels among those with STI. There was evidence that HIV testing history was associated with higher PrEP awareness. Study findings highlight needs for further assessment of PrEP knowledge among BMSM-CJI. The strong association between HIV testing and PrEP awareness underscores an opportunity to integrate PrEP education within HIV/STI testing services

Keywords

Men who have sex with men (MSM); Criminal justice; PrEP; Minority Populations

Corresponding Author: Jonathan Feelemyer, New York University School of Medicine, 180 Madison 5th Floor, New York, NY 10016, P: (212) 992-3795, jf3880@nyu.edu. Authors contributions:

JF proposed the original research question, conducted analysis, and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. CC assisted with statistical analysis; MK, TD, RT, CHO, CC, JS, LH, KM and RB provided guidance and expertise on subject matter related to the study sample and design, and all authors reviewed the final manuscript prior to submission AIDS and Behavior

Authors' contributions: All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Data analysis were performed by Jonathan Feelemyer and Charles Cleland. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Jonathan Feelemyer and Russell Brewer, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: No conflicts of interest

Availability of data and material: Data contains protected health information and is therefore not available for review.

Code availability: Coding for the analysis in the report is available from the primary author

Introduction

Black men who have sex with men (BMSM) are disproportionately impacted by dual epidemics of HIV and incarceration (Brewer et al., 2014a, 2014b). BMSM accounted for more than a quarter of all new HIV diagnoses in 2018 (Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2020). Elevated incarceration history and incarceration incidence have also been documented among this population (Brewer et al., 2014a, 2014b). The transition from detention to community for many, including BMSM, represents a particularly vulnerable period of time when new sex partners, transactional sex, and substance use are observed with corresponding increases in HIV acquisition and transmission (Epperson, El-Bassel, Chang, & Gilbert, 2010; Khan et al., 2009; Ricks, Crosby, & Terrell, 2015). Thus, BMSM with a recent history of incarceration would benefit from HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), an effective HIV biomedical prevention strategy that is recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for all individuals at substantial risk for HIV (Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2019a), during post detention periods and potentially while incarcerated.

The PrEP care continuum starts with identifying HIV negative individuals who are at risk for HIV (PrEP candidates), followed by movement along the PrEP continuum from PrEP awareness to initiation/uptake, adherence, and eventually PrEP persistence (Nunn et al., 2017). The purpose of this manuscript is to examine levels of PrEP awareness, a key step in the PrEP care continuum, among BMSM with a history of criminal justice involvement (BMSM-CJI) enrolled in the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 061 study. HPTN 061 participants represent a cohort of BMSM at increased risk for HIV with documented high incidence (i.e., 35%) and previous history (i.e., 60%) of incarceration (Brewer et al., 2014a, 2014b). We examined PrEP awareness by CDC sexual risk taking PrEP indications (e.g., multiple partners, HIV-positive partners, STI), history of HIV testing, and prior receipt of HIV-related services while incarcerated.

Methods

The HPTN 061 enrollment and recruitment methods have been described comprehensively elsewhere (Brewer et al., 2014a, 2014b). HPTN 061 sought to examine the feasibility and efficacy of interventions to prevent the acquisition and transmission of HIV predominantly among BMSM in the United States (US). Enrollment occurred from 2009–2010 in six US metropolitan cities: Atlanta, Boston, Los Angeles, New York City, San Francisco, and Washington D.C. Institutional review boards at all participating institutions approved the study. Participants completed an audio computer-assisted self-interview (ACASI).

The analysis for this study was restricted to participants who had reported any history of incarceration at baseline. We measured self-reported PrEP awareness (coded as Yes/No) as our outcome and associations with CDC indications for PrEP use (i.e., multiple partnerships (i.e. two or more partners), HIV partnerships (having a HIV positive partner), STI infection, sex trade involvement, and inconsistent condom use) as exposure variables. (Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2019a) We also examined associations between PrEP and several other important variables including history of HIV testing (history of ever being

tested for HIV, coded as Yes/No), and previous receipt of HIV services while incarcerated to include HIV testing, condoms, prevention services, and HIV treatment within prisons (all coded as Yes/No). Descriptive statistics along with unadjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals were tabulated for each variable and its association with PrEP awareness. STATA 15 was used for the analysis. This study was classified as non-human subjects research by New York University's IRB.

Results

A total of 1,553 participants were enrolled and 914 (58.9%) reported a history of incarceration at the baseline visit were included in the final analysis. The median age was 39 years, 60% had annual incomes of less than \$30,000, and 7.9% had reported hearing of/being aware of PrEP. Table 1 describes PrEP awareness by key variables of interest. PrEP awareness was low across all CDC indications including having multiple partnerships (7.9%), having an HIV partnership (8.4%), reporting inconsistent condom use with partners (8.2%), and sex trade involvement (7.9%). Notably, there was evidence that BMSM-CJI who tested positive for an STI at baseline were much less likely to be aware of PrEP (3.1%) as those who did not test positive (8.6%; OR: 0.34, 0.10, 1.09). Additionally, regardless of the number of reported CDC PrEP indications, PrEP awareness remained extremely low (range from 7.2% for 4–5 indicators to 8.1% for 2–3 CDC PrEP indications). There was evidence that PrEP awareness was higher among those with any history of HIV testing compared with those without any history of HIV testing (8.6% vs. 3.4%, OR 2.64, 95% CI: 0.95, 7.40). For those who responded to questions on HIV-related services while incarcerated (N= 453, 49.6%), PrEP awareness was generally low and similar to rates reported for the CDC indications. PrEP awareness was 7.3% among those who received HIV testing in prison, 6.7% among those receiving HIV prevention services, 12.5% among those who received condoms, and 11.1% among those who received HIV treatment in prison.

Discussion

PrEP awareness was low among BMSM-CJI overall and by CDC PrEP indications who are priority populations for PrEP. The findings highlight the need for a current assessment of PrEP knowledge among BMSM-CJI to evaluate whether low PrEP awareness persists as a public health threat. Given the current dearth of available data on PrEP knowledge among BMSM-CJI these findings, importantly, have highlighted a clear unmet need for improved knowledge of and access to PrEP among BMSM-CJI with STIs or high levels of risk behaviors, given evidence of the lowest knowledge levels in these groups.

We observed higher levels of PrEP awareness among individuals who had previously tested for HIV compared with those who had not previously received an HIV test. This is not a surprising finding given that PrEP initiation requires initial and routine HIV testing and education about PrEP during HIV testing is common (Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2019b). However, there may be opportunities for greater integration of PrEP education within HIV/STI testing services within correctional facilities and immediately following release for this population.

HPTN 061 recruited participants prior to the FDA's approval of Truvada[™] for PrEP in 2012, which could explain the observed low levels of PrEP awareness in this population (HIV.gov, 2012). However, PrEP was already proven to be effective in MSM in the iPREX study, so a higher level of awareness may be expected (Grant et al., 2010). Study findings are limited to BMSM-CJI enrolled in HPTN 061 and also are not representative of all BMSM-CJI.

The study is among the first to report on PrEP awareness among BMSM-CJI in the US. While there have been substantial increases in PrEP awareness in the decade since HPTN 061 enrollment (Sullivan et al., 2020), we still do not know enough about CJI barriers, opportunities, and implementation strategies to enhance PrEP uptake within CJI settings and immediately upon release for this population. Future implementation science studies are warranted to examine these factors among BMSM-CJI.

Acknowledgments:

We are thankful to the following groups who made possible the HPTN 061 study: HPTN 061 study participants; HPTN 061 Protocol co-chairs, Beryl Koblin, PhD, Kenneth Mayer, MD, and Darrell Wheeler, PhD, MPH; HPTN061 Protocol team members; HPTN Black Caucus; HPTN Network Laboratory, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; Vaccine and Infectious Disease Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center; Statistical and Data Management Center, Statistical Center for HIV/AIDS Research and Prevention; HPTN CORE Operating Center, Family Health International (FHI) 360; Black Gay Research Group; clinical research sites, staff, and Community Advisory Boards at Emory University, Fenway Institute, GWU School of Public Health and Health Services, Harlem Prevention Center, New York Blood Center, San Francisco Department of Public Health, the University of California, Los Angeles, Center for Behavioral and Addiction Medicine, and Cornelius Baker, FHI 360. We are thankful to Sam Griffith, Senior Clinical Research Manager, FHI 360, and Lynda Emel, Associate Director, HPTN Statistical and Data Management Center, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, for their considerable assistance with HPTN 061 data acquisition and documentation. This research was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse grant 'Stop-and-Frisk, Arrest, and Incarceration and STI/HIV Risk in Minority MSM' This research uses data from the HIV Prevention Trials Network 061 (HPTN 061) study. HPTN 061 grant support was provided by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID), National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) and National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH): Cooperative Agreements UM1 AI068619, UM1 AI068617, and UM1 AI068613. Additional site funding included Fenway Institute Clinical Research Site (CRS): Harvard University CFAR (P30 AI060354) and CTU for HIV Prevention and Microbicide Research (UM1 AI069480); George Washington University CRS: District of Columbia Developmental CFAR (P30 AI087714); Harlem Prevention Center CRS and NY Blood Center/Union Square CRS: Columbia University CTU (5U01 AI069466) and ARRA funding (3U01 AI069466-03S1); Hope Clinic of the Emory Vaccine Center CRS and The Ponce de Leon Center CRS: Emory University HIV/AIDS CTU (5U01 AI069418), CFAR (P30 AI050409) and CTSA (UL1 RR025008); San Francisco Vaccine and Prevention CRS: ARRA funding (3U01 AI069496-03S1, 3U01 AI069496-03S2); UCLA Vine Street CRS: UCLA Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases CTU (U01 AI069424). Authors received support from the New York University Center for Drug Use and HIV Research (P30 DA011041); authors additionally were supported by the New York University-City University of New York (NYU-CUNY) Prevention Research Center (U48 DP005008), the University of Maryland Prevention Research Center (U48 DP006382), and NIMH (R21 MH121187). The funder had a role in the design of the study by providing input into the design. The funder did not have a role in the data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The funding agencies had no role in designing the research, data analyses and preparation of the report.

References

Brewer RA, Magnus M, Kuo I, Wang L, Liu TY, & Mayer KH (2014a). Exploring the relationship between incarceration and HIV among black men who have sex with men in the United States. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr, 65(2), 218–225. [PubMed: 24091691]

Brewer RA, Magnus M, Kuo I, Wang L, Liu TY, & Mayer KH (2014b). The high prevalence of incarceration history among Black men who have sex with men in the United States: associations and implications. Am J Public Health, 104(3), 448–454. [PubMed: 24432948]

Centers for Disease Control & Prevention. (2019a). PrEP | HIV Basics | HIV/AIDS | CDC. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/prep.html

- Centers for Disease Control & Prevention. (2019b). Preventing New HIV Infections | Guidelines and Recommendations | HIV/AIDS | CDC. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/guidelines/preventing.html
- Centers for Disease Control & Prevention. (2020). HIV and African American Gay and Bisexual Men | HIV by Group | HIV/AIDS | CDC. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/group/msm/bmsm.html
- Epperson MW, El-Bassel N, Chang M, & Gilbert L (2010). Examining the temporal relationship between criminal justice involvement and sexual risk behaviors among drug-involved men. J Urban Health, 87(2), 324–336. [PubMed: 20143270]
- Grant R, Lama J, Anderson P, McMahan V, Liu A, Vargas L, ... Glidden D (2010). Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. N Engl J Med, 363(27), 2587–2599. [PubMed: 21091279]
- HIV.gov. (2012). FDA approves first drug for reducing the risk of sexually acquired HIV infection. Retrieved from https://www.hiv.gov/blog/fda-approves-first-drug-for-reducing-the-risk-of-sexually-acquired-hiv-infection
- Khan MR, Doherty IA, Schoenbach VJ, Taylor EM, Epperson MW, & Adimora AA (2009). Incarceration and high-risk sex partnerships among men in the United States. J Urban Health, 86(4), 584–601. [PubMed: 19459050]
- Nunn AS, Brinkley-Rubinstein L, Oldenburg CE, Mayer KH, Mimiaga M, Patel R, & Chan PA (2017). Defining the HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis care continuum. AIDS (London, England), 31(5), 731.
- Ricks JM, Crosby RA, & Terrell I (2015). Elevated sexual risk behaviors among postincarcerated young African American males in the South. Am J Men's Health, 9(2), 132–138. [PubMed: 24794821]
- Sullivan PS, Sanchez TH, Zlotorzynska M, Chandler CJ, Sineath R, Kahle E, & Tregear S (2020). National trends in HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis awareness, willingness and use among United States men who have sex with men recruited online, 2013 through 2017. J International AIDS Society, 23(3), e25461.

Table 1.

Prep Awareness at Baseline for HPTN 061 among BMSM reporting Incarceration History at Baseline (N=914)

		(N, %) with CDC Indicator in Total Sample	PrEP Awareness (N, %) by key variables	OR (95% CI) for Association between PrEP Awareness and key variables
CDC Indicators				
Multiple Partners	No	191 (20.9)	15 (7.9)	(Ref)
	Yes	722 (79.1)	57 (7.9)	0.99 (0.55, 1.80)
HIV Partnerships	No	446 (48.8)	33 (7.4)	(Ref)
	Yes	468 (51.2)	39 (8.4)	1.14 (0.70, 1.85)
Inconsistent Condom Use	No	117 (12.8)	7 (5.9)	(Ref)
	Yes	797 (87.2)	65 (8.2)	1.40 (0.63, 3.13)
Sex Trade involvement	No	616 (67.4)	49 (7.9)	1 (Ref)
	Yes	298 (32.6)	23 (7.7)	0.97 (0.58, 1.62)
STI Infection	No	749 (88.4)	64 (8.6)	(Ref)
	Yes	98 (11.6)	3 (3.1)	0.34 (0.10, 1.09)
Number of CDC Indicators				
0–1		127 (13.9)	10 (7.9)	(Ref)
2		272 (29.8)	22 (8.1)	1.02 (0.47, 2.23)
3		333 (36.4)	27 (8.1)	1.03 (0.48, 2.18)
4–5		182 (19.9)	13 (7.2)	0.89 (0.38, 2.12)
History of HIV Testing	No	117 (12.8)	4 (3.4)	(Ref)
	Yes	796 (87.2)	68 (8.6)	2.64 (0.95, 7.40)
Prison Services				
HIV Testing	No	528 (57.8)	44 (8.4)	(Ref)
	Yes	386 (42.2)	28 (7.3)	0.86 (0.52, 1.41)
HIV Prevention Services	No	690 (75.5)	57 (8.3)	(Ref)
	Yes	224 (24.5)	15 (6.7)	0.79 (0.45, 1.44)
Access/use of condoms	No	825 (90.3)	61 (7.4)	(Ref)
	Yes	89 (9.7)	11 (12.5)	1.78 (0.90, 3.53)
HIV treatment	No	878 (96)	68 (7.8)	(Ref)
	Yes	36 (4)	4 (11.1)	1.48 (0.51, 4.32)