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UNIT OF MEASURE ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS REPORT

| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | degree Celsius | MW | megawatt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cm | centimeter | m | meter |
| $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | joule per square meter | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | square meter |
| K | kelvin | m/s | meter per second |
| kg | kilogram | $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ | square meter per second |
| $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | kilogram per cubic meter | min | minute |
| $\mathrm{kg} /(\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s})$ | kilogram per meter per second | pet s | percent second |
| $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{s}$ | kilogram per second | $\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | watt per meter per degree Celsius |
| $\mathrm{kJ} /\left(\mathrm{g} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | kilojoule per gram per degree Celsius | $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | watt per square meter |
| $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ | kilojoule per kilogram | $\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~K}\right)$ | watt per square meter per kelvin |
| $\mathrm{kJ} /\left(\mathrm{kg} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | kilojoule per kilogram per degree Celsius | $W /\left(m^{2} \cdot K^{4}\right)$ | watt per square meter per kelvin to fourth power |
| kW | kilowatt |  |  |

# CRITICAL CONDITIONS FOR IGNITION AND PROPAGATION OF MINE FIRES 

By C. C. Hwang ${ }^{1}$ and C. D. Litton ${ }^{2}$


#### Abstract

The Bureau of Mines made time-dependent calculations to determine the size of a stationary source fire within a ventilated duct (or passageway) necessary to ignite a combustible duct liner. The objective of this work was to gain more understanding of what occurs in duct fires and to determine critical conditions for ignition and propagation of mine fires. Heat transfer to the combustible surface includes both convective and radiated components, while the heat is conducted into the combustible and reradiated to the surroundings. The combustible is assumed to ignite when the net heat transfer to its surface is sufficient to raise the surface temperature to some minimum temperature, defined as the ignition temperature. A nondimensional parameter, which characterfzes the source-fire intensity in the presence of ventilation flow, emerges as a parameter for a criterion of the critical conditions. The results of these calculations indicated that the minimum fire size necessary for ignition increases with the ventilation rate and duct cross section. These results are compared with experimental results obtained from fires in a 0.8 - by 0.8 - by $11-\mathrm{m}-1$ ong duct and a full-scale gallery (2.4- by $2.4-$ by $65-\mathrm{m}$ ). Agreement between the theory and the experiment is good.


[^0]
## INTRODUCTION

Passageways lined with flammable materials constitute fire hazards. In an accidental fire, the materials can ignite and fire can propagate along the passageway. A typical example is fires in mine roadways. In previous studies of passageway (or duct) fires, the burning of both continuous fuel lining and discretely lined fuel elements (such as timber sets) was considered (1-7). ${ }^{3}$ Those studies revealed an intimate coupling between the development of a fire and the forced ventilation flow in the duct. The severe fire hazards of excess fuel, heat, and smoke generation were direct consequences of this coupling. The studies also revealed the three-dimensional nature of the flow field, the importance of radiative contribution in fire propagation, and the effect of the strength of the ignition source on the subsequent growth of fire in the duct.

The probability for a combustible surface to ignite in the presence of some external heat flux depends upon the combustible properties of thermal conductivity, $\lambda$, and thermal diffusivity, $\alpha$, and some minimum surface temperature for sustained flaming, $T_{\text {Ig }}$, of the combustible material. Surface ignition is dependent upon the generation of sufficient fuel vapors from the combustible such that a flammable fuel-air mixture must have an autoignition temperature (or flashpoint) less than or equal to the surface temperature at ignition. In addition, secondary effects such as dilution of the
pyrolysis products by forced convection and the formulation of surface char layers can affect the values obtained for $T_{\text {Ig }}$ under different conditions. In the present analysis, a single ignition temperature is assigned to one type of material (such as untreated Douglas fir).

A combination of the values of the source fire strength, $\dot{Q}_{f}$ and $V_{\infty}$, which gives the fuel element, $A+$ the $T_{i g}$ at the end of a prescribed ignition time, $\tau_{i g}$, is termed the critical conditions for duct-fire ignition. It is assumed that the term expressing the pyrolysis of the fuel element may be neglected in the conduction equation during the ignition time.

The objectives of this Bureau of Mines study are to gain more understanding of the energy transport taking place in duct fires in their initial transient period, and to determine the critical conditions for ignition and propagation under various fire environments. Specifically, calculations are made on the heating of fuel linings (or fuel elements) by a source fire in a ventilation duct. From these calculations, the size of a source fire within a ventilated duct necessary to ignite a combustible liner is determined. The results of computations are compared to the experimental results from the Bureau's fire tunnel ( 0.8 - by $0.8-\mathrm{m}$ cross section) and from a simulated mine gallery (Factory Mutual Research Corp., Norwood, MA, $2.4-$ by $2.4-\mathrm{m}$ cross section) (7).

## ANALYSIS

The configuration of a fuel element relative to the ventilated duct and a source fire is shown in figure 1. The $A_{+}$represents a segment of either continuous fuel lining ( $1-6$ ) or timber sets (8). The source fire is treated as a

[^1]flame sheet, (A), normal to the ventilation flow. It is assumed that the ventilation air enters the source fire at temperature $T_{\infty}$, and velocity $\mathrm{V}_{\infty}$, and as a result of the combustion of fuel in the source fire at a rate of $\dot{Q}_{f}$ watts, the combustion products emerge with temperature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and a velocity $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$. The source fire loses heat by radiation from the exposed end surfaces with an effective emissivity, ( $\varepsilon_{f}$ ). As seen in figure 1 ,


KEY
$A=H W$, flame sheet (source fire)
$A_{t}=W L_{t}$, timber supporting the ceiling
FIGURE 1.-Relative positions of flame and fuel-element in ventilated passageway.
the source fire is immediately upstream from the fuel element. The source fire may be the flame from burners (in experiment), timber fire, or a combination of these. It is believed that this firefuel configuration gives the $A_{+}$(or its two supporting members, constituting a timber set, not shown) the severest fire environment. This fire-fuel configuration can also apply to a continuous fuel lining.

## HEAT CONDUCTION EQUATIONS FOR FUEL ELEMENTS

The heat conduction equation for the fuel elements is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T_{t}}{\partial t}=\alpha+\frac{\partial^{2} T_{t}}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\lambda \frac{\partial T_{\dagger}}{\partial y}=h_{+}\left(T_{g}-T_{\dagger}\right) \\
& +q^{\prime \prime} r_{\text {ne }}, y=0, t>0,  \tag{2}\\
& y \rightarrow \infty, t>0  \tag{3}\\
& T_{+}=T_{\infty}
\end{align*}
$$

and initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{+}=T_{\infty}, 0<y<\infty, t=0, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is temperature, $\alpha$ is the thermal diffusivity, $y$ is the distance from and normal to the fuel surface, $\lambda$ is the thermal conductivity, $h$ is the heat transfer coefficient, and $q$ "r, net is the net radiative heat flux. The subscripts $t$, $g$, and $\infty$ denote timber (fuel element), gas, and condition upstream of source fire, respectively. It has been assumed that the fuel element is a semi-infinite solid. In the present analysis, the maximum time considered is $t_{\text {max }} \approx 60 \mathrm{~min}$. Therefore, the characteristic distance of heat penetration, $\ell$, into the timber is $\ell$ $=\left(\alpha_{+} t_{\text {max }}\right)^{1 / 2}=1.86 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}$, which is about 40 pct of the thickness of the smallest timber used in the study. This calculation shows that the semi-infinite solid approximation for the timber is valid in the present analysis. It is also assumed that the heat transfer parallel to the solid surface is neg1igible compared with the heat transfer in the normal direction.

## energy consideration for the SOURCE FIRE

The gas temperature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ in equation 2 is directly related to $\dot{Q}_{f}$ and $V_{\infty}$. By taking a control volume around A in figure 1, the continuity equation and energy equa-tion can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\infty}+\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{f}}=\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{g}},  \tag{5}\\
& \dot{\mathrm{~m}}_{\infty} \mathrm{c}_{p \infty} \mathrm{~T}_{\infty}+\dot{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{c}_{\rho}+\mathrm{T}_{\infty}+\dot{\mathrm{m}} \not \dot{\mathrm{~m}}_{f} \Delta \mathrm{H} \\
& =\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{g} \mathrm{c}_{\rho g} \mathrm{~T}_{g}+2 A \varepsilon_{f} \sigma \mathrm{~T}_{g}{ }^{4}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\dot{m}$ is mass flow rate, $c_{p}$ is specific heat, $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is heat of reaction, $A$ is the duct cross-sectional area, $\sigma$ is StefanBoltzmann constant, and $\dot{Q}_{f}=\dot{m}+\Delta H$. The subscripts $f, g$, and $\infty$ denote fue1, gas, and condition upstream of the source fire, respectively. It has been assumed that the gases involved are perfect gases. A common practice is to assume $c_{p \infty}=c_{p f}=c_{p g}=c_{p}$. If $c_{p}$ is assumed
constant, however, preliminary calculations show that the predicted $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is progressively in error when $T_{g}$ is higher than approximately $1,400 \mathrm{~K}$. (when $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{f}} / \dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\infty}$ $\sim 0.03$, taking $\Delta H$ as $5.02 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ). For this reason, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is taken as a linear function of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{p}= & c_{p \infty}\left[1+\beta\left(T_{g}-T_{\infty}\right)\right], \\
& T_{g}<2,100 \mathrm{~K} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

A procedure to determine the value of $\beta$ is given in appendix A.

By defining $\theta=T_{g} / T_{\infty}, \quad$ and $\dot{Q}_{f}=\dot{m}_{f} \Delta H$, equations 5 through 7 can be reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta^{4}+a_{1}(\theta-1) \\
& +a_{2} \theta(\theta-1)=a_{3} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}_{1}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p} \infty}\left(\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\infty}+\dot{Q}_{f} / \Delta \mathrm{H}\right) /\left(2 \mathrm{~A} \varepsilon_{f} \sigma \mathrm{~T}_{\infty}{ }^{3}\right)$,

$$
a_{2}=\beta t_{\infty} a_{1},
$$

and $\quad a_{3}=\dot{Q}_{f} /\left(2 A \varepsilon_{f} \sigma T_{\infty}{ }^{4}\right)$.
The $T_{g}$ (or equivalently, $\theta$ ) emerging from the flame sheet is determined from equation 8. Once $T_{g}$ is obtained, the gas velocity $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$ can be determined from equation 5 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=\rho_{\mathrm{g} \infty} \mathrm{~V}_{\infty} / \rho_{\mathrm{g}}+\dot{Q}_{\mathrm{f}} /\left(\rho_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~A} \Delta \mathrm{H}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## CONVECTIVE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT

The convective heat transfer coefficient for the fuel element, $h_{+}$, appearing in equation 2 is calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{+} L_{+}}{\lambda_{+}}=c\left(\frac{\rho_{g} V_{g} L_{+}}{\mu_{g}}\right)^{m r^{n}}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C, m$, and $n$ are constants (for instance, see 9, p. 219), and $\operatorname{Pr}$ is the Prandt 1 number defined by $\operatorname{Pr}=c_{p g} \mu_{g} / \lambda_{g}$. Equation 10 is intended for a timber with $L_{+} \times L_{+}$cross section and with its axial length perpendicular to the ventilation flow. A similar expression can be used for a continuous fuel element.

## radiative heat flux

The emission from a fire in general exhibits the characteristics of emission from a luminous as well as a nonluminous flame. Emission from a nonluminous flame is mainly from water vapor, carbon dioxide, and carbon monoxide, and quite an accurate estimate can be made. The emission from a luminous flame depends on the number of carbon particles present, which varies greatly with the conditions under which the combustion accurs. At present, the formation of carbon particles cannot be predicted under duct-fire conditions. As a result, it is not possible to calculate the flame radiation accurately. For this reason, various approximations to the radiative heat flux term $q^{\prime \prime} r_{\text {, net }}$ in equation 2 will be discussed in the analysis which follows.

## Reradiation From Fuel Element Considered-Case 1

The term $q^{\prime \prime} r$, net appearing in equation 2 will be expressed (10, p. 687) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime \prime} r_{\text {, net }}=P_{\varepsilon_{+}} \sigma\left(T_{g^{4}}-T_{+}{ }^{4}\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is an empirical factor which depends mainly on the fuel and on the size of the duct. This expression for $q^{\prime \prime} r$, net results in a nonlinear boundary condition for equation 2, and requires a numerica1 solution technique for solution to the heat conduction equation. The implicit Crank-Nicolson method combined with iterattive steps (because of the $T_{+}{ }^{4}$ term in the boundary condition) is employed for obtaining the solution.

## Approximate Solution, Reradiation Neglected--Case 2

The term $q$ "r, net in equation 2 can now be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime \prime} r, n \theta t=F \varepsilon f \sigma T_{g}{ }^{4}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ can be taken as the view factor from A to A+ (fig. 1). A justification for this approximation is that it results
in a simplified boundary condition (and solution) for the problem, because the radiation term becomes a constant. In the section for results, the predicted critical conditions based on this boundary condition agree quite well with experiments.

The fuel surface temperature based on the boundary conditions (equation 2), with $q$ " $r$, net given by equations 12 and 3 and initial condition (equation 4) is

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{+, y=0}= & T_{\infty}+\left(T_{g}-T_{\infty}\right. \\
& \left.+q_{r, n \in \dagger}^{\prime \prime} / h_{+}\right) G(t), \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G(t)=1-\left[1-\operatorname{erf}\left\{h_{+}(\alpha+t)^{1 / 2} / \lambda_{+}\right\}\right]$ $\exp \left(h^{2} \alpha_{\alpha+} t / \lambda_{+}{ }^{2}\right)$.

A derivation of equation 13 is given in appendix C .

The critical conditions $T_{+}, y=0=T_{1 g}$ for given value of $\tau_{i}$ can be calculated from equation 13. First, set $t=\tau_{i g}$, $\mathrm{T}_{+, y=0}=\mathrm{T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ in equation 13 and solve for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$. In equation $13, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is still unknown, but can be calculated approximately by using ( $\rho_{\infty} V_{\infty}$ ) instead of ( $\rho_{g} V_{g}$ ) in equation 10. Once $T_{g}$ is determined, $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{f}}$ can be calculated from equation 6. The source-fire strength $\dot{Q}_{f}$ can be calculated from $\dot{Q}_{f}=\dot{m}_{f} \Delta H$. New values of ( $\rho_{g} V_{g}$ ) from equation 5 and $h_{t}$ from equation 10 are now obtained, and the calculations are repeated until a convergence is obtained.

## Pure Convection

This is a spectal case discussed above by setting $q^{\prime \prime} r_{\text {, net }}=0$. By neglecting the radiative heat transfer and considering only the convective heat transfer, a higher value of $T_{g}$, than that when both heat transfer modes are retained, is required to reach $T_{i g}$ for a given $\tau_{i g}$. This solution, therefore, provides an upper bound for the flame temperature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ in which a fuel element may be exposed. As expected, calculations for case 1 (purely numerical) and case 2 (analytical) agree when $q^{\prime \prime} r_{\text {, net }}=0$.

## PARAMETERS FOR THE SOURCE FIRE

The experimental studies reveal that the source fire strength $\dot{Q}_{f}$ and the ventilation air velocity $V_{\infty}$ are the two major parameters which influence the development of passageway fires. A dimension1ess parameter, $E=\dot{Q}_{f} /\left(\dot{m}_{\infty} c_{p \infty} T_{\infty}\right)$, which combines $\dot{Q}_{f}$ and $V_{\infty}$, has been employed by Hwang (8) in an analysis on the effect of duct fire on the ventilation air velocity, and by Tewarson (7) to present his experimental data of fullscale fire tests. The parameter $E$ can be shown to relate to other relevant parameters for the source fire. Dividing both sides of equation 6 by $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\infty} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p} \infty} \mathrm{T}_{\infty}$ yields, after some algebraic manipulations,

$$
\begin{align*}
E= & \left(1+\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{f} / \dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\infty}\right)[(1+\beta \Delta \mathrm{T}) \\
& \left.\left(1+\Delta T / \mathrm{T}_{\infty}\right)-1\right] \\
& +R\left(1+\Delta T / T_{\infty}\right)^{4} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta T / T_{\infty}=\left(T_{g}-T_{\infty}\right) / T_{\infty}$,
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =2 A_{\varepsilon_{g}} \sigma \mathrm{~T}_{\infty}{ }^{3} /\left(\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\infty} c_{p \infty}\right) \\
& =2 \varepsilon_{f} \sigma \mathrm{~T}_{\infty}{ }^{3} /\left(\rho_{\infty} c_{p \infty} V_{\infty}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The approximate behavior of equation 14 for a normal mine-fire situation is desired. If the values of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ up to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ig}}$ $=673 \mathrm{~K}$ are considered, $0.1<\Delta T / T_{\infty}$ < 1.26. The maximum values of $\dot{m}_{f} / \dot{m}_{\infty}$ is 0.06 (corresponding to stoichiometric condition), and the values of $\beta \Delta T$ and $R$ and $\beta \Delta T$ are at most 0.03 and 0.08 , respectively. In appendix $A, B$ is determined to be $0.0001961 \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. Therefore, $\beta \Delta T$ is one order of magnitude smaller than $\Delta T / T_{\infty}$, with $T_{\infty}=298 \mathrm{~K}$. Under these conditions, the right-hand side of equation 14 becomes $\Delta T / T_{\infty}+R\left(1+\Delta T / T_{\infty}\right)^{4}$, and for small value of $\Delta T / T_{\infty}$, say 0.2 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \approx \Delta T / T_{\infty}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $E$ varies linearly with $\Delta T / T_{\infty}$. The effect of the parameter $R$ (effect of flame radiative transfer) becomes
important as $\Delta T$ (or $T_{g}$ ) increases. From equation 14 the geometric scale factor (or cross-sectional area $A$ ) of the passageway appears only through $E=\left(\dot{Q}_{\dagger}\right.$ $\left./ \mathrm{c}_{p_{\infty}} \mathrm{T}_{\infty}\right) /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\infty} \mathrm{A}\right)$ when E is plotted against $\Delta T / T_{\infty}$ with $R$ as the parameter. This plot is shown in figure 2 along with the experimental data obtained from a simulated gallery (7). As predicted by equation 15, E varies linearly with $\Delta T / T_{\infty}$ for small values of $\Delta T / T_{\infty} \quad\left(45^{\circ}\right.$ slope in log$\log$ plots).

Figure 2 shows that the effect of $R$ becomes increasingly larger as $\Delta T / T_{\infty}$ increases beyond 1.0. As E increases, the temperature change across the source fire ( $\Delta T / T_{\infty}$ ) increases. As the value of $R$ increases, $\Delta T / T_{\infty}$ decreases for a given value of $E$, indicating a shift from convective energy transfer to radiative transfer from the source fire. In general, the experimental data points fall under the curve for pure convective energy transfer $(R=0)$. The present


FIGURE 2.-Gas temperature rise across source fire versus source tire parameter E .
analysis assumes zero heat loss to the contacting walls in the source fire zone, whereas some heat losses exist in the experiment. Therefore, the theoretical curves represent upper bounds for $\Delta T / T_{\infty}$.

## CRITERION FOR IGNITION

The critical condition for a steady passageway fire in the present formulation is defined as a combination of the values of $\dot{Q}_{f}$ and $V_{\infty}$, which give a fuel element the ignition temperature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Ig}}$ at the end of a prescribed ignition time rig. At the end of a finite value of $\tau \mathrm{lg}$, the net heat transfer across the surface of the fuel-element must be greater or equal to zero; otherwise the surface cannot reach Tig. From equations 2 and 11,

$$
h_{+}\left(T_{g}-T_{l g}\right)+P \varepsilon+\sigma\left(T_{g}^{4}-T_{I g}^{4}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \geqslant \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}, \text { at } \mathrm{t}=\tau_{\dagger_{\mathrm{g}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the necessary condition for ignition.

The gas temperature $T_{g}$, or equivalent$1 y$, the gas temperature rise across the source fire, $\Delta T=T_{g}-T_{\infty}$, is related to other parameters as given in equation 14. Equation 15 appears to hold for $\Delta T / T_{\infty}$ up to approximately 2 (fig. 2). By comparing equations 15 and 16 , the necessary condition for duct-fire ignition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \geqslant\left(T_{1 g}-T_{\infty}\right) / T_{\infty}, \text { at } t=\tau_{1 g} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

QUALITATIVE BEHAVIOR OF THE MODEL
A quantity that is relevant to the heating of a fuel-element is the timeintegrated heat flux, $q$ " tot, into the fuel surface for the duration of the ignition time $\tau$ ig, and is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& q^{\prime \prime} \text { tot }=-\left.\int_{0}^{\tau} \lambda_{t} \frac{\partial T_{t}}{\partial y}\right|_{y=0} d t \\
& \quad=\lambda_{+}\left(T_{\mathrm{ig}}-T_{\infty}\right)\left(\frac{\tau_{1 g}}{\alpha_{+}}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(-\frac{\partial \phi_{t}}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\eta=0}^{d \tau} \\
& \text { or } \\
& \frac{q^{\prime \prime}+o+}{\lambda_{+}\left(T_{l g}-T_{\infty}\right)}\left(\frac{\alpha_{+}}{\tau_{1 g}}\right)^{1 / 2}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(-\frac{\partial \phi_{t}}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\eta=0}^{d \tau} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad \tau=t / \tau_{1 g}, \eta=y / \ell=y /\left(\alpha+\tau_{\mathrm{g}}\right)^{1 / 2}$, table 1 , and the ignition temperature, and $\quad \phi_{\dagger}=\left(T_{\dagger}-T_{\infty}\right) /\left(T_{1 g}-T_{\infty}\right)$.

Extensive computations are performed for ignition times $\tau_{i g}=1,10,30$, and 60 min. The physical and thermal properties of the fuel element are given in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{lg}}$, for untreated fuel is taken as $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Tables 2 and 3 ist the results of computations for the exact solution (reradiation from the fuel element considered) for small and large ducts, respectively.

TABLE 1. - Relevant values used in computations

| Constants ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.102 |
|  | 0.675 |
|  | 0.333 |
| Heat of reduction ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ )................................................................... | $5.02 \times 10^{4}$ |
| Length of a timber along axial direction of duct ( $L_{\dagger}$ ), m: |  |
| Small duct | 0.15 |
| Large duc | 0.45 |
| Temperature upstream of flame zone ( $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}$ )....................................... | 298 |
|  | 21.177 |
| Specific heat of gas upstream of flame zone ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p} \infty}$ )...............kJ/kg. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .$. | 21.005 |
| Thermal conductivity of gas ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{g}}$ ).................................. ${ }^{\text {/m }}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .$. | ${ }^{3} 0.0523$ |
|  | $33.332 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Density of timber ( $\rho_{+}$)............................................................... | 4420 |
| Thermal conductivity of timber ( $\lambda+$ )................................ ${ }^{\text {c/m }}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .$. | 40.11 |
| Specific heat of timber ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) ....................................kJ/kg* ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .$. | 42.72 |
| Temperature coefficient for specific heat ( $\beta$ )........................ ${ }^{-1} .$. | 50.0001961 |
| Stefan-Boltzmann constant ( $\sigma$ )................................................. |  |

lFrom Holman, ( 9 , p. 219), used in equation 10 .
${ }^{2}$ At 300 K .
${ }^{3}$ At 700 K .
${ }^{4}$ Fir wood at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{5}$ Used in equation 7 .

TABLE 2. - Results of computations, small duct (wood)


| $10 .$. | 0.1 | 673 | 330 | 0.5 | 913 | 2.07 | 2.93 | $0.3105 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.198 \times 10^{9}$ | 0.960 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | .3 |  | 245 | .5 | 788 | 1.64 | 2.17 | $0.3208 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.147 \times 10^{9}$ | 0.991 |
|  |  | 221 | .5 | 749 | 1.51 | 1.96 | $0.3306 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.133 \times 10^{9}$ | 1.021 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $10 \ldots$ | 0 | 773 | 748 | 0.5 | 1,720 | 4.77 | 6.63 |  | $0.4490 \times 10^{9}$ |  |
| $10 \ldots$ | 0 | 873 | 949 | .5 | 2,010 | 5.75 | 8.41 |  | $0.5694 \times 10^{9}$ |  |
| 10. | 0 | 973 | 1,165 | .5 | 2,297 | 6.71 | 10.33 |  | $0.6991 \times 10^{9}$ |  |

$\rho_{\dagger}=420 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, \lambda_{+}=0.11 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mK}, c_{\mathrm{p}}=2,720(\mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{K})$,
$\alpha_{+}=9.63 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}=673 \mathrm{~K}$ (if not stated), $\mathrm{A}=0.64 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

TABLE 3. - Results of computations, large duct (wood)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { tig, } \\ & \text { min } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Pe}_{\dagger}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}, \\ \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{Q}_{\mathrm{f}}, \\ & \mathrm{~kW} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{\infty}, \\ & \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{K}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{g}}},$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta T \\ & / T_{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{E=\dot{Q}_{f}}{\dot{m}_{\infty} c_{p \infty} T_{\infty}}$ | $\begin{gathered} q^{\prime \prime}+o+, \\ \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\dot{\mathrm{Q}}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{\tau}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{ig},$ | $\frac{\sqrt{\alpha+}}{\lambda+\left(T_{1 g}-T_{\infty}\right)} \frac{q^{\prime \prime}+o+}{\tau_{1 g}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WITH DIFFERENT IGNITION TIMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1... | 0 | 673 | 32,030 | 1.5 | 2,324 | 6.80 | 10.52 | $0.9346 \times 10^{6}$ | $0.1922 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.913 |
|  | 0 |  | 37,310 | . 5 | 1,840 | 5.17 | 7.35 | $0.9424 \times 10^{6}$ | 0.2238 | 0.920 |
|  | 0 |  | 42,090 | 3.5 | 1,596 | 4.36 | 5.92 |  | 0.2525 |  |
|  | 1.0 |  | 2,290 | . 5 | 803 | 1.69 | 2.26 | $0.1007 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.1374 \times 10^{9}$ | 0.983 |
|  |  |  | 4,270 | 1.0 | 800 | 1.68 | 2.10 | $0.1009 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.2562 | 0.985 |
|  |  |  | 6,230 | 1.5 | 797 | 1.67 | 2.05 | $0.1010 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.3738 | 0.986 |
|  |  |  | 10,110 | 2.5 | 793 | 1.66 | 1.99 | $0.1014 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.6066 | 0.991 |
| 10.. | 0 |  | 7,003 | . 5 | 1,765 | 4.92 | 6.90 | $0.2986 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.4202 \times 10^{10} 0$ | 0.922 |
|  |  |  | 9,236 | 1.0 | 1,343 | 3.51 | 4.55 | $0.3035 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.5541 \times 10^{10} 0$ | 0.936 |
|  |  |  | 11,230 | 1.5 | 1,173 | 2.93 | 3.69 |  | $0.6740 \times 10^{10}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 14,920 | 2.5 | 1,016 | 2.41 | 2.94 |  | $0.8952 \times 10^{10}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 18,400 | 3.5 | 940 | 2.15 | 2.59 |  | $1.104 \times 10^{10}$ |  |
|  | 1.0 |  | 1,810 | . 5 | 715 | 1.40 | 1.78 | $0.3442 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.1086 \times 10^{10}$ | 1.063 |
|  |  |  | 3,440 | 1.0 | 715 | 1.40 | 1.69 | $0.3455 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.2064 | 1.067 |
|  |  |  | 5,060 | 1.5 | 714 | 1.39 | 1.66 | $0.3461 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.3036 | 1.069 |
|  |  |  | 8,290 | 2.5 | 712 | 1.39 | 1.63 | $0.3474 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.4974 | 1.072 |
| 30.. | 0 |  | 4,327 | . 5 | 1,287 | 3.32 | 4.26 | $0.5257 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.7789 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.940 |
|  |  |  | 6,226 | 1.0 | 1,043 | 2.50 | 3.07 | $0.5399 \times 10^{7}$ | $1.121 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.963 |
|  |  |  | 7,980 | 1.5 | 946 | 2.18 | 2.62 |  | $1.436 \times 10^{10}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 11,320 | 2.5 | 859 | 1.99 | 2.23 |  | $2.073 \times 10^{10}$ |  |
|  | 1.0 |  | 1,715 | . 5 | 697 | 1.34 | 1.69 | $0.6110 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.3087 \times 10^{10}$ | 1.090 |
|  |  |  | 3,270 | 1.0 | 697 | 1.34 | 1.61 | $0.6123 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.5886 | 1.089 |
|  |  |  | 4,810 | 1.5 | 695 | 1.33 | 1.58 | $0.6117 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.8658 | 1.090 |
|  |  |  | 7,910 | 2.5 | 695 | 1.33 | 1.56 | $0.6141 \times 10^{7}$ | 1.424 | 1.095 |
| WITH DIFFERENT RADIATIION TRANSFER COEFFICIENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.. | 0.1 | 673 | 7,500 | 1.5 | 882 | 1.96 | 2.46 | $0.3138 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.450 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.969 |
|  | . 3 |  | 6,000 | 1.5 | 781 | 1.62 | 1.97 | $0.3254 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.360 | 1.005 |
|  | . 5 |  | 5,500 | 1.5 | 746 | 1.50 | 1.81 | $0.3333 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.330 | 1.030 |
| WITH DIFFERENT IGNITION TEMPERATURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.. | 0.1 | 773 | 8,480 | 1.5 | 982 | 2.39 | 2.78 | $0.4028 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.5088 \times 10^{10}$ | 1.244 |
| 10.. | .11 | 873 | 9,800 | 1.5 | 1,075 | 2.61 | 3.22 | $0.4945 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.5880 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.993 |
| 10.. | . 1 | 973 | 11,900 | 1.5 | 1,170 | 2.42 | 3.67 | $0.5958 \times 10^{7}$ | $0.6714 \times 10^{10}$ | 1.019 |
| 10.. | 0 | 973 | 22,706 | 1.5 | 1,857 | 5.23 | 7.46 |  | $1.362 \times 10^{10}$ |  |
| 10.. | 0 | 873 | 18,632 | 1.5 | 1,631 | 4.47 | 6.12 |  | $1.118 \times 10^{10}$ |  |
| 10.. | 0 | 773 | 14,809 | 1.5 | 1,402 | 3.71 | 4.86 |  | $8.885 \times 10^{9}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \rho_{+}=420 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, \lambda+=0.11 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mK}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}}=2,720(\mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{K}), \\ & \alpha_{+}=9.63 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ig}}=673 \mathrm{~K} \text { (1f not stated), } \mathrm{A}=5.75 \mathrm{~m}^{2} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The right-hand side of equation 18 is found to be a function of $E$ independent of the duct size, ignition time, and radiation transfer coefficient (fig. 3). All the computed results for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Ig}}=673 \mathrm{~K}$ are included in figure 3. The asymptotic value of $E$ for which the ordinate parameter becomes large is approximately 1.5 for $\mathrm{T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}=673 \mathrm{~K}$. (According to equation 17, the value is 1.26 ). The ordinate parameter appears to approach an asymptotic value (minimum) as $E$ becomes large (or $\Delta T=T_{g}-T_{\infty}$ becomes large) as seen from equation 15. As $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ increases, the fuel surface heat flux becomes more constant with respect to time. This can be seen from equations 2 and 11 , with $T_{+}$ varying from $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ig}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ on the order of $2,000 \mathrm{~K}$. The solution of equation 1 with a constant surface heat flux $q^{\prime \prime}$ 。 is (9, p. 104)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{+, y=0}-T_{\infty}=\left(q^{\prime \prime} / \lambda_{+}\right)(4 \alpha+t / \pi)^{1 / 2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $q$ "o can be determined by substituting $t=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ig}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\uparrow, y=0}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Ig}}$ to yield


FIGURE 3.-Time-integrated heat flux as function of $E$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{q^{\prime \prime}+o+}{\lambda_{+}\left(T_{i g}-T_{\infty}\right)}\left(\frac{\alpha_{+}}{\tau_{i g}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{1 / 2}=0.886 . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

This asymptotic value for the nondimensional time integrated heat flux can be interpreted as the minimum value for fuel surface ignition.

Qualitatively, the model predicts that as $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ig}} \rightarrow \infty, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$. From equations 15 and 16 , as these 11 mits are approached, $E \rightarrow E_{m \mid n}$ and $q^{\prime \prime} \mid \rightarrow q^{\prime \prime} m i n$, where $q^{\prime \prime} m \mid n$ $=h+\left(T_{1 g}-T_{\infty}\right)+P_{\varepsilon}+\sigma\left(T_{1 g}{ }^{4}-T_{\infty}{ }^{4}\right)$, and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \mid \mathrm{n}}=\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{lg}}-\mathrm{T}_{\infty}\right) / \mathrm{T}_{\infty}$. The quantity $\mathrm{q}^{\prime \prime}$; is the initial heat flux for the critical condition given by

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{\prime \prime}= & h+\left(T_{g}-T_{\infty}\right) \\
& +P \varepsilon_{f} \sigma\left(T_{g}^{4}-T_{\infty}^{4}\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

A simple expression which has the correct limitimg forms is plotted in figure 4, for all of the model calculations at $P E+$


FIGURE 4.-Initial heat flux for critical conditions, $\mathbf{q}^{*}$, correlated with $\mathrm{V}_{\omega}$ and $\tau_{\eta}$.


FIGURE 5.-Variations of $\mathrm{T}_{g}$ and $\dot{\mathrm{a}}_{f}$ at critical conditions as functions of $\mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ and $q_{q}$.
$=1.0$ (radiation dominated heat transfer). The utility of this representation is demonstrated in the later section.

## COMPARISON OF SOME SOLUTIONS

The values of $\dot{Q}_{f}, T_{g}$, and $E$ at the critical conditions are calculated for given values of $T_{1 g}, V_{\infty}$, and $\tau \lg$. In the present analysis, Tig for untreated timber is taken as $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the ignition time T Ig is taken to be $1,10,30$, and 60 min , and $V_{\infty}$ is varied from 0.5 to $3.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

Typical variations of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$, and of $\dot{Q}_{f}$, as functions of $P \varepsilon_{+}$(case 1) and $\varepsilon_{f}$ (case 2) are shown in figure 5. It is seen that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is highest (upper bound) for pure convection ( $\mathrm{P} \varepsilon_{+}=0$, or $\varepsilon_{f}=0$ ) and lowest (lower bound) for convection plus maximum radiation ( $P \varepsilon_{+}=1.0$, or $\varepsilon_{f}=1.0$ ). The concept of upper- and lower-bounds is
extremely useful in this type of analysis, as it allows various fire scenarios to be encompassed with relatively small computational efforts. At the same time it allows comparison of the results of calculations to wide ranges of experimental variables.

The fraction of the radiative contributions to the total heat input to the fuel element, $q^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{q}^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{ot}$ as functions of $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{\varepsilon}+$ and $\varepsilon_{f}$ is shown in figure 6. The value of $q^{\prime \prime} r / q^{\prime \prime}+$ ot for $\varepsilon_{f}=1.0$ (case 2) corresponds to the value for $P \varepsilon+* 0.2$ (case 1). For $\mathrm{Pe}+=1.0$ the heat input to the fuel element is 90 pet radiation. Therefore, care must be taken in comparing the results of case 1 and case 2. Although both case 1 and case 2 can predict the critical conditions, the results of computations from case 1 will be used in the following presentation.

## AVAILABLE EXPERIMENTAL DATA

In recent years, major experimental work on timber-set fires in passageways was carried out at the Bureau's fire tunnel and at the Factory Mutual Research Corp. facilities (6-7). This
experimental work is described to provide a proper perspective of the theoretical analysis that has been described in the previous section.


FIGURE 6.-Effects of $\mathbf{P}_{\epsilon_{t}}$ and $\epsilon_{f}$ on radiative contribution to heating of fuel element.

## LARGE-SCALE TIMBER-SET FIRE TEST

The large-scale timber-set fire tests using a simulated mine gallery (located in West Gloucester, RI) were conducted by Factory Mutual Research Corp. (7). The objectives of the tests were to determine the conditions of fire propagation down a mine entry, which is lined with timbersets at certain spacing, and to ascertain the hazards associated with such fires.

The gallery was a $T$-shaped structure with two passageways (drifts), each about 47 m long and about 2.4 by 2.4 m in cross-sectional area. The timbers were about 2.4 m long and approximately 0.15 by 0.15 m or 0.30 by 0.30 m in cross sectional area. The timbers were used in the form of sets, each set consisting of two vertical timbers flush on the walls and one timber supported horizontally at the ceiling. The first set was located very close to the ignition source. Different timber loading densities were used in the tests, with timber loading density defined as the ratio of the total exposed surface area of all the timbers to the total surface area of the gallery walls
and ceiling, where the timbers were located.

As an ignition source, a combination of premixed propane-air burners and wood boards was used. Two burners were used, one on each side wall flush with the wall. The boards were made from Douglas fir and each board was about 2.4 m long and about 0.05 by 0.02 m in cross-sectional area. The boards were placed vertically, between the floor and celling, about 0.15 m in front of each burner, in an array of 2 to 22 boards or total of 8 to 44 boards. The boards were separated by about 0.02 m along the length of the gallery and about 0.04 m toward the center of the gallery. Ignition of the boards by the burners was very uniform and repeatable, with peak intensity achieved within 60 to 120 s .

The ventilation air velocities ranged from about 0.5 to $3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. The air entering the ignition source with a velocity $V_{\infty}$ emerges from the source with a higher velocity $V_{g}$ because of a large change in the gas temperature across the ignition source.

## SMALL-SCALE TIMBER-SET FIRE TEST

The small-scale fire tests were conducted at the Bureau's fire tunnel. The tunnel consisted of a horizontal section about 11 m long and about 0.8 by 0.8 m in cross-sectional area. The objective of the test was to determine if scaling relationships could be developed that were capable of reliably projecting the smallscale test data to large-scale mine situations.

The timber sets were made from Douglas fir and were about 0.8 m long and 0.05 by 0.05 m in cross-sectional area. Similar to the arrangement in the large-scale gallery, each timber set consisted of two vertical timbers flush on the walls and one timber supported horizontally at the ceiling.

The ignition source was a pair of natural gas burners, one on each side of the tunne1. These burners produced opposing flame jets perpendicular to the ventilation air flow. The ventilation air
velocity, $V_{\infty}$, ranged from about 0.5 to $1.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

## TEST CONDITIONS

In the tests using either the simulated mine gallery or the small fire tunnel, the fire propagation and fire endurance of timber sets were studied by varying several parameters such as the (1) ventilation air velocity, (2) ignition sources, (3) size and spacing between timbers, (4) timber treatment, (5) horizontal and sloped passageways, and (6) bulkhead locations. Those tests revealed a close coupling among the ignition source, the ventilation air velocity, and the subsequent development of fire in a passageway. The temperature change across the ignition source was measured and correlated with other test variables. As expected, the fire intensity was higher for timber loading densities of $80-$ and 40 -pet than for 20 pet.

COMPARISON OF MODEL WITH EXPERIMENT

In figure 7, the experimental values of E for the small fire tunnel is plotted as a function of time. Ignition occurs at $t=27 \mathrm{~min}$ in one case and $t=52 \mathrm{~min}$ in the other. Based on figure 7, the minimum critical value of $E$ for ignition is approximately 1.3 for untreated timber sets. Equation 16 gives $E \geqslant 1.26$ with $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Ig}}=400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## CRITERION FOR FIRE PROPAGATION

Figure 8 shows the ranges of E for fire propagation in the simulated mine gallery (7). The data indicate that $E$ is dependent on the surface loading of timbers. In general, the critical value of $E$ increases as the timber loading density decreases. On the theoretical ground, $\dot{Q}_{f}$ (or equivalency $E$ ) must increase to compensate for the heat losses to the unlined parts of the passageway as the loading density decreases. Tewarson (7) observes that a value of about 3 can be used as a minimum critical value of $E$ for the initiation of sustained fire


FIGURE 7.-E as function of time, small duct.


FIGURE 8.-Range of critical $E$ for fire propagation in fullscale tests.
propagation for untreated timber sets. It will be seen later that the critical value of $E$ is also a function of $V_{\infty}$.

## IGNITION TIME

The fire intensity parameter, E, is a coupling parameter that affects the heat transfer to the fuel element through equation 2. In order to relate the fire scenario to a fuel element, other parameters must be specified in addition to E , or $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\infty}, \tau_{\mathrm{ig}}, \mathrm{P} \varepsilon_{+}, \mathrm{A}, \alpha_{+}, \lambda_{+}\right.$, $\mathrm{T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ ). Calculations show that the parameters $\alpha_{+}$and $\lambda_{+}$may be included in $\mathrm{T}_{\text {ig }}$. The cross-sectional area, $A$, has small effects on E. The ignition time will be estimated from the experiments, in which Tig is approximately constant. The experimental data from the simulated gallery (7) are plotted using $E$ - $V_{\infty}$, coordinates in figure 9, along with the computed critical conditions. This set of data demarcates sustained fires from decaying fires, and it is seen that an ignition time of 10 min encompasses the upper bound for $T_{g}\left(P \varepsilon_{\dagger}=0.\right)$ and the lower bound for $T_{g}\left(P \varepsilon_{+}=1.0\right)$. Figure 9 shows that the critical E is dependent on $V_{\infty}$, especially for the case of pure convection. Similar plots for the experimental data from the small fire tunnel are shown in figure 10. This set of data is appropriate for ignition criterion, and an ignition time is approximately 60 min.


FIGURE 9.-E versus ventilation air velocity, simulated gallery experiments.


FIGURE 10.-E versus ventilation air velocity, small fire tunnel experiments.

EFFECT OF TIMBER TREATMENT
ON FIRE PROPAGATION

Tewarson (7) observes that the minimum critical value of $E$ for the initiation of sustained fire propagation for treated timber sets is approximately 5. Figure 8 shows the values of $E$ for treated timber
as well as untreated timbers. The test data (7) indicate that the treatment enhances surface charring and retention of carbon on the timber surface. It is also reported that the gas temperature for sustained piloted ignition is 773 K for NCX-treated Douglas fir, while the corresponding gas temperature for untreated Douglas fir is 660 K .

Based on the experimental observations and some preliminary calculations, it appears reasonable to use the ignition temperature as a parameter to model the effect of timber treatment on the propagation of duct fires. Figure 11 illustrates the effect of the timber ignition temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$, on the critical value of $E$. The experimental data from the simulated gallery are also shown in figure 11. In the foregoing computations, variations in the physical properties, such as the timber density, $\rho+$, thermal conductivity, $\lambda_{+}$, and specific heat, $c_{p+}$, are neglected; only the variation in $\mathrm{T}_{\text {Ig }}$ has been considered. Figure 11 shows that the value of $E$ ranges from 2.5 to 5.0 for an ignition temperature of 800 K .

$$
\text { DATA RELEVANT TO } q^{\prime \prime}
$$

Figure 12 compares the results of ignition times with incident heat flux from experiments conducted in a small-scale combustibility apparatus (11-12) with the ignition time-incident flux correlation from the model calculations (fig. 4). In the experiments, the heat flux to the material surface was due to radiant heaters. Fourteen combustible materials were tested in this series of experiments, and although all the data are not shown, each combustible showed a linear correlation similar to that of figure 12 , except for variations in the slope of the curves. It is felt that the different slopes reflect the ease with which the combustible is ignited and further, that these slopes are controlled by the factor $\alpha^{1 / 2} / \lambda$ for different materials, which should be expected on the basis of equation 19. In fact, a detailed look at the slopes of the three combustibles of figure 12, red oak, conveyor belt, and


FIGURE 11.-Effect of ignition temperature on source-fire parameter E .

Douglas fir, show respective values for the slopes of 4.3, 5.2, and 5.7. These slopes appear to correlate well with the respective $\alpha^{1 / 2} / \lambda$ values of $1.95,2.43$, and 2.65 .

The initial heat flux, $q^{\prime \prime}$ | defined by equation 21 and the heat flux, $q " f$, when the timber surface temperature reaches the ignition temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{+}=\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{\prime \prime}= & h_{+}\left(T_{g}-T_{\max }\right) \\
& +P \varepsilon_{+} \sigma\left(T_{g}{ }^{4}-T_{\max }{ }^{4}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

are the characteristic quantities of the source fire-timber system. These quantities are found to be useful for the quick estimate of certaln quantities in the system without invoking the exact (numerical) solution. The following examples show the utility of this procedure.


FIGURE 12.-Comparison of correlation in figure 4 with data from combustibility experiments.

EXAMPLE 1

Take the case of $\tau_{i g}=30 \mathrm{~min}, V_{\infty}=0.5$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon_{\dagger}}=1.0$. I Ig will be calculated.

$$
T_{g}=697 \mathrm{~K}
$$

(table 2 , or solving equation 8 ),

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\dagger}=4.052 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~K}
$$

(from equation 10 ).
First, $\left.q\right|^{\prime \prime}$ is calculated by equation 21.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{q}_{1}^{\prime \prime}= & 4.052(697-298) \\
& +(1)(1)\left(5.6697 \times 10^{-8}\right) \\
& {\left[(697)^{4}-(298)^{4}\right] } \\
= & 14,551 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If it is assumed that the timber is heated by a constant surface heat flux $\left.q\right|^{\prime \prime}$, equation 19 can be used to calculate the time, $t_{m i n}$, for the surface to reach $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{y}=0}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Ig}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m} \mid n} & =\frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left[\frac{\lambda_{+}}{2 q_{o}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{+}, y=0-\mathrm{T}_{\infty}\right)\right] \\
& =65.55 \mathrm{~s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $q_{f}{ }^{\prime \prime}=1,847 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
and

$$
t_{\max }=4,068.4 \mathrm{~s},
$$

$$
\tau_{1}=1 / 2\left(T_{m \mid n}+T_{\max }\right)=2,067 \mathrm{~s}
$$

which is 15 pet higher than the exact solution.

In the case of $\tau_{1}=1 \mathrm{~min}, \quad V_{\infty}=0.05$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ is taken
then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} & =803.1 \mathrm{~K} \text { and } \mathrm{h}_{+}=4.061 \mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~K}\right) \\
\mathrm{q}^{\prime \prime} & =25,177 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{n}}=21.9 \mathrm{~s}, \\
\mathrm{q}_{\dagger}^{\prime \prime} & =12.482 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{t}_{\max }=89.1 \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\tau_{1}=55.5 \mathrm{~s}$, which is 7 pct lower than the exact solution.

EXAMPLE 2
The temperature distributions for the conditions given in example 1 will be calculated. For a constant heat flux qo" at the surface, the temperature distribution is given by
$T(y, t)-T_{0}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\frac{2 q_{0} "}{\lambda_{+}} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha+t}{\pi}} \exp \left(\frac{-y^{2}}{4 \alpha+t}\right) \\
-\frac{q_{0}^{\prime \prime} y}{\lambda_{+}}\left[1-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{y}{2 \sqrt{\alpha+t}}\right)\right] \\
\text { Case } 1
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\tau_{1}=2,067 \mathrm{~s}
$$

Equation 19 is employed to calculate qo", with $T_{+, y=0}=T_{I g}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{o}^{\prime \prime} & =\left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha_{+}} \frac{\lambda_{+}}{2 t_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(T_{\mathrm{Ig}}-\mathrm{T}_{\infty}\right) \\
& =0.2592 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The temperature distribution is calculated from equation 23 with $t=\tau i g$ and $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{\prime \prime}=0.2592 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.

$$
\text { Case } 2
$$

For this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\mathrm{ig}} & =55.5 \mathrm{~s}, \\
q_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{\prime \prime} & =0.1582 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 13 shows the temperature distributions computed from equation 23 and a


FIGURE 13.-Temperature distributions within timber, based on numerical and approximate solutions.
numerical analysis. In both cases, the temperature distributions calculated from equation 23 are lower ( $\sim 5$ pct) than that from the numerical analysis.

CONCLUSIONS

The conditions with which ignition and propagation of a fuel element occur for a given source-fire intensity are determined from a fire-fuel configuration which is believed to be the severest fire environment. By introducing the ignition
time, $\tau_{1}$, and the ignition temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ig}}$, in the solution of heat conduction equation for the fuel element, it is possible to define the critical conditions for ignition and propagation of a passageway fire.

A nondimensional parameter, $E=\dot{Q}_{f}$ $/\left(\dot{m}_{\infty} c_{p \infty} T_{\infty}\right)$, which characterizes the source-fire intensity in the presence of ventilation flow, emerges as a parameter for criterion of the critical conditions. It is found that for ignition of untreated timber, $E>1.5$, for sustained fire propagation for untreated timber, E $>3$, and for treated timber, E > 5. The timber treated with respect to fire resistance, and in turn high value of $E$, can be modeled by varying the timber ignition temperature. The time-integrated heat flux to the timber is successfully correlated with the parameter E, with appropriate scaling factors for the duct size and the ignition time.

The utility of the present analysis is twofold: First, in a generalized fire
scenario within a ventilated passageway, the ignition of adjacent combustible surfaces depends upon the heat released by an initial source fire and the resultant heat flux to these adjacent combustible surfaces. The present analysis allows for the calculation of the required fire sizes for ignition within this generalized fire scenario as a function of passageway size, forced ventilation rate, and time. Under conditions of maximum radlation flux from the source fire, the calculated fire sizes represent minimum values for ignition and subsequent propagation. Secondly, the model calculations can be compared with experimental data for ignition and propagation under experimental conditions closely approximating the model conditions.
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## APPENDIX A.--DETERMINATION OF FLAME TEMPERATURE

To determine the flame temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$, the continuity equation and energy equation across the flame zone can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\infty}+\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{f}=\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{g}},  \tag{A-1}\\
\dot{\mathrm{~m}}_{\infty} \mathrm{c}_{p \infty} \mathrm{~T}_{\infty}+\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{f} \mathrm{c}_{p} f \mathrm{~T}_{\infty}+\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{f} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}} \\
=\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{prod}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}} . \tag{A-2}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is common practice to assume $c_{p \infty}=c_{p f}$ $=c_{p, p r o d}=c_{p}$

If $c_{p}$ is assumed constant, however, preliminary calculations show that the predicted $T_{f}$ is progressively in error when $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is higher than approximately $1,400 \mathrm{~K}$ ( $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{f}} / \dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{m}} \approx 0.03$ ).

The following observation is made to see what must be done to overcome this difficulty. When the adiabatic flame temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$, of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ burning in air is plotted against the equivalence ratio $\phi$ (or $\dot{m}_{f} / \dot{m}_{\infty}$ ), the curve exhibits a bell shape as shown in figure A-1. The adiabatic flame temperature, $T_{f}$, increases with $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{f}} / \dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\infty}$ until $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{f}} / \dot{m}_{\infty} \approx 0.06$ (or $\phi$ $\approx 1.0$ ); then $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ decreases with $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{f}} / \dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\infty}$. The correct procedure to determine the relationship of $T_{f}$ and $\dot{m}_{f} / \dot{m}_{\infty}$ is to calculate the equilibrium composition associated with $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ for a given initial mixture $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{f}} / \dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{g}}$. The calculation, in general, involves an iterative procedure.

It is desirable to determine $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ approximately for a given value of $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{f}} / \dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{g}}$ without lengthy calculations. Equations A-1 and A-2 are rearranged to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+\frac{\dot{m}_{f}}{\dot{m}_{\infty}}}{\frac{\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{f}}{\dot{m}_{\infty}}}\left(T_{f}-T_{\infty}\right)=\frac{\Delta H}{c_{p}} \tag{A-3}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIGURE A-1.-Adiabatic flame temperature for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ burning in air.

The heat of reaction, $\Delta \mathrm{H}$, is taken as $5.02 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$. The specific heat is then calculated to yield the correct value of $T_{f}$ for a given $\dot{m}_{f} / \dot{m}_{\infty}$ as in figure A-1. Table A-1 shows the results of this calculation. The dependence of $c_{p}$ on $T_{f}$ is shown more clearly in figure A-2. The specific heat of air is also plotted in figure $A-2$ to show that the specific heat of the combustion products of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ may be approximated by the specific heat of air. As a first approximation

$$
c_{p, \operatorname{prod}}=c_{p, \infty}\left[1+\beta\left(T_{f}-T_{\infty}\right)\right],
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}<2,100 \mathrm{~K} . \tag{A-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE A-1. $-c_{p}$ to yield correct $T_{f}$

| Tf, K | $\underline{\mathrm{m}}+/ \dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\infty}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{g} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,477..... | 0.0291 | 1.206 |  |
| 1,662..... | 0.0349 | 1.243 |  |
| 1,835..... | 0.0407 | 1.279 |  |
| 1,994...... | 0.0465 | 1.317 |  |
| 2,131...... | 0.0523 | 1.363 |  |
| 2,223...... | 0.0582 | 1.436 |  |
| 2,208..... | 0.0640 | 1.583 | >1.0 |
| 2,094..... | 0.0727 | 1.896 | >1.0 |

The value of $\beta$ used in the analysis is $0.0001961 \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$, determined from figure A-2.


FIGURE A-2.-Effective specitic heats of the combustion products of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$.

## APPENDIX B.--CLOSED FORM SOLUTION OF EQUATION 81

The roots for the following equation Set are desired: $\theta^{4}+a_{1}(\theta-1)+a_{2} \theta(\theta-1)$ $=a_{3}$.

This equation is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{4}+b \theta^{2}+c \theta+d=0 \tag{B-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b=a_{2} \\
& c=a_{1}-a_{2} \\
& d=-a_{1}-a_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it can be solved by Ferrari's method. Equation $B-1$ is rearranged in the form $\left(\theta^{2}+\lambda\right)^{2}-\left\{\theta^{2}(a \lambda-b)-c \theta+\lambda^{2}-d\right\}=0,(B-2)$ where $\lambda$ is to be determined. The curly bracket becomes a perfect square if

$$
c^{2}-4(2 \lambda-b)\left(\lambda^{2}-d\right)=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{3}-\frac{b}{2} \lambda^{2}-d \lambda+\frac{b d}{2}-\frac{c^{2}}{8}=0 \tag{B-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve equation $B-3$, set $\lambda=y+b / 6$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{3}+p y+q=0 \tag{B-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{p}=-\mathrm{d}-\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{12}
$$

and $\quad q=-\frac{b^{3}}{108}+\frac{b d}{3}-\frac{c^{2}}{8}$.

[^2]$$
m=3 \sqrt{-\frac{q}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}}}
$$
and
$$
n=3 \sqrt{-\frac{q}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}}}
$$

Then the three roots of equation B-4 are

$$
m+n, \quad w m+w^{2} n, \quad \text { and } \quad w^{2} m+w n
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
w & =\frac{-1+1 \sqrt{3}}{2} \\
w^{2} & =\frac{-1-i \sqrt{3}}{2} \tag{B-5}
\end{align*}
$$

The nature of the roots is determined from the discriminant

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=-4 \times 27\left[\frac{q^{2}}{4}+\frac{p^{3}}{27}\right] \tag{B-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $D<0$, one real root and two conjugate complex roots.
$D=0$, real equal roots.
D $>0$, three real roots.
In this way, $\lambda$ is determined by equation B-5. The curly bracket in equation $B-2$ is now a perfect square:
$\left(\theta^{2}+\lambda\right)^{2}-(2 \lambda-b)\left\{\theta^{2}-\frac{c}{2 \lambda-b} \theta+\frac{\lambda^{2}-d}{2 \lambda-b}\right\}=0$ or
$\left(\theta^{2}+\lambda\right)^{2}-(2 \lambda-b)(\theta-\Omega)^{2}=0$,
which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\frac{c}{2(2 \lambda-b)} . \tag{B-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation B-7 now becomes

$$
\left[\theta^{2}+\lambda+\sqrt{2 \lambda-b}(\theta-\Omega)\right]\left[\theta^{2}+\lambda-\sqrt{2 \lambda-b}(\theta-\Omega)\right]=0 .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{2}+\sqrt{2 \lambda-b} \theta+(\lambda-\Omega \sqrt{2 \lambda-b})=0 \tag{B-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{2}-\sqrt{2 \lambda-b} \theta+(\lambda+\Omega \sqrt{2 \lambda-b})=0 . \tag{B-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation B-9

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=1 / 2[-\sqrt{2 \lambda-b} \pm \sqrt{-2 \lambda-b+4 \Omega \sqrt{2 \lambda-b}}] \tag{B-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from equation $\mathrm{B}-10$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=1 / 2[+\sqrt{2 \lambda-b} \pm \sqrt{-2 \lambda-b-4 \Omega \sqrt{2 \lambda-b}}] \tag{B-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because real and positive roots are wanted, $\lambda=m+n$ from equation $B-5$ and $\theta$ is obtained from equation $B-11$ with the + sign for the square root.

## APPENDIX C.--DERIVATION OF EQUATION 131

The solution for equation 1 is known either for convection boundary conditions alone, or for radiative boundary conditions alone (constant $q_{r}$ ); a superposition technique can be applied. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t}=T_{1}+T_{2} \tag{C-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{1}$ is the timber temperature when only the convective heat transfer exists, and $T_{2}$ is the temperature when only the radiative heat transfer exists. Equation 1 with the boundary and initial conditions of equations 2 through 4 yields the following two equations with different boundary and initial conditions, when equation $C-1$ is employed.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathrm{T}_{1}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}=\alpha+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}{\partial \mathrm{y}^{2}} \tag{C-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
-\left.\lambda_{+} \frac{\partial T_{1}}{\partial y}\right|_{y=0}=h_{+}\left(T_{g}-T_{1}\right) & y=0, t>0 \\
T_{1}=T_{\infty}, & y \rightarrow \infty, t>0 \tag{c-3}
\end{array}
$$

Initial conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1}=T_{\infty}, & 0 \leqslant y<\infty, t=0 \\
\frac{\partial T_{2}}{\partial T}=\alpha+\frac{\partial^{2} T_{2}}{\partial y^{2}} &
\end{array}
$$

Boundary conditions


[^3]The solution for $T_{1}$ and $T$ are ( $\left.\underline{9}, \mathrm{p}, 106\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{T_{1}-T_{\infty}}{T_{g}-T_{\infty}}= & 1-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{y}{2 \sqrt{\alpha_{+} t}}\right)-\left[\exp \left(\frac{h_{+} y}{\lambda_{+}}+\frac{h_{+} 2 \alpha_{+} t}{\lambda_{+}{ }^{2}}\right)\right] \\
& {\left[1-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{y}{2 \sqrt{\alpha+t}}+\frac{h_{+} \sqrt{\alpha_{+} t}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)\right], }  \tag{c-6}\\
\frac{T_{2}}{q^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{rad}_{\text {rad }} / h_{+}}= & 1-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{y}{2 \sqrt{\alpha_{+} t}}\right)-\exp \left[\left(\frac{h_{+y}}{\lambda_{+}}+\frac{h_{+} 2 \alpha_{+} t}{\lambda_{+}{ }^{2}}\right)\right] \\
& {\left[1-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{y}{2 \sqrt{\alpha_{+} t}}+\frac{h_{+} \sqrt{\alpha_{+} t}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)\right] . } \tag{C-7}
\end{align*}
$$

The temperature at the timber surface $(y=0)$ is given as
$T_{+}$surface $=T_{\infty}+\left(T_{g}-T_{\infty}\right)+\frac{q^{n}{ }^{\text {rad }}}{h_{+}} G(t)$,
where

$$
G(t)=1-\left[\exp \left(\frac{h+2 \alpha+t}{\lambda_{+}{ }^{2}}\right)\right]\left[1-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{h+\sqrt{\alpha+t}}{\lambda+}\right)\right]
$$

Equation C-8 is the desired equation, equation 13.
The total heat transfer to the timber, $q^{\prime \prime}+{ }_{\text {to }}$, from $t=0$ to $t=\tau_{i g}$ can be determined from

$$
\begin{align*}
& q^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\text {tot }}=\int \frac{\tau_{1 g}}{0}\left[\left.-\lambda_{+} \frac{\partial T_{+}}{\partial y} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l} 
\\
y=0
\end{array}\right] d t \\
& =h_{+}\left(T_{g}-T_{\infty}+q_{r} / h_{+}\right) \int_{0}^{\tau_{g}}\left[1-\operatorname{erf} \frac{h_{+} \sqrt{\alpha_{+} t}}{\lambda_{+}}\right] \exp \left(\frac{h_{+2} \alpha_{+} t}{\lambda_{+}{ }^{2}}\right) d t . \tag{C-9}
\end{align*}
$$

A numerical integration is employed to evaluate $q^{\prime \prime}$ tot.

[^4]APPENDIX D. --NUMERICAL SOLUTION FOR HEAT CONDUCTION EQUATION WITH CONVECTION AND RADIATION BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The governing equations (1-4)1 are cast into a finite difference scheme. An implicit scheme called Crank-Nicolson will be employed to assure stability in the numerical solution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{T \psi_{+1}-2 T{ }_{1}^{J}+T \psi_{-1}}{(\Delta y)^{2}}+\frac{\left.\left.T\}_{+1}^{+1}-2 T\right\}^{+1}+T\right\}_{-1}^{+1}}{(\Delta y)^{2}}\right]=\frac{1}{\alpha_{+}} \frac{T \psi^{+1}-T{ }_{1}}{\Delta t} \tag{D-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T J$ designates the temperature at grid point $i+l$ at time $j$. If $r$ is defined
as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}=\frac{\alpha_{t} \Delta_{t}}{(\Delta \mathrm{y})^{2}}, \tag{D-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation $D-1$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-r_{i-1}^{T J+1}+(2+2 r){ }_{1}^{T_{1}^{J+1}}-r_{i+1}^{T J+1}=r_{i-1}^{J J}+(2-2 r){ }_{i}^{J}+r T_{i+1}^{J} \tag{D-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some simplification is achieved if $r=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\underset{1-1}{T J+1}+\underset{1}{4 T J+1}-T_{1+1}^{J+1}=T_{1-1}^{J}+T_{1+1}^{J} . \tag{D-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation D-4 applies to any interior point, i. At the boundary $i=1$,

$$
-T_{L}{ }^{j+1}+4 T_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{J}+1}-\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~J}+1=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~J}+\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~J}, \frac{2}{} \left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
& 1  \tag{D-5}\\
& \hline-\Delta \mathrm{y} \rightarrow|-\Delta \mathrm{y} \rightarrow|
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $i=L$ is a fictitious point just outside the boundary. The boundary condition, equation 2 , can be written as, at time, $j$,

$$
-\lambda_{+} \frac{T_{2} J-T_{L} J}{2 \Delta y}=h_{+}\left(T_{g}-T_{1} J\right)+P_{\varepsilon+\sigma}\left[T_{g}{ }^{4}-\left(T_{\dagger}\right)^{4}\right]
$$

[^5]Solving for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{J}+1}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{L} J=T_{2} J+\frac{2 h+\Delta y}{\lambda_{+}}\left(T_{g}-T_{1} J\right)+\frac{2 P \varepsilon+\sigma \Delta y}{\lambda_{+}}\left[T_{g}{ }^{4}-\left(T_{1}\right)^{4}\right] \tag{D-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar expression for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{j}+1}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{L}{ }^{j+1}=T_{2}{ }^{j+1}+\frac{2 h+\Delta y}{\lambda_{t}}\left(T_{g}-T_{1}{ }^{j+1}\right)+\frac{2 P \varepsilon+\sigma \Delta y}{\lambda_{t}}\left[T_{g}{ }^{4}-\left(T_{1}{ }^{j+1}\right)^{4}\right] \tag{D-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equations $D-6$ and $D-7$ into equation $D-5$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[2+H_{+}+R_{+}\left(T_{1} J+1\right)^{3}\right] T_{1}{ }^{J+1}-T_{2}^{j+1}} \\
& =-H_{+} T_{1} J-R_{+}\left(T_{1} J\right)^{4}+T_{2} J+2 H_{+} T_{g}+2 R_{+} T_{g}^{4} \tag{D-8}
\end{align*}
$$

A set of finite difference equations consisting of equations D-8 and D-4 form a matrix of tridiagonal system. A standard solution technique is available for solving this system. A difficulty arises because of the coefficient term $\left[2+\mathrm{H}_{+}+\mathrm{R}_{+}\right.$ $\left(T j^{j+1}\right)^{3}$ ] in equation $D-8$, since $T_{1}{ }^{j+1}$ is unknown a priori. In the solution procedure, $T_{1}{ }^{j+1}$ is taken as the initial value for $T_{1}{ }^{j+1}$ and iterated until a convergence is attained.

The following computer program, FIRE. FOR, provides (1) the numerical solution as described in this appendix, and (2) the approximate solution, which is described following equation 13.

Control Parameters for FIRE.FOR
NCASE..... When NCASE $=3$, no exact solution is computed.
Ll........ When $\mathrm{Ll}=0$, no $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ calculation is performed.
IFLAG..... Number of time steps between printing. Note that DT * IFLAG is the actual time interval.

JFLAG..... JFLAG $=0$ temp. distribution not printed. JFLAG $=1$ temp. distribution printed.

IU. Number of space steps between printing.

```
C PROGRAM FIRE.FOR
    REAL L1,M1,N1, L2,M2,N2
    REAL LAMDA1, LAMDA2, LAMDAG, MUG
    COMMON TAU, SAI, BETA1, BETA2, H1,H2,L1,L2,M1,M2,N1,N2
    COMMON BRATIO,SAILI,H,W
    DIMENSION FILEIN(3)
        DIMENSION U(500), COEF(500,3),RHS(500)
    IN=1
    IOUT=2
        OPEN(UNIT=IN,FILE='DFIRE.DAT',TYPE='OLD")
        OPEN(UNIT=IOUT,FILE='OTFIRE,DAT',TYPE='NEW')
C IF NCASE = 3, NO EXACT SOLUTION COMPUTED.
    READ(IN,100) B,TOL,DELB, N,NDEL, NCASE
    READ(IN,102) TIMEI,TIMEF,DELT
    READ(IN,102) RHO1, LAMDA1, CP1
    READ(IN,102) RH02, LAMDA2, CP2
    READ(IN,102) RHOG, LAMDAG, CPG
    READ(IN,108) H,W,L1,L2, ETA
    READ(IN,102) VINF, RHOINF, QF
    READ(IN,102) DELSAI,DELEND,EMIS
    READ(IN, l06) MUG, PR, HC, TIG
C TEMPERATURES FOR REGION 2
C FIRST CALCULATE THE NONDIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS
    ALFA1 = LAMDA1/(RH01*CP1)
    ALFA2 = LAMDA2/(RH02*CP2)
    BETA1 = ALFA1/ALFA2
    BETA2 = 1.0
        S1=2.0*(H+W)
        AREA = H*W
            DE = 4.*AREA/S1
        AMINF =RHOINF*AREA*VINF
        AMF = QF/HC
C TO DETERMINE TC WHEN RADIATION IS CONSIDERED.
    IF(EMIS .EQ. 0.0) GO TO 3
        RDINO = 2.0*AREA*EMIS*5.669E-02*2.98**3
    RA1 = CPG*(AMINF + AMF)/RDINO
    RA2 = 0.0001961*298.0
    RA3 = QF/(RDINO*298.0)
        RB = RA1*RA2
        RC=RA1 - RB
        RD = -RA1 - RA3
        RP = -RD - RB**2/12.0
        RQ = -RB**3/108. +RB*RD/3.0-RC**2/8.0
        RBRA = SQRT(RQ**2/4.0 + RP**3/27.0)
    RM = (RBRA -RQ/2.0)**(1./3.)
    RN=-(RBRA +RQ/2.0)**(1./3.)
        RY = RM + RN
    RLAMDA = RY + RB/6.0
        RFAC = 2.0*RLAMDA - RB
        ROMEGA = RC/(2.0*RFAC)
        RSQ = SQRT(RFAC-4.0*(RLAMDA-ROMEGA*SQRT(RFAC)))
    TNODIM =0.5*(-SQRT(RFAC) + RSQ)
    TC = 298.0*TNODIM
    GO TO 4
C WHEN NO RADIATION IS CONSIDERED.
```

$\mathrm{TNODIM}=0.5 *(-\mathrm{CB}$
$\mathrm{TC}=298.0 * \mathrm{TNODIM}$
$R H O G=1.177 * 298.0 / T C$
$C P G=C P G *(1.0+0.0001961 *(T C-298.0))$
VELG $=$ (VINF*AREA*RHOINF $+\mathrm{QF} / \mathrm{HC}) /(\mathrm{AREA} * R H O G)$
VIEWFT $=(\operatorname{VIEWF}(\mathrm{L} 1+\mathrm{L} 2 / 3)-.\operatorname{VIEWF}(\mathrm{L} 1)) /(H * W)$
RED $=$ RHOG*VELG*DE/MUG
RQFLUX $=\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{L} 2 * V I E W F T * E M I S * 5.669 *(T C / 100) * *$.
COEFF1 $=0.023 *$ LAMDAG/DE*RED**0.8*PR**0.4
REL2 $2=$ RHOG*VELG*L2/(6.0*MUG)
COEFF2 $=0.102 *(3.0 / \mathrm{L} 2) * \operatorname{LAMDAG} * R E L 2 * * 0.675 * \mathrm{PR} * * 0.3333$
RATIO1 $=$ RHO1*CP1/(RHOG*CPG)
RATIO2 $=$ RHO2*CP2/(RHOG*CPG)
$\mathrm{N} 1=\mathrm{S} 1 * \mathrm{COEFF} 1 * \mathrm{~L} 2 * * 2 *$ RATIO2/(AREA*LAMDA2)
$\mathrm{S} 2=2.0 * \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{W}$
$\mathrm{N} 2=\mathrm{S} 2 * \operatorname{COEFF} 2 * \mathrm{~L} 2 * * 2 * \mathrm{RATIO} 2 /(\mathrm{AREA} * \mathrm{LAMDA} 2)$
M2 $=$ RHO $2 *$ CP 2*L2*VELG/LAMDA2
$\mathrm{M} 1=\mathrm{M} 2$
$\mathrm{H} 1=\mathrm{COEFF} 1^{*} \mathrm{~L} 2 / \mathrm{LAMDA} 1$
$\mathrm{H} 2=\mathrm{COEFF} 2 * \mathrm{~L} 2 / \mathrm{LAMDA} 2$
THEIG $=(\mathrm{TIG}-298.0) /(\mathrm{TC}-298.0)$
WRITE(IOUT,152) RH01, LAMDA1,CP1.
WRITE(IOUT, 152) RH02,LAMDA2,CP2
WRITE(IOUT, 152) RHOG, LAMDAG, CPG
WRITE(IOUT, 158 ) H,W,L1,L2
WRITE(IOUT, 162) ALFA1,ALFA2
WRITE(IOUT, 162) H1, H2
WRITE(IOUT,162) M1,M2
WRITE (IOUT, 162) N1,N2
WRITE(IOUT,164) COEFF1, COEFF 2 , VIEWFT
WRITE(IOUT,555) TC, VELG, QF,THEIG, VINF, EMIS
BRATIO = BETA2/BETA1
SAILI $=\mathrm{L} 1 / \mathrm{L} 2$
C $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *--\cdots--$
C COMPUTATIONS START FROM HERE.
C FIX TAU AND CALCULATE TEMPERATURE AS FCN. OF SAI, TIME IN SECOND.
TIME =TIMEI
IF (L1 .EQ. 0.0 ) GO TO 41
$5 \mathrm{SAI}=0.0$
TAU $=$ ALFA $2 * T I M E / L 2 * * 2$
$10 \mathrm{BP} 1=\mathrm{B}$
ANS $1=\operatorname{SIMPS}(0.0, B P 1, N)$
BA1 $=\mathrm{B}$
ANSA $=\operatorname{SIMPSA}(0.0, \mathrm{BA} 1, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{ETA})$
$20 \mathrm{BP} 2=\mathrm{BP} 1+\mathrm{DELB}$
ANS2 $=$ ANS1 + SIMPS (BP1, BP2,NDEL)
ERROR = ABS (ANS1 - ANS2)
ANS $1=$ ANS2
$\mathrm{BP} 1=\mathrm{BP} 2$
IF (ERROR .GT. TOL) GO TO 20
21 BA2 $=\mathrm{BA} 1+\mathrm{DELB}$
$A N S B=A N S A+S I M P S A(B A 1, B A 2, N D E L, E T A)$

```
    ERRORA = ABS(ANSA - ANSB)
    ANSA = ANSB
    BA1 = BA2
    IF (ERRORA .GT. TOL) GO TO 21
23 THETAG =1.0-2.0/3.14159265*ANS2
    THETA = 1.0 - 2.0/3.14159265*ANSB
    QCVFLX = COEFF2*(TC - 298.)*(THETAG - THETA)
    WRITE(IOUT,550) TIME, TAU, SAI, BP2, BA2
    WRITE(IOUT,560) THETAG, ERROR, THETA,ETA,ERRORA
    WRITE (IOUT,570) RQFLUX, QCVFLX
    SAI = SAI + DELSAI
    IF (SAI .LE. DELEND) GO TO 10
    TIME = TIME + DELT
    IF (TIME .GT. TIMEF) GO TO 599
    GO TO 5
    Y=COEFF2/LAMDA2*SQRT(ALFA2*TIME)
    G = 1.0 - EXP(Y**2)*(1.0-ERF(Y))
        T1 = 298.0 +(TC - 298.0)*G
        T2 = RQFLUX/COEFF 2*G
        TT = T1 + T2
    THETA = (TT-298.0)/(TC-298.0)
    QCVFLX = COEFF2*(TC-TT)
    WRITE(IOUT,51) TIME,THETA,RQFLUX,QCVFLX
    FORMAT( 5X, 'TIME =',F7.1, 'SEC", 5X,"THETA =', E13.5,5X,
    1 'RAD.FLUX =',E13.5,5X,'CONV.FLUX =',E13.5)
        TIME = TIME + DELT
        IF (TIME .GT. TIMEF) GO TO 599
    GO TO 41
    FORMAT(F10.0, E10.0, F10.0, 2I10, I2)
    FORMAT(3F10.3)
    FORMAT(2F10.2)
    FORMAT(4E13.5)
    FORMAT(5F10.3)
    FORMAT(10X, 3F10.3)
    FORMAT(10X, 4F10.3)
    FORMAT(10X,2E13.5)
    FORMAT(10X, 3E13.5)
    FORMAT(8X,'COEEF1=`,E13.5,2X,'COEFF2=`,E13.5,2X,'VIEWFACTOR=`,
    1 E13.5)
    FORMAT( 7X, 'TC =`,F7.1,2X, 'VELG =`, F7.4, 2X, 'QF =`, E13.5,
    1 2X,'THETAIG =`, E13.5, 2X,'VINF =',F7.4, 2X,"EMISSIVITY =',
    2 F7.4//)
    FORMAT(/ 2X,'TIME =', F7.1, 'SEC`, 2X, "TAU=`, E13.5, 2X,
        1'SAI =', E13.5, 2X,'BP =',F10.0, 2X,'BA =`,F100.0)
        FORMAT(10X, 'THETAG =', E13.5,2X, 'ERROR =', E13.5, 5X, 'THETA =',
        1E13.5,2X, 'ETA =`,E13.5,2X, "ERROR = ',E13.5)
    FORMAT(12X, 'RAD.FLUX =',E13.5,2X, 'CONV.FLUX =',E13.5)
        DELT1= TC/298.0 - 1.0
        ENUMB = QF/(AMINF*1005.*298.0)
        PARA1 = 2.0*EMIS*5.669E-08*AREA/(1005.*AMINF)
        PARA2 = AMF/AMINF
        ENERGY = QF*TIMEF
        WRITE(IOUT,171) DELT1, ENUMB, PARA1, PARA2, ENERGY
C FOR GIVEN VINF,H,W,EMIS,AND TIND, WE CALCULATE THE CRITICAL CONDITIONS.
        FLO1 = RHOINF*VINF
```

```
    800
        REL2 = FLO1*L2/(6.0*MUG)
            COEFF2=0.102*(3.0/L2)*LAMDAG*REL 2**0.675*PR**0.3333
        YIND = COEFF2/LAMDA2*SQRT(ALFA2*TIMEF)
        GIND = 1.0 - EXP(YIND**2)*(1.0-ERF(YIND))
            C2 = H/L 2*VIEWFT/COEFF2*EMIS*1.5002
            C3 = (TIG/298.0-1.0)/GIND + 1.0
        IF (EMIS .EQ. 0.0) GO TO 805
            A}=1.0/\textrm{C}
            C}=\textrm{C}3/\textrm{C}
            SQ = (A**2)/16.0
            SQT = SQRT(SQ**2 + (C**3)/27.0)
            SM = (SQ + SQT)**(1./3.)
            SN=-(SQT-SQ)**(1./3.)
            SLAMDA = SM + SN
            SOMEG = A/(4.0*SLAMDA)
            STLAM = SQRT(2.0*SLAMDA)
        THETAG = 0.5*(-STLAM + SQRT(4.0*STLAM*SOMEG - 2.0*SLAMDA))
        GO TO 810
805 THETAG = C3
C NEXT, DETERMINE AMFUEL.
        RDINO = 2.0*AREA*EMIS*5.669E-02*(2.98**3)
        RA2 = 0.0001961*298.0
810 RA4 = RDINO/1005.
            RA5 =(THETAG-1.0)*(1.0 + RA2*THETAG)
            TOP = RA4*THETAG**4 + AMINF*RA5
            BOTTOM = HC/(1005.*298.0) - RA5
        AMFUEL = TOP/BOTTOM
            FLO2 = RHOINF*VINF + AMFUEL/AREA
            FLO3 = FLO1
            FLO1 = FLO2
            IF(ABS(FLO3-FLO1)/FL03 .GT. 0.01) G0 T0 800
        QF1 = AMFUEL*HC
        TGl = 298.0*THETAG
            RQFLUX = EMIS*5.669*(TG1/100.0)**4
            QSURF = COEFF2*(TG1 + RQFLUX/COEFF2 - 298.)*SFCE(COEFF2,ALFA2,
    1 TIMEF,LAMDA 2)
        WRITE (IOUT, 850) AMEUEL, TGl, QFl, QSURF
        FORMAT(/10X, 'FUEL FLOW RATE =', E13.5, 2X, 'TGG =', F7.1, 2X,
    1 'QF1=',E13.5, 'QSURF=',E13.5)
171 FORMAT(//10X,'DELT/TINF =', F6.4, 2X, 'ENUMBER =', F7.4, 2X,
    1 'RAD. PARAMETER =', E13.5,2X, 'FLOW RATIO =', E13.5/10X,
    2 'ENERGY INPUT =', E13.5)
180 IF(NCASE.EQ. 3) GO TO 2500
C THE FOLLOWING IS THE EXACT SOLUTION.
            READ (IN,3010) N,IFLAG,TOL1,DT,PP,EMIST,JFLAG,IU
            T=0.0
            TF=TIMEF
            DIF=ALFA2
            WRITE(IOUT, 3015) N,IFLAG,TOL1,DT,PP,EMIST,JFLAG,IU
C FIX INITIAL VALUES
            NP1=N+1
            DO 2010 I=1,NP1
            U(I)=298.0
2010 CONTINUE
    DX=SQRT(DIF*DT)
```

```
    IM=1
    QTT=0.0
    WRITE(IOUT,3020)
    HT=COEFF2*DX/LAMDA2
    RT=PP*EMIST*5.669E-08*DX/LAMDA2
C ESTABLISH THE RHS VECTOR
2015 RHS(1)=2.0*RT*TC**4+2*HT*TC+U(2)-HT*U(1)-RT*U(1)**4
    DO 2020 I=2,N
    RHS(I)=U(I-1) + U(I+1)
2020 CONTINUE
C ESTABLISH COEFFICIENT MATRIX
2030 U1TRU=U(1)
    COEF(1,2)=2.+HT + RT*U(1)**3
    COEF(1,3)=-1.0
    DO 2035 I=2,N
    COEF(I, 1)=-1.0
    COEF(I, 2)=4.0
    COEF(I, 3)=-1.0
2035 CONTINUE
C GET THE LU DECOMPOSITION TO PREPARE FOR SOLVING EQN.
2050 DO 2060 I =2,NP1
    C0EF(I-1,3)=COEF(I-1,3)/COEF(I-1,2)
    COEF(I, 2)=COEF(I, 2)-COEF(I,1)*COEF(I-1,3)
2060 CONTINUE
C GET THE SOLUTION
            U(1)=RHS(1)/COEF(1, 2)
    DO 2070 I=2,N
    U(I)=(RHS(I)-COEF(I, 1)*U(I-1))/COEF(I, 2)
2070 CONTINUE
    DO 2080 I=1,N
    JROW=N-I+1
    U(JROW)=U(JROW)-COEF(JROW, 3)*U(JROW+1)
2080 CONTINUE
    IF(ABS(U1TRU-U(1)) .GT. T0L1) G0 T0 2030
    T = T+DT
    QC=COEFF2*(TC-U(1))
    QR=PP*EMIST*5.669*((TC/100.)**4 -(U(1)/100.)**4)
    QT=QC+QR
    QTT=QTT+QT*DT
    IF(IM .GE. IFLAG) GO TO 2090
    IM=IM+1
    IF(T .LT. TF) GO TO 2015
    GO TO 2500
2090 WRITE(IOUT,3030) T,U(1),QR,QC,QT,QTT
C PRINT OUT TEMP. DISTRIBUTION. JFLAG=0, NOT PRINT,JFLAG=1,
C PRINT. IU IS THE NO. OF SPACE STEPS BETWEEN PRINTING.
    IF(JFLAG .LE. 0) GO TO 2095
    DO 4010 I=1,N,IU
                                    DEPTH=FLOAT(I-1)*DX
    WRITE(IOUT,4500) DEPTH,U(I)
4500 FORMAT(20X,'Y = ',E13.5, 5X,'T = ', E13.5)
4010 CONTINUE
2095 IM=1
    IF(T .LT. TF) GO TO 2015
3010 FORMAT(2I5,2E10.1,2F5.2,I2,I5)
```

301
 1FLUX', 3 X, 'тOT.FLUX', 3 X, 'тOT. HEAT IN"/)
3030 FORMAT(5X,F8.2, 5X, 5(E13.5,2X))
2500 STOP
END
C $\quad$ *******************************************************************
FUNCTION SIMPS(AA, BB, NN)
REAL L1,M1,N1, L2,M2,N2
real lamdal, lamdar, lamdag
COMMON TAU, SAI, BETA1, BETA2, H1,H2,L1,L2,M1,M2,N1,N2
COMMON BRATIO,SAILl,H,W
C COMPUTE DELTA $X$. add first, Second, AND LASt values to sum.
SUM $=0.0$
NHALF $=\mathrm{NN} / 2$
$\mathrm{XN}=\mathrm{FLOAT}(\mathrm{NN})$
DELX $=(B B-A A) / X N$
IF (AA .EQ. 0.0) GO TO 13
$\operatorname{SUM}=\operatorname{FCN}(A A)+4 . * F C N(A A+D E L X)+F C N(B B)$
GO TO 14
13 FIRST=N2*SAI/(M2*H2)+N1*SAIL1/(H1*M1)*SQRT(BRATIO)
SUM =FIRST+4.*FCN(DELX) $+\operatorname{FCN}(B B)$
C ADD REST OF VALUES TO SUM
$14 \mathrm{X}=2.0$ *DELX
$\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{AA}$
D0 $15 \mathrm{I}=2$, NHALF
SUM $=$ SUM + 2.*FCN(Y) + 4.*FCN(Y + DELX)
$15 \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Y}+2.0 * \operatorname{DELX}$
C Compute integral and output the value.
SIMPS $=$ DELX/3.0*SUM
RETURN
END
FUNCTION SIMPSA(AAA, BBB,NNN,YY)
REAL L1, M1,N1, L2,M2,N2
real lamdal, lamdar, lamdag
COMMON TAU, SAI, BETA1, BETA2, H1,H2,L1,L2,M1,M2,N1,N2
COMMON BRATIO,SAIL1,H,W
C COMPUTE DELta $X$. ADd first,second,and last values to sum.
SUM $=0.0$
NHALF $=$ NNN $/ 2$
$\mathrm{XN}=\mathrm{FLOAT}(\mathrm{NNN})$
DELX $=(B B B-A A A) / X N$
IF (AAA .EQ. 0.0 ) GO TO 23
SUM $=$ FUNC (AAA, YY) + 4.*FUNC (DELX +AAA, YY) +FUNC(BBB,YY)
GO TO 24
23 FIRS $=\mathrm{N} 2 * \operatorname{SAI} /(\mathrm{M} 2 * \mathrm{H} 2)+\mathrm{N} 1 * \operatorname{SAILL} /(\mathrm{H} 1 * \mathrm{M} 1) * \operatorname{SQRT}(\mathrm{BRATIO})+\mathrm{YY}+1.0 / \mathrm{H} 2$
SUM $=$ FIRS $+4 . *$ FUNC (DELX, YY) + FUNC (BBB,YY)
C ADd REST OF values to sum
$24 \mathrm{X}=2.0 * \mathrm{DELX}$
$\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{AAA}+\mathrm{X}$
DO $25 \mathrm{I}=2$, NHALF
SUM $=$ SUM + 2.*FUNC (Y,YY) + 4.*FUNC(Y+DELX, YY)
$25 \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Y}+2.0 *$ DELX
C Compute integral and output the value.

```
    SIMPSA = DELX/3.0*SUM
    RETURN
    END
C * *****************************************
    FUNCTION FCN(SIG)
    REAL L1,M1,N1, L2,M2,N2
    REAL LAMDA1, LAMDA2, LAMDAG
    COMMON TAU, SAI, BETA1, BETA2, H1,H2,Ll,L2,M1,M2,N1,N2
    COMMON BRATIO,SAILI,H,W
        A1 =N1/(H1**2+BRATIO*SIG**2)
        A2=N2/(H2**2+SIG**2)
        C1=H1*SAIL1*SIG/M1
                C 2=H2*SAI*SIG/M2
            BRAKT1=(BETA1-A1)*BRATIO*SAIL1/M1*SIG**2
            BRAKT2=-TAU*BETA2*SIG**2+(BETA2-A2)*SAI/M2*SIG**2
            BRAKT3=A 2*C2 + A 1*SQRT(BRATIO)*C1
            FCN=1.0/SIG*EXP(BRAKT1 + BRAKT2)*SIN(BRAKT3)
        RETURN
        END
C * * * ***************************************
    FUNCTION FUNC(SIG,Y)
    REAL L1,M1,N1, L2,M2,N2
    REAL LAMDA1, LAMDA2, LAMDAG
    COMMON TAU, SAI, BETA1, BETA2, H1,H2,L1,L2,M1,M2,N1,N2
    COMMON BRATIO,SAILI,H,W
        Al=N1/(H1**2+BRATIO*SIG**2)
        A 2 = N2/(H2**2+SIG**2)
        C1=H1*SAIL1*SIG/M1
            C2=H2*SAI*SIG/M2
        FACTR1=(BETA1-A1)*BRATIO*SAILI/M1*SIG**2
        FACTR2=-TAU*BETA2*SIG**2+(BETA2-A2)*SAI/M2*SIG**2
        FACTR 3 =A 2*C 2 + A1*SQRT(BRATIO)*C1 +Y*SIG
        FUNC=1.0/(SIG*(H2**2+SIG**2))*EXP(FACTR1+FACTR2)*(H2**2
    1*SIN(FACTR3)+H2*SIG*COS(FACTR3))
        RETURN
        END
C * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
    FUNCTION VIEWF(X)
    REAL L1,M1,N1, L2,M2,N2
    REAL LAMDA1, LAMDA2, LAMDAG, MUG
    COMMON TAU, SAI, BETA1, BETA2, H1,H2,L1,L2,M1,M2,N1,N2
    COMMON BRATIO,SAILI,H,W
        IF(X.EQ.0.0) GO TO 300
        WHX = (W*W+H*H+X*X)**(H*H+X*X-W*W)
        WH=(W*W+H*H)**(H*H-W*W)
        WX=(W*W+X*X)**(X*X-W*W)
        HX=(H*H+X*X)**(H*H+X*X)
    VA=WHX*(H*H)**(H*H)*(X*X)**(X*X)/(WH*WX*HX*(W*W)**(W*W))
    VB=W*H*ATAN(W/H)
    VC=W*X*ATAN(W/X)
        HXSR=SQRT(H*H+X*X)
    VD=W*HXSR*ATAN(W/HXSR)
    VIEWF=1.0/3.1415926*(0.25*ALOG(VA)+VB+VC - VD )
        GO TO 310
        VIEWF = 0.0
```

RETURN
END
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
FUNCTION ERF (YZ)
SUM $=0.0$
DELX $=\mathrm{YZ} / 100.0$
$\operatorname{SUM}=1.0+4.0 * \operatorname{EXP}(-\mathrm{DELX} * * 2)+\operatorname{EXP}(-\mathrm{YZ} * * 2)$
$C$ ADD REST OF VALUES TO SUM
$X=2.0 * D E L X$
D0 $65 \mathrm{I}=2,50$
SUM $=$ SUM $+2.0 * E X P(-X * * 2)+4.0 * E X P(-(X+D E L X) * * 2)$
$65 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}+2.0$ *DELX
C COMPLETE INTEGRAL AND OUTPUT THE VALUE
$E R F=D E L X / 3.0 * S U M * 2.0 / 1.77245385$
RETURN
END
C $\quad * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ~$
FUNCTION SFCE (HT, ALFA, TIME, AMDA)
$S U M=0.0$
DELX = TIME/ 100 .
$S Q R X=S Q R T(D E L X)$
$P=H T / A M D A * S Q R T(A L F A)$
$\mathrm{P} 2=\mathrm{P} * * 2$
$\operatorname{SUM}=1.0+4.0 *(1.0-\operatorname{ERF}(P * S Q R X)) * \operatorname{EXP}(P 2 * D E L X)+$
(1.0-ERF $(P * S Q R T(T I M E))) * E X P(P 2 * T I M E)$
C ADD REST OF VALUE TO SUM
$X=2.0 * D E L X$
DO $75 \mathrm{I}=2,50$
SUM $=\operatorname{SUM}+2.0 *(1.0-\operatorname{ERF}(P * S Q R T(X))) * \operatorname{EXP}(P 2 * X)+4.0 *(1.0-$
$1 \operatorname{ERF}(P * S Q R T(X+D E L X))) * \operatorname{EXP}(P 2 *(X+D E L X))$
$75 \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}+2.0$ *DELX
C COMPLETE INTEGRAL AND OUTPUT THE VALUE
SFCE = DELX/3.0*SUM
RETURN
END

```
    APPENDIX E.--ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS
cross-sectional area of duct
area of timber exposed to fire
constant
specific heat
source-fire parameter
duct height
heat of reaction
heat transfer coefficient
length of a timber along axial direction of duct
characteristic distance of heat transfer into solid
constant
mass flow rate
constant
radiation transfer coefficient
Prandtl number = cpg 的/ 泣
heat release rate in source fire
heat flux
radiative transfer parameter
temperature
T}-\mp@subsup{T}{\infty}{
time
```

velocity
duct width
coordinate normal to timber surface
thermal diffusivity
temperature coefficient for specific heat
Stefan-Boltzmann constant
emissivity
thermal conductivity
density
ignition time
absolute viscosity

## Subscripts

convective
fuel
combustion products
ignition
net quantity
radiative
timber
total quantity
condition upstream of flame zone


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mechanical engineer.
    ${ }^{2}$ Supervisory physical scientist.
    Pittsburgh Research Center, Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, PA.

[^1]:    $3_{\text {Underlined numbers }}$ in parentheses refer to items in the list of references preceding the appendixes at the end of this report.

[^2]:    1 Equations without an alphabetic prefix, refer to equations in the main text.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Equations without an alphabetic prefix refer to equations in the main text.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ Inderlined numbers in parentheses refer to items in the list of references preceding appendix A.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Equations without an alphabetic prefix refer to equations in the main text.

