Excess Deaths Associated with COVID-19

Provisional Death Counts for Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19)

Contents

COVID-19 Mortality Overview
Daily Updates of Totals by Week and State
Weekly Updates by Select Demographic and Geographic Characteristics
Health Disparities: Race and Hispanic Origin
Excess Deaths Associated with COVID-19
Index of Available Data Files
Technical Notes

On This Page
Dashboard
Data Tables for Current Dashboard
Technical Notes
References

Estimates of excess deaths can provide information about the burden of mortality potentially related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including deaths that are directly or indirectly attributed to COVID-19. Excess deaths are typically defined as the difference between observed numbers of deaths in specific time periods and expected numbers of deaths in the absence of the COVID-19 pandemic. Expected numbers of deaths are usually projected using historical trends to determine whether the number of deaths is significantly higher than expected.

Counts of deaths from all causes of death, including COVID-19, are presented. As some deaths due to COVID-19 may be assigned to other causes of death (for example, if COVID-19 was not diagnosed or not mentioned on the death certificate), tracking **all-cause mortality** can provide information about whether an excess number of deaths is observed, even when these deaths are not assigned to COVID-19. Counts of deaths due to COVID-19 alone are also presented. Deaths are counted by the week in which they occurred, and two sets of estimates — one based on historical data from 2015–2019 and another based on recent data — are presented to account for the fact that many excess deaths are reported as due to other causes of death. These deaths could represent misclassified deaths due to COVID-19 or deaths indirectly related to the COVID-19 pandemic. Deaths from the most recently completed week are provisional, and counts for the current week are incomplete and are updated daily.

As of June 2, 2020, additional data are available regarding the cause of death has been added to this release. Similar to all causes of death, these weekly counts can be compared to values from the same weeks in prior years to determine whether recent increases have occurred for specific causes of death. The causes shown in this section are those that have been most commonly coded to conditions reported on death certificates where COVID-19 was listed as a cause of death (see).

Provisional death counts are updated every Wednesday to include the most recent counts of death. Counts are based on the underlying cause of death, and presented by the week in which the death occurred. Multiple conditions, as well as the underlying cause of death due to external causes (i.e. injuries) or unknown causes are excluded. For more detail, see the Technical Notes. Weekly counts of deaths were also published in 2014 and 2015.

Estimates of excess deaths can be calculated in a variety of ways, and will vary depending on the methodology and assumptions about how many deaths are expected to occur in the absence of the pandemic. Several approaches to estimating excess deaths have been published by researchers and organizations (1). A range of values for the number of excess deaths was calculated as the difference between the observed count and one of two thresholds based on the average expected count from 2015–2019 (2). Prediction intervals for the number of excess deaths were constructed using a Farrington surveillance algorithm (3,4).

Provisional death counts are weighted to account for incomplete data. However, data for the most recent week(s) are still likely to be incomplete. Weights for all-cause counts of deaths by jurisdiction of residence (50 states, the District of Columbia, and New York City) for 2020 relative to prior years, so the resulting weighted estimates may be too high in some jurisdictions and too low in others. As more information becomes available, the percentage of deaths expected to be reported or coded to COVID-19 may change, affecting the estimates of excess deaths. Any changes in the methods or weighting adjustment will be noted in the Technical Notes when they occur. More detail about the methods, weighting, data, and limitations can be found in the Technical Notes.

This visualization includes several options:

- **Number of excess deaths:** A range of estimates for the number of excess deaths was calculated as the difference between the observed count and one of two thresholds (either the average expected count or the upper bound threshold), by week and jurisdiction. Select a jurisdiction from the drop-down menu to show data for that jurisdiction.
- **Percent excess:** The percent excess was calculated as the number of excess deaths divided by the average expected count.
- **Total number of excess deaths:** The total number of excess deaths in each jurisdiction was calculated by summing the excess deaths in each week. Select the radio button to view data for a specific jurisdiction. Only the COVID-19 for the overall was presented as a sum of jurisdiction-specific numbers of excess deaths (with negative values set to zero), and not directly estimated using the Farrington surveillance algorithm.

Select a dashboard from the menu, then click on "Update Dashboard" to navigate through the different graphics.

- The first dashboard shows the weekly predicted counts of deaths from all causes, and the threshold for the expected number of deaths. The second dashboard shows the weekly number of deaths due to all causes of death.
- The second dashboard shows the weekly predicted counts of deaths from all causes, and the threshold for the expected number of deaths. The third dashboard shows the weekly number of deaths due to COVID-19 alone.
- The third dashboard shows the weekly predicted counts of deaths from all causes, and the threshold for the expected number of deaths, with the percent excess shown, along with reported (unweighted) counts, to illustrate the impact of underreporting. Select a jurisdiction from the drop-down menu to show data for that jurisdiction.
- The fourth dashboard shows the total number of excess deaths since early February, 2020. Jurisdictions with one or more excess deaths are shown. Use the radio button to view data for a specific jurisdiction. Only the COVID-19 for the overall was presented as a sum of jurisdiction-specific numbers of excess deaths (with negative values set to zero).
- The fifth dashboard shows the percent by which the observed counts exceed the threshold (i.e. percent excess) by week and jurisdiction. Use the radio button to view data for a specific jurisdiction. Only all-cause deaths (COVID-19 is not shown) is select certain jurisdictions.
- The sixth dashboard shows weekly counts of death by age group. Use the drop-down menu to select certain jurisdictions.
- The seventh dashboard shows weekly counts of death by race and Hispanic origin. Use the drop-down menus to select certain jurisdictions and estimate (unweighted, or weighted for all-cause excess deaths) and COVID-19 deaths.
- The eighth dashboard shows the change in the weekly number of deaths in 2020 relative to 2015-2019, by race and Hispanic origin. Use the drop-down menu to select certain jurisdictions.
- The ninth dashboard shows weekly counts of death due to select cause of death groups Respiratory diseases, Circulatory diseases, Malignant neoplasms, Diabetes mellitus, and Alzheimer disease. Use the drop-down menu to select jurisdictions.
- The tenth dashboard shows weekly counts of death for more detailed causes of death within three of the larger groups: Respiratory diseases, Circulatory diseases, and Malignant neoplasms. Use the drop-down menu to select jurisdictions.
- The eleventh dashboard shows the change in the weekly number of deaths in 2020 relative to 2015-2019, by cause of death. Use the drop-down menu to select certain jurisdictions.
- The twelfth dashboard shows the number of deaths reported with each select cause of death in 2020 and 2019. Use the drop-down menu to select certain jurisdictions.
- The thirteenth dashboard shows the total number of deaths above the average count since early February, 2020, by jurisdiction and cause of death. Use the drop-down menu to select certain jurisdictions.

Download datasets in CSV format by clicking on the link for the desired dataset under "CSV Format" link. Additional file formats are available for download from our dataset at Data.CDC.gov.

For several of the dashboards showing estimates of the percent change over previous years, an adjustment is included for the 53rd week that occurred in 2020. The weekly data are tabulated based on MMWR weeks, which begin on a Sunday and contains at least four days in the calendar year (5). Week 53 of 2020 is the week ending January 2, 2021. Comparisons with previous years (2019, from 2015 - 2018) are made to data from the 52nd week of the year. To estimate the percent change in 2020 relative to 2015-2019, the average number of deaths in weeks 52 and 1 of those previous years were used. For example, when comparing the number of deaths in week 10 of 2020 with the same week in previous years, the average number of deaths in week 52, 2019 and week 1, 2020 was used.

For weeks in 2021, data from 2020 are not included in any comparisons with the average numbers of deaths from the same weeks in previous years, to maintain appropriate comparisons with data prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Changes to the weighting methodology were made to this visualization, effective as of September 9, 2020. More detail can be found in the Technical Notes. Future refinements to the methodology or other changes will be documented in the Technical Notes.

1. Weights for select jurisdictions were adjusted downward for all-cause excess deaths to account for the number of excess deaths due to deaths occurring in April through May of 2020. These analyses have suggested that timeliness has improved with shorter lags in reporting in Arizona, Alaska, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Texas, Washington, West Virginia, and Puerto Rico. Weights for these jurisdictions were adjusted accordingly to improve the accuracy of the predicted counts in these jurisdictions.

Figure Notes:

Number of deaths reported on this page are the total number of deaths received and coded as of the date of analysis and do not represent all deaths that occurred in that week. It is incomplete because of the lag in time between the death occurred and when the death certificate is completed, submitted to NCHS and processed for reporting purposes. This delay can range from 1 week to 8 weeks or more, depending on the jurisdiction and cause of death (see Publication Delays in Vital Statistics Publications). Counts for the most recent week are substantially incomplete. Counts for the most recent 4 weeks are also likely to be incomplete, although to a lesser degree. Provisional counts are based on data received from the National Vital Statistics System database that provides the timeliest access to the vital statistics mortality data and may differ slightly from other sources due to differences in completeness, COVID-19 classification criteria, and processing procedures used. Provisional counts may not include all deaths that occurred during the week. In jurisdictions where the timeliness of provisional data has changed in recent weeks relative to prior years, Data for jurisdictions where counts are believed to be incomplete.

The following data collection forms are currently displayed (dashboard):
(click the triangles to expand / collapse Data tables)

▶ Weekly excess deaths

Technical Notes

Methods

Counts of deaths in the most recent weeks were compared with historical trends (from 2013 to present) to determine if the number of deaths in recent weeks was significantly higher than expected, using Farrington surveillance algorithms (1). The 'surveillance' algorithm in R (the 'cleanfarrington' function) was applied to provisional counts of deaths by week and jurisdiction of residence. The algorithm first models trends in counts, accounting for seasonal patterns, secular trends, and the occurrence of recent outbreaks, and a quasi-Poisson threshold based on a one-sided 95% prediction interval of these expected counts is used to determine whether a significant increase in deaths has occurred. Estimates of excess deaths are provided based on the observed number of deaths relative to these thresholds. The lower end of the excess death estimate range is generated by comparing the observed counts to the upper bound threshold, and a higher end of the excess death estimate range is generated by comparing the observed counts to the average expected number of deaths. Reported counts were weighted to account for potential underreporting in the most recent weeks.

This method is useful in that it accounts for expected trends in the data, and can be applied to any jurisdiction in order to determine whether a given jurisdiction has fewer deaths than expected given that the data are processed. Provisional counts of deaths are known to be incomplete, and the algorithm accounts for reporting delays in the most recent weeks by comparing observed counts to the expected model trends in counts, and then applying a weighting adjustment to account for potential underreporting in the most recent weeks. It is understood. While reported counts are weighted to account for *potential* underreporting in the most recent weeks, the true magnitude of underreporting is unknown, and may be greater or lesser than the weighting may cover or underestimate the actual number in a jurisdiction.

A range of estimates of excess deaths is based on comparing the observed numbers of deaths to two different thresholds, by week and jurisdiction: 1) the average expected number of deaths, and 2) the upper bound of the 95% prediction interval of the expected number of deaths. Counts of deaths in 2020 were compared to counts from 2015–2019 for the same week and jurisdiction, and based on the number of excess deaths detected by the Farrington surveillance algorithm. Separate models were fit to each state was calculated by summing the excess deaths in each week, from February 1, 2020 to present. Similarly, the total number of excess deaths in the US was calculated by summing the total number of excess deaths in each jurisdiction.

Estimates of excess deaths for the US overall were computed as a sum of jurisdiction-specific numbers of excess deaths (with negative values set to zero) and not directly estimated using the Farrington surveillance algorithm. This approach was taken to account for the possibility that some jurisdictions may have substantially incomplete data while other jurisdictions report may more quickly than expected. Other regional and national estimates of excess deaths (e.g., for HHS regions, or for the 50 states only) using the Farrington surveillance algorithms. Until data are finalized (typically 12 months after the close of the data year), it is not possible to determine whether decreases in the observed number of deaths relative to the upper bound threshold in recent weeks (e.g., weeks 10-12 in the US overall) are due to incomplete reporting of deaths, or a return to expected levels of mortality. Similar declines in the number of deaths observed in other jurisdictions. For this reason, the number of excess deaths in the US computed directly for the US using the Farrington algorithms was calculated using data that included only jurisdictions reporting more than expected numbers of deaths – which could be a difference is likely due to several jurisdictions reporting lower than expected numbers of deaths – which could be a function of incomplete reporting (2) or a return to expected levels of mortality (3). Similarly, the difference in the number of excess deaths between the number of excess deaths in the US overall and the sum of jurisdiction-specific excess deaths may be compared with the sum of jurisdiction-specific different estimated thresholds for the expected number of deaths in the US and across the jurisdictions.

Algorithms were applied to provisional counts of deaths by week and jurisdiction of residence. Differences in the difference between the observed counts and the expected (not the upper bound estimate) results in larger estimates of excess deaths. The upper bound more readily identifies excess deaths that are substantially greater than normal mortality. Using the upper bound to estimate excess deaths may indicate which areas are experiencing higher than average mortality. Expected counts are now provided so that users can evaluate excess deaths relative to different thresholds.

Finally, the estimates of excess deaths reported here may not be due to COVID-19, either directly or indirectly. The pandemic may have changed mortality patterns other causes of death, for example due to other causes of death (e.g., suicides, drug overdose deaths) may have contributed to excess deaths in some jurisdictions. Future analyses of cause-specific excess mortality may provide additional information about these patterns.

As more information about the accuracy of the weighted estimates is observed, further refinements may be made and changes to the weighting methods will impact the estimates. Any changes to the methods or weighting algorithm will be noted in the Technical Notes when they occur.

Completeness

Methods to address reporting lags (i.e. underreporting) were updated as of September 9, 2020. Generally, these updates resulted in estimates of the total number of excess deaths that were approximately 5% smaller than the previous method, as weights in some jurisdictions were adjusted downward. Analyses of the timeliness of provisional data have suggested that timeliness has substantially improved in some jurisdictions with improved timeliness, estimates for the most recent weeks have been substantially adjusted downward relative to estimates from previous releases. Some jurisdictions have little to no provisional data available in the most recent week(s) (CT, NC, NYC, together, these jurisdictions represent approximately 5% of US deaths), and, in these jurisdictions, some of the estimated increase in the total of provisional data from certain jurisdictions was offset by the overestimation in other jurisdictions with improved timeliness when considering trends for the US overall. Analyses of the timeliness of provisional data have suggested that timeliness has improved with shorter lags in reporting and improved timeliness but provide no additional opportunities for re-estimation or a lack of recent provisional data in other jurisdictions, the excess death estimates for the US are expected to result in a larger degree of underreporting than in previous weeks.

To account for potential underreporting in the most recent weeks, counts were weighted by the inverse of completeness. Completeness was estimated using a Bayesian model (6). Specifically, the number of deaths reported with a positive COVID-19 test through the week of 2019 approximately the data available as of the date of analysis was modeled as a function of the total number of deaths reported in 2019 and 2020 (8 weeks) by reporting jurisdictions. Completeness by week, lag and jurisdiction was modeled using zero-inflated binomial hierarchical Bayesian models with data available as of the date of analysis. Separate models were fit to each state, to the US overall, to the sum of the provisional counts, and the lag in reporting delay. These random effects were specified using a type-I random walk distributions, where the mean and variance parameters were estimated from the observed data. Models were fit using Markov chain Monte Carlo methods in R2jags (4,6). Posterior predictive values and the completeness by jurisdiction and time since death were estimated. Predictions for the most recent 8 weeks for 2019 were averaged to provide the most recent possible estimates of completeness by jurisdiction, at given lag times. The inverse of these completeness estimates were used as weights to estimate the incomplete reporting of provisional COVID-19 deaths. If provisional mortality data in 2019 for a given jurisdiction was 50% complete within 1 week of death and 75% complete within 2 weeks of death, then provisional counts of deaths reported in 2020 with 1 week lag would be weighted by 2 (1/0.50) and counts with 2 weeks lag would be weighted by 1.33 (1/0.75). Provisional counts of deaths reported with 8 or more weeks lag were assumed to be 100% complete (with only the current counts of deaths relative to the expected number (i.e., percent over expected).

Weights in the first few weeks of the pandemic (weeks 9-13) were highly inflated and variable in many jurisdictions with relatively small numbers of deaths and where completeness of provisional data is typically very low (0-2% in the first few weeks following the date of death. These jurisdictions include Alaska, Connecticut, Hawaii, Idaho, Maine, Montana, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Rhode Island, and Vermont. To avoid highly inflated estimates in these jurisdictions, weights were trimmed at the 95th percentile for weeks reported with shorter lag times (1-3 weeks). Specifically, weights greater than the 95th percentile were set to the 95th percentile. Analyses of the timeliness of provisional data have suggested that timeliness has substantially improved in some jurisdictions with improved timeliness, estimates for the most recent weeks have been substantially adjusted downward relative to estimates from previous releases. Some jurisdictions have little to no provisional data available in the most recent week(s) (CT, NC, NYC, together, these jurisdictions represent approximately 5% of US deaths), and, in these jurisdictions, some of the estimated increase in the total of provisional data from certain jurisdictions was offset by the overestimation in other jurisdictions with improved timeliness when considering trends for the US overall. Analyses of the timeliness of provisional data have suggested that timeliness has improved with shorter lags in reporting and improved timeliness but provide no additional opportunities for re-estimation or a lack of recent provisional data in other jurisdictions, the excess death estimates for the US are expected to result in a larger degree of underreporting than in previous weeks.

The following data collection forms are currently displayed (dashboard):

Completeness

Completeness was estimated using a Bayesian model (6). Specifically, the number of deaths reported with a positive COVID-19 test through the week of 2019 approximately the data available as of the date of analysis was modeled as a function of the total number of deaths reported in 2019 and 2020 (8 weeks) by reporting jurisdictions. Completeness by week, lag and jurisdiction was modeled using zero-inflated binomial hierarchical Bayesian models with data available as of the date of analysis. Separate models were fit to each state, to the US overall, to the sum of the provisional counts, and the lag in reporting delay. These random effects were specified using a type-I random walk distributions, where the mean and variance parameters were estimated from the observed data. Models were fit using Markov chain Monte Carlo methods in R2jags (4,6). Posterior predictive values and the completeness by jurisdiction and time since death were estimated. Predictions for the most recent 8 weeks for 2019 were averaged to provide the most recent possible estimates of completeness by jurisdiction, at given lag times. The inverse of these completeness estimates were used as weights to estimate the incomplete reporting of provisional COVID-19 deaths. If provisional mortality data in 2019 for a given jurisdiction was 50% complete within 1 week of death and 75% complete within 2 weeks of death, then provisional counts of deaths reported in 2020 with 1 week lag would be weighted by 2 (1/0.50) and counts with 2 weeks lag would be weighted by 1.33 (1/0.75). Provisional counts of deaths reported with 8 or more weeks lag were assumed to be 100% complete (with only the current counts of deaths relative to the expected number (i.e., percent over expected).

Data for jurisdictions where counts are between 1 and 9 are suppressed. Additionally, data for weeks where the counts are less than 50% of the expected number are also suppressed. For example, if the expected number of deaths in a jurisdiction is 100 deaths, but only 49 were reported or resulted showing estimates with a lag of 1 week for most jurisdictions and the US. For some jurisdictions (Connecticut, North Carolina, Puerto Rico), lags may be longer than 8 weeks. Provisional counts of deaths in recent weeks are substantially incomplete. It should be noted that the numbers of deaths are decreasing, as these declines are expected when relying on provisional data that are generally less complete in recent weeks. Counts of deaths are continually monitored for changes in completeness. Counts may change substantially as more data become available, a problem of underreporting entirely. Therefore, it is not possible to determine whether decreases in the observed number of deaths is due to underreporting or to actual declines and more complete data is obtained.

Mortality Outcomes

Weekly counts of deaths from all causes were examined, including deaths due to COVID-19. As many deaths due to COVID-19 may be assigned to other causes of death (for example, if COVID-19 was not diagnosed or not mentioned on the death certificate), tracking all-cause mortality can provide information about whether an excess number of deaths is observed, even when these deaths are not assigned to COVID-19. For example, many deaths may be undercounted. These estimates can also provide information about deaths that may be indirectly related to COVID-19. For example, if deaths due to others were increased as a result of health care shortages due to COVID-19. Additionally, deaths from all causes **excluding COVID-19** were also estimated. These counts excluded deaths with U07.1 as an underlying or multiple cause of death.

Comparing these two sets of estimates — one that included COVID-19 and one that excluded COVID-19 — can provide insight about how many excess deaths are identified as due to COVID-19, and how many excess deaths are due to other causes of death. These deaths could represent misclassified deaths due to COVID-19 or deaths indirectly related to the COVID-19 pandemic. Provisional counts of deaths are continually updated without a cause of death, and then updated when cause of death information becomes available. It may be the case that some excess deaths are not assigned to COVID-19 because of incomplete death certificates or because they were misclassified to other causes. COVID-19. These counts are updated periodically, and the numbers presented will change as more data are received.

Cause of Death

As of June 2, 2020, weekly counts of deaths due to select causes of death are presented. These causes were selected based on analyses of common conditions reported on death certificates where COVID-19 was listed as a cause of death (see Publication Delays in Vital Statistics Publications). Provisional counts of deaths are updated to include the most recent counts of deaths by week and jurisdiction were combined with other categories, and cause of death was added to the Alzheimer disease and dementia category (ICD-10 codes G30, G31). These estimates are based on the underlying cause of death, and include Respiratory diseases, Circulatory diseases, Malignant neoplasms, and Alzheimer disease and dementia. ICD-10 codes were used to classify deaths according to the following causes:

- Respiratory diseases
  - Influenza and pneumonia (J09-J18)
  - Chronic lower respiratory diseases (J40-J47)
  - Other diseases of the respiratory system (J00-J06, J20-J39, J60-J70, J80-J86, J90-J94, J95-J99, R09.1, U04)
- Circulatory diseases
  - Hypertension diseases (I10-I15)
  - Ischemic heart disease (I20-I25)
  - Heart failure (I50)
  - Cerebrovascular diseases (I60-I69)
  - Other diseases of the circulatory system (I00-I09, I26-I49, I51, I52, I70-I99)
- Malignant neoplasms (C00-C97)
- Alzheimer disease and dementia (G30, G31, F01, F03)
- Other select causes of death:
  - Diabetes (E10-E14)
  - Renal failure (N17-N19)
  - Sepsis (A40-A41)

Estimated numbers of deaths due to these other causes of death could represent misclassified COVID-19 deaths, or potentially could be indirectly related to COVID-19 (e.g., deaths from other causes occurring in the context of health care shortages or overburdened health care systems) Deaths with an underlying cause of death of COVID-19 are **not included** in these estimates of deaths due to other causes, but deaths with COVID-19 listed as a multiple cause of death are included in these estimates. Deaths due to other causes are included in the cause-specific estimates. For example, in some cases, COVID-19 may have contributed to the death, but the underlying cause of death was another cause, such as "terminal cancer. For the majority of deaths due to other causes, COVID-19 was not listed as a multiple cause of death. Deaths due to the underlying cause of death are presented.

Deaths due to all other natural causes were excluded (ICD-10 codes: A00-A39, A42-B99, D00-E07, E15-I00, I53-I69, I74-I99, J10, J96, G32, G37-H95, K00-K93, L00-L99, M00-N16, N20-N99, O00-R00, R09.2-R09.9, R10-R99, U00-U03, U05-U99). Additionally, deaths recorded as the reporting lag is substantially longer for external causes of death (2). Additionally, causes of death where the underlying cause was unknown or ill-defined (R00-R99) or were assigned to external causes (V01-Y89) were excluded. Provisional counts of deaths are updated. Counts of deaths with unknown cause are typically substantially higher in provisional data, as many records are initially submitted without a specific cause of death. Counts of deaths due to external causes (i.e. injuries) are also typically lower in provisional data. Counts of deaths due to these causes, provisional data may significantly underestimate the number of deaths due to these causes. Therefore, it is not possible to accurately determine accurate estimates. Counts for cause provided here will not sum to the total number of deaths, given that some causes are excluded.

Estimates by cause of death and age or death are weighted using the methods described above. Weekly counts are shown for deaths due to select age levels are shown for select causes of death. These trends are calculated by summing the number of deaths above average levels based on weekly counts from 2015-2019. Counts of deaths due to COVID-19 alone are not shown as zero and therefore excluded from the counts. Because counts of deaths are shown and due to differences in how the average expected numbers of deaths are estimated, the total numbers of deaths across all the causes will not match the total number of excess deaths presented in the **excess deaths** tab.

Estimates by race and Hispanic origin are weighted using the methods described above. Weekly counts are shown for deaths due to all causes, all causes excluding COVID-19, and deaths due to COVID-19 alone are weighted to account for incomplete data. For some weeks, counts of death due to COVID-19 will not match other data sources, for data years 2018 - 2020, race and Hispanic origin categories are reported in line with the 1997 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) standards. Starting with 2021 data, race and Hispanic origin are reported using the 2020 OMB standards. Comparisons between race and Hispanic origin categories between 2020 and 2021 may therefore differ from previous reports and other sources of data on mortality by race and Hispanic origin.

Limitations

These estimates are based on provisional data, which are incomplete. The weighting method applied may not fully account for reporting lags if there are longer delays at present than in past years. For example, in Pennsylvania, reporting lags are currently much longer than they have been in past years, and therefore the estimates may be underestimated. Conversely, the weighting method may also account for underreporting, given improvements in data timeliness in certain jurisdictions. Unweighted estimates are provided, so that users can see the number of deaths reported to date. Counts of deaths reported in recent weeks are substantially incomplete. Counts of deaths may also be underestimated when counts of deaths is underreported. Counts of deaths due to COVID-19 alone are not shown as zero and therefore excluded from the estimates, but not the unweighted. The estimates presented may be an early indication of excess mortality related to COVID-19, but should be interpreted with caution, as they may change substantially as more data are received. Conversely, the weighting method may also account for improvements in the timeliness of data and also contribute to the pattern where a jurisdiction exhibits recent increases with the weighted counts, but not the unweighted counts. Counts of deaths due to COVID-19 alone are not shown as zero and therefore excluded from the estimates, but not the unweighted. Counts of deaths due to COVID-19 alone are not shown as zero and therefore excluded from the estimates, but not the unweighted.

The completeness of provisional data varies by cause of death and by age group. However, the weights applied do not account for this variability. It is common for completeness estimates to vary substantially by jurisdiction, cause of death, and age group. For example, data for some age groups, race/ethnicity groups, and causes of death. For example, provisional data on deaths among younger age groups is typically less complete than among older age groups. Therefore, it may be the case that the estimates for the younger age groups. Since the weights were based on the completeness of all-cause mortality data in past years, the weighted estimates for specific causes of death and for specific age groups may underestimate the number of deaths or overestimate the number of deaths than for all-cause mortality. To minimize the degree of underreporting, cause-specific estimates are presented with a two-week lag.

References

1. Noufaily A, Enki DG, Farrington P, Garthwaite P, Andrews N, Charlett A. An Improved Algorithm for Outbreak Detection in Multiple Surveillance Systems. Statistics in Medicine 2013;32(7):1206-122.
2. Salmon M, Schumacher D, Hohle M. Monitoring Count Time Series in R: Aberration Detection in Public Health Surveillance. Journal of Statistical Software 2016;70(10):1-35.
3. Rue H, Martino S, Chopin N. Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models using integrated nested Laplace approximations (with discussion). Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B 2009;71(2):319-392.
4. Plummer M. rjags: Bayesian Graphical Models using MCMC. R package version 4-10. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rjags
5. National Center for Health Statistics. 2017. Publication Delays in Vital Statistics and Provisional Estimates. National Center for Health Statistics. 2019. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/report001.pdf. ↗

Page last reviewed: February 5, 2021
Content source: National Center for Health Statistics