#### **EtR Framework:**

# Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine in adolescents aged 12-15 years

Sara Oliver, MD, MSPH ACIP Meeting May 12, 2021





cdc.gov/coronavirus

• • • •

#### **Evidence to Recommendations Framework**



. . .

#### **Evidence to Recommendations (EtR) Framework**

 Structure to describe information considered in moving from evidence to ACIP vaccine recommendations

 Provide transparency around the impact of additional factors on deliberations when considering a recommendation

#### **Evidence to Recommendations (EtR) Framework** Policy Question

 Should vaccination with Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine (2-doses, IM) be recommended for persons 12-15 years of age under an Emergency Use Authorization?

#### **Evidence to Recommendations (EtR) Framework** PICO Question

Population	Persons aged 12-15 years
Intervention	Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine (BNT162b2)
Comparison	No Vaccine
Outcomes	Symptomatic laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 Hospitalization due to COVID-19 Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion to a non-spike protein Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection Serious Adverse Events Reactogenicity grade ≥3

#### **Evidence to Recommendations (EtR) Framework**

EtR Domain	Question
Public Health Problem	Is the problem of public health importance?
Benefits and Harms	<ul> <li>How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects?</li> <li>How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects?</li> <li>Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?</li> </ul>
Values	<ul> <li>Does the target population feel the desirable effects are large relative to the undesirable effects?</li> <li>Is there important variability in how patients value the outcome?</li> </ul>
Acceptability	Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders?
Feasibility	Is the intervention feasible to implement?
Resource Use	• Is the intervention a reasonable and efficient allocation of resources?
Equity	• What would be the impact of the intervention on health equity?

#### **Evidence to Recommendations (EtR) Framework**

EtR Domain	Question
Public Health Problem	Is the problem of public health importance?
Benefits and Harms	<ul> <li>How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects?</li> <li>How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects?</li> <li>Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?</li> </ul>
Values	<ul> <li>Does the target population feel the desirable effects are large relative to the undesirable effects?</li> <li>Is there important variability in how patients value the outcome?</li> </ul>
Acceptability	Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders?
Feasibility	Is the intervention feasible to implement?
Resource Use	• Is the intervention a reasonable and efficient allocation of resources?
Equity	What would be the impact of the intervention on health equity?

"The intervention" = Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine, given to adolescents aged 12–15 years "The problem" = COVID-19 among adolescents aged 12–15 years

• • • •

# EtR Domain: Public Health Problem



. . .

#### **Public Health Problem**

Is COVID-19 disease among adolescents aged 12–15 years of public health importance?

- Are the consequences of COVID-19 serious?
- Is COVID-19 urgent?
- Are a large number of adolescents affected by COVID-19?
- Are there populations disproportionally affected by COVID-19?





#### **Public Health Problem:** Review of the available evidence

- COVID-19 incidence and burden estimates
- COVID-19 associated hospitalization rates
- COVID-19 associated mortality
- Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C)
- Transmission

#### **Trends in Number of COVID-19 Cases in the US**



https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#trends\_dailytrendscases

#### Trends in Number of COVID-19 Cases among Adolescents 12-17 Years of Age

March 1, 2020 – April 30, 2021



#### Proportion of Total COVID-19 Cases by Age Group — United States, March 1, 2020–April 30, 2021



As more adults vaccinated, adolescents aged 12-17 years of age make up a greater proportion of total cases: **9%** of cases reported in April 2021

https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#demographicsovertime

Percent of Total Estimated COVID-19 Cumulative Incidence and Total Population by Age Group — United States, February 2020–March 2021



\* Sourceshttps://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/burden.html U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, 2020 Demographic Analysis (December 2020 release)

#### Estimated Rates of COVID-19 Disease Outcomes per 100,000 population, by Age Group — United States, February 2020–March 2021



https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/burden.html

## Cumulative Rates of COVID-19-Associated Hospitalizations by Select Age Groups — COVID-NET, Mar 1, 2020–Mar 27, 2021



https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/covid-data/covid-net/purpose-methods.html

## Cumulative Rates of COVID-19-Associated Hospitalizations by Select Age Groups — COVID-NET, Mar 1, 2020–Mar 27, 2021



https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/covid-data/covid-net/purpose-methods.html

Three-week Moving Average Rate of Hospitalization by Select Age Groups — COVID-NET, Dec 27, 2020–April 17, 2021



Cumulative 2009 H1N1 Influenza- and COVID-19-Associated Hospitalization among Adolescents 12-17 years by MMWR week —FluSurv-NET and COVID-NET



\*The 20092010, H1N1 pandemic season, includes data from MMWR week 15-39 of the 2008-2009 season

#### Cumulative Influenza- and COVID-19-Associated Hospitalizations Rates among Adolescents 12-17 years by MMWR week —FluSurv-NET and COVID-NET



\*The 20092010, H1N1 pandemic season, includes data from MMWR week 15-39 of the 2008-2009 season

#### Hospitalized Children 12–17 Years (n=772) —COVID-NET, Mar 2020–Jan 2021



Percentage of Hospitalized Persons Aged 12–17 Years

§Requiring intensive care unit admission or mechanical ventilation

\*BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) ≥95<sup>th</sup> percentile for age and sex based on CDC growth charts, ICD-10 codes for obesity, or obesity selected on case report form

+Includes gastrointestinal or liver disease; renal disease; rheumatologic, autoimmune, inflammatory conditions; abnormality of the airway.

COVID-19-associated hospitalizations reported to COVID-NET surveillance system March 1, 2020–January 31, 2020. COVID-NET is a population-based surveillance system that collects data on laboratory-confirmed COVID-19-associated hospitalizations among children and adults through a network of over 250 acute-care hospitals in 14 states.

#### Hospitalized Children 12–17 Years (n=772) —COVID-NET, Mar 2020–Jan 2021



Percentage of Hospitalized Persons Aged 12–17 Years

§Requiring intensive care unit admission or mechanical ventilation

\*BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) ≥95<sup>th</sup> percentile for age and sex based on CDC growth charts, ICD-10 codes for obesity, or obesity selected on case report form

+Includes gastrointestinal or liver disease; renal disease; rheumatologic, autoimmune, inflammatory conditions; abnormality of the airway.

COVID-19-associated hospitalizations reported to COVID-NET surveillance system March 1, 2020–January 31, 2020. COVID-NET is a population-based surveillance system that collects data on laboratory-confirmed COVID-19-associated hospitalizations among children and adults through a network of over 250 acute-care hospitals in 14 states.

#### **Trends in Number of COVID-19 Deaths in the US**



https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#trends\_dailytrendscases

#### COVID-19 Deaths by Age Group, NCHS —January 1, 2020–April 30, 2021



https://data.cdc.gov/NCHS/Provisional-COVID-19-Deaths-Counts-by-Age-in-Years/3apk-4u4f/data

#### Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C)

- Severe hyperinflammatory syndrome occurring 2-6 weeks after acute SARS-CoV-2 infection, resulting in a wide range of manifestations and complications
  - 60-70% of patients are admitted to intensive care, 1-2% die<sup>1,2</sup>
- 3,742 MIS-C cases have been reported to national surveillance as of May 3, 2021<sup>3</sup>
  - Median age of 9, with 21% (804) of cases occurred in adolescents 12-17 years
  - 63% of reported cases have occurred in children who are Hispanic/Latino or Black, Non-Hispanic
  - Estimated incidence of 1 to 8.5 MIS-C cases per million person-months
- 1. Feldstein LR, Tenforde MW, Friedman KG, et al. Characteristics and Outcomes of US Children and Adolescents With Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) Compared With Severe Acute COVID-19. JAMA. 2021;325(11):1074-1087. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.2091
- 2. Belay ED, Abrams J, Oster ME, et al. Trends in Geographic and Temporal Distribution of US Children With Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome During the COVID-19 Pandemic [published online ahead of print, 2021 Apr 6]. JAMA Pediatr. 2021;e210630. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.0630
- 3. Health Department-Reported Cases of Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) in the United States. https://www.cdc.gov/mis-c/cases/index.html

#### Severity of Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) by Age



Abrams JY, Oster ME, Godfred-Cato SE, et al. Factors linked to severe outcomes in multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) in the USA: a retrospective surveillance study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2021;5(5):323-331. doi:10.1016/S2352-4642(21)00050-X

#### **Adolescents and Transmission of SARS-CoV-2**

- Some studies observed similar infection rates between children and adults, while others found lower infection rates among children compared with adults<sup>1,2</sup>
- Adolescents may be more likely to be infected than younger children (<10 years)</li>
  - Supported by contact tracing, test positivity, and population-based seroprevalance data<sup>2</sup>
- Secondary transmission from adolescents can and does occur
  - While SARS-CoV-2 transmission among students relatively rare, several studies suggest transmission more likely within high school than elementary school settings<sup>3,4</sup>

- 1. Bi Q et al. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(8):911-919
- 2. CDC Science Brief: Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in K-12 schools. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/science/science-briefs/transmission\_k\_12\_schools.html
- 3. Goldstein E et al. On the Effect of Age on the Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Households, Schools, and the Community. J Infect Dis. 2021 Feb 13;223(3):362-369.
- 4. Larosa E et al. Secondary transmission of COVID-19 in preschool and school settings in northern Italy after their reopening in September 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(49):2001911.

#### **Adolescents and Transmission of SARS-CoV-2**

 Outbreak investigations have demonstrated efficient transmission among children, adolescents, and young adults, including transmission to older household members



- 1. Lopez A et al. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:1319–1323
- 2. Schwartz N et al. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:1457–1459

#### **Adolescents and Transmission of SARS-CoV-2**

Adults living in a household with a child engaged in **full-time in-person school** had an **increase** in odds of reporting COVID-19 like illness, loss of taste/smell, or positive SARS-CoV-2 test within previous 14 days



COVID-19-related outcomes compared to households with children not attending in-person school (reference), prior to adjustment for mitigation efforts

Lessler J et al. Household COVID-19 risk and in-person schooling [published online ahead of print, 2021 Apr 29]. Science. 2021;eabh2939. doi:10.1126/science.abh2939

#### **Public Health Problem:** Summary of the available evidence

#### Adolescents 12–17 years of age are at risk of severe illness from COVID-19

- Over 1.5 million reported cases and >13,000 hospitalizations to date
  - Hospitalization rate higher than 2009-10 H1N1 pandemic
- Clinical presentation of MIS-C more severe in adolescents than in younger children

#### COVID-19 in adolescents may also indirectly impact others' health

- Adolescents contribute to transmission in households and communities
  - Including older vulnerable populations
- Adolescents represent an increasing proportion of recent COVID-19 cases

#### **Public Health Problem**

Work Group Interpretation

Is COVID-19 disease among adolescents aged 12–15 years of public health importance?



• • • •

# **EtR Domain: Benefits and Harms**



. .

#### **Benefits and Harms**

#### How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects?

• How substantial are the anticipated effect for each main outcome for which there is a desirable effect?



#### **Benefits and Harms**

#### How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects?

• How substantial are the anticipated effect for each main outcome for which there is a undesirable effect?



#### **Benefits and Harms**

Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?

• What is the balance between the desirable effects relative to the undesirable effects?

Favors intervention (Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine)
Favors comparison (no vaccine)
Favors both
Favors neither
Unclear



#### **Benefits and Harms:** Summary of the Available Evidence: Benefits

 The clinical trial for the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine demonstrated efficacy against symptomatic, laboratory-confirmed COVID-19. The efficacy was 100%

High certainty of evidence
#### **Benefits and Harms:** Summary of the Available Evidence: Benefits

- The geometric mean ratio (GMR) for antibodies in 12–15-year-olds compared with 16–25-year-olds was 1.76 (95% CI:1.47, 2.10), and met the noninferiority criteria
- No hospitalizations due to COVID-19 or cases of MIC-C were reported by any trial participants

#### **Benefits and Harms:** Summary of the Available Evidence: Harms

 Serious adverse events (SAE) were reported in a higher proportion of recipients of vaccine versus placebo (0.4% vs 0.2%) based on 5 SAEs in the vaccine group and 2 in the placebo group

Very low certainty of evidence

 Severe reactions were more common in vaccine recipients; a grade ≥3 reaction was reported by 10.7% of vaccinated versus 1.9% of placebo group *High certainty of evidence*

#### **Benefits and Harms:** Summary of the Available Evidence: Harms

- No deaths were reported among any trial participants
- Local reactions within 7 days occurred in 91% vaccine recipients
  - Pain at the injection site most common
- Systemic reactions within 7 days occurred in 91% vaccine recipients
  - Fatigue and headache most common
- Most symptoms resolved in 1-2 days

#### **Benefits and Harms:** Summary of the Available Evidence: Harms

- No cases of anaphylaxis reported in the adolescent (12-15 years of age) study participants
- No cases of Bell's Palsy or facial paralysis reported in adolescents
- Among adolescents 12-15 years of age, 7 (0.6%) in the vaccine group had lymphadenopathy, compared to 1 (0.1%) participant in the placebo group
  - Most lymphadenopathy was local (arm or neck region), occurred on the same side as vaccination, and was reported within 2-10 days

#### **Summary of GRADE**

Outcome	Importance	Design (# of studies)	Findings	Evidence type			
Benefits							
Symptomatic lab-confirmed COVID-19	Critical	RCT (1)	Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine is effective in preventing symptomatic COVID-19	1			
Hospitalization due to COVID-19	Important	No studies	Data not available from any studies	ND			
Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C)	Important	No studies	Data not available from any studies	ND			
SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion	Important	No studies	Data not available from any studies	ND			
Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection	Important	No studies	Data not available from any studies	ND			
Harms							
Serious adverse events	Critical	RCT (1)	5 SAEs among vaccinated and 2 among unvaccinated; certainty in the estimate was very low. No SAEs were judged to be related to vaccination.	4			
Reactogenicity	Important	RCT (1)	Severe reactions were more common in vaccinated; any grade ≥3 reaction was reported by 10.7% of vaccinated vs. 1.9% of placebo group	1			

Evidence type: 1=high; 2=moderate; 3=low; 4=very low; ND, no data.

### **Benefits and Harms**

#### How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects?

- How substantial are the anticipated effect for each main outcome for which there is a desirable effect?



### **Benefits and Harms**

#### How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects?

• How substantial are the anticipated effect for each main outcome for which there is an undesirable effect?



### **Benefits and Harms**

#### Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?

- What is the balance between the desirable effects relative to the undesirable effects?

O Favors intervention (Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine)O Favors comparison (no vaccine)

- O Favors both
- O Favors neither
- O Unclear



### **EtR Domain: Values**



. .

# Values

#### Criteria 1:

Does the target population feel that the desirable effects are large relative to undesirable effects?

- How does the target population view the balance of desirable versus undesirable effects?
- Would patients/caregivers feel that the benefits outweigh the harms and burden?
- Does the population appreciate and value the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine?



# Values

# . . . . . . . .

#### Criteria 2:

Is there important uncertainty about, or variability in, how much people value the main outcomes?

- How much do individuals value each outcome in relation to the other outcomes?
- Is there evidence to support those value judgements?
- Is there evidence that the variability is large enough to lead to different decisions?



O Important uncertainty or variability
O Probably important uncertainty or variability
O Probably not important uncertainty or variability
O No important uncertainty or variability
O No known undesirable outcomes

#### Values: Review of the Available Evidence

- Review of scientific literature, news media, and reports
  - Pubmed: (COVID-19 OR coronavirus OR SARS-CoV-2) AND (vaccin\* OR immunization) AND (survey OR questionnaire OR poll) AND (adolescent OR child\* OR parent\*)
  - Google: "COVID-19," "coronavirus," "vaccine," "survey," "poll," "hesitancy,"
     "intent," "willingness"
  - Societal Experts Action Network COVID-19 Survey Archive
- Limited to surveys conducted since authorization of COVID-19 vaccines (December 2020)

#### **Positive COVID-19 Vaccination Intention among Adults<sup>+</sup>**



<sup>†</sup>Surveys with multiple time points are shown with the same color bubble for each time point. Surveys with only one time point are shown in gray.

\*Positive vaccine intentions includes persons reporting definitely, probably, or somewhat likely to get vaccinated themselves. Some surveys also included persons who already received vaccine.

#### Values:

#### Surveys of Parents (intent to have children vaccinated)

- Among parents surveyed, 46-60% plan to get their children vaccinated<sup>1-4</sup>
- Reasons for not vaccinating<sup>2</sup>:
  - not sure it will be safe (59%)
  - Vaccine developed too quickly (59%)
  - don't trust info being published about the vaccine (48%)
  - won't trust right away (44%)
  - don't have enough info (43%)
- Parents reported similar or slightly lower intent to vaccinate their children compared to intent to vaccinate themselves<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Axios/Ipsos April 2-5; Axios/Ipsos April 16-19; Calarco and Anderson preprint; WebMD March 2021.

<sup>2.</sup> National Parents Union Survey January 2021

<sup>3.</sup> Simonson M, Baum M, Lazer D, et al. The COVID States Project #45: Vaccine hesitancy and resistance among parents.OSF Preprints, 19 Mar. 2021. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/e95bc

<sup>4.</sup> Parents Together March 2021 Survey

#### Values: Surveys of Parents (intent to have children vaccinated)

- Intent to vaccinate children differed by parent's gender, age & income status
- Fathers were more willing to vaccinate their children than mothers
- Older mothers were more willing to vaccinate their children than younger mothers
- Higher income households were more likely to report intent to vaccinate
- Lower income households twice as likely to say "not sure" about vaccinating their children compared to higher income households

Simonson preprint; Calarco and Anderson preprint; National Parents Union Survey January 2021; Parents Together March 2021 Survey

#### **Values:** Parents' Intent for Children to Receive COVID-19 Vaccine Varies by Race/Ethnicity



\*Positive vaccine intentions includes persons reporting definitely or probably likely to get their child vaccinated.

Values: Surveys of Adolescents and Parents Intent to get vaccine/have children vaccinated



CDC/U Iowa Survey of Parents and Adolescents, April 2021

#### Values: Surveys of Adolescents and Parents Intent to get vaccine/have children vaccinated

Intent to vaccinate adolescents among the combined sample varied:

- Higher for male than female adolescents (57% vs. 50%)
- Higher for adolescents whose parents had at least a four-year degree (66%) vs. parents with less education (48%-50%)
- Higher in Northeast (64%) and West (60%) vs. Midwest (47%) and
   South (49%)
- No differences in intent for adolescent COVID-19 vaccination by respondent race/ethnicity

#### **Values:** Surveys of Adolescents and Parents Concern for COVID-19 or vaccines



CDC/U Iowa Survey of Parents and Adolescents, April 2021

#### Values: Summary of the Available Evidence

- About **half** of parents say they are likely to get their adolescent vaccinated
- Intent to vaccinate adolescents differed by parents' gender, race, and income
- Intent to vaccinate adolescents similar or slightly lower than parental intent to get vaccinated
- Limited information available on adolescent intent to be vaccinated

# Values

# • • • • • • •

#### Criteria 1:

Does the target population feel that the desirable effects are large relative to undesirable effects?

- How does the target population view the balance of desirable versus undesirable effects?
- Would patients/caregivers feel that the benefits outweigh the harms and burden?
- Does the population appreciate and value the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine?



# Values

# . . . . . . . .

#### Criteria 2:

Is there important uncertainty about, or variability in, how much people value the main outcomes?

- How much do individuals value each outcome in relation to the other outcomes?
- Is there evidence to support those value judgements?
- Is there evidence that the variability is large enough to lead to different decisions?

O Important uncertainty or variability

- O Probably important uncertainty or variability
- O Probably not important uncertainty or variability
- O No important uncertainty or variability
- O No known undesirable outcomes

• • • •

# EtR Domain: Acceptability



. .

# Acceptability

Is the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine acceptable to key stakeholders?

- Are there key stakeholders that would not accept the distribution of benefits and harms?
- Are there key stakeholders that would not accept the undesirable effects in the short term for the desirable effects (benefits) in the future?



#### Acceptability:

#### Jurisdictional approach to administer COVID-19 vaccine

 April 10<sup>th</sup> pulse of jurisdictional immunization programs on implementation planning for adolescents

Description	Example	%Share of jurisdictions
<ul> <li>Using a combination of the three below approaches, often staged over time.</li> </ul>	<b>Region 8 jurisdiction:</b> "Believe a hybrid model will be needed by utilizing enrolled providers and other pending outreach activities."	46%
<ul> <li>Reaching adolescents through existing enrolled provider network, including mass vax and public health sites.</li> </ul>	<b>Region 1 jurisdiction:</b> "Plan to encourage in all existing channels including mass vaccination clinics, retail pharmacy partners, and some local health dept clinics."	28%
<ul> <li>Emphasis on reaching population through activation of new pediatric providers and family doctors.</li> </ul>	<b>Region 4 jurisdiction:</b> "Actively recruiting for additional pediatricians to join the COVID enrollment. Sent out notification to bring on additional providers."	15%
<ul> <li>Preparing school-based clinics and events to reach population through temporary PODs.</li> </ul>	<b>Region 4 jurisdiction:</b> "Received interest from schools with successful teacher vaccination clinicswill use grant funds to support these."	11%
	<ul> <li>Description</li> <li>Using a combination of the three below approaches, often staged over time.</li> <li>Reaching adolescents through existing enrolled provider network, including mass vax and public health sites.</li> <li>Emphasis on reaching population through activation of new pediatric providers and family doctors.</li> <li>Preparing school-based clinics and events to reach population through temporary PODs.</li> </ul>	DescriptionExample• Using a combination of the three below approaches, often staged over time.Region 8 jurisdiction: "Believe a hybrid model will be needed by utilizing enrolled providers and other pending outreach activities."• Reaching adolescents through existing enrolled provider network, including mass vax and public health sites.Region 1 jurisdiction: "Plan to encourage in all existing channels including mass vaccination clinics, retail pharmacy partners, and some local health dept clinics."• Emphasis on reaching population through activation of new pediatric providers and family doctors.Region 4 jurisdiction: "Actively recruiting for additional pediatricians to join the COVID enrolment. Sent out notification to bring on additional providers."• Preparing school-based clinics and events to reach population through temporary PODs.Region 4 jurisdiction: "Received interest from schools with successful teacher vaccination clinics will use grant funds to support these."

#### Acceptability: Provider willingness to administer COVID-19 vaccine

- October-December 2020 Survey: family physicians, pediatricians, and internal medicine physicians very willing to administer COVID-19 vaccine in their practices
  - 97% of providers were willing to administer COVID-19 vaccine
  - Largest perceived barrier to vaccination was parent/patient concern about safety of COVID-19 vaccine



Kempe A, et al. Primary Care Physicians' Willingness and Capacity to Contribute to COVID-19 Vaccine Delivery. Unpublished.

# Acceptability: Comfort with adolescent receiving COVID-19 vaccine at each site

CDC/U Iowa Survey of Parents and Adolescents, April 2021



#### Acceptability: Summary of the available evidence

- Most jurisdictions utilizing a variety of implementation strategies to vaccinate adolescents
- Nearly all primary care providers surveyed are willing to provide COVID-19 vaccines to their patients
- Adolescents and their parents report greatest comfort with receiving COVID-19 vaccine at their primary care providers' offices

# Acceptability

Is Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine acceptable to key stakeholders?

- Are there key stakeholders that would not accept the distribution of benefits and harms?
- Are there key stakeholders that would not accept the undesirable effects in the short term for the desirable effects (benefits) in the future?



# **EtR Domain: Feasibility**



. .

# Feasibility

- Is the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine feasible to implement among adolescents aged 12–15 years?
- Is the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine program sustainable?
- Are there barriers that are likely to limit the feasibility of implementing the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine or require considerations when implementing it?
- Is access to Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine an important concern?





#### **Implementation objectives**

- Promote adolescent vaccination as quickly and equitably as possible through a multi-pronged approach
- Jurisdictions and providers currently vaccinating adolescents 16-17 years
- Leverage current COVID-19 vaccination infrastructure to adapt over time:
  - Early summer sprint (May-June)
  - Increase access (June-July)
  - Back-to-school campaign (July-September)

# Stepwise approach to increasing vaccine access for adolescents

Adolescent vaccination

Apply <u>school-focused strategies</u> to ensure vaccination opportunities

Strategically **add providers** that can reach adolescents

Augment existing infrastructure for vaccination

May	June	July	August	September

# Augment existing public health infrastructure and add new channels to vaccinate adolescents

	Category	Approach	
	Primary care providers serving adolescents	Utilize primary care as trusted providers to notify, schedule, and vaccinate their patients, including managing routine immunizations, particularly as students return to school	
	Pharmacies and HRSA sites <sup>1</sup>	Leverage broad pharmacy footprint and HRSA sites to administer COVID-19 vaccine to adolescents rapidly, as with adults	
<b>*</b>	School-based vaccination	Partner with Federally Qualified Health Centers, pharmacies, public health, and adolescent provider networks to hold targeted programs at schools to ensure equity and coverage, particularly as students return	

1. Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) sites including: Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs), Rural Health Clinics, Community Health Centers

#### **Feasibility:** Additional considerations

- Current cold-chain storage requirements and package sizes could limit the availability of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine.
- Allocation/prioritization of Pfizer-BioNTech for adolescents 12-17 years
- Consent/assent
  - No federal, legal requirement for caregiver consent for COVID-19 vaccination or any other vaccination. However, COVID-19 vaccine must be administered according to applicable state and territorial vaccination laws, including those related to consent.

# Feasibility

- Is the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine feasible to implement among adolescents aged 12–15 years?
- Is the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine program sustainable?
- Are there barriers that are likely to limit the feasibility of implementing the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine or require considerations when implementing it?
- Is access to Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine an important concern?


EtR Domain: Resource Use



• •

## **Resource Use**

- Is the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine, given to adolescents aged 12–15 years, a reasonable and efficient allocation of resources?
- What is the cost-effectiveness of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine?
- How does the cost-effectiveness of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine change in response to changes in context, assumptions, etc?



### **Resource Use:** Review of the available evidence

- U.S. Government has purchased 600 million doses of mRNA vaccines<sup>1</sup>
  - 300 million doses of Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine, delivered in regular increments through the end of July 2021
- Vaccine will be available at no cost
- No studies evaluated cost-effectiveness around the use of COVID-19 vaccines among adolescents
- Vaccinating adolescents may allow greater confidence in safe return to school
  - Reduced work/school absenteeism related to COVID-19 quarantine and isolation
  - Estimated that over time, school closures could have total economic loss as high as \$15 trillion in the US<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2021/02/11/biden-administration-purchases-additional-doses-covid-19-vaccines-from-pfizer-and-moderna.html</u> <sup>2</sup> https://www.oecd.org/education/the-impact-of-covid-19-on-education-insights-education-at-a-glance-2020.pdf ;

https://www.cnbc.com/2020/09/08/school-disruption-could-cost-the-us-economy-15point3-trillion-oecd.html

### **Resource Use:** Work Group Interpretation

- Work Group concluded that cost-effectiveness may not be a primary driver for decision-making during a pandemic and for vaccine used under EUA
  - Will need to be reassessed for future recommendations
- Use of COVID-19 vaccines in as many populations as possible will be important to returning to pre-pandemic activities
  - Return to pre-pandemic activities likely have positive economic impact

## **Resource Use**

- Is the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine among adolescents aged 12–15 years a reasonable and efficient allocation of resources?
- What is the cost-effectiveness of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine?
- How does the cost-effectiveness of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine change in response to changes in context, assumptions, etc?



## **EtR Domain: Equity**



. .

# Equity

- What would be the impact of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine, given to adolescents aged 12–15 years, on health equity?
- Are there groups or settings that might be disadvantaged in relation to COVID-19 disease burden or receipt of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine?
- Are there considerations that should be made when implementing the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine program to ensure that inequities are reduced whenever possible, and that they are not increased?

• Probably reduced

Increased

O Probably no impact

Varies

 $\bigcirc$ 

O Don't know



Reduced

Probably increased O

 $\bigcirc$ 

0

### **Equity:** Review of the available evidence

- Identification of groups that might be disadvantaged in relation to COVID-19 disease burden or receipt of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine
  - PROGRESS-Plus Framework:<sup>1</sup> Place of residence, race or ethnicity, gender or sex, socioeconomic status, disability, other
- Review of the scientific and gray literature
- Review of CDC COVID-19 response data and resources
  - CDC COVID Data Tracker & COVID-19-Associated Hospitalization Surveillance Network (COVID-NET)
  - National Center for Health Statistics
  - CDC Science Brief: Evidence used to update the list of underlying medical conditions that increase a person's risk of severe illness from COVID-19

<sup>1</sup> PROGRESS-Plus is an acronym to identify factors associated with unfair differences in disease burden and the potential for interventions to reduce these differential effects. See O'Neill J, Tabish H, Welch V, et al. Applying an equity lens to interventions: using PROGRESS ensures consideration of socially stratifying factors to illuminate inequities in health. J Clin Epi. 2014;67: 56-64; Welch VA, Akl EA, Guyatt G, et al. GRADE equity guidelines 1: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: introduction and rationale. J Clin Epidemiol. 2017;90:59-67.

#### **COVID-19: Which Adolescent Groups Could Be Disadvantaged?**

- Place of residence
  - Living in rural/frontier areas
  - Justice-involved (incarcerated persons)
  - Living in congregate settings (long-term care facilities)
  - Experiencing homelessness
- Racial and ethnic minority populations
  - Black, Hispanic or Latino, and Alaskan Native/American Indian
  - Immigration status
- Occupation
  - Frontline workers or children of frontline workers
- Gender/sex
  - LGBTQ+

- Socioeconomic status
  - Poverty
  - High social vulnerability
- Personal characteristics associated with discrimination
  - With disabilities
- Features of relationships
  - Emancipated minors
  - Not enrolled in school
- Substance use

### **Equity:** Review of the available evidence

	Disproportionate COVID-1	Barriers to healthcare	
	Adolescents	Adults	
Rural or frontier areas		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Justice-involved		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Congregate settings		$\checkmark$	
Homelessness		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Race/ethnicity	✓	✓	$\checkmark$
Immigration status			$\checkmark$
Occupation		✓	
Sexual and gender minorities			$\checkmark$
Socioeconomic status		✓	$\checkmark$
Disabilities	✓	✓	$\checkmark$
Substance use	✓	✓	$\checkmark$

✓ = Published peer-reviewed literature available

# **Equity:** Data on equitable provision of COVID-19 vaccine in adults

White Non-Hispanic As of May 4,2021, a lower Hispanic/Latino percentage of Black and Hispanic/Latino adults were fully **Black Non-Hispanic** vaccinated compared with the Asian Non-Hispanic percentage of these groups AIAN Non-Hispanic in overall population NHOPI Non-Hispanic May see similar patterns in Multiple/Other Non-Hispanic adolescents 20 0 40 60

■ % US Population ■ % Persons Fully Vaccinated

80

### **Equity:** Opportunities to increase equitable access to the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine

- Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine characteristics
  - Submitted new data to FDA supporting stability of vaccine when stored for up to one month (31 days) at 2-8°C<sup>1</sup>
  - Encourage strategies to efficiently utilize doses and support local redistribution, smaller tray sizes would improve access (e.g., smaller providers, rural areas)
- Need for 2-dose series
  - In adults, only 3% missed the second dose of a 2-dose series, but differences were seen by jurisdiction, race/ethnicity, and age<sup>2</sup>
- Multipronged approach to improve access
  - Primary care providers serving adolescents, FQHCs, rural health clinics, community health centers, children's hospitals, pharmacies, school-located vaccination clinics

<sup>1. &</sup>lt;u>https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-allows-more-flexible-storage-transportation-conditions-pfizer</u>

<sup>2.</sup> Kriss JL, Reynolds LE, Wang A, et al. COVID-19 Vaccine Second-Dose Completion and Interval Between First and Second Doses Among Vaccinated Persons — United States, December 14, 2020–February 14, 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:389–395.

# Equity

What would be the impact of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine among adolescents aged 12–15 years on health equity?

- Are there groups or settings that might be disadvantaged in relation to COVID-19 disease burden or receipt of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine?
- Are there considerations that should be made when implementing the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine program to ensure that inequities are reduced whenever possible, and that they are not increased?



## Summary



. .

EtR Domain	Question	Work Group Judgments
Public Health Problem	Is COVID-19 disease among adolescents aged 12–15 years of public health importance?	Yes
	How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects?	Large
	How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects?	Small
Benefits and Harms	Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?	Favors Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine
	What is the overall certainty of the evidence for the critical outcomes?	1 (high) for prevention of symptomatic COVID-19 4 (very low) for serious adverse events
Values	Does the target population feel the desirable effects are large relative to the undesirable effects?	Varies
	Is there important variability in how patients value the outcomes?	Probably important variability
Acceptability	Is the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine acceptable to key stakeholders?	Yes
Feasibility	Is the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine feasible to implement among adolescents aged 12–15 years?	Yes
Resource Use	Is the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine, given to adolescents aged 12– 15 years a reasonable and efficient allocation of resources?	Yes
Equity	What would be the impact of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine, given to adolescents aged 12–15 years on health equity?	Probably increased

C Balance of consequences	Undesirable consequences <i>clearly</i> <i>outweigh</i> desirable consequences in most settings	Undesirable consequences <i>probably</i> <i>outweigh</i> desirable consequences in most settings	The balance between desirable and undesirable consequences is <i>closely</i> <i>balanced</i> or <i>uncertain</i>	Desirable consequences <i>probably</i> <i>outweigh</i> undesirable consequences in most settings	Desirable consequences <i>clearly</i> <i>outweigh</i> undesirable consequences in most settings	There is insufficient evidence to determine the balance of consequences
---------------------------------	--	---	---	---	--	--

	Undesirable	Undesirable	The balance	Desirable	Desirable	There is
	clearly	probably	desirable and	probably	clearly	insufficient
Balance of	outweigh	outweigh	undesirable	outweigh	outweigh	evidence to
consequences	desirable	desirable	consequences	undesirable	undesirable	determine the
	consequences	consequences	is closely	consequences	consequences	balance of
	in most	in most	<i>balanced</i> or	in most	in most	consequences
	settings	settings	uncertain	settings	settings	

Type of recommendation	We do not recommend the intervention	We recommend the intervention for individuals based on shared clinical decision-making	We recommend the intervention
---------------------------	---	---	-------------------------------

Type of recommendation	We do not recommend the intervention	We recommend the intervention for individuals based on shared clinical decision-making	We recommend the intervention
---------------------------	---	---	-------------------------------

### Acknowledgements

- Kate Woodworth
- Megan Wallace
- Julia Gargano
- Jessica MacNeil
- Heather Scobie
- Amy Blain
- Danielle Moulia
- Mary Chamberland
- Steve Hadler
- Nicole Reisman
- Megan Lindley
- Jack Gersten
- Eddie Shanley

- Epi Task Force:
  - COVID-NET
  - DVD Enhanced Surveillance
  - Community Surveillance
  - Seroprevalance
- MIS-C unit
- Data, Analytics and Visualization Task Force
- Respiratory Viruses Branch



For more information, contact CDC 1-800-CDC-INFO (232-4636) TTY: 1-888-232-6348 www.cdc.gov

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

